

Population Estimates and Projections



U.S. Department of Commerce
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Series P-25, No. 669
Issued May 1977

1973 (Revised) and 1975 Population Estimates and 1972 (Revised) and 1974 Per Capita Income Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places, and Selected Minor Civil Divisions In Massachusetts

This report is one of a series containing current estimates of the population and per capita money income for selected areas in each State. The population estimates relate to July 1, 1973 and July 1, 1975, and the estimates of per capita income cover calendar years 1972 and 1974. Current estimates of population below the county level and per capita money income for all general purpose governments were prompted by the enactment of the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. The figures are now used by a wide variety of Federal, State, and local governmental agencies for program planning and administrative purposes.

Areas included in this series of reports are all counties (or county equivalents such as census divisions in Alaska, parishes in Louisiana, and independent cities in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia) and incorporated places in the State, plus active minor civil divisions (MCD's), commonly towns in New England, New York, and Wisconsin, or townships in other parts of the United States.¹ These State reports appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, in alphabetical sequence as report number 649 (Alabama) through number 698 (Wyoming). A list indicating the report number for

each State is appended. No separate report is to be issued for the District of Columbia. However, the estimates for the District of Columbia, together with a summary table for all States, will be presented in a report detailing the methods used to estimate income and population, and will contain further evaluation of the estimates. This report will appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 699.

The detailed table for each State shows July 1, 1975 and revised July 1, 1973 estimates of the population of each area, together with April 1, 1970 census population and numerical and percentage change between 1970 and 1975. The 1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and include corrections to the 1970 census counts. In addition, the table presents per capita income estimates for calendar years 1974 and 1972 (revised), plus calendar year 1969 per capita money income derived from data collected in the 1970 census.

The estimates are presented in the table in county order, with all incorporated places in the county listed in alphabetical order, followed by any functioning minor civil divisions also listed in alphabetical order. Minor civil divisions are always identified in the listing by the term "township," "town," or other MCD category. When incorporated places fall in more than one county, each county piece is marked "part," and totals for these places are presented at the end of the table.

¹ In certain midwestern States (Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and the Dakotas) some counties have active minor civil divisions while others do not.

POPULATION ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

To estimate the population of each subcounty area, a component procedure (the Administrative Records method) was used, with each of the components of population change (births, deaths, net migration, and special populations) estimated separately. The estimates were derived in two stages, moving from 1970 as a base year to develop estimates for 1973, and in turn, moving from 1973 as the base year to derive estimates for 1975.

Migration. Individual Federal income tax returns were used to measure migration by matching individual returns for successive periods. The places of residence on tax returns filed in the base year and in the estimate year were noted for matched returns to determine in-migrants, out-migrants, and nonmigrants for each area. A net migration rate was derived, based on the difference between the in-migration and out-migration of taxpayers and dependents, and was applied to a base population to yield an estimate of net migration for all persons in the area.

Natural increase. Reported resident birth and death statistics were used, wherever available, to estimate natural increase. These data were collected from State health departments and supplemented, where necessary, by data prepared and published by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Center for Health Statistics. For subcounty areas where reported birth and death statistics were not available from either source, estimates were developed by applying national fertility and mortality rates to the 1970 census counts for the cohort of the female population 18 to 34 years old and to the total population 65 years old and over, respectively, in these areas. These estimates were subsequently controlled to agree with birth and death statistics for larger areas where reported data were available.

Adjustment for special populations. In addition to the above components of population change, estimates of special populations were also taken into account. Special populations include immigrants from abroad, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, residents of institutions (prisons and long-term health care facilities), and college students enrolled in full-time programs. These populations were treated separately because changes in these types of population groups are not reflected in the components of population change developed by standard measures, and the information is generally available for use as an independent series.

In generating estimates for counties by this procedure, the method was modified slightly to make the county estimates specific to the resident population under 65 years of age. The resident population 65 years old and over in counties was estimated separately by adding the change in Medicare enrollees between April 1, 1970 and July 1 of the estimate year to the April 1, 1970 population 65 years old and over in the county as enumerated in the 1970 census. These estimates of the population 65 years old and over were then added to estimates of the population under 65 years old to yield estimates of the total resident population in each county.

