



U.S. Department of Commerce
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Series P-25, No.650
Issued May 1977

Population Estimates and Projections

1973 (Revised) and 1975 Population Estimates and 1972 (Revised) and 1974 Per Capita Income Estimates for Census Divisions, Boroughs, and Incorporated Places in Alaska

This report is one of a series containing current estimates of the population and per capita money income for selected areas in each State. The population estimates relate to July 1, 1973 and July 1, 1975, and the estimates of per capita income cover calendar years 1972 and 1974. Current estimates of population below the county level and per capita money income for all general purpose governments were prompted by the enactment of the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. The figures are now used by a wide variety of Federal, State, and local governmental agencies for program planning and administrative purposes.

Areas included in this series of reports are all counties (or county equivalents such as census divisions in Alaska, parishes in Louisiana, and independent cities in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia) and incorporated places in the State, plus active minor civil divisions (MCD's), commonly towns in New England, New York, and Wisconsin, or townships in other parts of the United States.¹ These State reports appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, in alphabetical sequence as report number 649 (Alabama) through number 698 (Wyoming). A list indicating the report number for

each State is appended. No separate report is to be issued for the District of Columbia. However, the estimates for the District of Columbia, together with a summary table for all States, will be presented in a report detailing the methods used to estimate income and population, and will contain further evaluation of the estimates. This report will appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 699.

The detailed table for each State shows July 1, 1975 and revised July 1, 1973 estimates of the population of each area, together with April 1, 1970 census population and numerical and percentage change between 1970 and 1975. The 1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and include corrections to the 1970 census counts. In addition, the table presents per capita income estimates for calendar years 1974 and 1972 (revised), plus calendar year 1969 per capita money income derived from data collected in the 1970 census.

The estimates are presented in the table in county order, with all incorporated places in the county listed in alphabetical order, followed by any functioning minor civil divisions also listed in alphabetical order. Minor civil divisions are always identified in the listing by the term "township," "town," or other MCD category. When incorporated places fall in more than one county, each county piece is marked "part," and totals for these places are presented at the end of the table.

¹ In certain midwestern States (Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and the Dakotas) some counties have active minor civil divisions while others do not.

POPULATION ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

To estimate the population of each subcounty area, a component procedure (the Administrative Records method) was used, with each of the components of population change (births, deaths, net migration, and special populations) estimated separately. The estimates were derived in two stages, moving from 1970 as a base year to develop estimates for 1973, and in turn, moving from 1973 as the base year to derive estimates for 1975.

Migration. Individual Federal income tax returns were used to measure migration by matching individual returns for successive periods. The places of residence on tax returns filed in the base year and in the estimate year were noted for matched returns to determine in-migrants, out-migrants, and nonmigrants for each area. A net migration rate was derived, based on the difference between the immigration and out-migration of taxpayers and dependents, and was applied to a base population to yield an estimate of net migration for all persons in the area.

Natural increase. Reported resident birth and death statistics were used, wherever available, to estimate natural increase. These data were collected from State health departments and supplemented, where necessary, by data prepared and published by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Center for Health Statistics. For subcounty areas where reported birth and death statistics were not available from either source, estimates were developed by applying national fertility and mortality rates to the 1970 census counts for the cohort of the female population 18 to 34 years old and to the total population 65 years old and over, respectively, in these areas. These estimates were subsequently controlled to agree with birth and death statistics for larger areas where reported data were available.

Adjustment for special populations. In addition to the above components of population change, estimates of special populations were also taken into account. Special populations include immigrants from abroad, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, residents of institutions (prisons and long-term health care facilities), and college students enrolled in full-time programs. These populations were treated separately because changes in these types of population groups are not reflected in the components of population change developed by standard measures, and the information is generally available for use as an independent series.

In generating estimates for counties by this procedure, the method was modified slightly to make the county estimates specific to the resident population under 65 years of age. The resident population 65 years old and over in counties was estimated separately by adding the change in Medicare enrollees between April 1, 1970 and July 1 of the estimate year to the April 1, 1970 population 65 years old and over in the county as enumerated in the 1970 census. These estimates of the population 65 years old and over were then added to estimates of the population under 65 years old to yield estimates of the total resident population in each county.

Annexations and new incorporations. The 1970 census counts shown in this report reflect all population "corrections" made to the figures after the initial tabulations. In addition, adjustments for large annexations through December 31, 1975, are reflected in the estimates.² For new incorporations occurring after 1970, the 1970 population within the boundaries of the new areas are shown in the detailed table. This geographic updating is accomplished largely as a result of an annual boundary and annexation survey conducted by the Bureau of the Census.

Other adjustments. For areas where special censuses were conducted after July 1, 1972, such special censuses were taken into account in developing the estimates.³ In several States, the subcounty estimates developed by the Administrative Records method were averaged with estimates for corresponding geographic areas which were prepared by

²In general, an annexation was included if the 1970 census count for the annexing area was 5,000 or more and the 1970 census count for the annexed area or areas exceeded 5 percent of the 1970 count for the annexing area. Adjustments were also made for a limited number of "unusual" annexations where the annexations for an area did not meet the minimum requirements but were accepted by the Office of Revenue Sharing for inclusion in the population base.

