



U.S. Department of Commerce
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Series P-25, No. 654
Issued May 1977

Population Estimates and Projections

1973 (Revised) and 1975 Population Estimates and 1972 (Revised) and 1974 Per Capita Income Estimates for Counties and Incorporated Places in Colorado

This report is one of a series containing current estimates of the population and per capita money income for selected areas in each State. The population estimates relate to July 1, 1973 and July 1, 1975, and the estimates of per capita income cover calendar years 1972 and 1974. Current estimates of population below the county level and per capita money income for all general purpose governments were prompted by the enactment of the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. The figures are now used by a wide variety of Federal, State, and local governmental agencies for program planning and administrative purposes.

Areas included in this series of reports are all counties (or county equivalents such as census divisions in Alaska, parishes in Louisiana, and independent cities in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia) and incorporated places in the State, plus active minor civil divisions (MCD's), commonly towns in New England, New York, and Wisconsin, or townships in other parts of the United States.¹ These State reports appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, in alphabetical sequence as report number 649 (Alabama) through number 698 (Wyoming). A list indicating the report number for

each State is appended. No separate report is to be issued for the District of Columbia. However, the estimates for the District of Columbia, together with a summary table for all States, will be presented in a report detailing the methods used to estimate income and population, and will contain further evaluation of the estimates. This report will appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 699.

The detailed table for each State shows July 1, 1975 and revised July 1, 1973 estimates of the population of each area, together with April 1, 1970 census population and numerical and percentage change between 1970 and 1975. The 1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and include corrections to the 1970 census counts. In addition, the table presents per capita income estimates for calendar years 1974 and 1972 (revised), plus calendar year 1969 per capita money income derived from data collected in the 1970 census.

The estimates are presented in the table in county order, with all incorporated places in the county listed in alphabetical order, followed by any functioning minor civil divisions also listed in alphabetical order. Minor civil divisions are always identified in the listing by the term "township," "town," or other MCD category. When incorporated places fall in more than one county, each county piece is marked "part," and totals for these places are presented at the end of the table.

¹ In certain midwestern States (Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and the Dakotas) some counties have active minor civil divisions while others do not.

POPULATION ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

To estimate the population of each subcounty area, a component procedure (the Administrative Records method) was used, with each of the components of population change (births, deaths, net migration, and special populations) estimated separately. The estimates were derived in two stages, moving from 1970 as a base year to develop estimates for 1973, and in turn, moving from 1973 as the base year to derive estimates for 1975.

Migration. Individual Federal income tax returns were used to measure migration by matching individual returns for successive periods. The places of residence on tax returns filed in the base year and in the estimate year were noted for matched returns to determine in-migrants, out-migrants, and nonmigrants for each area. A net migration rate was derived, based on the difference between the in-migration and out-migration of taxpayers and dependents, and was applied to a base population to yield an estimate of net migration for all persons in the area.

Natural increase. Reported resident birth and death statistics were used, wherever available, to estimate natural increase. These data were collected from State health departments and supplemented, where necessary, by data prepared and published by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Center for Health Statistics. For subcounty areas where reported birth and death statistics were not available from either source, estimates were developed by applying national fertility and mortality rates to the 1970 census counts for the cohort of the female population 18 to 34 years old and to the total population 65 years old and over, respectively, in these areas. These estimates were subsequently controlled to agree with birth and death statistics for larger areas where reported data were available.

Adjustment for special populations. In addition to the above components of population change, estimates of special populations were also taken into account. Special populations include immigrants from abroad, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, residents of institutions (prisons and long-term health care facilities), and college students enrolled in full-time programs. These populations were treated separately because changes in these types of population groups are not reflected in the components of population change developed by standard measures, and the information is generally available for use as an independent series.

In generating estimates for counties by this procedure, the method was modified slightly to make the county estimates specific to the resident population under 65 years of age. The resident population 65 years old and over in counties was estimated separately by adding the change in Medicare enrollees between April 1, 1970 and July 1 of the estimate year to the April 1, 1970 population 65 years old and over in the county as enumerated in the 1970 census. These estimates of the population 65 years old and over were then added to estimates of the population under 65 years old to yield estimates of the total resident population in each county.

Annexations and new incorporations. The 1970 census counts shown in this report reflect all population "corrections" made to the figures after the initial tabulations. In addition, adjustments for large annexations through December 31, 1975, are reflected in the estimates.² For new incorporations occurring after 1970, the 1970 population within the boundaries of the new areas are shown in the detailed table. This geographic updating is accomplished largely as a result of an annual boundary and annexation survey conducted by the Bureau of the Census.

Other adjustments. For areas where special censuses were conducted after July 1, 1972, such special censuses were taken into account in developing the estimates.³ In several States, the subcounty estimates developed by the Administrative Records method were averaged with estimates for corresponding geographic areas which were prepared by

²In general, an annexation was included if the 1970 census count for the annexing area was 5,000 or more and the 1970 census count for the annexed area or areas exceeded 5 percent of the 1970 count for the annexing area. Adjustments were also made for a limited number of "unusual" annexations where the annexations for an area did not meet the minimum requirements but were accepted by the Office of Revenue Sharing for inclusion in the population base.

³Only special censuses conducted by the Bureau of the Census or by the California, Florida, Oregon, or Washington State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates were used for this purpose. In addition, in a relatively small number of cases where special censuses were conducted by localities, where the procedures and definitions were essentially the same as those used by the Bureau of the Census, the results of these special censuses were also taken into account in preparing the estimates.

State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates (FSCP). These States include California, Florida, Oregon, Washington, and Wisconsin.

The estimates for the subareas in each county were adjusted to independent county estimates. For 1973, the county estimates are revisions to those prepared by the Bureau of the Census alone or by the Bureau of the Census in conjunction with participating State agencies as a part of the Federal-State Cooperative Program. These estimates are revisions of those published in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 620. For 1975, an intermediate set of county estimates was prepared, since all of the data necessary to develop final estimates under the FSCP program were not available. Specifically, only data for two of the methods relied upon in the FSCP estimates (i.e., Component Method II and the Administrative Records method) were available. The 1975 estimates result from adding the average 1974-1975 population change indicated by the two methods to the 1974 county population figures contained in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26.

The county estimates, in turn, were adjusted to be consistent with independent State estimates published by the Bureau of the Census in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 640 and 642, in which the Administrative Records-based estimates were averaged with the estimates prepared using Component Method II and the Regression method.⁴

PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

The 1974 and revised 1972 per capita income (PCI) figure is the estimated average amount per person of total money income received during calendar years 1974 and 1972 for all persons residing in a given political jurisdiction in April 1975 and April 1973, respectively. The 1974 and revised 1972 PCI estimates are based on the 1970 census and have been updated using rates of change developed from various administrative record sets and compilations, mainly from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA).

⁴For further discussion of the methodologies used in preparing State estimates, see **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 640.

The PCI estimates are based on a money income concept. Total money income is defined by the Bureau of the Census for statistical purposes as the sum of:

- Wage and salary income
- Net nonfarm self-employment income
- Net farm self-employment income
- Social Security and railroad retirement income
- Public assistance income
- All other income such as interest, dividends, veteran's payments, pensions, unemployment insurance, alimony, etc.

The total represents the amount of income received before deductions for personal income taxes, Social Security, bond purchases, union dues, Medicare deductions, etc.

Procedures for State and county PCI estimates.

