

# Population Estimates and Projections



U.S. Department of Commerce  
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Series P-25, No. 666  
Issued May 1977

## 1973 (Revised) and 1975 Population Estimates and 1972 (Revised) and 1974 Per Capita Income Estimates for Parishes and Incorporated Places in Louisiana

This report is one of a series containing current estimates of the population and per capita money income for selected areas in each State. The population estimates relate to July 1, 1973 and July 1, 1975, and the estimates of per capita income cover calendar years 1972 and 1974. Current estimates of population below the county level and per capita money income for all general purpose governments were prompted by the enactment of the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. The figures are now used by a wide variety of Federal, State, and local governmental agencies for program planning and administrative purposes.

Areas included in this series of reports are all counties (or county equivalents such as census divisions in Alaska, parishes in Louisiana, and independent cities in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia) and incorporated places in the State, plus active minor civil divisions (MCD's), commonly towns in New England, New York, and Wisconsin, or townships in other parts of the United States.<sup>1</sup> These State reports appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, in alphabetical sequence as report number 649 (Alabama) through number 698 (Wyoming). A list indicating the report number for

<sup>1</sup> In certain midwestern States (Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and the Dakotas) some counties have active minor civil divisions while others do not.

each State is appended. No separate report is to be issued for the District of Columbia. However, the estimates for the District of Columbia, together with a summary table for all States, will be presented in a report detailing the methods used to estimate income and population, and will contain further evaluation of the estimates. This report will appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 699.

The detailed table for each State shows July 1, 1975 and revised July 1, 1973 estimates of the population of each area, together with April 1, 1970 census population and numerical and percentage change between 1970 and 1975. The 1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and include corrections to the 1970 census counts. In addition, the table presents per capita income estimates for calendar years 1974 and 1972 (revised), plus calendar year 1969 per capita money income derived from data collected in the 1970 census.

The estimates are presented in the table in county order, with all incorporated places in the county listed in alphabetical order, followed by any functioning minor civil divisions also listed in alphabetical order. Minor civil divisions are always identified in the listing by the term "township," "town," or other MCD category. When incorporated places fall in more than one county, each county piece is marked "part," and totals for these places are presented at the end of the table.

## POPULATION ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

To estimate the population of each subcounty area, a component procedure (the Administrative Records method) was used, with each of the components of population change (births, deaths, net migration, and special populations) estimated separately. The estimates were derived in two stages, moving from 1970 as a base year to develop estimates for 1973, and in turn, moving from 1973 as the base year to derive estimates for 1975.

**Migration.** Individual Federal income tax returns were used to measure migration by matching individual returns for successive periods. The places of residence on tax returns filed in the base year and in the estimate year were noted for matched returns to determine in-migrants, out-migrants, and nonmigrants for each area. A net migration rate was derived, based on the difference between the in-migration and out-migration of taxpayers and dependents, and was applied to a base population to yield an estimate of net migration for all persons in the area.

**Natural increase.** Reported resident birth and death statistics were used, wherever available, to estimate natural increase. These data were collected from State health departments and supplemented, where necessary, by data prepared and published by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Center for Health Statistics. For subcounty areas where reported birth and death statistics were not available from either source, estimates were developed by applying national fertility and mortality rates to the 1970 census counts for the cohort of the female population 18 to 34 years old and to the total population 65 years old and over, respectively, in these areas. These estimates were subsequently controlled to agree with birth and death statistics for larger areas where reported data were available.

**Adjustment for special populations.** In addition to the above components of population change, estimates of special populations were also taken into account. Special populations include immigrants from abroad, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, residents of institutions (prisons and long-term health care facilities), and college students enrolled in full-time programs. These populations were treated separately because changes in these types of population groups are not reflected in the components of population change developed by standard measures, and the information is generally available for use as an independent series.

In generating estimates for counties by this procedure, the method was modified slightly to make the county estimates specific to the resident population under 65 years of age. The resident population 65 years old and over in counties was estimated separately by adding the change in Medicare enrollees between April 1, 1970 and July 1 of the estimate year to the April 1, 1970 population 65 years old and over in the county as enumerated in the 1970 census. These estimates of the population 65 years old and over were then added to estimates of the population under 65 years old to yield estimates of the total resident population in each county.

**Annexations and new incorporations.** The 1970 census counts shown in this report reflect all population "corrections" made to the figures after the initial tabulations. In addition, adjustments for large annexations through December 31, 1975, are reflected in the estimates.<sup>2</sup> For new incorporations occurring after 1970, the 1970 population within the boundaries of the new areas are shown in the detailed table. This geographic updating is accomplished largely as a result of an annual boundary and annexation survey conducted by the Bureau of the Census.

**Other adjustments.** For areas where special censuses were conducted after July 1, 1972, such special censuses were taken into account in developing the estimates.<sup>3</sup> In several States, the subcounty estimates developed by the Administrative Records method were averaged with estimates for corresponding geographic areas which were prepared by

---

<sup>2</sup>In general, an annexation was included if the 1970 census count for the annexing area was 5,000 or more and the 1970 census count for the annexed area or areas exceeded 5 percent of the 1970 count for the annexing area. Adjustments were also made for a limited number of "unusual" annexations where the annexations for an area did not meet the minimum requirements but were accepted by the Office of Revenue Sharing for inclusion in the population base.

<sup>3</sup>Only special censuses conducted by the Bureau of the Census or by the California, Florida, Oregon, or Washington State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates were used for this purpose. In addition, in a relatively small number of cases where special censuses were conducted by localities, where the procedures and definitions were essentially the same as those used by the Bureau of the Census, the results of these special censuses were also taken into account in preparing the estimates.

State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates (FSCP). These States include California, Florida, Oregon, Washington, and Wisconsin.

The estimates for the subareas in each county were adjusted to independent county estimates. For 1973, the county estimates are revisions to those prepared by the Bureau of the Census alone or by the Bureau of the Census in conjunction with participating State agencies as a part of the Federal-State Cooperative Program. These estimates are revisions of those published in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 620. For 1975, an intermediate set of county estimates was prepared, since all of the data necessary to develop final estimates under the FSCP program were not available. Specifically, only data for two of the methods relied upon in the FSCP estimates (i.e., Component Method II and the Administrative Records method) were available. The 1975 estimates result from adding the average 1974-1975 population change indicated by the two methods to the 1974 county population figures contained in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26.

The county estimates, in turn, were adjusted to be consistent with independent State estimates published by the Bureau of the Census in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 640 and 642, in which the Administrative Records-based estimates were averaged with the estimates prepared using Component Method II and the Regression method.<sup>4</sup>

#### PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

The 1974 and revised 1972 per capita income (PCI) figure is the estimated average amount per person of total money income received during calendar years 1974 and 1972 for all persons residing in a given political jurisdiction in April 1975 and April 1973, respectively. The 1974 and revised 1972 PCI estimates are based on the 1970 census and have been updated using rates of change developed from various administrative record sets and compilations, mainly from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA).

<sup>4</sup>For further discussion of the methodologies used in preparing State estimates, see **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 640.

The PCI estimates are based on a money income concept. Total money income is defined by the Bureau of the Census for statistical purposes as the sum of:

- Wage and salary income
- Net nonfarm self-employment income
- Net farm self-employment income
- Social Security and railroad retirement income
- Public assistance income
- All other income such as interest, dividends, veteran's payments, pensions, unemployment insurance, alimony, etc.

The total represents the amount of income received before deductions for personal income taxes, Social Security, bond purchases, union dues, Medicare deductions, etc.

#### Procedures for State and county PCI estimates.

As noted above, the 1974 and revised 1972 State and county PCI estimates were based on the 1970 census.<sup>5</sup> The updates for these areas were developed by carrying forward the aggregate amount (i.e., the sum of all individual incomes in the State or county) independently for each type of income identified in the census to reflect differential changes in these income sources between 1969 and the estimate date. Data from the 1969, 1972, and 1974 Federal tax returns provided by the Internal Revenue Service were used to estimate the change in wage and salary income at the State and county level. All other types of income for these governmental units were updated using rates of change based on estimates of aggregate money income provided by the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

At the county level, several modifications of these procedures were used to better control the estimates of income change. For example, the IRS data for sub-State jurisdictions were subject to non-reporting of address information on the tax return and to misassignment of geographic location for reported addresses. To minimize the impact on the estimates from such potential sources of error, per capita wage and salary income for counties was updated intact as a per capita figure using the percentage change in wage and salary income per exemption reported on IRS returns. In addition, because of differences in the definition of income, data collection techniques, and estimation procedures, 1969 in-

<sup>5</sup>Income data from the 1970 census reflect income received in calendar year 1969.

come estimates from the census and BEA were not strictly comparable. These differences were especially evident at the county level for nonfarm and farm self-employment income. BEA estimates for these types of income tend to have considerably more year-to-year variation than estimates derived from surveys and censuses. To minimize the effects of these differences, constraints were put on the rate of change in income from these sources in developing the 1972 and 1974 PCI updates.