Annexations and new incorporations. The 1970 census counts shown in this report reflect all population "corrections" made to the figures after the initial tabulations. In addition, adjustments for large annexations through December 31, 1975, are reflected in the estimates.² For new incorporations occurring after 1970, the 1970 population within the boundaries of the new areas are shown in the detailed table. This geographic updating is accomplished largely as a result of an annual boundary and annexation survey conducted by the Bureau of the Census.

Other adjustments. For areas where special censuses were conducted after July 1, 1972, such special censuses were taken into account in developing the estimates.³ In several States, the subcounty estimates developed by the Administrative Records method were averaged with estimates for corresponding geographic areas which were prepared by

²In general, an annexation was included if the 1970 census count for the annexing area was 5,000 or more and the 1970 census count for the annexed area or areas exceeded 5 percent of the 1970 count for the annexing area. Adjustments were also made for a limited number of "unusual" annexations where the annexations for an area did not meet the minimum requirements but were accepted by the Office of Revenue Sharing for inclusion in the population base.

³Only special censuses conducted by the Bureau of the Census or by the California, Florida, Oregon, or Washington State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates were used for this purpose. In addition, in a relatively small number of cases where special censuses were conducted by localities, where the procedures and definitions were essentially the same as those used by the Bureau of the Census, the results of these special censuses were also taken into account in preparing the estimates.

State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates (FSCP). These States include California, Florida, Oregon, Washington, and Wisconsin.

The estimates for the subareas in each county were adjusted to independent county estimates. For 1973, the county estimates are revisions to those prepared by the Bureau of the Census alone or by the Bureau of the Census in conjunction with participating State agencies as a part of the Federal-State Cooperative Program. These estimates are revisions of those published in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 620. For 1975, an intermediate set of county estimates was prepared, since all of the data necessary to develop final estimates under the FSCP program were not available. Specifically, only data for two of the methods relied upon in the FSCP estimates (i.e., Component Method II and the Administrative Records method) were available. The 1975 estimates result from adding the average 1974-1975 population change indicated by the two methods to the 1974 county population figures contained in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26.

The county estimates, in turn, were adjusted to be consistent with independent State estimates published by the Bureau of the Census in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 640 and 642, in which the Administrative Records-based estimates were averaged with the estimates prepared using Component Method II and the Regression method.⁴

PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

The 1974 and revised 1972 per capita income (PCI) figure is the estimated average amount per person of total money income received during calendar years 1974 and 1972 for all persons residing in a given political jurisdiction in April 1975 and April 1973, respectively. The 1974 and revised 1972 PCI estimates are based on the 1970 census and have been updated using rates of change developed from various administrative record sets and compilations, mainly from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA).

⁴For further discussion of the methodologies used in preparing State estimates, see **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 640.

The PCI estimates are based on a money income concept. Total money income is defined by the Bureau of the Census for statistical purposes as the sum of:

- Wage and salary income
- Net nonfarm self-employment income
- Net farm self-employment income
- Social Security and railroad retirement income
- Public assistance income
- All other income such as interest, dividends, veteran's payments, pensions, unemployment insurance, alimony, etc.

The total represents the amount of income received before deductions for personal income taxes, Social Security, bond purchases, union dues, Medicare deductions, etc.

Procedures for State and county PCI estimates. As noted above, the 1974 and revised 1972 State and county PCI estimates were based on the 1970 census.⁵ The updates for these areas were developed by carrying forward the aggregate amount (i.e., the sum of all individual incomes in the State or county) independently for each type of income identified in the census to reflect differential changes in these income sources between 1969 and the estimate date. Data from the 1969, 1972, and 1974 Federal tax returns provided by the Internal Revenue Service were used to estimate the change in wage and salary income at the State and county level. All other types of income for these governmental units were updated using rates of change based on estimates of aggregate money income provided by the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

At the county level, several modifications of these procedures were used to better control the estimates of income change. For example, the IRS data for sub-State jurisdictions were subject to non-reporting of address information on the tax return and to misassignment of geographic location for reported addresses. To minimize the impact on the estimates from such potential sources of error, per capita wage and salary income for counties was updated intact as a per capita figure using the percentage change in wage and salary income per exemption reported on IRS returns. In addition, because of differences in the definition of income, data collection techniques, and estimation procedures, 1969 in-

⁵Income data from the 1970 census reflect income received in calendar year 1969.

come estimates from the census and BEA were not strictly comparable. These differences were especially evident at the county level for nonfarm and farm self-employment income. BEA estimates for these types of income tend to have considerably more year-to-year variation than estimates derived from surveys and censuses. To minimize the effects of these differences, constraints were put on the rate of change in income from these sources in developing the 1972 and 1974 PCI updates.