As noted above, the 1974 and revised 1972 State and county PCI estimates were based on the 1970 census.⁵ The updates for these areas were developed by carrying forward the aggregate amount (i.e., the sum of all individual incomes in the State or county) independently for each type of income identified in the census to reflect differential changes in these income sources between 1969 and the estimate date. Data from the 1969, 1972, and 1974 Federal tax returns provided by the Internal Revenue Service were used to estimate the change in wage and salary income at the State and county level. All other types of income for these governmental units were updated using rates of change based on estimates of aggregate money income provided by the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

At the county level, several modifications of these procedures were used to better control the estimates of income change. For example, the IRS data for sub-State jurisdictions were subject to non-reporting of address information on the tax return and to misassignment of geographic location for reported addresses. To minimize the impact on the estimates from such potential sources of error, per capita wage and salary income for counties was updated intact as a per capita figure using the percentage change in wage and salary income per exemption reported on IRS returns. In addition, because of differences in the definition of income, data collection techniques, and estimation procedures, 1969 in-

⁵Income data from the 1970 census reflect income received in calendar year 1969.

come estimates from the census and BEA were not strictly comparable. These differences were especially evident at the county level for nonfarm and farm self-employment income. BEA estimates for these types of income tend to have considerably more year-to-year variation than estimates derived from surveys and censuses. To minimize the effects of these differences, constraints were put on the rate of change in income from these sources in developing the 1972 and 1974 PCI updates.

As a final step to insure a uniform series of estimates at the State and county levels, the updated county per capita figures were converted to a total aggregate income and were adjusted to agree with the State aggregate level before a final per capita income was calculated.

Procedures for subcounty per capita income estimates. The 1974 and revised 1972 per capita income estimates for subcounty governmental units were developed using a methodology similar to that used to derive county-level figures. However, there are differences in the number of separate categories of income types used in the estimation procedure, and in the sources used to update the income components.

As in the case of the population estimates, a two-step procedure was relied upon to update the income figures from their 1969 level to refer to 1974. The 1972 estimates were prepared using the rate of change from 1969 to 1972. The 1974 estimates are based on the 1972 estimates, and were updated by an estimate of change from 1972 to 1974. Also, as in the case of the population figures, the subcounty income data were uniformly adjusted to reflect major annexation and boundary changes which occurred since 1970.

1969 base estimates. The 1970 census PCI figures for small areas are subject to sizable sampling variability, causing them to lack sufficient statistical reliability for use in the estimation process. For this report, the 1969 PCI shown for areas with a 1970 census sample population estimate of less than 1,000 is a weighted average of the original 1970 census sample value and a regression estimate. Research has indicated that this procedure results in a considerable improvement in accuracy compared to the procedure relied upon in earlier estimates, which was to use the county PCI amount for various small governmental units. The resulting 1969 estimate for each of these areas is a base estimate for preparing 1972 and 1974 estimates and does not represent a change in the 1970 census value for these areas.

For subcounty updating, 1969 total money income was divided into two components: (1) "taxable income" which is approximately comparable to that portion of income included in IRS adjusted gross income, and (2) "transfer income" which for the most part is not included in adjusted gross income. These 1969 subcounty estimates were adjusted to 1970 census totals for higher level government units. This was done using a two-way adjustment procedure controlling both to county totals and to several size class totals for the State.⁶

1972 (revised) and 1974 PCI updates. The taxable income portion of the 1969 money income was updated using the percent change in adjusted gross income (AGI) per exemption as computed from IRS tax return data. However, if the number of IRS tax returns for any area was very small, or if the ratio of exemptions to the population or the change in this ratio from 1969 to 1972 and 1972 to 1974 was not within an acceptable range, the IRS data for the subcounty area were not used in the update process. In such cases the percent change in AGI per exemption for the county was used. Similarly, if the IRS data for a particular subcounty area passed the above conditions, but the percent change in AGI per exemption was excessively large or small compared to that for the county, the change was constrained to a proportion of the county change.

The percentage change in per capita transfer income at the subcounty level was assumed to be the same as that implied by the BEA estimates at the county level.

The 1974 and 1972 estimates of taxable income and transfer income were adjusted separately using a two-way procedure similar to that used for the base estimates and were then combined to estimate total money income. The 1974 and 1972 PCI estimates were formed by dividing the total money income aggregates by the July 1975 and 1973 population estimates, respectively.

REVISION OF 1973 POPULATION AND 1972 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES

The July 1, 1973 population and calendar year 1972 per capita income estimates presented in this report supersede those estimates published earlier in

⁶ Additional review and evaluation detail concerning the 1969 estimated income for places under 1,000 population is contained in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 699.

Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Nos. 546 through 595. The July 1, 1973 population estimates shown in this report differ from those published previously for several reasons: (1) The procedure for correcting missing address information on the original tax forms was changed to more accurately reflect the population distribution of the various areas; (2) more accurate and up-to-date information on several components of population change (births, deaths, and special population groups) are now available; (3) the net migration component has been changed from a civilian population base to refer instead to the non-group quarters population (i.e., resident population excluding members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, inmates of long-term hospitals and prisons, and full-time students enrolled in college); and (4) additional special censuses are available for use that were conducted since the time of the last estimates.

Similarly for per capita income: (1) The 1969 income levels for small areas have been estimated rather than relying upon reported 1970 census figures, and (2) a revised procedure was used in controlling the 1972 estimates for internal agreement.

LIMITATIONS OF THE ESTIMATES

Population estimates. Tests of the accuracy of the methods used to develop State and county population estimates appearing in **Current Population Reports, Series P-25 and P-26** have been documented elsewhere. The results of evaluations against the 1970 census at the State level are reported in Series P-25, No. 520, while similar 1970 tests for counties are presented in Series P-26, No. 21. In summary, the State estimates averaging Component Method II and the Regression method yielded average differences of approximately 1.9 percent when compared to the 1970 census. Subsequent modifications of the two procedures that have been incorporated in preparing estimates for the 1970's would have reduced the average difference in 1970 to 1.2 percent. For counties, the 1970 evaluations indicated an average difference of approximately 4.5 percent for the combination of procedures used. It should be noted that all of the evaluations against the results of the 1970 census concern estimates extending over the entire 10-year period of 1960 to 1970.

Since 1970, however, the Administrative Records method has been introduced with partial weight in

the estimates for States and counties, and except for the few States in which local estimates are utilized, carries the full weight for estimates below the county level. The data series upon which the estimates procedure is based has been available as a comprehensive series for the entire United States only since 1967. Nonetheless, several studies have been undertaken evaluating the Administrative Records estimates from the State to the local level. At the State-wide level, little direct testing can be performed due to the lack of special censuses covering entire States. Some sense of the general reasonableness of the Administrative Records estimates may be obtained, however, by reviewing the degree of correspondence between the results of the method against those of the "standard" methods tested in 1970 and already in use to produce State estimates during the 1970's. It must be recognized that the differences between the two sets of estimates may not be interpreted as errors in either set of figures, but may only be used as a partial guide indicating the degree of consistency between the newer Administrative Records system and the established methods.

Table A presents such a comparison for State estimates referring to July 1, 1975. A rather close agreement may be observed in the estimates for all States at only a 1.0 percent difference. Only two States exceeded a 3-percent difference, with both being smaller States (under one million population) and both having unique circumstances that affect population patterns (Alaska and the District of Columbia). The variation of the Administrative Records method from the average of the other methods does increase noticeably for smaller States in a regular pattern, but still reaches an average of only 1.5 percent for the smallest size category.