³Only special censuses conducted by the Bureau of the Census or by the California, Florida, Oregon, or Washington State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates were used for this purpose. In addition, in a relatively small number of cases where special censuses were conducted by localities, where the procedures and definitions were essentially the same as those used by the Bureau of the Census, the results of these special censuses were also taken into account in preparing the estimates.

State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates (FSCP). These States include California, Florida, Oregon, Washington, and Wisconsin.

The estimates for the subareas in each county were adjusted to independent county estimates. For 1973, the county estimates are revisions to those prepared by the Bureau of the Census alone or by the Bureau of the Census in conjunction with participating State agencies as a part of the Federal-State Cooperative Program. These estimates are revisions of those published in **Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 620**. For 1975, an intermediate set of county estimates was prepared, since all of the data necessary to develop final estimates under the FSCP program were not available. Specifically, only data for two of the methods relied upon in the FSCP estimates (i.e., Component Method II and the Administrative Records method) were available. The 1975 estimates result from adding the average 1974-1975 population change indicated by the two methods to the 1974 county population figures contained in **Current Population Reports, Series P-25 and P-26**.

The county estimates, in turn, were adjusted to be consistent with independent State estimates published by the Bureau of the Census in **Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Nos. 640 and 642**, in which the Administrative Records-based estimates were averaged with the estimates prepared using Component Method II and the Regression method.⁴

PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

The 1974 and revised 1972 per capita income (PCI) figure is the estimated average amount per person of total money income received during calendar years 1974 and 1972 for all persons residing in a given political jurisdiction in April 1975 and April 1973, respectively. The 1974 and revised 1972 PCI estimates are based on the 1970 census and have been updated using rates of change developed from various administrative record sets and compilations, mainly from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA).

⁴For further discussion of the methodologies used in preparing State estimates, see **Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 640**.

The PCI estimates are based on a money income concept. Total money income is defined by the Bureau of the Census for statistical purposes as the sum of:

- Wage and salary income
- Net nonfarm self-employment income
- Net farm self-employment income
- Social Security and railroad retirement income
- Public assistance income
- All other income such as interest, dividends, veteran's payments, pensions, unemployment insurance, alimony, etc.

The total represents the amount of income received before deductions for personal income taxes, Social Security, bond purchases, union dues, Medicare deductions, etc.

Procedures for State and county PCI estimates. As noted above, the 1974 and revised 1972 State and county PCI estimates were based on the 1970 census.⁵ The updates for these areas were developed by carrying forward the aggregate amount (i.e., the sum of all individual incomes in the State or county) independently for each type of income identified in the census to reflect differential changes in these income sources between 1969 and the estimate date. Data from the 1969, 1972, and 1974 Federal tax returns provided by the Internal Revenue Service were used to estimate the change in wage and salary income at the State and county level. All other types of income for these governmental units were updated using rates of change based on estimates of aggregate money income provided by the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

At the county level, several modifications of these procedures were used to better control the estimates of income change. For example, the IRS data for sub-State jurisdictions were subject to non-reporting of address information on the tax return and to misassignment of geographic location for reported addresses. To minimize the impact on the estimates from such potential sources of error, per capita wage and salary income for counties was updated intact as a per capita figure using the percentage change in wage and salary income per exemption reported on IRS returns. In addition, because of differences in the definition of income, data collection techniques, and estimation procedures, 1969 in-

⁵Income data from the 1970 census reflect income received in calendar year 1969.

come estimates from the census and BEA were not strictly comparable. These differences were especially evident at the county level for nonfarm and farm self-employment income. BEA estimates for these types of income tend to have considerably more year-to-year variation than estimates derived from surveys and censuses. To minimize the effects of these differences, constraints were put on the rate of change in income from these sources in developing the 1972 and 1974 PCI updates.

As a final step to insure a uniform series of estimates at the State and county levels, the updated county per capita figures were converted to a total aggregate income and were adjusted to agree with the State aggregate level before a final per capita income was calculated.

Procedures for subcounty per capita income estimates. The 1974 and revised 1972 per capita income estimates for subcounty governmental units were developed using a methodology similar to that used to derive county-level figures. However, there are differences in the number of separate categories of income types used in the estimation procedure, and in the sources used to update the income components.

As in the case of the population estimates, a two-step procedure was relied upon to update the income figures from their 1969 level to refer to 1974. The 1972 estimates were prepared using the rate of change from 1969 to 1972. The 1974 estimates are based on the 1972 estimates, and were updated by an estimate of change from 1972 to 1974. Also, as in the case of the population figures, the subcounty income data were uniformly adjusted to reflect major annexation and boundary changes which occurred since 1970.

1969 base estimates. The 1970 census PCI figures for small areas are subject to sizable sampling variability, causing them to lack sufficient statistical reliability for use in the estimation process. For this report, the 1969 PCI shown for areas with a 1970 census sample population estimate of less than 1,000 is a weighted average of the original 1970 census sample value and a regression estimate. Research has indicated that this procedure results in a considerable improvement in accuracy compared to the procedure relied upon in earlier estimates, which was to use the county PCI amount for various small governmental units. The resulting 1969 estimate for each of these areas is a base estimate for preparing 1972 and 1974 estimates and does not represent a change in the 1970 census value for these areas.