As a final step to insure a uniform series of estimates at the State and county levels, the updated county per capita figures were converted to a total aggregate income and were adjusted to agree with the State aggregate level before a final per capita income was calculated.

Procedures for subcounty per capita income estimates. The 1974 and revised 1972 per capita income estimates for subcounty governmental units were developed using a methodology similar to that used to derive county-level figures. However, there are differences in the number of separate categories of income types used in the estimation procedure, and in the sources used to update the income components.

As in the case of the population estimates, a two-step procedure was relied upon to update the income figures from their 1969 level to refer to 1974. The 1972 estimates were prepared using the rate of change from 1969 to 1972. The 1974 estimates are based on the 1972 estimates, and were updated by an estimate of change from 1972 to 1974. Also, as in the case of the population figures, the subcounty income data were uniformly adjusted to reflect major annexation and boundary changes which occurred since 1970.

1969 base estimates. The 1970 census PCI figures for small areas are subject to sizable sampling variability, causing them to lack sufficient statistical reliability for use in the estimation process. For this report, the 1969 PCI shown for areas with a 1970 census sample population estimate of less than 1,000 is a weighted average of the original 1970 census sample value and a regression estimate. Research has indicated that this procedure results in a considerable improvement in accuracy compared to the procedure relied upon in earlier estimates, which was to use the county PCI amount for various small governmental units. The resulting 1969 estimate for each of these areas is a base estimate for preparing 1972 and 1974 estimates and does not represent a change in the 1970 census value for these areas.

For subcounty updating, 1969 total money income was divided into two components: (1) "taxable income" which is approximately comparable to that portion of income included in IRS adjusted gross income, and (2) "transfer income" which for the most part is not included in adjusted gross income. These 1969 subcounty estimates were adjusted to 1970 census totals for higher level government units. This was done using a two-way adjustment procedure controlling both to county totals and to several size class totals for the State.⁶

1972 (revised) and 1974 PCI updates. The taxable income portion of the 1969 money income was updated using the percent change in adjusted gross income (AGI) per exemption as computed from IRS tax return data. However, if the number of IRS tax returns for any area was very small, or if the ratio of exemptions to the population or the change in this ratio from 1969 to 1972 and 1972 to 1974 was not within an acceptable range, the IRS data for the subcounty area were not used in the update process. In such cases the percent change in AGI per exemption for the county was used. Similarly, if the IRS data for a particular subcounty area passed the above conditions, but the percent change in AGI per exemption was excessively large or small compared to that for the county, the change was constrained to a proportion of the county change.

The percentage change in per capita transfer income at the subcounty level was assumed to be the same as that implied by the BEA estimates at the county level.

The 1974 and 1972 estimates of taxable income and transfer income were adjusted separately using a two-way procedure similar to that used for the base estimates and were then combined to estimate total money income. The 1974 and 1972 PCI estimates were formed by dividing the total money income aggregates by the July 1975 and 1973 population estimates, respectively.

REVISION OF 1973 POPULATION AND 1972 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES

The July 1, 1973 population and calendar year 1972 per capita income estimates presented in this report supersede those estimates published earlier in

⁶Additional review and evaluation detail concerning the 1969 estimated income for places under 1,000 population is contained in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, No. 699.

Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Nos. 546 through 595. The July 1, 1973 population estimates shown in this report differ from those published previously for several reasons: (1) The procedure for correcting missing address information on the original tax forms was changed to more accurately reflect the population distribution of the various areas; (2) more accurate and up-to-date information on several components of population change (births, deaths, and special population groups) are now available; (3) the net migration component has been changed from a civilian population base to refer instead to the non-group quarters population (i.e., resident population excluding members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, inmates of long-term hospitals and prisons, and full-time students enrolled in college); and (4) additional special censuses are available for use that were conducted since the time of the last estimates.