The findings indicate no directional bias in the Administrative Records method either for all States or by size. It should also be noted that the Administrative Records estimate falls in the middle of the three estimates for 18 States, in contrast with approximately 17 cases to be expected by chance.

A similar comparison may be made at the county level (table B). Although the differences between the Co-op estimates and the Administrative Records results are larger at the county level than for States, the variations are well within the range that would be expected for areas of this population size, and the county pattern matches closely the findings for States. The overall differences for all counties is 3.3 percent, and ranges from 1.8 percent for the larger counties to 11.7 for the 26 small counties under 1,000 population.

Table A. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Average of Component Method II and Regression Estimates for States: 1975

(Base is the average of Method II and Regression estimates)

Item	All States	Population size in 1970		
		4 million and over	1.5 to 4 million	Less than 1.5 million
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	1.0	0.5	0.9	1.5
Number of States.....	51	16	18	17
With differences of:				
Less than 1 percent.....	32	14	12	6
1 to 2 percent.....	13	2	4	7
2 percent and over.....	6	-	2	4
Where Administrative Records was:				
Higher.....	24	7	9	8
Lower.....	27	9	9	9

- Represents zero.

Table B. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Provisional Co-op Estimates for Counties: 1975

(Base is the provisional Co-op estimates for counties)

Item	All counties	Counties with 1,000 or more 1970 population					Counties with less than 1,000 1970 population
		Total	50,000 or more	25,000 to 50,000	10,000 to 25,000	1,000 to 10,000	
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	3.3	3.2	1.8	2.7	3.2	4.4	11.7
Number of counties or equivalents.....	3,143	3,117	679	567	1,017	854	26
With differences of:							
Less than 1 percent.....	736	733	215	159	228	131	3
1 to 3 percent.....	1,153	1,145	311	213	373	248	8
3 to 5 percent.....	647	645	109	123	212	201	2
5 to 10 percent.....	471	467	42	58	167	200	4
10 percent and over.....	136	127	2	14	37	74	9

Comparison of these results for States and counties in 1975 with a similar analysis based on 1973 estimates is helpful as an indication of consistency over time. Some deterioration in the match of results from a selection of estimating techniques should be anticipated as the length of the estimating period increases and as the methods respond in varying degrees to the dynamics of population shifts. At the State level, such divergence is found. The overall variation increased from 0.6 percent difference in 1973 to 1.0 percent in 1975, with the most dramatic jumps occurring in the small States. On examination of the independent estimates from each method, however, this may be attributed as much to an increased variability in the Method II and Regression method results as to a tendency for the Administrative Records estimates to wander.

At the county level, the findings over time are more mixed. The level of difference for all counties indicates little change since the 1973 estimates (3.1 percent difference in 1973 and 3.3 percent in 1975). There are noticeable reductions in the differences for the largest and smallest population size categories (from 2.3 percent in 1973 to 1.8 percent in 1975 for counties of 50,000 or more, and from 18.1 percent to 11.7 percent for counties under 1,000 population), but modest increases may be observed in the variations for the remaining categories. In general, there appears to be some decrease of correspondence in the State level figures that should be monitored in coming years, but little change has occurred in the county variations, with even some convergence of estimates for the larger and smaller counties.

Three tests of the Administrative Records population estimates against census counts have been undertaken. First, a limited evaluation involving 24 large areas (16 counties and 8 cities) was conducted on estimates for the 1968-1970 period.⁷ Although the test shows the estimates to be quite accurate (1.8 percent difference), the areas may not be assumed to be representative of the 39,000 units of government covered by the Administrative Records estimating system, and the time segment evaluated refers only to a 2-year period.

A more representative group of special censuses in 86 areas selected particularly for evaluation purposes was conducted in 1973. The areas were randomly chosen nationwide to be typical of areas with populations below 20,000 persons.

Table C summarizes the average percent difference between the estimates from the Administrative Records method and counts from the 86 special censuses. Overall, the estimates differed from the special census counts by 5.9 percent, with the largest differences occurring in the smallest areas. Areas of between 1,000 and 20,000 population differed by 4.6 percent, while the average difference for the 27 areas below 1,000 population was 8.6 percent. There was a slight positive directional bias,

⁷Meyer Zitter and David L. Word, U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Use of Administrative Records for Small Area Population Estimates," unpublished paper prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 27, 1973.

Table C. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates (Unrevised) and 86 Special Censuses: 1973

(Base is special census)

Area	Average percent difference ¹	Number of areas with differences of:			
		Under 3 percent	3 to 5 percent	5 to 10 percent	10 percent and over
All areas (86) ²	5.9	32	18	20	16
1,000 to 20,000 (59).....	4.6	26	13	14	6
Under 1,000 population (27).....	8.6	6	5	6	10

¹Disregarding sign.

²All areas have population under 20,000 persons.

with about 60 percent of the estimates exceeding the census counts. Again the impact of population size on the expected level of accuracy may be noted. Even though all of the areas in this study are relatively small—less than 20,000 population—the larger ones demonstrate much lower variation from census figures than the smaller ones.

The third evaluation involving census comparisons is currently underway, and is based upon the approximately 2,000 special censuses that have been conducted since 1970 at the request of localities throughout the United States. Such areas constitute a fairly stringent test for any method in that they are generally very small areas, often are experiencing rapid population growth, and frequently are found to have had a vigorous program of annexation since the last census. This evaluation study has not been completed for use here but will be included in detail as a part of the comprehensive methodology description in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 699.

As a final caution, it must be noted that for convenience in presentation, the estimates contained in table I are shown in unrounded form. It is not intended, however, that the figures be considered accurate to the last digit. The nature of estimates prompts the rounding of figures in related Bureau reports and must be kept in mind during the application of the estimates contained here.

Per capita income estimates. Similar types of analyses and evaluation are not available for the updated estimates of PCI. Income data and PCI for 1972 are available for the 86 areas in which special censuses were conducted for testing purposes. As noted, however, the areas in which the censuses were taken are relatively small. The PCI estimates are based upon data from the 1970 census, which are subject to sampling variability due to the size of

the areas. Consequently, PCI did not change enough in the 1970-72 period in most instances to move outside of the relatively large range of sampling variability associated with the 1970 census results on income for small areas. Thus, it is not possible to obtain a reliable reading or even rough approximations on the accuracy of the change in PCI using the 86 areas as standards. The estimates were made available to persons working with economic statistics in each State for review prior to publication. Comments from this "local" review helped identify problem areas and input data errors.

RELATED REPORTS

The population and per capita income estimates shown in this series of reports supersede those found in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 546 through 595 for 1973. The population estimates contained here for States are consistent with Series P-25, No. 533 (1973) and No. 642 (1975). The county estimates for 1975 are superior to the provisional 1975 figures published earlier in Series P-25 and P-26 due to the addition of a second method, but will not be reported elsewhere in **Current Population Reports**. The county population estimates will be replaced by subsequent final 1975 figures to be developed through the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates.

DETAILED TABLE SYMBOLS

In the detailed table entries, a dash "--" represents zero, and the symbol "Z" indicates that the figure is less than 0.05 percent. The symbol "B" means that the base for the derived figure is less than 75,000. Three dots "... " mean not applicable, and "NA" means not available.

