

Disclosure Review Board Minutes
7/6/09

1. CPS 2009 for (b) (6)

This was approved.

2. NSCG Data Question

This was approved.

3. OHara's ASA Paper

This was approved.

4. SAHIE Request

(b) (6) will ask (b) (6) if there are any changes or new additions from the last request we approved. If there are none, this is approved.

5. ACS 5 Year Base Tables

Rule 6 is currently written to apply a 50 unweighted case threshold to workplace tables. This will be generalized to include other types of geographic areas such as residence 1 year ago and place of birth. The rule does not apply to current place of residence tables. The 300 weighted clause will be removed from the rule.

Please send any ideas on "sensitive variables or populations" that we should consider adding to our list to (b) (6).

(b) (6) will remove the idea on having a minimum nationwide threshold for category sizes for block group tables.

The non-response rate tables B98* are fine as planned.

We previously determined that if a table is iterated by the race/ethnicity groups A-I, that set of tables should be considered as a single table and include race/ethnicity as a dimension when determining the number of cells in the table. This rule should be generalized to tables iterated by other variables such as gender.

We will discuss the transportation tables at our next meeting. (b) (6) sent us summary information from Census 2000, ACS base tables, and CTPP special tabs.

Things to consider (and please do so before the next meeting):

Should there be a limit on the number of variables that a given variable can be crossed with? At what level of geography? Does it depend on whether that variable was used to target records for swapping?

We are focusing a lot on block groups. What about other geographic areas that can be small?

Should we increase the swapping percentage? Should we include other variables when targeting records for swapping (such as means of transportation)?