Similarly for per capita income: (1) The 1969 income levels for small areas have been estimated rather than relying upon reported 1970 census figures, and (2) a revised procedure was used in controlling the 1972 estimates for internal agreement.

LIMITATIONS OF THE ESTIMATES

Population estimates. Tests of the accuracy of the methods used to develop State and county population estimates appearing in **Current Population Reports, Series P-25 and P-26** have been documented elsewhere. The results of evaluations against the 1970 census at the State level are reported in Series P-25, No. 520, while similar 1970 tests for counties are presented in Series P-26, No. 21. In summary, the State estimates averaging Component Method II and the Regression method yielded average differences of approximately 1.9 percent when compared to the 1970 census. Subsequent modifications of the two procedures that have been incorporated in preparing estimates for the 1970's would have reduced the average difference in 1970 to 1.2 percent. For counties, the 1970 evaluations indicated an average difference of approximately 4.5 percent for the combination of procedures used. It should be noted that all of the evaluations against the results of the 1970 census concern estimates extending over the entire 10-year period of 1960 to 1970.

Since 1970, however, the Administrative Records method has been introduced with partial weight in

the estimates for States and counties, and except for the few States in which local estimates are utilized, carries the full weight for estimates below the county level. The data series upon which the estimates procedure is based has been available as a comprehensive series for the entire United States only since 1967. Nonetheless, several studies have been undertaken evaluating the Administrative Records estimates from the State to the local level. At the State-wide level, little direct testing can be performed due to the lack of special censuses covering entire States. Some sense of the general reasonableness of the Administrative Records estimates may be obtained, however, by reviewing the degree of correspondence between the results of the method against those of the "standard" methods tested in 1970 and already in use to produce State estimates during the 1970's. It must be recognized that the differences between the two sets of estimates may not be interpreted as errors in either set of figures, but may only be used as a partial guide indicating the degree of consistency between the newer Administrative Records system and the established methods.

Table A presents such a comparison for State estimates referring to July 1, 1975. A rather close agreement may be observed in the estimates for all States at only a 1.0 percent difference. Only two States exceeded a 3-percent difference, with both being smaller States (under one million population) and both having unique circumstances that affect population patterns (Alaska and the District of Columbia). The variation of the Administrative Records method from the average of the other methods does increase noticeably for smaller States in a regular pattern, but still reaches an average of only 1.5 percent for the smallest size category.

The findings indicate no directional bias in the Administrative Records method either for all States or by size. It should also be noted that the Administrative Records estimate falls in the middle of the three estimates for 18 States, in contrast with approximately 17 cases to be expected by chance.

A similar comparison may be made at the county level (table B). Although the differences between the Co-op estimates and the Administrative Records results are larger at the county level than for States, the variations are well within the range that would be expected for areas of this population size, and the county pattern matches closely the findings for States. The overall differences for all counties is 3.3 percent, and ranges from 1.8 percent for the larger counties to 11.7 for the 26 small counties under 1,000 population.

Table A. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Average of Component Method II and Regression Estimates for States: 1975

(Base is the average of Method II and Regression estimates)

Item	All States	Population size in 1970		
		4 million and over	1.5 to 4 million	Less than 1.5 million
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	1.0	0.5	0.9	1.5
Number of States.....	51	16	18	17
With differences of:				
Less than 1 percent.....	32	14	12	6
1 to 2 percent.....	13	2	4	7
2 percent and over.....	6	-	2	4
Where Administrative Records was:				
Higher.....	24	7	9	8
Lower.....	27	9	9	9

- Represents zero.