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
STATE OF COLORADO.....	2 541 311	2 469 732	2 209 596	331 715	15.0	4 884	4 065	3 106	57.2
ADAMS COUNTY.....	215 460	211 914	185 789	29 671	16.0	4 531	3 775	2 877	57.5
ARVADA (PART).....	2 057	1 894	1 663	394	23.7	4 675	4 019	3 125	49.6
AURORA (PART).....	28 670	31 917	28 151	519	1.8	4 964	4 115	3 155	57.3
BENNETT.....	838	836	613	225	36.7	3 037	2 631	1 958	55.1
BRIGHTON.....	11 132	10 560	8 309	2 823	34.0	4 745	3 964	2 984	59.0
BROOMFIELD.....	74	67	58	16	27.6	4 504	4 049	3 083	46.1
COMMERCE CITY.....	16 258	17 026	17 407	-1 149	-6.6	3 845	3 229	2 451	56.9
FEDERAL HEIGHTS.....	6 350	6 001	1 502	4 848	322.8	5 960	5 030	3 873	53.9
NORTHGLENN.....	35 318	33 781	29 259	6 059	20.7	4 685	3 869	2 976	57.4
THORNTON.....	24 757	19 905	15 329	9 428	61.5	4 403	3 596	2 644	66.5
WESTMINSTER (PART).....	23 751	22 328	19 675	4 076	20.7	4 625	3 909	3 003	54.0
ALAMOSA COUNTY.....	12 156	11 764	11 422	734	6.4	3 745	2 897	2 269	65.1
ALAMOSA.....	8 420	6 991	6 985	1 435	20.5	3 976	3 087	2 331	70.6
HOOPER.....	114	87	80	34	42.5	2 969	2 390	1 844	61.0
ARAPAHOE COUNTY.....	216 744	198 696	162 142	54 602	33.7	5 720	4 897	3 814	50.0
AURORA (PART).....	89 390	75 669	48 326	41 064	85.0	5 223	4 417	3 404	53.4
BOW MAR (PART).....	805	771	659	146	22.2	8 183	7 461	6 049	35.3
CHERRY HILL.....	5 648	5 404	4 605	1 043	22.6	12 475	10 978	8 806	41.7
COLUMBINE VALLEY.....	589	564	481	108	22.5	12 460	11 524	9 071	37.4
DEER TRAIL.....	489	488	374	115	30.7	3 088	2 848	2 128	45.1
ENGLEWOOD.....	35 870	36 923	33 695	2 175	6.5	4 892	4 181	3 254	50.3
GLENDALE.....	1 031	918	765	266	34.8	5 791	5 020	4 264	35.8
GREENWOOD.....	3 672	3 625	3 095	577	18.6	9 641	8 495	6 820	41.4
LITTLETON (PART).....	28 125	29 385	26 466	1 659	6.3	5 503	4 715	3 705	48.5
SHERIDAN.....	4 950	5 022	5 108	-158	-3.1	4 105	3 509	2 753	49.1
ARCHULETA COUNTY.....	3 147	2 807	2 733	414	15.1	3 390	2 953	2 335	45.2
PAGOSA SPRINGS.....	1 572	1 481	1 360	212	15.6	3 614	2 985	2 188	65.2
BACA COUNTY.....	5 758	5 720	5 674	84	1.5	4 920	3 395	2 562	92.0
CAMPO.....	228	214	206	22	10.7	3 764	2 523	1 874	100.9
PRITCHETT.....	234	191	170	64	37.6	3 787	3 027	2 249	68.4
SPRINGFIELD.....	1 749	1 691	1 660	89	5.4	4 437	2 976	2 365	87.6
TWO BUTTES.....	99	113	138	-39	-28.3	5 353	3 749	2 785	92.2
VILAS.....	115	113	83	32	38.6	3 210	2 558	1 901	68.9
WALSH.....	1 001	971	989	12	1.2	3 938	2 754	2 134	84.5
BENT COUNTY.....	6 631	6 186	6 493	138	2.1	3 952	3 099	2 132	85.4
LAS ANIMAS.....	3 101	2 842	3 148	-47	-1.5	3 808	2 771	1 988	91.5
BOULDER COUNTY.....	165 071	156 152	131 889	33 182	25.2	5 060	4 265	3 384	49.5
BOULDER.....	78 560	75 904	66 870	11 690	17.5	4 919	4 152	3 380	45.5
BROOMFIELD (PART).....	15 071	11 757	8 289	6 782	81.8	5 895	4 915	3 704	59.2
ERIE (PART).....	11	8	7	4	57.1	4 945	4 485	3 503	41.2
JAMESTOWN.....	233	213	185	48	25.9	3 619	3 399	2 433	48.7
LAFAYETTE.....	4 686	4 505	3 498	1 188	34.0	4 430	3 691	2 878	53.9
LONGMONT.....	31 831	29 092	23 209	8 622	37.1	4 821	4 045	3 135	53.8
LOUISVILLE.....	3 134	2 996	2 409	725	30.1	4 487	3 674	2 770	62.0
LYONS.....	1 193	1 144	958	235	24.5	3 483	2 975	2 347	48.4
NEDERLAND.....	844	664	492	352	71.5	9 168	8 959	6 582	39.3
SUPERIOR.....	155	191	171	-16	-9.4	4 064	3 591	2 806	44.8
WARD.....	64	77	32	32	100.0	3 017	2 736	2 138	41.1
CHAFFEE COUNTY.....	11 863	11 455	10 162	1 701	16.7	3 820	3 147	2 392	59.7
BUENA VISTA.....	2 549	2 166	1 962	587	29.9	4 064	3 308	2 358	72.3
PONCHA SPRINGS.....	339	332	198	141	71.2	3 732	3 447	2 349	58.9
SALIDA.....	5 168	4 948	4 355	833	19.1	3 834	3 140	2 396	60.0
CHEYENNE COUNTY.....	2 269	2 336	2 396	-127	-5.3	4 624	3 521	2 305	100.6
CHEYENNE WELLS.....	929	934	982	-53	-5.4	5 405	3 840	2 479	118.0
KIT CARSON.....	207	219	220	-13	-5.9	3 489	2 955	1 996	74.8

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE.