For subcounty updating, 1969 total money income was divided into two components: (1) "taxable income" which is approximately comparable to that portion of income included in IRS adjusted gross income, and (2) "transfer income" which for the most part is not included in adjusted gross income. These 1969 subcounty estimates were adjusted to 1970 census totals for higher level government units. This was done using a two-way adjustment procedure controlling both to county totals and to several size class totals for the State.⁶

1972 (revised) and 1974 PCI updates. The taxable income portion of the 1969 money income was updated using the percent change in adjusted gross income (AGI) per exemption as computed from IRS tax return data. However, if the number of IRS tax returns for any area was very small, or if the ratio of exemptions to the population or the change in this ratio from 1969 to 1972 and 1972 to 1974 was not within an acceptable range, the IRS data for the subcounty area were not used in the update process. In such cases the percent change in AGI per exemption for the county was used. Similarly, if the IRS data for a particular subcounty area passed the above conditions, but the percent change in AGI per exemption was excessively large or small compared to that for the county, the change was constrained to a proportion of the county change.

The percentage change in per capita transfer income at the subcounty level was assumed to be the same as that implied by the BEA estimates at the county level.

The 1974 and 1972 estimates of taxable income and transfer income were adjusted separately using a two-way procedure similar to that used for the base estimates and were then combined to estimate total money income. The 1974 and 1972 PCI estimates were formed by dividing the total money income aggregates by the July 1975 and 1973 population estimates, respectively.

REVISION OF 1973 POPULATION AND 1972 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES

The July 1, 1973 population and calendar year 1972 per capita income estimates presented in this report supersede those estimates published earlier in

⁶ Additional review and evaluation detail concerning the 1969 estimated income for places under 1,000 population is contained in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, No. 699.

Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Nos. 546 through 595. The July 1, 1973 population estimates shown in this report differ from those published previously for several reasons: (1) The procedure for correcting missing address information on the original tax forms was changed to more accurately reflect the population distribution of the various areas; (2) more accurate and up-to-date information on several components of population change (births, deaths, and special population groups) are now available; (3) the net migration component has been changed from a civilian population base to refer instead to the non-group quarters population (i.e., resident population excluding members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, inmates of long-term hospitals and prisons, and full-time students enrolled in college); and (4) additional special censuses are available for use that were conducted since the time of the last estimates.

Similarly for per capita income: (1) The 1969 income levels for small areas have been estimated rather than relying upon reported 1970 census figures, and (2) a revised procedure was used in controlling the 1972 estimates for internal agreement.

LIMITATIONS OF THE ESTIMATES

Population estimates. Tests of the accuracy of the methods used to develop State and county population estimates appearing in **Current Population Reports, Series P-25 and P-26** have been documented elsewhere. The results of evaluations against the 1970 census at the State level are reported in Series P-25, No. 520, while similar 1970 tests for counties are presented in Series P-26, No. 21. In summary, the State estimates averaging Component Method II and the Regression method yielded average differences of approximately 1.9 percent when compared to the 1970 census. Subsequent modifications of the two procedures that have been incorporated in preparing estimates for the 1970's would have reduced the average difference in 1970 to 1.2 percent. For counties, the 1970 evaluations indicated an average difference of approximately 4.5 percent for the combination of procedures used. It should be noted that all of the evaluations against the results of the 1970 census concern estimates extending over the entire 10-year period of 1960 to 1970.

Since 1970, however, the Administrative Records method has been introduced with partial weight in

the estimates for States and counties, and except for the few States in which local estimates are utilized, carries the full weight for estimates below the county level. The data series upon which the estimates procedure is based has been available as a comprehensive series for the entire United States only since 1967. Nonetheless, several studies have been undertaken evaluating the Administrative Records estimates from the State to the local level. At the State-wide level, little direct testing can be performed due to the lack of special censuses covering entire States. Some sense of the general reasonableness of the Administrative Records estimates may be obtained, however, by reviewing the degree of correspondence between the results of the method against those of the "standard" methods tested in 1970 and already in use to produce State estimates during the 1970's. It must be recognized that the differences between the two sets of estimates may not be interpreted as errors in either set of figures, but may only be used as a partial guide indicating the degree of consistency between the newer Administrative Records system and the established methods.

Table A presents such a comparison for State estimates referring to July 1, 1975. A rather close agreement may be observed in the estimates for all States at only a 1.0 percent difference. Only two States exceeded a 3-percent difference, with both being smaller States (under one million population) and both having unique circumstances that affect population patterns (Alaska and the District of Columbia). The variation of the Administrative Records method from the average of the other methods does increase noticeably for smaller States in a regular pattern, but still reaches an average of only 1.5 percent for the smallest size category.

The findings indicate no directional bias in the Administrative Records method either for all States or by size. It should also be noted that the Administrative Records estimate falls in the middle of the three estimates for 18 States, in contrast with approximately 17 cases to be expected by chance.

A similar comparison may be made at the county level (table B). Although the differences between the Co-op estimates and the Administrative Records results are larger at the county level than for States, the variations are well within the range that would be expected for areas of this population size, and the county pattern matches closely the findings for States. The overall differences for all counties is 3.3 percent, and ranges from 1.8 percent for the larger counties to 11.7 for the 26 small counties under 1,000 population.

Table A. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Average of Component Method II and Regression Estimates for States: 1975

(Base is the average of Method II and Regression estimates)

Item	All States	Population size in 1970		
		4 million and over	1.5 to 4 million	Less than 1.5 million
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	1.0	0.5	0.9	1.5
Number of States.....	51	16	18	17
With differences of:				
Less than 1 percent.....	32	14	12	6
1 to 2 percent.....	13	2	4	7
2 percent and over.....	6	-	2	4
Where Administrative Records was:				
Higher.....	24	7	9	8
Lower.....	27	9	9	9

- Represents zero.