Table B. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Provisional Co-op Estimates for Counties: 1975

(Base is the provisional Co-op estimates for counties)

Item	All counties	Counties with 1,000 or more 1970 population					Counties with less than 1,000 1970 population
		Total	50,000 or more	25,000 to 50,000	10,000 to 25,000	1,000 to 10,000	
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	3.3	3.2	1.8	2.7	3.2	4.4	11.7
Number of counties or equivalents.....	3,143	3,117	679	567	1,017	854	26
With differences of:							
Less than 1 percent.....	736	733	215	159	228	131	3
1 to 3 percent.....	1,153	1,145	311	213	373	248	8
3 to 5 percent.....	647	645	109	123	212	201	2
5 to 10 percent.....	471	467	42	58	167	200	4
10 percent and over.....	136	127	2	14	37	74	9

Comparison of these results for States and counties in 1975 with a similar analysis based on 1973 estimates is helpful as an indication of consistency over time. Some deterioration in the match of results from a selection of estimating techniques should be anticipated as the length of the estimating period increases and as the methods respond in varying degrees to the dynamics of population shifts. At the State level, such divergence is found. The overall variation increased from 0.6 percent difference in 1973 to 1.0 percent in 1975, with the most dramatic jumps occurring in the small States. On examination of the independent estimates from each method, however, this may be attributed as much to an increased variability in the Method II and Regression method results as to a tendency for the Administrative Records estimates to wander.

At the county level, the findings over time are more mixed. The level of difference for all counties indicates little change since the 1973 estimates (3.1 percent difference in 1973 and 3.3 percent in 1975). There are noticeable reductions in the differences for the largest and smallest population size categories (from 2.3 percent in 1973 to 1.8 percent in 1975 for counties of 50,000 or more, and from 18.1 percent to 11.7 percent for counties under 1,000 population), but modest increases may be observed in the variations for the remaining categories. In general, there appears to be some decrease of correspondence in the State level figures that should be monitored in coming years, but little change has occurred in the county variations, with even some convergence of estimates for the larger and smaller counties.

Three tests of the Administrative Records population estimates against census counts have been undertaken. First, a limited evaluation involving 24 large areas (16 counties and 8 cities) was conducted on estimates for the 1968-1970 period.⁷ Although the test shows the estimates to be quite accurate (1.8 percent difference), the areas may not be assumed to be representative of the 39,000 units of government covered by the Administrative Records estimating system, and the time segment evaluated refers only to a 2-year period.

A more representative group of special censuses in 86 areas selected particularly for evaluation purposes was conducted in 1973. The areas were randomly chosen nationwide to be typical of areas with populations below 20,000 persons.

Table C summarizes the average percent difference between the estimates from the Administrative Records method and counts from the 86 special censuses. Overall, the estimates differed from the special census counts by 5.9 percent, with the largest differences occurring in the smallest areas. Areas of between 1,000 and 20,000 population differed by 4.6 percent, while the average difference for the 27 areas below 1,000 population was 8.6 percent. There was a slight positive directional bias,

⁷Meyer Zitter and David L. Word, U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Use of Administrative Records for Small Area Population Estimates," unpublished paper prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 27, 1973.

Table C. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates (Unrevised) and 86 Special Censuses: 1973

(Base is special census)

Area	Average percent difference ¹	Number of areas with differences of:			
		Under 3 percent	3 to 5 percent	5 to 10 percent	10 percent and over
All areas (86) ²	5.9	32	18	20	16
1,000 to 20,000 (59).....	4.6	26	13	14	6
Under 1,000 population (27).....	8.6	6	5	6	10

¹Disregarding sign.

²All areas have population under 20,000 persons.

with about 60 percent of the estimates exceeding the census counts. Again the impact of population size on the expected level of accuracy may be noted. Even though all of the areas in this study are relatively small—less than 20,000 population—the larger ones demonstrate much lower variation from census figures than the smaller ones.

The third evaluation involving census comparisons is currently underway, and is based upon the approximately 2,000 special censuses that have been conducted since 1970 at the request of localities throughout the United States. Such areas constitute a fairly stringent test for any method in that they are generally very small areas, often are experiencing rapid population growth, and frequently are found to have had a vigorous program of annexation since the last census. This evaluation study has not been completed for use here but will be included in detail as a part of the comprehensive methodology description in **Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 699.**

As a final caution, it must be noted that for convenience in presentation, the estimates contained in table I are shown in unrounded form. It is not intended, however, that the figures be considered accurate to the last digit. The nature of estimates prompts the rounding of figures in related Bureau reports and must be kept in mind during the application of the estimates contained here.