10 COLO.

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
CLEAR CREEK COUNTY.....	5 441	5 472	4 819	622	12.9	4 994	4 160	3 226	54.8
EMPIRE.....	285	277	249	36	14.5	4 938	3 881	3 050	61.9
GEORGETOWN.....	745	605	542	203	37.5	5 239	4 380	3 262	60.6
IDAHO SPRINGS.....	2 122	2 191	2 003	119	5.9	4 904	4 024	3 095	58.4
SILVER PLUME.....	174	175	164	10	6.1	4 485	3 926	3 113	44.1
CONEJOS COUNTY.....	8 255	8 060	7 846	409	5.2	2 425	1 918	1 318	84.0
ANTONITO.....	1 156	1 075	1 113	43	3.9	1 545	1 296	895	72.6
LA JARA.....	796	788	768	28	3.6	3 233	2 512	1 693	91.0
MANASSA.....	889	879	814	75	9.2	2 790	2 420	1 584	76.1
ROMEO.....	332	351	352	-20	-5.7	2 209	1 813	1 115	98.1
SANFORD.....	667	669	638	29	4.5	2 224	1 732	1 258	76.8
COSTILLA COUNTY.....	3 137	3 225	3 091	46	1.5	2 860	2 361	1 530	86.9
BLANCA.....	204	213	212	-8	-3.8	3 235	2 570	1 838	76.0
SAN LUIS.....	812	784	781	31	4.0	2 357	2 115	1 480	59.3
CROWLEY COUNTY.....	3 271	3 244	3 086	185	6.0	3 359	2 624	2 056	63.4
CROWLEY.....	240	265	216	24	11.1	2 990	2 194	1 771	68.8
OLNEY SPRINGS.....	303	273	264	39	14.8	3 956	3 142	2 132	85.6
ORDWAY.....	1 091	1 093	1 017	74	7.3	3 442	2 617	2 036	69.1
SUGAR CITY.....	324	331	307	17	5.5	2 990	2 198	1 850	61.6
CUSTER COUNTY.....	1 166	1 149	1 120	46	4.1	3 877	2 967	2 213	75.2
SILVER CLIFF.....	156	144	126	30	23.8	4 738	3 440	2 481	91.0
WESTCLIFFE.....	266	258	243	23	9.5	4 830	3 218	2 715	77.9
DELTA COUNTY.....	17 484	16 042	15 286	2 198	14.4	3 685	2 934	2 199	67.6
ORCHARD CITY.....	1 327	1 229	1 163	164	14.1	4 142	3 319	2 493	66.1
CEDAREGGE.....	762	695	581	201	34.6	3 501	3 145	2 320	50.9
CRAWFORD.....	198	168	171	27	15.8	2 982	2 384	1 956	52.5
DELTA.....	3 632	3 560	3 694	-62	-1.7	3 519	2 932	2 226	58.1
HOTCHKISS.....	554	586	507	47	9.3	3 359	2 593	2 052	63.7
PAONIA.....	1 331	1 143	1 161	170	14.6	4 162	3 408	2 597	60.3
DENVER COUNTY.....	484 531	515 358	514 678	-30 147	-5.9	5 585	4 649	3 534	58.0
DENVER.....	484 531	515 358	514 678	-30 147	-5.9	5 585	4 649	3 534	58.0
DOLORES COUNTY.....	1 682	1 641	1 641	41	2.5	5 400	3 244	2 531	113.4
DOVE CREEK.....	736	672	619	117	18.9	5 175	3 103	2 384	117.1
RICO.....	298	284	275	23	8.4	4 191	2 515	1 853	126.2
DOUGLAS COUNTY.....	15 693	12 120	8 407	7 286	86.7	5 116	4 299	3 276	56.2
CASTLE ROCK.....	2 726	1 913	1 531	1 195	78.1	4 807	4 171	3 226	49.0
LITTLETON (PART).....	-	-	-	-	...	-	-	-	...
EAGLE COUNTY.....	10 257	9 367	7 498	2 759	36.8	4 963	3 932	2 977	66.7
BASALT (PART).....	518	604	419	99	23.6	5 026	4 182	3 390	48.3
EAGLE.....	946	875	790	156	19.7	4 151	3 010	2 723	52.4
GYPSUM.....	592	522	420	172	41.0	4 834	3 542	2 776	74.1
MINTURN.....	949	867	706	243	34.4	4 468	3 374	2 716	64.5
RED CLIFF.....	731	738	621	110	17.7	2 772	2 156	1 735	59.8
VAIL.....	1 091	990	484	607	125.4	11 998	9 524	7 464	60.7
ELBERT COUNTY.....	5 358	4 825	3 903	1 455	37.3	3 657	3 028	2 333	56.8
ELIZABETH.....	1 069	876	493	576	116.8	3 906	3 122	2 576	51.6
KIOWA.....	249	241	235	14	6.0	3 852	2 949	2 452	57.1
SIMLA.....	484	461	460	24	5.2	3 930	3 224	2 681	46.6
EL PASO COUNTY.....	280 929	282 328	235 972	44 957	19.1	4 354	3 686	2 920	49.1
CALHAN.....	579	582	465	114	24.5	3 598	3 507	2 829	27.2
COLORADO SPRINGS.....	179 584	176 236	140 512	39 072	27.8	4 336	3 714	2 992	44.9
FOUNTAIN.....	7 401	6 818	4 426	2 975	67.2	3 585	3 010	2 319	54.6
GREEN MOUNTAIN FALLS (PART).....	439	396	349	90	25.8	5 494	4 708	3 518	56.2
MANITOU SPRINGS.....	4 206	4 375	4 278	-72	-1.7	4 132	3 611	2 705	52.8

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE.

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
MONUMENT.....	728	681	393	335	85.2	4 398	4 203	2 954	48.9
PALMER LAKE.....	1 164	1 181	947	217	22.9	4 109	3 782	2 871	43.1
RAMAH.....	124	123	101	23	22.8	2 874	2 620	2 018	42.4
FREMONT COUNTY.....	26 226	24 534	21 942	4 284	19.5	3 567	2 973	2 261	57.8
BROOKSIDE.....	207	196	173	34	19.7	3 207	2 829	2 126	50.8
CANON CITY.....	12 791	11 853	11 011	1 780	16.2	3 658	3 041	2 197	66.5
COAL CREEK.....	258	253	225	33	14.7	2 569	2 266	1 703	50.9
FLORENCE.....	3 153	3 277	2 846	307	10.8	3 763	3 048	2 298	63.8
PROSPECT HEIGHTS.....	34	36	38	-4	-10.5	3 428	3 025	2 272	50.9
ROCKVALE.....	306	343	359	-53	-14.8	3 509	2 891	2 197	59.7
WILLIAMSBURG.....	91	86	75	16	21.3	1 998	1 763	1 324	50.9
GARFIELD COUNTY.....	17 906	16 445	14 821	3 085	20.8	4 599	3 788	2 921	57.4
CARBONDALE.....	1 128	875	726	402	55.4	4 049	3 453	2 690	50.5
GLENWOOD SPRINGS.....	5 351	4 370	4 106	1 245	30.3	4 732	3 877	3 022	56.6
GRAND VALLEY.....	304	267	270	34	12.6	4 172	3 624	2 760	51.2
NEW CASTLE.....	740	576	499	241	48.3	3 320	2 939	2 144	54.9
RIFLE.....	2 016	2 046	2 150	-134	-6.2	4 836	3 999	3 090	56.5
SILT.....	602	506	434	168	38.7	3 225	2 824	2 131	51.3
GILPIN COUNTY.....	1 855	1 761	1 272	583	45.8	4 504	3 710	2 830	59.2
BLACK HAWK.....	336	312	217	119	54.8	4 312	3 193	2 395	80.0
CENTRAL CITY.....	265	286	228	37	16.2	4 839	3 782	2 797	73.0
GRAND COUNTY.....	6 220	5 996	4 107	2 113	51.4	5 171	4 170	3 001	72.3
FRASER.....	313	293	221	92	41.6	3 298	2 492	1 724	91.3
GRANBY.....	819	838	554	265	47.8	5 718	4 595	3 277	74.5
GRAND LAKE.....	320	323	189	131	69.3	4 414	3 909	2 739	61.2
HOT SULPHUR SPRINGS.....	370	322	220	150	68.2	5 527	4 177	2 896	90.8
KREMMLING.....	1 207	1 204	764	443	58.0	4 430	3 680	2 668	66.0
GUNNISON COUNTY.....	9 105	8 779	7 578	1 527	20.2	3 773	3 127	2 559	47.4
CRESTED BUTTE.....	868	647	372	496	133.3	4 153	3 280	2 884	44.0
GUNNISON.....	5 639	5 585	5 111	528	10.3	3 574	2 821	2 298	55.5
MARBLE.....	16	16	13	3	23.1	3 721	3 192	2 612	42.5
MOUNT CRESTED BUTTE.....	112	112	13	99	761.5	3 721	3 192	2 612	42.5
PITKIN.....	69	69	44	25	56.8	3 283	2 816	2 304	42.5
HINSDALE COUNTY.....	351	295	202	149	73.8	6 739	5 421	4 908	37.3
LAKE CITY.....	170	157	91	79	86.8	6 324	4 948	4 744	33.3
HUERFANO COUNTY.....	6 524	6 572	6 590	-66	-1.0	3 839	3 168	2 280	68.4
LA VETA.....	627	659	589	38	6.5	3 549	2 975	2 134	66.3
WALSENBURG.....	4 018	4 132	4 329	-311	-7.2	4 432	3 490	2 526	75.5
JACKSON COUNTY.....	1 792	2 195	1 811	-19	-1.0	4 593	4 045	3 115	47.4
WALDEN.....	976	1 201	907	69	7.6	5 123	4 271	3 071	66.8
JEFFERSON COUNTY.....	313 964	285 204	235 368	78 596	33.4	5 625	4 805	3 675	53.1
ARVADA (PART).....	72 197	59 807	48 181	24 016	49.8	5 191	4 398	3 241	60.2
BOW MAR (PART).....	372	340	286	86	30.1	9 060	8 308	6 260	44.7
BROOMFIELD (PART).....	22	17	14	8	57.1	5 024	4 608	3 471	44.7
EDGEWATER.....	5 261	5 458	4 910	351	7.1	4 739	4 066	3 192	48.5
GOLDEN.....	12 864	11 658	9 817	3 047	31.0	5 645	4 789	3 598	56.9
LAKESIDE.....	28	20	17	11	64.7	5 503	5 047	3 802	44.7
LAKEWOOD.....	120 350	115 412	92 743	27 607	29.8	5 656	4 828	3 822	48.0
MORRISON.....	554	512	439	115	26.2	4 711	4 175	3 187	47.8
MOUNTAIN VIEW.....	953	859	706	247	35.0	5 216	4 661	3 585	45.5
WESTMINSTER (PART).....	257	245	202	55	27.2	5 554	5 047	3 803	46.0
WHEAT RIDGE.....	29 437	30 169	29 778	-341	-1.1	6 119	5 356	4 033	51.7
KIOWA COUNTY.....	2 134	2 094	2 029	105	5.2	5 552	3 792	2 274	144.2
EADS.....	872	852	795	77	9.7	6 095	4 010	2 435	150.3
HASWELL.....	119	128	135	-16	-11.9	5 435	4 190	2 200	147.0
SHERIDAN LAKE.....	69	65	86	-17	-19.8	6 210	4 339	2 520	146.4