Table B. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Provisional Co-op Estimates for Counties: 1975

(Base is the provisional Co-op estimates for counties)

Item	All counties	Counties with 1,000 or more 1970 population					Counties with less than 1,000 1970 population
		Total	50,000 or more	25,000 to 50,000	10,000 to 25,000	1,000 to 10,000	
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	3.3	3.2	1.8	2.7	3.2	4.4	11.7
Number of counties or equivalents.....	3,143	3,117	679	567	1,017	854	26
With differences of:							
Less than 1 percent.....	736	733	215	159	228	131	3
1 to 3 percent.....	1,153	1,145	311	213	373	248	8
3 to 5 percent.....	647	645	109	123	212	201	2
5 to 10 percent.....	471	467	42	58	167	200	4
10 percent and over.....	136	127	2	14	37	74	9

Comparison of these results for States and counties in 1975 with a similar analysis based on 1973 estimates is helpful as an indication of consistency over time. Some deterioration in the match of results from a selection of estimating techniques should be anticipated as the length of the estimating period increases and as the methods respond in varying degrees to the dynamics of population shifts. At the State level, such divergence is found. The overall variation increased from 0.6 percent difference in 1973 to 1.0 percent in 1975, with the most dramatic jumps occurring in the small States. On examination of the independent estimates from each method, however, this may be attributed as much to an increased variability in the Method II and Regression method results as to a tendency for the Administrative Records estimates to wander.

At the county level, the findings over time are more mixed. The level of difference for all counties indicates little change since the 1973 estimates (3.1 percent difference in 1973 and 3.3 percent in 1975). There are noticeable reductions in the differences for the largest and smallest population size categories (from 2.3 percent in 1973 to 1.8 percent in 1975 for counties of 50,000 or more, and from 18.1 percent to 11.7 percent for counties under 1,000 population), but modest increases may be observed in the variations for the remaining categories. In general, there appears to be some decrease of correspondence in the State level figures that should be monitored in coming years, but little change has occurred in the county variations, with even some convergence of estimates for the larger and smaller counties.

Three tests of the Administrative Records population estimates against census counts have been undertaken. First, a limited evaluation involving 24 large areas (16 counties and 8 cities) was conducted on estimates for the 1968-1970 period.⁷ Although the test shows the estimates to be quite accurate (1.8 percent difference), the areas may not be assumed to be representative of the 39,000 units of government covered by the Administrative Records estimating system, and the time segment evaluated refers only to a 2-year period.

A more representative group of special censuses in 86 areas selected particularly for evaluation purposes was conducted in 1973. The areas were randomly chosen nationwide to be typical of areas with populations below 20,000 persons.

Table C summarizes the average percent difference between the estimates from the Administrative Records method and counts from the 86 special censuses. Overall, the estimates differed from the special census counts by 5.9 percent, with the largest differences occurring in the smallest areas. Areas of between 1,000 and 20,000 population differed by 4.6 percent, while the average difference for the 27 areas below 1,000 population was 8.6 percent. There was a slight positive directional bias,

⁷Meyer Zitter and David L. Word, U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Use of Administrative Records for Small Area Population Estimates," unpublished paper prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 27, 1973.

Table C. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates (Unrevised) and 86 Special Censuses: 1973

(Base is special census)

Area	Average percent difference ¹	Number of areas with differences of:			
		Under 3 percent	3 to 5 percent	5 to 10 percent	10 percent and over
All areas (86) ²	5.9	32	18	20	16
1,000 to 20,000 (59).....	4.6	26	13	14	6
Under 1,000 population (27).....	8.6	6	5	6	10

¹Disregarding sign.

²All areas have population under 20,000 persons.

with about 60 percent of the estimates exceeding the census counts. Again the impact of population size on the expected level of accuracy may be noted. Even though all of the areas in this study are relatively small—less than 20,000 population—the larger ones demonstrate much lower variation from census figures than the smaller ones.

The third evaluation involving census comparisons is currently underway, and is based upon the approximately 2,000 special censuses that have been conducted since 1970 at the request of localities throughout the United States. Such areas constitute a fairly stringent test for any method in that they are generally very small areas, often are experiencing rapid population growth, and frequently are found to have had a vigorous program of annexation since the last census. This evaluation study has not been completed for use here but will be included in detail as a part of the comprehensive methodology description in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, No. 699.

As a final caution, it must be noted that for convenience in presentation, the estimates contained in table I are shown in unrounded form. It is not intended, however, that the figures be considered accurate to the last digit. The nature of estimates prompts the rounding of figures in related Bureau reports and must be kept in mind during the application of the estimates contained here.

Per capita income estimates. Similar types of analyses and evaluation are not available for the updated estimates of PCI. Income data and PCI for 1972 are available for the 86 areas in which special censuses were conducted for testing purposes. As noted, however, the areas in which the censuses were taken are relatively small. The PCI estimates are based upon data from the 1970 census, which are subject to sampling variability due to the size of

the areas. Consequently, PCI did not change enough in the 1970-72 period in most instances to move outside of the relatively large range of sampling variability associated with the 1970 census results on income for small areas. Thus, it is not possible to obtain a reliable reading or even rough approximations on the accuracy of the change in PCI using the 86 areas as standards. The estimates were made available to persons working with economic statistics in each State for review prior to publication. Comments from this "local" review helped identify problem areas and input data errors.