Per capita income estimates. Similar types of analyses and evaluation are not available for the updated estimates of PCI. Income data and PCI for 1972 are available for the 86 areas in which special censuses were conducted for testing purposes. As noted, however, the areas in which the censuses were taken are relatively small. The PCI estimates are based upon data from the 1970 census, which are subject to sampling variability due to the size of

the areas. Consequently, PCI did not change enough in the 1970-72 period in most instances to move outside of the relatively large range of sampling variability associated with the 1970 census results on income for small areas. Thus, it is not possible to obtain a reliable reading or even rough approximations on the accuracy of the change in PCI using the 86 areas as standards. The estimates were made available to persons working with economic statistics in each State for review prior to publication. Comments from this "local" review helped identify problem areas and input data errors.

RELATED REPORTS

The population and per capita income estimates shown in this series of reports supersede those found in **Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Nos. 546 through 595** for 1973. The population estimates contained here for States are consistent with Series P-25, No. 533 (1973) and No. 642 (1975). The county estimates for 1975 are superior to the provisional 1975 figures published earlier in Series P-25 and P-26 due to the addition of a second method, but will not be reported elsewhere in **Current Population Reports.** The county population estimates will be replaced by subsequent final 1975 figures to be developed through the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates.

DETAILED TABLE SYMBOLS

In the detailed table entries, a dash "--" represents zero, and the symbol "Z" indicates that the figure is less than 0.05 percent. The symbol "B" means that the base for the derived figure is less than 75,000. Three dots "... " mean not applicable, and "NA" means not available.