As a final step to insure a uniform series of estimates at the State and county levels, the updated county per capita figures were converted to a total aggregate income and were adjusted to agree with the State aggregate level before a final per capita income was calculated.

**Procedures for subcounty per capita income estimates.** The 1974 and revised 1972 per capita income estimates for subcounty governmental units were developed using a methodology similar to that used to derive county-level figures. However, there are differences in the number of separate categories of income types used in the estimation procedure, and in the sources used to update the income components.

As in the case of the population estimates, a two-step procedure was relied upon to update the income figures from their 1969 level to refer to 1974. The 1972 estimates were prepared using the rate of change from 1969 to 1972. The 1974 estimates are based on the 1972 estimates, and were updated by an estimate of change from 1972 to 1974. Also, as in the case of the population figures, the subcounty income data were uniformly adjusted to reflect major annexation and boundary changes which occurred since 1970.

**1969 base estimates.** The 1970 census PCI figures for small areas are subject to sizable sampling variability, causing them to lack sufficient statistical reliability for use in the estimation process. For this report, the 1969 PCI shown for areas with a 1970 census sample population estimate of less than 1,000 is a weighted average of the original 1970 census sample value and a regression estimate. Research has indicated that this procedure results in a considerable improvement in accuracy compared to the procedure relied upon in earlier estimates, which was to use the county PCI amount for various small governmental units. The resulting 1969 estimate for each of these areas is a base estimate for preparing 1972 and 1974 estimates and does not represent a change in the 1970 census value for these areas.

For subcounty updating, 1969 total money income was divided into two components: (1) "taxable income" which is approximately comparable to that portion of income included in IRS adjusted gross income, and (2) "transfer income" which for the most part is not included in adjusted gross income. These 1969 subcounty estimates were adjusted to 1970 census totals for higher level government units. This was done using a two-way adjustment procedure controlling both to county totals and to several size class totals for the State.<sup>6</sup>

**1972 (revised) and 1974 PCI updates.** The taxable income portion of the 1969 money income was updated using the percent change in adjusted gross income (AGI) per exemption as computed from IRS tax return data. However, if the number of IRS tax returns for any area was very small, or if the ratio of exemptions to the population or the change in this ratio from 1969 to 1972 and 1972 to 1974 was not within an acceptable range, the IRS data for the subcounty area were not used in the update process. In such cases the percent change in AGI per exemption for the county was used. Similarly, if the IRS data for a particular subcounty area passed the above conditions, but the percent change in AGI per exemption was excessively large or small compared to that for the county, the change was constrained to a proportion of the county change.

The percentage change in per capita transfer income at the subcounty level was assumed to be the same as that implied by the BEA estimates at the county level.

The 1974 and 1972 estimates of taxable income and transfer income were adjusted separately using a two-way procedure similar to that used for the base estimates and were then combined to estimate total money income. The 1974 and 1972 PCI estimates were formed by dividing the total money income aggregates by the July 1975 and 1973 population estimates, respectively.

#### REVISION OF 1973 POPULATION AND 1972 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES

The July 1, 1973 population and calendar year 1972 per capita income estimates presented in this report supersede those estimates published earlier in

<sup>6</sup>Additional review and evaluation detail concerning the 1969 estimated income for places under 1,000 population is contained in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 699.

**Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 546 through 595. The July 1, 1973 population estimates shown in this report differ from those published previously for several reasons: (1) The procedure for correcting missing address information on the original tax forms was changed to more accurately reflect the population distribution of the various areas; (2) more accurate and up-to-date information on several components of population change (births, deaths, and special population groups) are now available; (3) the net migration component has been changed from a civilian population base to refer instead to the non-group quarters population (i.e., resident population excluding members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, inmates of long-term hospitals and prisons, and full-time students enrolled in college); and (4) additional special censuses are available for use that were conducted since the time of the last estimates.

Similarly for per capita income: (1) The 1969 income levels for small areas have been estimated rather than relying upon reported 1970 census figures, and (2) a revised procedure was used in controlling the 1972 estimates for internal agreement.

#### LIMITATIONS OF THE ESTIMATES

**Population estimates.** Tests of the accuracy of the methods used to develop State and county population estimates appearing in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26 have been documented elsewhere. The results of evaluations against the 1970 census at the State level are reported in Series P-25, No. 520, while similar 1970 tests for counties are presented in Series P-26, No. 21. In summary, the State estimates averaging Component Method II and the Regression method yielded average differences of approximately 1.9 percent when compared to the 1970 census. Subsequent modifications of the two procedures that have been incorporated in preparing estimates for the 1970's would have reduced the average difference in 1970 to 1.2 percent. For counties, the 1970 evaluations indicated an average difference of approximately 4.5 percent for the combination of procedures used. It should be noted that all of the evaluations against the results of the 1970 census concern estimates extending over the entire 10-year period of 1960 to 1970.

Since 1970, however, the Administrative Records method has been introduced with partial weight in

the estimates for States and counties, and except for the few States in which local estimates are utilized, carries the full weight for estimates below the county level. The data series upon which the estimates procedure is based has been available as a comprehensive series for the entire United States only since 1967. Nonetheless, several studies have been undertaken evaluating the Administrative Records estimates from the State to the local level. At the State-wide level, little direct testing can be performed due to the lack of special censuses covering entire States. Some sense of the general reasonableness of the Administrative Records estimates may be obtained, however, by reviewing the degree of correspondence between the results of the method against those of the "standard" methods tested in 1970 and already in use to produce State estimates during the 1970's. It must be recognized that the differences between the two sets of estimates may not be interpreted as errors in either set of figures, but may only be used as a partial guide indicating the degree of consistency between the newer Administrative Records system and the established methods.

Table A presents such a comparison for State estimates referring to July 1, 1975. A rather close agreement may be observed in the estimates for all States at only a 1.0 percent difference. Only two States exceeded a 3-percent difference, with both being smaller States (under one million population) and both having unique circumstances that affect population patterns (Alaska and the District of Columbia). The variation of the Administrative Records method from the average of the other methods does increase noticeably for smaller States in a regular pattern, but still reaches an average of only 1.5 percent for the smallest size category.

The findings indicate no directional bias in the Administrative Records method either for all States or by size. It should also be noted that the Administrative Records estimate falls in the middle of the three estimates for 18 States, in contrast with approximately 17 cases to be expected by chance.

A similar comparison may be made at the county level (table B). Although the differences between the Co-op estimates and the Administrative Records results are larger at the county level than for States, the variations are well within the range that would be expected for areas of this population size, and the county pattern matches closely the findings for States. The overall differences for all counties is 3.3 percent, and ranges from 1.8 percent for the larger counties to 11.7 for the 26 small counties under 1,000 population.

**Table A. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Average of Component Method II and Regression Estimates for States: 1975**

(Base is the average of Method II and Regression estimates)

Item	All States	Population size in 1970		
		4 million and over	1.5 to 4 million	Less than 1.5 million
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	1.0	0.5	0.9	1.5
Number of States.....	51	16	18	17
With differences of:				
Less than 1 percent.....	32	14	12	6
1 to 2 percent.....	13	2	4	7
2 percent and over.....	6	-	2	4
Where Administrative Records was:				
Higher.....	24	7	9	8
Lower.....	27	9	9	9

- Represents zero.