RELATED REPORTS

The population and per capita income estimates shown in this series of reports supersede those found in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Nos. 546 through 595 for 1973. The population estimates contained here for States are consistent with Series P-25, No. 533 (1973) and No. 642 (1975). The county estimates for 1975 are superior to the provisional 1975 figures published earlier in Series P-25 and P-26 due to the addition of a second method, but will not be reported elsewhere in *Current Population Reports*. The county population estimates will be replaced by subsequent final 1975 figures to be developed through the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates.

DETAILED TABLE SYMBOLS

In the detailed table entries, a dash "--" represents zero, and the symbol "Z" indicates that the figure is less than 0.05 percent. The symbol "B" means that the base for the derived figure is less than 75,000. Three dots "... " mean not applicable, and "NA" means not available.

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
STATE OF ALASKA.....	364 487	333 421	302 583	61 904	20.5	6 315	4 767	3 725	69.5
ALEUTIAN ISLANDS CENSUS DIVISION.....	7 882	7 703	8 221	-339	-4.1	6 003	4 587	3 317	81.0
KING COVE.....	348	327	283	65	23.0	4 711	3 004	2 376	98.1
ST. PAUL ISLAND.....	540	490	478	62	13.0	5 296	3 193	2 300	130.3
SAND POINT.....	429	383	360	69	19.2	9 820	5 046	3 288	198.7
UNALASKA.....	417	392	342	75	21.9	6 870	3 935	2 647	159.5
ANCHORAGE CENSUS DIVISION	161 018	147 268	126 385	34 633	27.4	6 886	5 263	4 192	64.3
ANCHORAGE, CITY AND BOROUGH OF.....	161 018	147 268	126 385	34 633	27.4	6 886	5 283	4 192	64.3
ANGOON CENSUS DIVISION...	844	518	503	341	67.8	1 258	827	516	143.8
ANGOON.....	706	426	400	306	76.5	1 259	830	511	146.4
TENAKEE SPRINGS.....	132	83	93	39	41.9	1 269	845	556	128.2
BARROW-NORTH SLOPE CENSUS DIVISION.....	4 064	3 684	3 451	613	17.8	5 135	3 542	2 809	82.8
ANAKTUVUK PASS.....	160	102	99	61	61.6	1 302	942	739	76.2
BARROW.....	2 418	2 175	2 104	314	14.9	3 317	2 273	1 769	87.5
KAKTOVIK.....	147	132	123	24	19.5	7 269	5 677	4 455	63.2
NUIQSUT.....	25	25	-	25	...	1 307	940	-	...
POINT HOPE.....	425	436	386	39	10.1	2 720	1 845	1 488	82.8
WAINWRIGHT.....	423	391	315	108	34.3	2 248	1 575	1 227	83.2
BETHEL CENSUS DIVISION...	9 257	6 539	7 767	1 490	19.2	2 801	2 154	1 336	109.7
AKIACHAK.....	387	336	312	75	24.0	1 184	941	586	102.0
AKIAK.....	179	173	184	-5	-2.7	1 023	906	564	81.4
AKOLMIUT.....	483	524	526	-43	-8.2	1 205	958	596	102.2
ATMAUTLUAK.....	128	79	-	128	...	1 624	1 307	-	...
BETHEL.....	2 931	2 693	2 416	515	21.3	4 830	3 618	2 362	104.5
CHEFORNAK.....	216	205	146	70	47.9	2 078	1 652	1 026	102.1
EEL.....	264	240	186	78	41.9	1 303	1 068	643	102.6
GOODNEWS BAY.....	241	225	218	23	10.6	1 767	1 405	874	102.2
KWETHLUK.....	503	450	408	95	23.3	1 139	906	564	102.0
MEKORYUK.....	240	256	249	-9	-3.6	1 746	1 388	864	102.1
NAPAKIAK.....	353	305	259	94	36.3	2 251	1 790	1 114	102.1
NAPASKIAK.....	231	207	188	43	22.9	2 767	2 200	1 369	102.1
NEWTOK (PART).....	148	133	114	34	29.8	1 866	1 484	924	101.9
NIGHTMUTE.....	140	138	127	13	10.2	1 412	1 123	699	102.0
PLATINUM.....	78	72	57	21	36.8	4 521	3 595	2 237	102.1
QUINHAGAK.....	421	376	340	81	23.8	1 570	1 249	777	102.1
TOKSOOK BAY.....	296	250	257	39	15.2	873	694	432	102.1
TULUKSAK.....	205	206	195	10	5.1	1 966	1 650	1 027	91.4
TUNUNAK.....	338	302	274	64	23.4	1 334	1 060	660	102.1
BRISTOL BAY BOROUGH CENSUS DIVISION.....	1 311	1 146	1 147	164	14.3	6 634	5 079	3 641	82.2
BRISTOL BAY CENSUS DIVISION.....	4 078	3 728	3 485	593	17.0	3 015	2 344	1 637	84.2
ALEKNAGIK.....	179	214	215	-36	-16.7	1 192	1 038	745	60.0
CLARKS POINT.....	95	99	95	-	-	5 082	3 821	2 744	85.2
DILLINGHAM.....	1 160	1 029	914	246	26.9	4 966	3 727	2 516	97.4
EKWOK.....	118	108	103	15	14.6	2 023	1 762	1 266	59.8
MANOKOTAK.....	234	236	214	20	9.3	1 234	1 280	984	25.4
NEWHALEN.....	99	92	88	11	12.5	2 850	2 482	1 783	59.8
NEW STUYAHOK.....	294	222	216	78	36.1	840	731	525	60.0
NONDALTON.....	219	191	184	35	19.0	2 098	1 827	1 312	59.9
PORT HELDEN.....	70	74	75	-5	-6.7	1 975	1 720	1 235	59.9
TOGIAK.....	492	446	383	109	28.5	1 513	1 332	897	68.7
CORDOVA-MCCARTHY CENSUS DIVISION.....	2 220	2 000	1 857	363	19.5	6 325	5 048	4 072	55.3
CORDOVA.....	1 915	1 718	1 587	328	20.7	6 339	5 066	4 083	55.3
FAIRBANKS CENSUS DIVISION	50 669	47 066	45 864	4 805	10.5	7 097	4 891	3 982	78.2
FAIRBANKS NORTH STAR BOROUGH.	44 362	40 069	39 715	4 647	11.7	7 475	5 199	4 205	77.8
FAIRBANKS.....	29 920	26 551	27 150	2 770	10.2	8 489	5 875	4 781	77.6
NORTH POLE.....	288	272	265	23	8.7	4 668	3 392	2 431	92.0