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
KIT CARSON COUNTY.....	7 773	7 502	7 530	243	3.2	5 412	3 964	2 692	101.0
BETHUNE.....	117	102	99	18	18.2	3 937	3 507	2 316	70.0
BURLINGTON.....	3 141	2 939	2 828	313	11.1	6 532	4 687	3 397	92.3
FLAGLER.....	589	598	615	-26	-4.2	7 489	5 032	3 294	127.4
SEIBERT.....	201	207	192	9	4.7	3 183	2 836	1 873	69.9
STRATTON.....	789	775	790	-1	-0.1	4 342	3 525	2 481	75.0
VONA.....	124	130	114	10	8.8	4 657	3 292	2 510	85.5
LAKE COUNTY.....	8 305	8 367	8 282	23	0.3	4 261	3 418	2 610	63.3
LEADVILLE.....	4 385	4 291	4 314	71	1.6	4 601	3 618	2 728	68.7
LA PLATA COUNTY.....	23 242	21 383	19 199	4 043	21.1	3 869	3 208	2 457	57.5
BAYFIELD.....	384	341	320	64	20.0	3 240	2 886	2 233	45.1
DURANGO.....	11 771	11 212	10 333	1 438	13.9	4 149	3 383	2 635	57.5
IGNACIO.....	707	664	613	94	15.3	2 192	1 935	1 644	33.3
LARIMER COUNTY.....	117 738	109 615	89 900	27 838	31.0	4 415	3 649	2 865	54.1
BERTHOUD.....	2 653	2 251	1 446	1 207	83.5	4 310	3 645	2 777	55.2
ESTES PARK.....	2 172	2 038	1 616	556	34.4	6 343	5 377	4 279	48.2
FORT COLLINS.....	55 984	53 025	43 337	12 647	29.2	4 312	3 558	2 801	53.9
LOVELAND.....	25 282	22 934	16 220	9 062	55.9	4 325	3 615	2 818	53.5
TIMNATH.....	191	190	177	14	7.9	4 168	3 441	3 008	38.6
WELLINGTON.....	1 223	998	691	532	77.0	3 221	2 818	2 349	37.1
LAS ANIMAS COUNTY.....	16 057	16 006	15 744	313	2.0	3 271	2 626	2 000	63.5
AGUILAR.....	708	692	699	9	1.3	2 971	2 343	1 906	55.9
BRANSON.....	77	70	70	7	10.0	3 314	2 792	2 096	58.1
COKEDALE.....	98	104	101	-3	-3.0	3 312	2 998	2 251	47.1
KIM.....	173	177	171	2	1.2	3 268	2 753	2 067	58.1
STARKVILLE.....	177	173	166	11	6.6	2 941	2 478	1 860	58.1
TRINIDAD.....	10 063	9 952	9 901	162	1.6	3 409	2 668	2 003	70.2
LINCOLN COUNTY.....	4 977	4 841	4 836	141	2.9	4 273	3 349	2 385	79.2
ARRIBA.....	235	214	254	-19	-7.5	4 413	3 708	2 229	98.0
GENOA.....	188	208	161	27	16.8	3 847	2 852	1 961	98.2
HUGO.....	776	717	759	17	2.2	4 326	3 416	2 502	72.9
LIMON.....	1 994	1 942	1 814	180	9.9	4 754	3 558	2 694	76.5
LOGAN COUNTY.....	19 486	19 615	18 852	634	3.4	4 406	3 481	2 528	74.3
CROOK.....	235	230	199	36	18.1	2 940	2 491	2 046	43.7
FLEMING.....	373	406	349	24	6.9	3 894	3 007	2 164	79.9
ILIFF.....	185	235	193	-8	-4.1	4 110	3 174	2 066	98.9
MERINO.....	307	335	260	47	18.1	3 884	3 325	2 431	59.8
PEETZ.....	175	167	186	-11	-5.9	4 017	3 469	2 452	63.8
STERLING.....	10 777	10 696	10 636	141	1.3	4 468	3 569	2 687	66.3
MESA COUNTY.....	62 474	57 718	54 374	8 100	14.9	4 195	3 399	2 651	58.2
COLLBRAN.....	211	195	225	-14	-6.2	3 466	3 002	2 334	48.5
DE BEQUE.....	276	163	155	121	78.1	2 907	2 555	2 184	33.1
FRUITA.....	2 145	1 941	1 822	323	17.7	3 058	2 363	1 906	60.4
GRAND JUNCTION.....	27 729	25 661	24 043	3 686	15.3	4 395	3 568	2 796	57.2
PALISADE.....	883	926	874	9	1.0	4 324	3 576	2 676	61.6
MINERAL COUNTY.....	802	796	786	16	2.0	3 538	3 069	2 463	43.6
CREEDE.....	624	621	653	-29	-4.4	3 492	2 952	2 477	41.0
MOFFAT COUNTY.....	8 336	6 850	6 525	1 811	27.8	4 504	3 633	2 698	66.9
CRAIG.....	5 426	4 497	4 205	1 221	29.0	4 833	3 731	2 802	72.5
DINOSAUR.....	311	267	247	64	25.9	4 159	3 217	2 673	55.6
MONTEZUMA COUNTY.....	14 950	13 799	12 952	1 998	15.4	3 603	2 900	2 187	64.7
CORTEZ.....	6 793	6 275	6 032	761	12.6	4 301	3 360	2 523	70.5
DOLORES.....	946	859	820	126	15.4	3 092	2 761	1 898	62.9
MANCOS.....	974	802	709	265	37.4	3 057	2 568	1 912	59.9