**Table B. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Provisional Co-op Estimates for Counties: 1975**

(Base is the provisional Co-op estimates for counties)

Item	All counties	Counties with 1,000 or more 1970 population					Counties with less than 1,000 1970 population
		Total	50,000 or more	25,000 to 50,000	10,000 to 25,000	1,000 to 10,000	
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	3.3	3.2	1.8	2.7	3.2	4.4	11.7
Number of counties or equivalents.....	3,143	3,117	679	567	1,017	854	26
With differences of:							
Less than 1 percent.....	736	733	215	159	228	131	3
1 to 3 percent.....	1,153	1,145	311	213	373	248	8
3 to 5 percent.....	647	645	109	123	212	201	2
5 to 10 percent.....	471	467	42	58	167	200	4
10 percent and over.....	136	127	2	14	37	74	9

Comparison of these results for States and counties in 1975 with a similar analysis based on 1973 estimates is helpful as an indication of consistency over time. Some deterioration in the match of results from a selection of estimating techniques should be anticipated as the length of the estimating period increases and as the methods respond in varying degrees to the dynamics of population shifts. At the State level, such divergence is found. The overall variation increased from 0.6 percent difference in 1973 to 1.0 percent in 1975, with the most dramatic jumps occurring in the small States. On examination of the independent estimates from each method, however, this may be attributed as much to an increased variability in the Method II and Regression method results as to a tendency for the Administrative Records estimates to wander.

At the county level, the findings over time are more mixed. The level of difference for all counties indicates little change since the 1973 estimates (3.1 percent difference in 1973 and 3.3 percent in 1975). There are noticeable reductions in the differences for the largest and smallest population size categories (from 2.3 percent in 1973 to 1.8 percent in 1975 for counties of 50,000 or more, and from 18.1 percent to 11.7 percent for counties under 1,000 population), but modest increases may be observed in the variations for the remaining categories. In general, there appears to be some decrease of correspondence in the State level figures that should be monitored in coming years, but little change has occurred in the county variations, with even some convergence of estimates for the larger and smaller counties.

Three tests of the Administrative Records population estimates against census counts have been undertaken. First, a limited evaluation involving 24 large areas (16 counties and 8 cities) was conducted on estimates for the 1968-1970 period.<sup>7</sup> Although the test shows the estimates to be quite accurate (1.8 percent difference), the areas may not be assumed to be representative of the 39,000 units of government covered by the Administrative Records estimating system, and the time segment evaluated refers only to a 2-year period.

A more representative group of special censuses in 86 areas selected particularly for evaluation purposes was conducted in 1973. The areas were randomly chosen nationwide to be typical of areas with populations below 20,000 persons.

Table C summarizes the average percent difference between the estimates from the Administrative Records method and counts from the 86 special censuses. Overall, the estimates differed from the special census counts by 5.9 percent, with the largest differences occurring in the smallest areas. Areas of between 1,000 and 20,000 population differed by 4.6 percent, while the average difference for the 27 areas below 1,000 population was 8.6 percent. There was a slight positive directional bias,

<sup>7</sup>Meyer Zitter and David L. Word, U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Use of Administrative Records for Small Area Population Estimates," unpublished paper prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 27, 1973.

**Table C. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates (Unrevised) and 86 Special Censuses: 1973**

(Base is special census)

Area	Average percent difference <sup>1</sup>	Number of areas with differences of:			
		Under 3 percent	3 to 5 percent	5 to 10 percent	10 percent and over
All areas (86) <sup>2</sup> .....	5.9	32	18	20	16
1,000 to 20,000 (59).....	4.6	26	13	14	6
Under 1,000 population (27).....	8.6	6	5	6	10

<sup>1</sup>Disregarding sign.

<sup>2</sup>All areas have population under 20,000 persons.

with about 60 percent of the estimates exceeding the census counts. Again the impact of population size on the expected level of accuracy may be noted. Even though all of the areas in this study are relatively small—less than 20,000 population—the larger ones demonstrate much lower variation from census figures than the smaller ones.

The third evaluation involving census comparisons is currently underway, and is based upon the approximately 2,000 special censuses that have been conducted since 1970 at the request of localities throughout the United States. Such areas constitute a fairly stringent test for any method in that they are generally very small areas, often are experiencing rapid population growth, and frequently are found to have had a vigorous program of annexation since the last census. This evaluation study has not been completed for use here but will be included in detail as a part of the comprehensive methodology description in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 699.

As a final caution, it must be noted that for convenience in presentation, the estimates contained in table I are shown in unrounded form. It is not intended, however, that the figures be considered accurate to the last digit. The nature of estimates prompts the rounding of figures in related Bureau reports and must be kept in mind during the application of the estimates contained here.

**Per capita income estimates.** Similar types of analyses and evaluation are not available for the updated estimates of PCI. Income data and PCI for 1972 are available for the 86 areas in which special censuses were conducted for testing purposes. As noted, however, the areas in which the censuses were taken are relatively small. The PCI estimates are based upon data from the 1970 census, which are subject to sampling variability due to the size of

the areas. Consequently, PCI did not change enough in the 1970-72 period in most instances to move outside of the relatively large range of sampling variability associated with the 1970 census results on income for small areas. Thus, it is not possible to obtain a reliable reading or even rough approximations on the accuracy of the change in PCI using the 86 areas as standards. The estimates were made available to persons working with economic statistics in each State for review prior to publication. Comments from this "local" review helped identify problem areas and input data errors.

## RELATED REPORTS

The population and per capita income estimates shown in this series of reports supersede those found in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 546 through 595 for 1973. The population estimates contained here for States are consistent with Series P-25, No. 533 (1973) and No. 642 (1975). The county estimates for 1975 are superior to the provisional 1975 figures published earlier in Series P-25 and P-26 due to the addition of a second method, but will not be reported elsewhere in **Current Population Reports**. The county population estimates will be replaced by subsequent final 1975 figures to be developed through the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates.

## DETAILED TABLE SYMBOLS

In the detailed table entries, a dash "--" represents zero, and the symbol "Z" indicates that the figure is less than 0.05 percent. The symbol "B" means that the base for the derived figure is less than 75,000. Three dots "... " mean not applicable, and "NA" means not available.

**Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS**

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)				PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969		
				NUMBER	PERCENT					
STATE OF LOUISIANA.....	3 803 937	3 747 065	3 644 637	159 300	4.4	3 545	2 871	2 330	52.1	
ACADIA PARISH.....	53 056	52 740	52 109	947	1.8	2 870	2 226	1 792	60.2	
CHURCH POINT.....	3 913	3 872	3 865	48	1.2	2 466	2 054	1 573	56.8	
CROWLEY.....	16 017	16 056	16 104	-87	-0.5	3 071	2 438	1 967	56.1	
ESTHERWOOD.....	629	666	661	-32	-4.8	2 519	1 760	1 578	59.6	
EUNICE (PART).....	134	124	112	22	19.6	3 208	2 509	2 010	59.6	
IOTA.....	1 429	1 293	1 271	158	12.4	3 466	2 775	2 075	67.0	
MERMENTAU.....	864	799	756	108	14.3	2 180	1 592	1 412	54.4	
MORSE.....	759	763	759	-	-	2 797	2 003	1 557	79.6	
RAYNE.....	9 583	9 475	9 510	73	0.8	2 681	2 147	1 782	50.4	
ALLEN PARISH.....	20 356	20 647	20 794	-438	-2.1	2 804	2 196	1 809	55.0	
ELIZABETH.....	514	509	504	10	2.0	2 797	2 454	1 989	40.6	
KINDER.....	2 288	2 343	2 307	-19	-0.8	3 463	2 589	1 976	75.3	
OAKDALE.....	6 747	6 923	7 301	-554	-7.6	2 536	2 156	1 792	41.5	
OBERLIN.....	1 871	1 877	1 857	14	0.8	2 650	2 171	1 657	59.9	
REEVES.....	215	235	214	1	0.5	4 192	3 061	2 485	68.7	
ASCENSION PARISH.....	40 691	39 286	37 086	3 605	9.7	3 260	2 545	2 097	55.5	
DONALDSONVILLE.....	7 580	7 567	7 367	213	2.9	3 098	2 296	1 904	62.7	
GONZALES.....	5 240	4 774	4 512	728	16.1	4 002	3 236	2 660	50.5	
SORRENTO.....	1 216	1 244	1 182	34	2.9	2 791	2 169	1 803	54.8	
ASSUMPTION PARISH.....	20 419	20 175	19 654	765	3.9	2 761	2 059	1 617	70.7	
NAPOLEONVILLE.....	1 024	1 014	1 008	16	1.6	4 361	3 218	2 523	72.8	
AVOUELLES PARISH.....	38 171	37 891	37 751	420	1.1	2 366	1 890	1 482	59.6	
BUNKIE.....	5 129	5 342	5 395	-266	-4.9	2 656	2 227	1 772	49.9	
COTTONPORT.....	1 800	1 882	1 862	-62	-3.3	3 484	2 604	2 017	72.7	
EVERGREEN.....	317	320	307	10	3.3	4 746	3 824	2 743	73.0	
HESSMER.....	439	437	454	-15	-3.3	2 562	1 884	1 469	74.4	
MANSURA.....	1 819	1 787	1 699	120	7.1	1 801	1 484	1 267	42.1	
MARKSVILLE.....	4 107	3 980	4 519	-412	-9.1	2 556	2 138	1 636	56.2	
MOREAUVILLE.....	748	785	807	-59	-7.3	3 169	2 547	1 877	68.8	
PLAUCHEVILLE.....	212	215	224	-12	-5.4	2 262	1 817	1 417	59.6	
SIMMESPORT.....	1 884	1 970	2 027	-143	-7.1	1 846	1 420	1 187	55.5	
BEAUREGARD PARISH.....	25 627	24 172	22 888	2 739	12.0	3 226	2 651	2 213	45.8	
DE RIDDER.....	10 422	10 252	10 078	344	3.4	3 450	2 828	2 365	45.9	
MERRYVILLE.....	1 290	1 295	1 286	4	0.3	3 059	2 361	2 062	48.4	
BIENVILLE PARISH.....	16 478	15 793	16 024	454	2.8	2 424	2 042	1 654	46.6	
ARCADIA.....	3 281	3 037	2 970	311	10.5	2 880	2 544	2 056	40.1	
BIENVILLE.....	297	286	287	10	3.5	2 535	2 147	1 707	48.5	
BRYCELAND.....	62	66	65	-3	-4.6	2 842	2 407	1 913	48.6	
CASTOR.....	184	179	183	1	0.5	3 269	2 769	2 201	48.5	
GIBSLAND.....	1 342	1 351	1 380	-38	-2.8	2 469	2 089	1 629	51.6	
JAMESTOWN.....	144	141	153	-9	-5.9	2 499	2 117	1 683	48.5	
LUCKY.....	310	301	297	13	4.4	2 551	2 153	1 707	49.4	
MOUNT LEBANON.....	94	95	102	-8	-7.8	3 112	2 636	2 095	48.5	
RINGGOLD.....	1 670	1 593	1 731	-61	-3.5	2 670	2 229	1 783	49.7	
SALINE.....	317	303	307	10	3.3	1 910	1 618	1 286	48.5	
BOSSIER PARISH.....	69 870	66 218	65 877	3 993	6.1	3 490	2 837	2 284	52.8	
BENTON.....	1 545	1 490	1 493	52	3.5	2 886	2 462	1 971	46.4	
BOSSIER CITY.....	46 565	44 177	43 769	2 796	6.4	3 802	3 069	2 479	53.4	
HAUGHTON.....	897	879	885	12	1.4	3 937	3 179	2 564	53.5	
PLAIN DEALING.....	1 274	1 273	1 300	-26	-2.0	3 330	2 878	2 309	44.2	
SHREVEPORT (PART).....	274	264	250	24	9.6	4 417	3 613	2 883	53.2	
CADDO PARISH.....	239 078	236 182	230 184	8 894	3.9	3 888	3 204	2 608	49.1	
BELCHER.....	429	451	482	-53	-11.0	4 446	3 660	3 012	47.6	
BLANCHARD.....	789	806	806	-17	-2.1	3 716	2 777	2 287	62.5	
GILLIAM.....	165	177	211	-46	-21.8	3 434	2 844	2 118	62.1	
GREENWOOD.....	210	211	212	-2	-0.9	5 493	4 198	3 308	66.1	
HOSSTON.....	414	417	428	-14	-3.3	3 472	2 876	2 218	56.5	
IDA.....	377	354	370	7	1.9	3 136	2 597	2 110	48.6	
MOORINGSPOINT.....	839	841	830	9	1.1	3 607	2 609	2 133	69.1	

**Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued**

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
OIL CITY.....	892	917	907	-15	-1.7	3 632	2 564	2 074	75.1
RODESSA.....	226	234	273	-47	-17.2	3 695	2 824	2 225	66.1
SHREVEPORT (PART).....	185 437	184 692	181 814	3 623	2.0	4 086	3 417	2 783	46.8
VIVIAN.....	4 114	4 100	4 046	68	1.7	3 722	2 779	2 285	62.9
<b>CALCASIEU PARISH.....</b>	<b>151 334</b>	<b>149 932</b>	<b>145 415</b>	<b>5 919</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>3 778</b>	<b>2 995</b>	<b>2 468</b>	<b>53.1</b>
DE QUINCY.....	3 520	3 412	3 448	72	2.1	3 555	2 652	2 202	61.4
IOWA.....	2 050	2 014	1 944	106	5.5	3 928	2 703	2 086	88.3
LAKE CHARLES.....	76 087	76 454	77 998	-1 911	-2.5	3 730	3 129	2 597	43.6
SULPHUR.....	17 527	17 407	16 817	710	4.2	4 396	3 331	2 697	63.0
VINTON.....	3 538	3 550	3 454	84	2.4	3 234	2 424	1 938	66.9
WESTLAKE.....	4 199	4 197	4 082	117	2.9	3 895	2 848	2 323	67.7
<b>CALDWELL PARISH.....</b>	<b>10 156</b>	<b>9 726</b>	<b>9 354</b>	<b>802</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>2 712</b>	<b>2 352</b>	<b>1 769</b>	<b>53.3</b>
CLARKS.....	898	913	889	9	1.0	1 839	1 574	1 188	54.8
COLUMBIA.....	1 206	1 201	1 000	206	20.6	3 966	3 382	2 756	43.9
GRAYSON.....	601	565	516	85	16.5	4 205	3 371	2 414	74.2
<b>CAMERON PARISH.....</b>	<b>9 086</b>	<b>8 957</b>	<b>8 194</b>	<b>892</b>	<b>10.9</b>	<b>3 240</b>	<b>2 633</b>	<b>2 161</b>	<b>49.9</b>
<b>CATAHOULA PARISH.....</b>	<b>11 397</b>	<b>11 681</b>	<b>11 769</b>	<b>-372</b>	<b>-3.2</b>	<b>2 550</b>	<b>1 921</b>	<b>1 552</b>	<b>64.3</b>
HARRISONBURG.....	580	593	626	-46	-7.3	3 377	2 440	2 071	63.1
JONESVILLE.....	2 837	2 889	2 761	76	2.8	2 351	1 848	1 645	42.9
SICILY ISLAND.....	647	689	630	17	2.7	3 059	2 251	1 862	64.3
<b>CLAIBORNE PARISH.....</b>	<b>16 282</b>	<b>16 245</b>	<b>17 024</b>	<b>-742</b>	<b>-4.4</b>	<b>2 592</b>	<b>2 177</b>	<b>1 764</b>	<b>46.9</b>
ATHENS.....	391	392	387	4	1.0	3 557	2 987	2 416	47.2
HAYNESVILLE.....	2 930	2 852	3 055	-125	-4.1	3 147	2 593	2 102	49.7
HOMER.....	4 458	4 634	4 483	-25	-0.6	3 019	2 500	2 213	36.4
JUNCTION CITY (PART).....	172	137	159	13	8.2	3 517	2 951	2 459	43.0
LISBON.....	137	138	151	-14	-9.3	2 909	2 499	2 189	32.9
<b>CONCORDIA PARISH.....</b>	<b>21 628</b>	<b>21 481</b>	<b>22 578</b>	<b>-950</b>	<b>-4.2</b>	<b>2 871</b>	<b>2 328</b>	<b>1 891</b>	<b>51.8</b>
CLAYTON.....	982	1 014	1 103	-121	-11.0	2 015	1 656	1 465	37.5
FERRIDAY.....	4 546	4 774	5 239	-693	-13.2	2 778	2 271	1 831	51.7
RIDGECREST.....	1 113	1 055	1 076	37	3.4	3 287	2 727	2 459	33.7
VIDALIA.....	5 361	5 311	5 538	-177	-3.2	3 547	2 928	2 405	47.5
<b>DE SOTO PARISH.....</b>	<b>22 903</b>	<b>22 455</b>	<b>22 764</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>2 646</b>	<b>2 276</b>	<b>1 777</b>	<b>48.9</b>
GRAND CANE.....	248	256	284	-36	-12.7	4 323	3 708	2 881	50.1
KEATCHIE.....	362	346	328	34	10.4	2 739	2 532	1 967	39.2
LOGANSPORT.....	1 289	1 326	1 330	-41	-3.1	3 254	2 635	2 198	48.0
LONGSTREET.....	232	223	182	50	27.5	3 121	2 527	1 940	60.9
MANSFIELD.....	6 843	6 861	6 432	411	6.4	3 237	2 840	2 305	40.4
SOUTH MANSFIELD.....	432	421	439	-7	-1.6	2 231	1 914	1 487	50.0
STANLEY.....	154	147	145	9	6.2	2 705	2 320	1 803	50.0
STONEWALL.....	540	521	551	-11	-2.0	2 970	2 554	1 991	49.2
<b>EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH..</b>	<b>310 922</b>	<b>302 111</b>	<b>285 167</b>	<b>25 755</b>	<b>9.0</b>	<b>4 211</b>	<b>3 464</b>	<b>2 839</b>	<b>48.3</b>
BAKER.....	10 693	9 916	8 281	2 412	29.1	4 477	3 294	2 646	69.2
BATON ROUGE METRO.....	294 394	286 738	271 922	22 472	8.3	4 187	3 465	2 846	47.1
ZACHARY.....	5 835	5 457	4 964	871	17.5	4 943	3 675	2 797	76.7
<b>EAST CARROLL PARISH.....</b>	<b>11 976</b>	<b>12 299</b>	<b>12 884</b>	<b>-908</b>	<b>-7.0</b>	<b>2 039</b>	<b>1 569</b>	<b>1 292</b>	<b>57.8</b>
LAKE PROVIDENCE.....	5 737	5 648	6 183	-446	-7.2	2 048	1 648	1 443	41.9
<b>EAST FELICIANA PARISH....</b>	<b>16 414</b>	<b>17 304</b>	<b>17 657</b>	<b>-1 243</b>	<b>-7.0</b>	<b>2 104</b>	<b>1 727</b>	<b>1 386</b>	<b>51.8</b>
CLINTON.....	1 860	1 825	1 884	-24	-1.3	3 094	2 731	2 157	43.4
JACKSON.....	3 283	4 017	4 697	-1 414	-30.1	2 264	1 736	1 457	55.4
NORWOOD.....	359	381	348	11	3.2	3 150	2 654	2 127	48.1
SLAUGHTER.....	662	647	580	82	14.1	2 547	2 094	1 684	51.2
WILSON.....	545	591	606	-61	-10.1	2 408	2 032	1 654	45.6
<b>EVANGELINE PARISH.....</b>	<b>32 365</b>	<b>32 464</b>	<b>31 932</b>	<b>433</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2 376</b>	<b>1 855</b>	<b>1 521</b>	<b>56.2</b>
BASILE.....	1 672	1 729	1 779	-107	-6.0	1 941	1 611	1 362	42.5
CHATAIGNIER.....	365	370	365	-	-	1 986	1 558	1 272	56.1

**Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued**

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
MAMOU.....	3 297	3 209	3 275	22	0.7	2 671	1 939	1 601	66.8
PINE PRAIRIE.....	741	674	515	226	43.9	2 186	1 895	1 681	30.0
TURKEY CREEK.....	217	273	280	-63	-22.5	2 907	2 519	2 159	34.6
VILLE PLATTE.....	9 447	9 581	9 692	-245	-2.5	2 440	1 944	1 623	50.3
<b>FRANKLIN PARISH.....</b>	<b>23 523</b>	<b>23 569</b>	<b>23 946</b>	<b>-423</b>	<b>-1.8</b>	<b>2 297</b>	<b>1 834</b>	<b>1 455</b>	<b>57.9</b>
BASKIN.....	181	179	177	4	2.3	2 908	2 344	1 838	58.2
GILBERT.....	666	648	746	-80	-10.7	2 747	2 221	1 594	72.3
WINNSBORO.....	5 382	5 271	5 349	33	0.6	2 776	2 281	1 800	54.2
WISNER.....	1 308	1 262	1 339	-31	-2.3	2 541	2 180	1 813	40.2
<b>GRANT PARISH.....</b>	<b>14 330</b>	<b>13 912</b>	<b>13 671</b>	<b>659</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>2 709</b>	<b>2 192</b>	<b>1 736</b>	<b>56.0</b>
COLFAX.....	1 916	1 892	1 892	24	1.3	2 487	1 997	1 732	43.6
DRY PRONG.....	339	353	352	-13	-3.7	3 968	3 211	2 467	60.8
GEORGETOWN.....	305	302	306	-1	-0.3	2 746	2 203	1 840	49.2
MONTGOMERY.....	925	893	923	2	0.2	2 717	2 029	1 679	61.8
POLLOCK.....	351	358	341	10	2.9	3 671	2 977	2 358	55.7
<b>IBERIA PARISH.....</b>	<b>61 096</b>	<b>59 397</b>	<b>57 397</b>	<b>3 699</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>3 127</b>	<b>2 453</b>	<b>2 003</b>	<b>56.1</b>
DELCAMBRE (PART).....	865	828	775	90	11.6	2 504	2 102	1 757	42.5
JEANERETTE.....	6 506	6 531	6 322	184	2.9	3 088	2 176	1 725	79.0
LOREAUVILLE.....	760	743	728	32	4.4	3 522	2 664	2 145	64.2
NEW IBERIA.....	32 622	31 547	30 147	2 475	8.2	3 268	2 658	2 186	49.5
<b>IBERVILLE PARISH.....</b>	<b>30 601</b>	<b>30 450</b>	<b>30 746</b>	<b>-145</b>	<b>-0.5</b>	<b>2 846</b>	<b>2 229</b>	<b>1 795</b>	<b>58.6</b>
GROSSE TETE.....	694	700	710	-16	-2.3	2 993	2 314	1 868	60.2
MARINGOUIN.....	1 301	1 369	1 365	-64	-4.7	2 680	2 233	1 732	54.7
PLAQUEMINE.....	7 711	7 585	7 739	-28	-0.4	3 740	2 946	2 369	56.6
ROSEDALE.....	676	680	621	55	8.9	2 650	2 186	1 735	52.7
WHITE CASTLE.....	2 175	2 212	2 206	-31	-1.4	3 384	2 377	1 776	90.5
<b>JACKSON PARISH.....</b>	<b>16 094</b>	<b>16 069</b>	<b>15 963</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>3 095</b>	<b>2 635</b>	<b>2 127</b>	<b>45.5</b>
CHATHMAN.....	757	786	827	-70	-8.5	2 205	1 779	1 494	47.6
EAST HODGE.....	369	364	363	6	1.7	2 738	2 330	1 886	45.2
EROS.....	218	212	164	54	32.9	2 672	2 186	1 960	36.3
HODGE.....	844	832	818	26	3.2	3 371	2 879	2 343	43.9
JONESBORO.....	4 914	5 027	5 072	-158	-3.1	3 588	3 175	2 604	37.8
NORTH HODGE.....	613	639	640	-27	-4.2	3 399	2 903	2 362	43.9
QUITMAN.....	163	167	169	-6	-3.6	4 218	3 590	2 906	45.1
<b>JEFFERSON PARISH.....</b>	<b>399 016</b>	<b>381 276</b>	<b>338 229</b>	<b>60 787</b>	<b>18.0</b>	<b>4 505</b>	<b>3 666</b>	<b>3 026</b>	<b>48.9</b>
GRAND ISLE.....	1 944	2 216	2 236	-292	-13.1	4 166	3 264	2 687	55.0
GRETNA.....	29 922	28 239	24 875	5 047	20.3	3 917	3 134	2 568	52.5
HARAHAN.....	14 498	13 008	13 037	1 461	11.2	4 453	3 590	2 920	52.5
JEAN LAFITTE.....	646	586	539	107	19.9	2 821	2 290	1 887	49.5
KENNER.....	43 781	40 956	29 858	13 923	46.6	3 717	2 892	2 392	55.4
WESTWEGO.....	13 643	12 545	11 402	2 241	19.7	3 419	2 759	2 264	51.0
<b>JEFFERSON DAVIS PARISH...</b>	<b>30 250</b>	<b>29 905</b>	<b>29 554</b>	<b>696</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>3 012</b>	<b>2 338</b>	<b>1 895</b>	<b>58.9</b>
ELTON.....	1 440	1 569	1 598	-158	-9.9	2 377	1 831	1 546	53.8
FENTON.....	415	424	404	11	2.7	1 981	1 498	1 214	63.2
JENNINGS.....	11 936	11 659	11 783	153	1.3	2 921	2 452	2 089	39.8
LAKE ARTHUR.....	3 495	3 580	3 551	-56	-1.6	2 843	2 276	1 874	51.7
WELSH.....	3 134	3 233	3 203	-69	-2.2	3 076	2 227	1 810	69.9
<b>LAFAYETTE PARISH.....</b>	<b>125 233</b>	<b>120 102</b>	<b>111 643</b>	<b>13 590</b>	<b>12.2</b>	<b>3 758</b>	<b>3 025</b>	<b>2 454</b>	<b>53.1</b>
BROUSSARD.....	2 256	2 033	1 707	549	32.2	3 423	2 496	1 894	80.7
CARENCRO.....	2 668	2 546	2 302	366	15.9	3 299	2 695	2 038	61.9
DUSON.....	1 257	1 242	1 199	58	4.8	2 436	1 805	1 443	68.8
LAFAYETTE.....	75 430	72 773	68 908	6 522	9.5	3 760	3 179	2 591	45.1
SCOTT.....	1 667	1 521	1 334	333	25.0	3 403	2 510	2 058	65.4
YOUNGVILLE.....	1 110	996	1 002	108	10.8	3 123	2 203	1 679	86.0
<b>LAFOURCHE PARISH.....</b>	<b>72 445</b>	<b>71 698</b>	<b>68 941</b>	<b>3 504</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>3 379</b>	<b>2 661</b>	<b>2 163</b>	<b>56.2</b>
GOLDEN MEADOW.....	2 365	2 466	2 681	-316	-11.8	3 718	3 070	2 567	44.8
LOCKPORT.....	2 371	2 405	2 398	-27	-1.1	3 947	3 207	2 526	56.3
THIBODAUX.....	16 182	15 911	15 028	1 154	7.7	3 564	2 860	2 302	54.8