10 ALASKA

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
HAINES CENSUS DIVISION...	2 138	1 738	1 504	634	42.2	5 109	3 827	3 662	39.5
HAINES BOROUGH.....	1 944	1 573	1 351	593	43.9	5 080	3 805	3 666	38.6
HAINES.....	965	796	683	282	41.3	4 636	3 534	3 723	24.5
JUNEAU CENSUS DIVISION...	16 749	15 601	13 556	3 193	23.6	7 898	6 360	4 686	68.5
JUNEAU, CITY AND BOROUGH OF..	16 749	15 601	13 556	3 193	23.6	7 898	6 360	4 686	68.5
KENAI-COOK INLET CENSUS DIVISION.....	16 059	13 943	14 250	1 809	12.7	5 847	4 418	3 806	53.6
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH (PART)	16 059	13 945	14 250	1 809	12.7	5 847	4 418	3 806	53.6
HOMER.....	1 527	1 245	1 083	444	41.0	6 256	4 642	3 414	83.2
KACHEMAK.....	79	71	76	3	3.9	3 356	2 554	2 162	55.2
KENAI.....	4 028	3 509	3 533	495	14.0	5 977	4 769	3 815	56.7
SELDOVIA.....	480	423	437	43	9.8	6 286	5 091	4 309	45.9
SOLDOTNA.....	1 420	1 232	1 202	218	18.1	5 846	4 316	3 622	61.4
KETCHIKAN CENSUS DIVISION	10 918	10 483	10 041	877	8.7	6 273	5 003	3 720	68.6
KETCHIKAN.....	7 527	7 306	6 994	533	7.6	6 118	5 578	3 791	61.4
SAXMAN.....	121	125	135	-14	-10.4	3 675	2 117	2 356	56.0
KOBUK CENSUS DIVISION....	4 548	4 365	4 048	500	12.4	2 896	2 007	1 539	88.2
AMBLER.....	258	247	176	82	46.6	1 331	1 133	885	50.4
BUCKLAND.....	133	140	104	29	27.9	1 599	1 403	1 096	45.9
DEERING.....	108	70	85	23	27.1	2 876	2 132	1 666	72.6
KIANA.....	306	281	278	28	10.1	2 225	1 427	1 115	99.6
KIVALINA.....	254	225	188	66	35.1	1 709	1 262	937	82.4
KOBUK.....	152	154	165	-13	-7.9	1 494	1 108	866	72.5
KOTZEBUE.....	1 813	1 738	1 696	117	6.9	4 089	2 849	2 094	95.3
NOORVIK.....	569	535	462	107	23.2	2 112	1 407	1 099	92.2
SELAWIK.....	476	488	429	47	11.0	1 800	1 155	876	105.5
SHUNGNAK.....	63	58	56	7	12.5	2 137	1 584	1 238	72.6
KODIAK CENSUS DIVISION...	9 344	8 623	9 409	-65	-0.7	5 883	4 333	3 356	75.3
KODIAK ISLAND BOROUGH.....	6 680	6 186	6 357	323	5.1	6 166	4 484	3 605	71.0
AKHIQK.....	103	100	115	-12	-10.4	2 657	1 945	1 572	69.0
KODIAK.....	4 351	3 891	3 798	553	14.6	6 811	5 057	4 001	70.2
LARSEN BAY.....	121	113	126	-5	-4.0	5 088	3 916	3 355	51.7
OLD HARBOR.....	213	197	290	-77	-26.6	2 793	2 047	1 810	54.3
OUZINKIE.....	117	153	160	-43	-26.9	3 256	2 384	1 927	69.0
PORT LIONS.....	232	248	227	5	2.2	5 606	3 912	3 163	77.2
KUSKOKWIM CENSUS DIVISION	2 781	2 475	2 306	475	20.6	2 629	2 096	1 670	57.4
ANIAK.....	276	234	205	71	34.6	1 078	934	742	45.3
ANVIK.....	90	97	83	7	8.4	1 137	985	782	45.4
CHUATHBALUK.....	121	108	100	21	21.0	940	814	647	45.3
GRAYLING.....	172	153	139	33	23.7	1 332	1 042	828	60.9
HOLY CROSS.....	256	207	199	57	28.6	889	767	568	56.5
LOWER KALSKAG.....	212	182	183	29	15.8	837	725	576	45.3
MCCRATH.....	341	303	279	62	22.2	4 527	3 922	3 115	45.3
NIKOLAI.....	143	110	112	31	27.7	1 054	913	725	45.4
SHAGELUK.....	205	185	167	38	22.8	1 478	1 281	1 017	45.3
UPPER KALSKAG.....	150	133	122	28	23.0	775	672	534	45.1
MATANUSKA-SUSITNA CENSUS DIVISION.....	11 039	8 753	6 509	4 530	69.6	4 631	3 601	2 894	60.0
HOUSTON.....	131	100	69	62	89.9	3 126	2 495	2 176	43.7
PALMER.....	1 626	1 495	1 140	486	42.6	4 933	3 686	3 181	55.1
WASILLA.....	673	519	376	297	79.0	4 598	3 669	3 200	43.7
NOME CENSUS DIVISION.....	6 231	5 994	5 749	482	8.4	3 706	2 962	1 992	86.0
BREVIG MISSION.....	158	137	123	35	28.5	1 590	1 337	917	73.4
DIOMEDE.....	88	82	84	4	4.8	2 111	1 775	1 219	73.2
ELIM.....	209	195	174	35	20.1	2 243	1 886	1 295	73.2
GAMBELL.....	398	370	372	26	7.0	2 160	1 816	1 247	73.2
GOLOVIN.....	87	86	117	-30	-25.6	1 852	1 557	1 069	73.2
KOYUK.....	120	133	122	-2	-1.6	1 151	1 028	656	75.5
NOME.....	2 512	2 435	2 357	155	6.6	5 597	4 373	2 881	94.3
SAINT MICHAEL.....	228	217	207	21	10.1	2 056	1 591	1 092	88.3
SAVOONGA.....	410	388	364	46	12.6	2 336	1 964	1 348	73.3
SHAKTOOLIK.....	139	161	151	-12	-7.9	1 677	1 410	968	73.2