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
MONTROSE COUNTY.....	20 651	18 867	18 366	2 285	12.4	4 030	3 151	2 375	69.7
MONTROSE.....	7 694	6 911	6 496	1 198	18.4	4 404	3 527	2 645	66.5
NATURITA.....	965	846	820	145	17.7	3 076	2 498	1 941	58.5
NUCLA.....	891	833	949	-58	-6.1	2 844	2 512	2 179	30.5
OLATHE.....	796	726	756	40	5.3	3 197	2 631	1 903	68.0
MORGAN COUNTY.....	21 807	21 679	20 105	1 702	8.5	4 179	3 109	2 377	75.8
BRUSH.....	3 860	3 740	3 377	483	14.3	3 573	2 656	2 291	56.0
FORT MORGAN.....	8 475	8 040	7 594	881	11.6	4 621	3 441	2 683	72.2
HILLROSE.....	143	113	121	22	18.2	2 640	2 101	1 610	64.0
LOG LANE VILLAGE.....	346	403	329	17	5.2	3 861	2 828	2 166	78.3
WIGGINS.....	519	516	481	38	7.9	3 992	3 177	2 433	64.1
OTERO COUNTY.....	24 462	23 931	23 523	939	4.0	3 523	2 892	2 177	61.8
CHERAW.....	114	119	129	-15	-11.6	4 027	3 500	2 579	56.1
FOWLER.....	1 171	1 222	1 241	-70	-5.6	4 212	3 306	2 535	66.2
LA JUNTA.....	8 184	8 076	7 938	246	3.1	4 157	3 414	2 581	61.1
MANZANOLA.....	461	411	451	10	2.2	3 184	2 855	2 193	45.2
ROCKY FORD.....	4 811	4 770	4 859	-48	-1.0	3 057	2 496	1 899	61.0
SWINK.....	392	386	381	11	2.9	4 015	3 489	2 571	56.2
OURAY COUNTY.....	1 810	1 630	1 546	264	17.1	4 180	3 529	2 395	74.5
OURAY.....	844	755	741	103	13.9	4 190	3 262	2 277	84.0
RIDGWAY.....	302	278	262	40	15.3	4 781	3 753	2 502	91.1
PARK COUNTY.....	3 775	3 187	2 185	1 590	72.8	4 052	3 341	2 405	68.5
ALMA.....	149	156	73	76	104.1	4 471	3 443	2 388	87.2
FAIRPLAY.....	487	496	419	68	16.2	4 081	3 693	2 561	59.4
PHILLIPS COUNTY.....	4 273	4 042	4 131	142	3.4	6 455	4 265	2 706	138.5
HAXTUN.....	945	889	899	46	5.1	4 489	3 345	2 286	96.4
HOLYOKE.....	1 729	1 628	1 640	89	5.4	7 708	4 995	3 181	142.3
PAOLI.....	45	47	52	-7	-13.5	3 001	2 056	1 278	134.9
PITKIN COUNTY.....	8 765	7 994	6 185	2 580	41.7	6 660	5 800	4 479	48.7
ASPEN.....	3 346	3 126	2 437	909	37.3	7 257	6 191	4 720	53.7
BASALT (PART).....	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
PROWERS COUNTY.....	13 821	13 619	13 258	563	4.2	4 319	3 285	2 307	87.2
GRANADA.....	483	539	551	-68	-12.3	4 578	3 832	2 473	85.1
HARTMAN.....	150	132	129	21	16.3	2 990	2 192	1 536	94.7
HOLLY.....	1 026	1 003	993	33	3.3	4 602	3 421	2 497	84.3
LAMAR.....	8 144	7 939	7 797	347	4.5	4 333	3 283	2 386	81.6
WILEY.....	417	413	357	60	16.8	4 517	3 428	2 160	109.1
PUEBLO COUNTY.....	125 665	124 568	118 238	7 427	6.3	4 125	3 386	2 541	62.3
BOONE.....	955	502	448	507	113.2	2 676	2 165	1 741	53.7
PUEBLO.....	105 312	102 820	99 978	5 334	5.3	4 198	3 450	2 591	62.0
RYE.....	196	214	207	-11	-5.3	4 330	3 791	2 751	57.4
RIO BLANCO COUNTY.....	5 349	5 015	4 842	507	10.5	4 135	3 346	2 481	66.7
MEEKER.....	1 986	1 798	1 597	389	24.4	4 206	3 471	2 324	81.0
RANGELY.....	1 792	1 610	1 591	201	12.6	4 526	3 296	2 647	71.0
RIO GRANDE COUNTY.....	11 093	10 636	10 494	599	5.7	4 504	3 300	2 299	95.9
CENTER (PART).....	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
DEL NORTE.....	1 788	1 642	1 569	219	14.0	3 615	3 047	2 081	73.7
MONTE VISTA.....	4 487	3 851	3 909	578	14.8	4 700	3 152	2 242	109.6
ROUTT COUNTY.....	9 858	7 972	6 592	3 266	49.5	4 924	3 902	2 631	87.2
HAYDEN.....	1 338	992	763	575	75.4	5 492	3 993	2 919	88.1
OAK CREEK.....	780	672	492	288	58.5	3 591	2 952	2 031	76.8
STEAMBOAT SPRINGS.....	3 013	2 552	2 340	673	28.8	6 219	4 758	3 180	95.6
YAMPA.....	370	316	286	84	29.4	3 540	3 118	2 119	67.1

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE.

