

Attachment 2-2, Census Address Summit Agenda  
 Thursday, September 8<sup>th</sup>, 2:00pm Address Maintenance and Sharing  
 Small Group Break Out Session Worksheet

<b>Group #: 4</b>	<b>Group Members:</b>  Karen Owens, David Luhan, Walter Schwarm, Mike Fauss, Todd Fagan, Clayton Bonnell, David Jackson, Sean Uhl
<b>1. From your experience, what is the role of metadata in <u>address</u> data maintenance, quality, and sharing? Specifically, how does it</b> a. help measure the quality of the data? b. impact the quality of the data itself? c. facilitate the sharing of data?	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Promotes confidence in reliability</li> <li>• May include spatial/attribute accuracy assessments</li> <li>• Still evidence of lack of investment in work habits to create or maintain metadata</li> </ul>	
<b>2. What are the most pressing issues that your respective organizations currently face with address maintenance? Address data sharing?</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Timing of maintenance, updates to corporate database</li> <li>• Problem with legal address, developers creating their own address</li> <li>• Duplication from various sources</li> <li>• Additions, remodeling of house to create garage apartments or mother-in-law suites</li> </ul>	
<b>3. If funding were not a consideration, what would you propose to improve address development in your respective organization(s)?</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data standardization, master address list that voter registration, DMV, Parks and Recreations, Schools, etc. must use. Validate new tabular addresses against county authority database</li> <li>• Get help from inspector, postal carriers to locate addresses</li> <li>• Dynamic routing</li> <li>• Routinely publish my updates, even annually, to Google, Bing, and GPS platforms</li> </ul>	