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
SHISHMAREF.....	322	301	267	55	20.6	1 783	1 499	1 029	73.3
STEBBINS.....	275	270	231	44	19.0	1 718	1 444	991	73.4
TELLER.....	220	204	220	-	-	1 546	1 304	1 036	49.2
UNALAKLEET.....	550	508	470	80	17.0	2 995	2 519	1 729	73.2
WALES.....	107	113	131	-24	-18.3	1 864	1 572	1 250	49.1
WHITE MOUNTAIN.....	97	91	87	10	11.5	1 701	1 430	982	73.2
OUTER KETCHIKAN CENSUS DIVISION.....	1 771	1 677	1 676	95	5.7	5 217	4 072	2 648	97.0
PRINCE OF WALES CENSUS DIVISION.....	2 641	2 351	2 106	535	25.4	8 510	6 573	4 056	109.8
CRAIG.....	357	323	272	85	31.3	5 381	4 383	2 936	83.3
HYDABURG.....	317	257	214	103	48.1	1 566	1 373	919	70.4
KASAAN.....	40	35	30	10	33.3	5 164	4 036	2 704	91.0
KLAWOCK.....	266	244	213	53	24.9	2 631	1 840	1 420	85.3
SEWARD CENSUS DIVISION...	3 123	2 597	2 336	787	33.7	6 176	4 482	3 508	76.1
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH (PART)	3 123	2 597	2 336	787	33.7	6 176	4 482	3 508	76.1
SEWARD.....	2 143	1 769	1 587	556	35.0	6 281	4 522	3 576	75.6
SITKA CENSUS DIVISION....	6 111	6 571	6 109	2	-	6 556	4 919	3 899	68.1
PORT ALEXANDER.....	38	40	36	2	5.6	7 867	6 085	4 234	85.8
SITKA, CITY AND BOROUGH OF...	6 073	6 531	6 073	-	-	6 549	4 911	3 897	68.1
SKAGWAY-YAKUTAT CENSUS DIVISION.....	2 639	2 339	2 157	482	22.3	5 576	4 576	3 339	67.0
HOONAH.....	843	768	748	95	12.7	3 624	3 211	2 143	69.1
PELICAN.....	209	170	133	76	57.1	3 440	3 318	2 652	29.7
SKAGWAY.....	834	741	675	159	23.6	6 996	5 158	3 949	77.2
YAKUTAT.....	239	209	190	49	25.8	3 917	3 684	2 646	48.0
SOUTHEAST FAIRBANKS CENSUS DIVISION.....	4 595	4 458	4 179	416	10.0	5 222	3 983	3 250	60.7
DELTA JUNCTION.....	838	795	703	135	19.2	5 196	3 584	3 197	62.5
UPPER YUKON CENSUS DIVISION.....	1 385	1 387	1 282	103	8.0	2 992	2 438	1 920	55.8
EAGLE.....	44	44	36	8	22.2	1 967	1 780	1 416	38.9
FORT YUKON.....	483	486	448	35	7.8	3 553	3 040	2 353	51.0
VALDEZ-CHITINA-WHITTIER CENSUS DIVISION.....	5 245	3 486	3 098	2 147	69.3	8 375	5 235	4 057	106.4
VALDEZ.....	2 287	1 210	1 005	1 282	127.6	9 700	6 377	5 142	88.6
WHITTIER.....	227	189	130	97	74.6	7 787	6 292	5 681	37.1
WADE HAMPTON CENSUS DIVISION.....	4 581	4 327	3 917	664	17.0	1 885	1 461	1 069	76.3
ALAKANUK.....	524	483	414	110	26.6	1 178	835	625	88.5
CHEVAK.....	425	421	387	38	9.8	2 010	1 625	1 216	65.3
EMMONAK.....	537	466	439	98	22.3	960	750	510	88.2
FORTUNA LEDGE.....	224	189	176	48	27.3	1 867	1 512	1 190	56.9
HOOPER BAY.....	597	550	490	107	21.8	1 736	1 404	1 050	65.3
KOTLIK.....	308	294	228	80	35.1	1 126	986	738	52.6
MOUNTAIN VILLAGE.....	543	505	419	124	29.6	1 877	1 310	832	125.6
NEWTOK (PART).....	-	-	-	-	...	-	-	-	...
PILOT STATION.....	281	294	290	-9	-3.1	996	936	636	56.6
RUSSIAN MISSION.....	178	164	147	31	21.1	7 439	6 016	4 499	65.3
ST. MARY'S.....	409	393	384	25	6.5	1 364	981	667	104.5
SCAMMON BAY.....	165	185	166	-1	-0.6	1 218	985	736	65.5
SHELDON POINT.....	134	127	125	9	7.2	889	719	538	65.2
WRANGELL-PETERSBURG CENSUS DIVISION.....	5 859	5 384	4 913	946	19.3	5 821	4 670	3 376	72.4
KAKE.....	579	453	448	131	29.2	2 150	1 962	1 293	66.3
KUPREANOF.....	40	38	36	4	11.1	5 726	4 861	3 447	66.1
PETERSBURG.....	2 231	2 165	2 042	189	9.3	6 149	5 025	3 760	63.5
WRANGELL.....	2 614	2 359	2 029	585	28.8	5 979	4 579	3 207	86.4
YUKON-KOYUKUK CENSUS DIVISION.....	5 384	5 217	4 758	626	13.2	5 286	4 094	3 369	56.9
ALLAKAKET.....	196	172	174	22	12.6	2 286	1 800	1 607	42.3
ANDERSON.....	421	399	362	59	16.3	5 761	4 537	4 050	42.2
GALENA.....	667	634	581	86	14.8	4 791	3 328	2 911	64.6