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
LA SALLE PARISH.....	14 619	14 505	13 295	1 324	10.0	3 008	2 440	1 933	55.6
JENA.....	2 746	2 669	2 431	315	13.0	4 353	3 443	2 659	63.7
OLLA.....	1 476	1 595	1 387	89	6.4	2 942	2 400	2 014	46.1
TULLOS.....	681	687	600	81	13.5	3 770	3 172	2 486	51.6
URANIA.....	885	952	874	11	1.3	2 942	2 570	1 865	57.7
LINCOLN PARISH.....	35 682	35 965	33 800	1 882	5.6	3 091	2 613	2 108	46.6
CHOUDRANT.....	573	574	555	18	3.2	3 126	2 441	2 146	45.7
DOWNSVILLE (PART).....	42	40	38	4	10.5	2 771	2 335	1 880	47.4
DUBACH.....	951	1 029	1 096	-145	-13.2	3 586	2 895	2 204	62.7
GRAMBLING.....	4 205	4 843	4 407	-202	-4.6	2 300	1 921	1 561	47.3
RUSTON.....	18 235	18 542	17 365	870	5.0	3 533	3 026	2 466	43.3
SIMSBORO.....	392	416	412	-20	-4.9	3 739	3 151	2 537	47.4
VIENNA.....	72	65	59	13	22.0	2 841	2 394	1 927	47.4
LIVINGSTON PARISH.....	42 590	39 792	36 511	6 079	16.6	3 251	2 598	2 115	53.7
ALBANY.....	742	707	700	42	6.0	3 174	2 481	2 118	49.9
DENHAM SPRINGS.....	8 080	7 344	6 752	1 328	19.7	3 706	3 013	2 434	52.3
FRENCH SETTLEMENT.....	672	671	670	2	0.3	3 232	2 588	2 077	55.6
KILLIAN.....	308	299	293	15	5.1	2 676	2 154	1 738	54.0
LIVINGSTON.....	1 332	1 375	1 398	-66	-4.7	2 929	2 227	1 866	57.0
PORT VINCENT.....	449	424	387	62	16.0	3 744	3 014	2 432	53.9
SPRINGFIELD.....	477	458	423	54	12.8	3 101	2 497	2 014	54.0
WALKER.....	1 591	1 611	1 552	39	2.5	2 726	2 210	1 870	45.8
MADISON PARISH.....	14 486	14 540	15 065	-579	-3.8	2 563	1 912	1 520	68.6
DELTA.....	151	153	153	-2	-1.3	3 638	2 618	1 896	91.9
MOUND.....	46	40	78	-32	-41.0	3 706	2 666	2 113	75.4
RICHMOND.....	50	52	56	-6	-10.7	3 281	2 360	1 870	75.5
TALLULAH.....	9 388	9 348	9 643	-255	-2.6	2 564	1 954	1 547	65.7
MOREHOUSE PARISH.....	32 360	32 067	32 463	-103	-0.3	2 714	2 183	1 758	54.4
BASTROP.....	14 266	14 442	14 713	-447	-3.0	2 979	2 399	1 941	53.5
BONITA.....	327	418	533	-206	-38.6	2 173	1 894	1 393	56.0
COLLINSTON.....	428	447	397	31	7.8	2 262	1 875	1 437	57.4
MER ROUGE.....	615	734	819	-204	-24.9	3 728	3 244	2 435	53.1
OAK RIDGE.....	253	244	276	-23	-8.3	3 789	3 062	2 450	54.7
NATCHITOCHES PARISH.....	36 051	36 014	35 219	832	2.4	2 427	1 991	1 654	46.7
ASHLAND.....	212	190	211	1	0.5	3 442	3 076	2 337	47.3
CAMPTI.....	1 016	1 034	1 078	-62	-5.8	2 195	1 781	1 470	49.3
CLARENCE.....	477	456	448	29	6.5	1 959	1 596	1 341	46.1
GOLDONNA.....	297	320	337	-40	-11.9	3 167	2 429	1 998	58.5
NATCHEZ.....	375	364	354	21	5.9	1 002	827	682	46.9
NATCHITOCHES.....	16 427	16 665	15 974	453	2.8	2 889	2 344	1 968	46.8
POWHATAN.....	271	272	277	-6	-2.2	1 942	1 602	1 321	47.0
PROVENCAL.....	691	639	530	161	30.4	2 629	2 044	1 554	69.2
RODELIN.....	279	264	274	5	1.8	2 588	2 162	1 783	45.1
ORLEANS PARISH.....	559 770	578 647	593 471	-33 701	-5.7	4 029	3 291	2 705	48.9
NEW ORLEANS.....	559 770	578 647	593 471	-33 701	-5.7	4 029	3 291	2 705	48.9
OUACHITA PARISH.....	125 447	121 521	115 387	10 060	8.7	3 434	2 865	2 318	48.1
MONROE.....	61 016	59 331	56 374	4 642	8.2	3 244	2 869	2 334	39.0
RICHWOOD.....	1 630	1 556	1 466	164	11.2	1 687	1 333	1 069	57.8
STERLINGTON.....	1 043	1 073	1 118	-75	-6.7	4 795	3 526	2 607	83.9
WEST MONROE.....	15 678	15 510	14 868	810	5.4	4 198	3 225	2 623	60.0
PLAQUEMINES PARISH.....	26 071	25 682	25 225	846	3.4	3 699	2 948	2 338	58.2
POINTE COUPEE PARISH.....	21 855	21 866	22 002	-147	-0.7	2 480	1 951	1 533	61.8
FORDOCHE.....	483	517	488	-5	-1.0	2 953	2 515	1 998	47.8
LIVONIA.....	653	643	611	42	6.9	3 168	2 585	1 844	71.8
MORGANZA.....	736	808	836	-100	-12.0	2 959	2 237	1 752	68.9
NEW ROADS.....	4 145	4 076	3 945	200	5.1	2 427	2 108	1 707	42.2

**Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued**

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
RAPIDES PARISH.....	121 086	122 372	118 078	3 010	2.5	3 179	2 631	2 109	50.7
ALEXANDRIA.....	49 481	51 132	49 587	-106	-0.2	3 394	2 787	2 287	48.4
BALL.....	1 751	1 722	1 642	109	6.6	3 148	2 590	2 101	49.8
BOYCE.....	1 263	1 356	1 240	23	1.9	2 216	1 803	1 409	57.3
CHENEYVILLE.....	1 078	1 098	1 082	-4	-0.4	2 208	1 681	1 241	77.9
FOREST HILL.....	384	386	370	14	3.8	3 378	2 803	2 243	50.6
GLENMORA.....	1 541	1 605	1 651	-110	-6.7	2 289	1 872	1 516	51.0
LECOMTE.....	1 516	1 528	1 518	-2	-0.1	2 459	2 092	1 636	50.3
MCNARY.....	255	250	220	35	15.9	2 874	2 385	1 908	50.6
PINEVILLE.....	11 456	11 030	9 972	1 484	14.9	3 440	2 849	2 238	53.7
WOODWORTH.....	401	430	409	-8	-2.0	2 337	1 940	1 552	50.6
RED RIVER PARISH.....	9 368	9 029	9 226	142	1.5	2 347	1 952	1 547	51.7
COUSHATTA.....	1 445	1 468	1 492	-47	-3.2	3 237	2 632	2 167	49.4
EDGEFIELD.....	210	204	201	9	4.5	3 027	2 547	2 242	35.0
HALL SUMMIT.....	209	208	190	19	10.0	2 526	2 268	1 692	49.3
MARTIN.....	428	423	416	12	2.9	3 169	2 455	1 953	62.3
RICHLAND PARISH.....	21 683	21 846	21 774	-91	-0.4	2 548	2 056	1 607	58.6
DELHI.....	2 836	3 025	2 887	-51	-1.8	3 515	2 617	2 211	59.0
MANGHAM.....	482	520	544	-62	-11.4	3 166	2 754	2 277	39.0
RAYVILLE.....	3 992	4 094	3 962	30	0.8	2 674	2 140	1 751	52.7
SABINE PARISH.....	19 558	19 007	18 638	920	4.9	2 528	2 103	1 650	53.2
CONVERSE.....	402	389	375	27	7.2	3 050	2 371	2 028	50.4
FLORIEN.....	612	653	639	-27	-4.2	2 990	2 599	2 010	48.8
FISHER.....	204	193	191	13	6.8	2 779	2 310	1 793	55.0
MANY.....	3 217	3 145	3 112	105	3.4	3 431	2 933	2 209	55.3
NOBLE.....	188	191	209	-21	-10.0	3 003	2 496	1 937	55.0
PLEASANT HILL.....	799	838	826	-27	-3.3	2 492	2 112	1 683	48.1
ZWOLLE.....	2 178	2 078	2 169	9	0.4	2 016	1 592	1 325	52.2
ST BERNARD PARISH.....	58 188	56 821	51 185	7 003	13.7	4 024	3 200	2 626	53.2
ST CHARLES PARISH.....	32 312	31 486	29 550	2 762	9.3	3 706	2 852	2 313	60.2
ST HELENA PARISH.....	9 510	9 562	9 937	-427	-4.3	2 044	1 728	1 329	53.8
GREENSBURG.....	639	626	652	-13	-2.0	2 461	2 121	1 599	53.9
MONTPELIER.....	172	189	211	-39	-18.5	4 498	3 614	2 697	66.8
ST JAMES PARISH.....	19 507	19 366	19 733	-226	-1.1	2 969	2 291	1 768	67.9
GRAMERCY.....	2 594	2 552	2 567	27	1.1	3 695	3 175	2 481	48.9
LUTCHER.....	3 893	3 840	3 911	-18	-0.5	2 800	2 508	1 877	49.2
ST JOHN THE BAPTIST PARIS	24 980	24 905	23 813	1 167	4.9	3 114	2 399	1 883	65.4
ST LANDRY PARISH.....	80 553	79 297	80 364	189	0.2	2 463	1 948	1 548	59.1
ARNAUVILLE (PART).....	1 492	1 501	1 550	-58	-3.7	3 060	2 256	1 694	80.6
CANKTON.....	254	245	260	-6	-2.3	1 912	1 503	1 177	62.4
EUNICE (PART).....	11 522	11 190	11 278	244	2.2	3 048	2 407	1 950	56.3
GRAND COTEAU.....	1 121	1 205	1 301	-180	-13.8	2 712	2 268	1 631	66.3
KROTZ SPRINGS.....	1 370	1 393	1 435	-65	-4.5	3 200	2 390	2 120	50.9
LEONVILLE.....	638	567	512	126	24.6	2 323	1 688	1 463	58.8
MELVILLE.....	1 787	1 807	1 987	-200	-10.1	2 487	1 943	1 546	60.9
OPELOUSAS.....	19 847	19 694	20 387	-540	-2.6	2 836	2 307	1 802	57.4
PALMETTO.....	287	284	312	-25	-8.0	2 888	2 270	1 777	62.5
PORT BARRE.....	2 130	2 098	2 133	-3	-0.1	2 484	1 781	1 530	62.4
SUNSET.....	1 762	1 643	1 675	87	5.2	2 422	1 917	1 477	64.0
WASHINGTON.....	1 448	1 383	1 473	-25	-1.7	2 085	1 613	1 279	63.0
ST MARTIN PARISH.....	34 436	33 679	32 453	1 983	6.1	2 461	1 880	1 492	64.9
ARNAUVILLE (PART).....	131	129	123	8	6.5	2 817	2 180	1 711	64.6
BREAUX BRIDGE.....	4 900	4 921	4 942	-42	-0.8	2 907	2 423	1 929	50.7
HENDERSON.....	1 217	1 167	1 107	110	9.9	2 479	2 117	1 565	58.4
PARKS.....	468	449	491	-23	-4.7	2 215	1 701	1 328	66.8

**Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued**

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
ST MARTINVILLE.....	7 349	7 241	7 153	196	2.7	2 338	1 863	1 448	61.5
ST MARY PARISH.....	60 680	61 061	60 752	-72	-0.1	3 325	2 624	2 167	53.4
BALDWIN.....	2 424	2 215	2 117	307	14.5	3 202	2 471	2 034	57.4
BERWICK.....	3 996	4 198	4 168	-172	-4.1	3 509	2 676	2 345	49.6
FRANKLIN.....	8 944	9 088	9 325	-381	-4.1	3 329	2 517	2 061	61.5
MORGAN CITY.....	16 359	16 584	16 586	-227	-1.4	3 656	2 975	2 456	48.9
PATTERSON.....	4 540	4 525	4 409	131	3.0	3 404	2 705	2 176	56.4
ST TAMMANY PARISH.....	77 449	70 508	63 585	13 864	21.8	3 851	3 146	2 575	49.6
ABITA SPRINGS.....	949	953	839	110	13.1	4 037	3 052	2 277	77.3
COVINGTON.....	8 187	7 795	7 170	1 017	14.2	3 865	3 122	2 450	57.8
FOLSOM.....	268	274	249	19	7.6	3 267	2 672	2 171	50.5
MADISONVILLE.....	775	728	801	-26	-3.2	3 874	3 106	2 717	42.6
MANDEVILLE.....	3 608	3 164	2 571	1 037	40.3	4 262	3 322	2 717	56.9
PEARL RIVER.....	1 624	1 498	1 361	263	19.3	3 291	2 735	2 305	42.8
SLIL.....	21 014	18 125	16 101	4 913	30.5	4 260	3 400	2 839	50.1
SUN.....	245	265	288	-43	-14.9	2 423	2 029	1 722	40.7
TANGIPAHOA PARISH.....	70 922	68 477	65 875	5 047	7.7	2 589	2 125	1 707	51.7
AMITE CITY.....	3 657	3 665	3 593	64	1.8	2 660	2 187	1 812	46.8
HAMMOND.....	13 838	13 426	12 487	1 351	10.8	2 876	2 450	2 044	40.7
INDEPENDENCE.....	1 975	1 785	1 770	205	11.6	2 584	2 178	1 814	42.4
KENTWOOD.....	2 685	2 714	2 736	-51	-1.9	2 338	1 950	1 564	49.5
PONCHATOULA.....	4 656	4 664	4 545	111	2.4	2 850	2 284	1 905	49.6
ROSELAND.....	1 145	1 204	1 273	-128	-10.1	2 172	1 783	1 443	50.5
TANGIPAHOA.....	425	468	469	-44	-9.4	2 421	1 989	1 465	65.3
TICKFAW.....	380	365	370	10	2.7	2 140	1 765	1 417	51.0
WOODHAVEN.....	313	304	291	22	7.6	2 859	2 359	1 893	51.0
TENSAS PARISH.....	8 723	8 887	9 732	-1 009	-10.4	2 117	1 658	1 247	69.8
NEWELLTON.....	1 228	1 272	1 403	-175	-12.5	2 298	1 812	1 432	60.5
ST JOSEPH.....	1 759	1 773	1 864	-105	-5.6	2 197	1 780	1 402	56.7
WATERPROOF.....	1 060	1 156	1 438	-378	-26.3	2 109	1 608	1 109	90.2
TERREBONNE PARISH.....	81 791	80 083	76 049	5 742	7.6	3 380	2 672	2 183	54.8
HOUMA.....	30 588	30 671	30 922	-334	-1.1	3 979	3 187	2 529	57.3
UNION PARISH.....	19 442	18 852	18 447	995	5.4	2 745	2 287	1 835	49.6
BERNICE.....	1 825	1 731	1 794	31	1.7	2 988	2 552	1 999	49.5
DOWNSVILLE (PART).....	142	133	122	20	16.4	2 577	2 154	1 715	50.3
FARMERVILLE.....	3 732	3 648	3 416	316	9.3	2 673	2 252	1 856	44.0
JUNCTION CITY (PART).....	598	581	574	24	4.2	3 384	2 818	2 247	50.6
LILLIE.....	140	144	160	-20	-12.5	2 112	1 897	1 625	30.0
MARION.....	706	750	796	-90	-11.3	3 124	2 424	1 915	63.1
SPEARSVILLE.....	186	191	197	-11	-5.6	2 574	2 151	1 712	50.4
VERMILION PARISH.....	44 596	44 215	43 071	1 525	3.5	3 219	2 475	1 975	63.0
ABBEVILLE.....	12 549	12 631	12 364	185	1.5	2 961	2 410	2 010	47.3
DELCAMBRE (PART).....	1 304	1 312	1 200	104	8.7	2 124	1 895	1 603	32.5
ERATH.....	2 112	2 178	2 024	88	4.3	2 879	2 469	1 995	44.3
GUEYDAN.....	1 888	1 913	1 984	-96	-4.8	3 819	2 794	2 198	73.7
KAPLAN.....	5 326	5 393	5 540	-214	-3.9	3 113	2 455	2 029	53.4
MAURICE.....	502	510	476	26	5.5	3 260	2 499	1 993	63.6
VERNON PARISH.....	50 781	44 023	53 794	-3 013	-5.6	3 644	3 067	2 421	50.5
HORNBECK.....	474	502	525	-51	-9.7	2 662	2 349	1 698	56.8
LEESVILLE.....	8 473	8 839	8 928	-455	-5.1	3 235	2 741	2 114	53.0
NEWLLANO.....	2 076	1 837	1 800	276	15.3	3 488	2 697	2 222	57.0
ROSEFINE.....	682	684	587	95	16.2	3 314	2 716	2 319	42.9
SIMPSON.....	490	489	491	-1	-0.2	3 437	3 070	2 345	46.6
WASHINGTON PARISH.....	42 552	42 760	41 987	565	1.3	2 942	2 477	2 003	46.9
ANGIE.....	315	314	317	-2	-0.6	2 802	2 361	1 891	48.2
BOGALUSA.....	18 058	18 497	18 412	-354	-1.9	3 348	2 776	2 178	53.7
FRANKLINTON.....	3 411	3 537	3 562	-151	-4.2	2 642	2 331	1 847	43.0
VARNADO.....	325	330	320	5	1.6	2 567	2 162	1 732	48.2

**Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued**

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
WEBSTER PARISH.....	39 988	40 139	39 939	49	0.1	3 210	2 636	2 201	45.8
COTTON VALLEY.....	1 088	1 155	1 261	-173	-13.7	3 076	2 386	2 030	51.5
CULLEN.....	1 914	1 929	1 956	-42	-2.1	2 602	2 146	1 797	44.8
DIXIE INN.....	470	467	456	14	3.1	3 299	2 715	2 269	45.4
DOYLINE.....	781	739	716	65	9.1	3 240	2 601	2 165	49.7
DUBBERLY.....	236	228	212	24	11.3	3 200	2 451	2 087	53.3
HEFLIN.....	269	276	314	-45	-14.3	2 706	2 226	1 847	46.5
MINDEN.....	13 813	14 027	13 996	-183	-1.3	3 325	2 769	2 325	43.0
SAREPTA.....	861	928	882	-21	-2.4	3 855	3 135	2 610	47.7
SHONGALOO.....	170	167	173	-3	-1.7	3 401	2 797	2 321	46.5
SIBLEY.....	951	926	869	82	9.4	2 515	1 912	1 705	47.5
SPRINGHILL.....	6 138	6 233	6 496	-358	-5.5	3 963	3 248	2 737	44.8
WEST BATON ROUGE PARISH..	17 522	17 534	16 864	658	3.9	2 970	2 319	1 855	60.1
ADDIS.....	709	744	724	-15	-2.1	3 001	2 296	1 847	62.5
BRUSLY LANDING.....	1 398	1 390	1 282	116	9.0	3 010	2 270	1 840	63.6
PORT ALLEN.....	5 804	5 961	5 728	76	1.3	3 372	2 694	2 172	55.2
WEST CARROLL PARISH.....	12 906	12 942	13 028	-122	-0.9	2 631	2 015	1 662	58.3
EPPS.....	457	487	448	9	2.0	2 998	2 583	1 961	52.9
FOREST.....	222	225	221	1	0.5	3 260	2 651	2 185	49.2
KILBOURNE.....	408	389	370	38	10.3	2 986	2 025	1 659	80.0
OAK GROVE.....	1 968	1 958	1 980	-12	-0.6	3 476	2 687	2 288	51.9
PIONEER.....	190	196	188	2	1.1	2 129	1 622	1 328	60.3
WEST FELICIANA PARISH....	9 503	8 885	10 761	-1 258	-11.7	1 666	1 285	1 022	63.0
ST FRANCISVILLE.....	1 354	1 502	1 603	-249	-15.5	3 519	2 630	2 257	55.9
WINN PARISH.....	16 168	16 593	16 369	-201	-1.2	2 999	2 448	2 021	48.4
CALVIN.....	316	288	286	30	10.5	2 824	2 191	1 712	65.0
DODSON.....	465	447	457	8	1.8	2 327	1 944	1 571	48.1
SIKES.....	252	250	237	15	6.3	2 789	2 288	1 885	48.0
WINNFIELD.....	6 549	6 988	7 142	-593	-8.3	2 840	2 306	1 897	49.7
MULTI-COUNTY PLACES									
ARNAUDVILLE.....	1 623	1 630	1 673	-50	-3.0	3 040	2 250	1 695	79.4
DELCAMBRE.....	2 169	2 140	1 975	194	9.8	2 275	1 975	1 663	36.8
DOWNSVILLE.....	184	173	160	24	15.0	2 622	2 196	1 754	49.5
EUNICE.....	11 656	11 314	11 390	266	2.3	3 050	2 408	1 951	56.3
JUNCTION CITY.....	770	718	733	37	5.0	3 413	2 844	2 293	48.8
SHREVEPORT.....	185 711	184 956	182 064	3 647	2.0	4 086	3 417	2 783	46.8



Official Business

**1975 Population and Per Capita Income Estimates, and Revised 1973 Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places, and Selected Minor Civil Divisions**

(Reports may not be published in numerical order)

No. 649	Alabama	No. 674	Montana
No. 650	Alaska	No. 675	Nebraska
No. 651	Arizona	No. 676	Nevada
No. 652	Arkansas	No. 677	New Hampshire
No. 653	California	No. 678	New Jersey
No. 654	Colorado	No. 679	New Mexico
No. 655	Connecticut	No. 680	New York
No. 656	Delaware	No. 681	North Carolina
No. 657	Florida	No. 682	North Dakota
No. 658	Georgia	No. 683	Ohio
No. 659	Hawaii	No. 684	Oklahoma
No. 660	Idaho	No. 685	Oregon
No. 661	Illinois	No. 686	Pennsylvania
No. 662	Indiana	No. 687	Rhode Island
No. 663	Iowa	No. 688	South Carolina
No. 664	Kansas	No. 689	South Dakota
No. 665	Kentucky	No. 690	Tennessee
No. 666	Louisiana	No. 691	Texas
No. 667	Maine	No. 692	Utah
No. 668	Maryland	No. 693	Vermont
No. 669	Massachusetts	No. 694	Virginia
No. 670	Michigan	No. 695	Washington
No. 671	Minnesota	No. 696	West Virginia
No. 672	Mississippi	No. 697	Wisconsin
No. 673	Missouri	No. 698	Wyoming
		No. 699	U.S. Summary and Detailed Methodology