14 COLO.

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
SAGUACHE COUNTY.....	4 114	3 949	3 827	287	7.5	3 726	2 599	1 678	122.1
BONANZA.....	10	10	10	-	-	4 002	2 787	1 783	124.5
CENTER (PART).....	1 594	1 435	1 470	124	8.4	2 533	1 532	1 060	139.0
CRESTONE.....	65	67	34	31	91.2	3 557	2 477	1 585	124.4
MOFFAT.....	56	74	98	-42	-42.9	3 821	2 661	1 703	124.4
SAGUACHE.....	678	645	642	36	5.6	3 875	3 043	1 850	109.5
SAN JUAN COUNTY.....	841	837	831	10	1.2	4 224	3 494	3 006	40.5
SILVERTON.....	806	799	797	9	1.1	4 211	3 462	3 005	40.1
SAN MIGUEL COUNTY.....	2 194	2 153	1 949	245	12.6	3 871	3 159	2 336	65.7
NORWOOD.....	438	459	408	30	7.4	3 747	3 069	2 319	61.6
OPHIR.....	7	7	6	1	16.7	4 057	3 279	2 423	67.4
SAWPIT.....	38	34	26	12	46.2	3 756	3 036	2 244	67.4
TELLURIDE.....	781	735	553	228	41.2	4 957	4 063	2 941	68.5
SEDGWICK COUNTY.....	3 385	3 333	3 405	-20	-0.6	6 645	4 440	3 028	119.5
JULESBURG.....	1 617	1 630	1 578	39	2.5	6 271	4 212	2 846	120.3
OVID.....	480	464	463	17	3.7	6 769	4 730	3 110	117.7
SEDGWICK.....	165	173	208	-43	-20.7	6 304	4 621	3 046	107.0
SUMMIT COUNTY.....	5 409	4 550	2 665	2 744	103.0	5 398	4 538	3 213	68.0
BLUE RIVER.....	16	10	8	8	100.0	5 877	4 905	3 320	77.0
BRECKENRIDGE.....	1 296	1 280	548	748	136.5	5 356	4 466	3 123	71.5
DILLON.....	309	292	182	127	69.8	9 054	7 557	5 114	77.0
FRISCO.....	756	769	471	285	60.5	4 883	3 851	2 724	79.3
SILVERTHORNE.....	972	607	400	572	143.0	6 151	5 132	3 060	101.0
TELLER COUNTY.....	5 720	4 988	3 316	2 404	72.5	3 539	3 173	2 481	42.6
CRIPPLE CREEK.....	625	586	425	200	47.1	2 851	2 500	1 804	58.0
GREEN MOUNTAIN FALLS (PART).....	10	10	10	-	-	5 746	5 264	4 024	42.8
VICTOR.....	385	320	258	127	49.2	2 849	2 766	2 375	20.0
WOODLAND PARK.....	1 919	1 552	1 022	897	87.8	4 031	3 515	2 617	54.0
WASHINGTON COUNTY.....	5 539	5 435	5 550	-11	-0.2	5 197	3 728	2 427	114.1
AKRON.....	1 760	1 713	1 775	-15	-0.8	5 370	4 071	2 672	101.0
OTIS.....	468	486	521	-53	-10.2	5 253	3 726	2 530	107.6
WELD COUNTY.....	107 365	102 678	89 297	18 068	20.2	4 310	3 478	2 616	64.8
AULT.....	932	889	841	91	10.8	3 886	3 014	2 327	67.0
DACONO.....	1 243	992	360	883	245.3	3 567	3 347	2 369	50.6
EATON.....	1 629	1 464	1 389	240	17.3	4 560	3 932	2 864	59.2
ERIE (PART).....	1 651	1 225	1 083	568	52.4	3 642	3 105	2 360	54.3
EVANS.....	3 455	3 218	2 570	885	34.4	4 147	3 511	2 695	53.9
FIRESTONE.....	811	766	570	241	42.3	3 117	2 797	2 439	27.8
FORT LUPTON.....	3 041	2 830	2 489	552	22.2	3 582	3 029	2 353	52.2
FREDERICK.....	705	764	696	9	1.3	3 691	3 075	2 494	48.0
GARDEN CITY.....	197	175	142	55	38.7	3 758	3 258	2 457	53.0
GILCREST.....	451	418	382	69	18.1	3 630	3 326	2 350	54.5
GREELEY.....	47 362	45 018	38 902	8 460	21.7	4 554	3 693	2 858	59.3
GROVER.....	175	141	121	54	44.6	3 289	3 105	2 341	40.5
HUDSON.....	683	656	518	165	31.9	3 683	3 302	2 496	47.6
JOHNSTOWN.....	1 580	1 481	1 191	389	32.7	3 950	3 407	2 704	46.1
KEENESBURG.....	505	486	427	78	18.3	5 064	4 514	3 064	65.3
KEOTA.....	2	8	6	-4	-66.7	4 133	3 584	2 702	53.0
KERSEY.....	665	574	474	191	40.3	3 950	3 425	2 583	52.9
LA SALLE.....	1 780	1 501	1 227	553	45.1	5 311	4 398	3 242	63.8
LOCHBUTE.....	1 038	1 042	934	104	11.1	5 210	4 441	3 441	51.4
MEAD.....	216	202	195	21	10.8	4 380	3 511	2 648	65.4
MILLIKEN.....	1 117	844	702	415	59.1	2 607	2 194	1 871	39.3
NUNN.....	318	308	269	49	18.2	3 492	3 295	2 524	38.4
PIERCE.....	714	620	452	262	58.0	3 928	3 149	2 298	70.9
PLATTEVILLE.....	1 024	944	683	341	49.9	3 670	3 126	2 420	51.7
RAYMER.....	86	79	68	18	26.5	3 974	3 446	2 598	53.0
ROSEDALE.....	67	69	66	1	1.5	3 082	2 672	2 015	53.0
SEVERANCE.....	78	75	59	19	32.2	2 715	2 354	1 775	53.0
WINDSOR.....	2 426	2 049	1 564	862	55.1	4 077	3 361	2 671	52.6

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
YUMA COUNTY.....	8 894	8 442	8 544	350	4.1	4 930	3 643	2 393	106.0
ECKLEY.....	218	185	193	25	13.0	2 504	2 234	1 435	74.5
WRAY.....	1 928	1 958	1 953	-25	-1.3	5 160	4 374	2 840	81.7
YUMA.....	2 546	2 306	2 259	287	12.7	5 353	3 543	2 504	113.8
MULTI-COUNTY PLACES									
ARVADA.....	74 254	61 701	49 844	24 410	49.0	5 177	4 386	3 237	59.9
AURORA.....	118 060	107 586	76 477	41 583	54.4	5 146	4 311	3 312	55.4
BASALT.....	518	604	419	99	23.6	5 026	4 182	3 390	48.3
BOW MAR.....	1 177	1 111	945	232	24.6	8 459	7 720	6 113	38.4
BROOMFIELD.....	15 167	11 841	8 361	6 806	81.4	5 887	4 910	3 699	59.2
CENTER.....	1 594	1 435	1 470	124	8.4	2 533	1 532	1 060	139.0
ERIE.....	1 662	1 233	1 090	572	52.5	3 651	3 114	2 367	54.2
GREEN MOUNTAIN FALLS.....	449	406	359	90	25.1	5 500	4 722	3 532	55.7
LITTLETON.....	28 125	29 385	26 466	1 659	6.3	5 503	4 715	3 705	48.5
WESTMINSTER.....	24 008	22 573	19 877	4 131	20.8	4 635	3 921	3 011	53.9

¹APPROXIMATE ANNEXATION INCLUDED IN THE 1970 CENSUS COUNT.



Official Business

1975 Population and Per Capita Income Estimates, and Revised 1973 Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places, and Selected Minor Civil Divisions

(Reports may not be published in numerical order)

No. 649	Alabama	No. 674	Montana
No. 650	Alaska	No. 675	Nebraska
No. 651	Arizona	No. 676	Nevada
No. 652	Arkansas	No. 677	New Hampshire
No. 653	California	No. 678	New Jersey
No. 654	Colorado	No. 679	New Mexico
No. 655	Connecticut	No. 680	New York
No. 656	Delaware	No. 681	North Carolina
No. 657	Florida	No. 682	North Dakota
No. 658	Georgia	No. 683	Ohio
No. 659	Hawaii	No. 684	Oklahoma
No. 660	Idaho	No. 685	Oregon
No. 661	Illinois	No. 686	Pennsylvania
No. 662	Indiana	No. 687	Rhode Island
No. 663	Iowa	No. 688	South Carolina
No. 664	Kansas	No. 689	South Dakota
No. 665	Kentucky	No. 690	Tennessee
No. 666	Louisiana	No. 691	Texas
No. 667	Maine	No. 692	Utah
No. 668	Maryland	No. 693	Vermont
No. 669	Massachusetts	No. 694	Virginia
No. 670	Michigan	No. 695	Washington
No. 671	Minnesota	No. 696	West Virginia
No. 672	Mississippi	No. 697	Wisconsin
No. 673	Missouri	No. 698	Wyoming
		No. 699	U.S. Summary and Detailed Methodology