12 ALASKA

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
HUGHES.....	75	80	85	-10	-11.8	2 297	1 809	1 615	42.2
HUSLIA.....	203	187	159	44	27.7	1 580	1 360	1 214	30.1
KALTAG.....	231	238	206	25	12.1	1 807	1 285	1 147	57.5
KOYUKUK.....	100	121	114	-14	-12.3	5 206	4 100	3 660	42.2
NENANA.....	468	386	382	86	22.5	4 469	3 179	2 940	52.0
NULATO.....	359	335	308	51	16.6	2 677	2 010	1 795	49.1
RUBY.....	164	156	147	17	11.6	3 261	2 568	2 292	42.3
TANANA.....	413	435	406	7	1.7	6 148	4 689	3 883	58.3
MULTI-COUNTY PLACES									
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH.....	19 182	16 542	16 586	2 596	15.7	5 900	4 428	3 764	56.7
NEWTOK.....	148	133	114	34	29.8	1 866	1 484	924	101.9

1975 Population and Per Capita Income Estimates, and Revised 1973 Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places, and Selected Minor Civil Divisions

(Reports may not be published in numerical order)

No. 649	Alabama	No. 674	Montana
No. 650	Alaska	No. 675	Nebraska
No. 651	Arizona	No. 676	Nevada
No. 652	Arkansas	No. 677	New Hampshire
No. 653	California	No. 678	New Jersey
No. 654	Colorado	No. 679	New Mexico
No. 655	Connecticut	No. 680	New York
No. 656	Delaware	No. 681	North Carolina
No. 657	Florida	No. 682	North Dakota
No. 658	Georgia	No. 683	Ohio
No. 659	Hawaii	No. 684	Oklahoma
No. 660	Idaho	No. 685	Oregon
No. 661	Illinois	No. 686	Pennsylvania
No. 662	Indiana	No. 687	Rhode Island
No. 663	Iowa	No. 688	South Carolina
No. 664	Kansas	No. 689	South Dakota
No. 665	Kentucky	No. 690	Tennessee
No. 666	Louisiana	No. 691	Texas
No. 667	Maine	No. 692	Utah
No. 668	Maryland	No. 693	Vermont
No. 669	Massachusetts	No. 694	Virginia
No. 670	Michigan	No. 695	Washington
No. 671	Minnesota	No. 696	West Virginia
No. 672	Mississippi	No. 697	Wisconsin
No. 673	Missouri	No. 698	Wyoming
		No. 699	U.S. Summary and Detailed Methodology