

Disclosure Review Board Minutes

3/3/08

1. 0412 (b) (6)

This was approved.

2. 610 (b) (6)

(b) (6) will tell the researchers that we have concerns about the added dimension of public + private = total annual payroll. We are concerned about the differences that arise between SIC and NAICS categorizations. We also noted that table generation is supposed to be kept to a minimum at the RDCs, and that the Census Bureau now uses the p% rule rather than the n-k rule, but depending upon the parameters used, the n-k rule can be more conservative. Are there any other ways (beside these tables) that the researchers can release the necessary information?

3. ACS '06 Country of Birth

While we did not think there were any disclosure problems, some of the titles of the tables were confusing. After the meeting, (b) (6) spoke with (b) (6) who agreed to clarify them. The tables were then approved.

4. (b) (6) SBO '09

This was approved. DID will choose the sample. After this is done, they will dismantle the link between the Numident and the unswapped data files, and certify that this was done.

5. ACS '05 Comparison Language

This was approved.

6. ACS ST082 San Fernando '06

This was approved. The data will be rounded as all ACS special tabulations.

7. METROtax

This was tentatively approved. (b) (6) will look at the log files to make sure there are no disclosure concerns, and she will find out how they got filing status. She will let us know, and then we can probably finalize via email.

8. (b) (6) 2010 Communication

This was approved.

9. STP_361 (b) (6)

This was approved. The data will be rounded as all Census 2000 special tabulations. There must be at least 3 different households in each identified geographic area with children ages 0-4.

10. ACS Older Workers

This was approved. DRB rules for medians will be applied.

11. CPS ASEC '07 Migration table changes

This was approved.

12. 2007 ACS Content Test request from (b) (6) (done via email after the meeting)

This was approved.