

Attachment 2-2, Census Address Summit Agenda
 Thursday, September 8th, 2:00pm Address Maintenance and Sharing
 Small Group Break Out Session Worksheet

Group #: 5	Group Members:
<p>1. From your experience, what is the role of metadata in <u>address</u> data maintenance, quality, and sharing? Specifically, how does it</p> <p>a. help measure the quality of the data? b. impact the quality of the data itself? c. facilitate the sharing of data?</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What it is, where I got it • Promotes confidence • When created, updated, retired 	
<p>2. What are the most pressing issues that your respective organizations currently face with address maintenance? Address data sharing?</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timeliness, having an address tool that can instantly “Add” addresses for new developments. Also creating and delivering new addresses to E911 • Denver has separate departments for addresses, centerline, parcels; Jefferson County, WV has them all under one department and address turnaround is about 48hrs • Enforcement 	
<p>3. If funding were not a consideration, what would you propose to improve address development in your respective organization(s)?</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To have non-geocoded addresses, public schools/voter registrations, etc. to match to existing • Non-authoritative agencies should not be able to enter addresses in a way that does not meet the “authoritative standard” • Desire to have USPS and other utilities collect GPS 	