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS.	5 812 489	5 802 291	5 689 170	123 319	2.2	4 755	4 049	3 407	39.6
BARNSTABLE COUNTY.....	127 932	117 377	96 656	31 276	32.4	4 779	4 203	3 353	42.5
BARNSTABLE TOWN.....	27 056	24 494	19 842	7 214	36.4	4 834	4 322	3 464	39.5
BOURNE TOWN.....	11 190	11 900	12 636	-1 446	-11.4	4 198	3 432	2 680	56.6
BREWSTER TOWN.....	3 827	2 811	1 790	2 037	113.8	4 887	4 621	3 833	27.5
CHATHAM TOWN.....	6 104	5 557	4 554	1 550	34.0	5 412	4 774	3 737	44.8
DENNIS TOWN.....	9 503	8 653	6 454	3 049	47.2	5 042	4 539	3 618	39.4
EASTHAM TOWN.....	3 128	2 738	2 043	1 085	53.1	5 317	4 840	3 808	39.6
FALMOUTH TOWN.....	20 866	19 201	15 942	4 924	30.9	4 533	3 988	3 292	37.7
HARWICH TOWN.....	7 883	7 331	5 892	1 991	33.8	4 566	4 088	3 278	39.3
MASHPÉE TOWN.....	2 573	1 844	1 288	1 285	99.8	5 104	4 664	3 398	50.2
ORLEANS TOWN.....	4 433	4 065	3 055	1 378	45.1	6 666	5 816	4 761	40.0
PROVINCETOWN TOWN.....	4 007	3 716	2 911	1 096	37.7	3 706	3 227	2 680	38.3
SANDWICH TOWN.....	6 401	5 641	5 239	1 162	22.2	4 445	3 858	3 123	42.3
TRURO TOWN.....	1 251	1 187	1 234	17	1.4	5 180	4 571	3 694	40.2
WELLFLEET TOWN.....	1 981	1 934	1 743	238	13.7	5 363	4 767	3 708	44.6
YARMOUTH TOWN.....	17 729	16 307	12 033	5 696	47.3	4 726	4 150	3 374	40.1
BERKSHIRE COUNTY.....	148 969	149 851	149 402	-433	-0.3	4 517	3 752	3 191	41.6
NORTH ADAMS.....	19 312	19 354	19 195	117	0.6	3 771	3 169	2 728	38.2
PITTSFIELD.....	54 893	55 954	57 020	-2 127	-3.7	4 759	3 931	3 336	42.7
ADAMS TOWN.....	11 193	11 472	11 772	-579	-4.9	4 364	3 552	2 992	45.9
ALFORD TOWN.....	339	286	302	37	12.3	4 248	3 589	3 059	38.9
BECKET TOWN.....	1 161	1 033	929	232	25.0	4 050	3 404	2 893	40.0
CHESHIRE TOWN.....	3 204	3 168	3 006	198	6.6	4 399	3 616	3 075	43.1
CLARKSBURG TOWN.....	1 950	1 942	1 987	-37	-1.9	4 018	3 291	2 781	44.5
DALTON TOWN.....	7 453	7 454	7 505	-52	-0.7	4 795	3 996	3 366	42.5
EGREMONT TOWN.....	1 218	1 228	1 138	80	7.0	4 713	4 115	3 240	45.5
FLORIDA TOWN.....	725	617	672	53	7.9	3 712	3 085	2 629	41.2
GREAT BARRINGTON TOWN.....	7 003	7 293	7 537	-534	-7.1	4 443	3 649	3 033	46.5
HANCOCK TOWN.....	695	709	675	20	3.0	4 232	3 836	3 297	28.4
HINSDALE TOWN.....	1 755	1 686	1 588	167	10.5	4 320	3 406	2 925	47.7
LANESBOROUGH TOWN.....	3 244	3 129	2 972	272	9.2	4 903	3 873	3 302	48.5
LEE TOWN.....	6 291	6 424	6 426	-135	-2.1	4 373	3 698	3 077	42.1
LENOX TOWN.....	5 799	5 898	5 804	-5	-0.1	4 965	4 171	3 437	44.5
MONTEREY TOWN.....	763	664	600	163	27.2	4 584	4 094	3 311	38.4
MOUNT WASHINGTON TOWN.....	80	66	52	28	53.8	4 735	3 911	3 333	42.1
NEW ASHFORD TOWN.....	158	173	183	-25	-13.7	6 124	5 009	3 962	54.6
NEW MARLBOROUGH TOWN.....	1 132	1 134	1 031	101	9.8	4 229	3 674	2 893	46.2
OTIS TOWN.....	899	917	820	79	9.6	4 089	3 330	3 022	35.3
PERU TOWN.....	476	332	256	220	85.9	3 590	2 751	2 344	53.2
RICHMOND TOWN.....	1 701	1 610	1 461	240	16.4	5 399	4 465	3 886	38.9
SANDISFIELD TOWN.....	668	594	547	121	22.1	3 806	3 075	2 784	36.7
SAVOY TOWN.....	476	385	322	154	47.8	3 289	2 519	2 319	41.8
SHEFFIELD TOWN.....	2 733	2 670	2 374	359	15.1	4 221	3 619	3 064	37.8
STOCKBRIDGE TOWN.....	2 211	2 238	2 312	-101	-4.4	5 442	4 480	3 932	38.4
TYRINGHAM TOWN.....	333	288	234	99	42.3	3 862	3 460	2 948	31.0
WASHINGTON TOWN.....	491	444	406	85	20.9	3 879	2 971	2 745	41.3
WEST STOCKBRIDGE TOWN.....	1 343	1 447	1 354	-11	-0.8	4 674	3 880	3 064	52.5
WILLIAMSTOWN TOWN.....	8 693	8 696	8 454	239	2.8	4 563	3 902	3 543	28.8
WINDSOR TOWN.....	574	548	468	106	22.6	4 753	3 657	3 020	57.4
BRISTOL COUNTY.....	463 813	459 607	444 301	19 512	4.4	4 108	3 532	2 936	39.9
ATTLEBORO.....	32 514	32 904	32 907	-393	-1.2	4 664	3 945	3 385	37.8
FALL RIVER.....	100 430	100 516	96 898	3 532	3.6	3 685	3 243	2 671	38.0
NEW BEDFORD.....	100 133	101 434	101 777	-1 644	-1.6	3 784	3 256	2 690	40.7
TAUNTON.....	41 935	42 199	43 756	-1 821	-4.2	3 857	3 384	2 824	36.6
ACUSHNET TOWN.....	8 472	8 346	7 767	705	9.1	3 891	3 310	2 679	45.2
BERKLEY TOWN.....	2 315	2 073	2 027	288	14.2	4 110	3 668	2 819	45.8
DARTMOUTH TOWN.....	22 229	20 726	18 800	3 429	18.2	4 784	4 131	3 484	37.3
DIGHTON TOWN.....	5 087	5 020	4 667	420	9.0	4 593	3 983	3 329	38.0
EASTON TOWN.....	14 221	13 490	12 157	2 064	17.0	4 733	3 975	3 233	46.4
FAIRHAVEN TOWN.....	15 949	16 221	16 332	-383	-2.3	4 300	3 690	3 043	41.3
FREETOWN TOWN.....	5 581	4 914	4 270	1 311	30.7	4 306	3 644	2 948	46.1
MANSFIELD TOWN.....	12 586	11 319	9 939	2 647	26.6	4 559	3 757	3 124	45.9
NORTH ATTLEBOROUGH TOWN.....	19 098	19 010	18 665	433	2.3	4 573	3 821	3 307	38.3
NORTON TOWN.....	9 874	9 817	9 487	387	4.1	3 891	3 326	2 851	36.5
RAYNHAM TOWN.....	7 778	7 407	6 705	1 073	16.0	4 827	4 128	3 559	35.6
REHOBOTH TOWN.....	7 027	6 983	6 512	515	7.9	4 504	3 684	3 100	45.3
SEEKONK TOWN.....	11 333	11 303	11 116	217	2.0	4 973	4 223	3 514	41.5
SOMERSET TOWN.....	19 220	19 192	18 088	1 132	6.3	4 577	3 970	3 269	40.0
SWANSEA TOWN.....	15 185	14 516	12 640	2 845	20.1	4 123	3 551	3 002	37.3
WESTPORT TOWN.....	12 845	12 216	9 791	3 054	31.2	4 031	3 499	2 842	41.8

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
DUKES COUNTY.....	8 033	7 262	6 117	1 916	31.3	4 650	3 981	3 237	43.7
CHILMARK TOWN.....	405	394	340	65	19.1	4 188	3 412	2 849	47.0
EDGARTOWN TOWN.....	2 172	1 866	1 481	691	46.7	5 382	4 609	3 960	35.9
GAY HEAD TOWN.....	148	138	118	30	25.4	4 220	3 691	3 031	39.2
GOSNOLD TOWN.....	101	93	83	18	21.7	3 738	3 474	3 022	23.7
OAK BLUFFS TOWN.....	1 737	1 633	1 385	352	25.4	4 135	3 732	2 863	44.4
TISBURY TOWN.....	2 772	2 590	2 257	515	22.8	4 334	3 703	2 965	46.2
WEST TISBURY TOWN.....	699	547	453	246	54.3	5 428	4 490	3 761	44.3
ESSEX COUNTY.....	631 182	640 889	637 887	-6 705	-1.1	4 864	4 109	3 430	41.8
BEVERLY.....	37 180	37 812	38 348	-1 168	-3.0	5 044	4 216	3 477	45.1
GLOUCESTER.....	27 074	27 646	27 941	-867	-3.1	4 630	3 894	3 214	44.1
HAVERTHILL.....	44 377	44 953	46 120	-1 743	-3.8	4 426	3 723	3 073	44.0
LAWRENCE.....	67 390	69 088	66 915	475	0.7	4 035	3 443	2 930	37.7
LYNN.....	79 327	84 941	90 294	-10 967	-12.1	4 424	3 716	3 064	44.4
NEWBURYPORT.....	16 330	16 370	15 807	523	3.3	4 207	3 525	2 923	43.9
PEABODY.....	45 200	46 778	48 080	-2 880	-6.0	4 799	4 037	3 392	41.5
SALEM.....	38 957	39 468	40 556	-1 599	-3.9	4 367	3 739	3 107	40.6
AMESBURY TOWN.....	13 923	13 331	11 388	2 535	22.3	4 243	3 638	2 961	43.3
ANDOVER TOWN.....	26 468	25 790	23 695	2 773	11.7	6 134	5 251	4 443	38.1
BOXFORD TOWN.....	4 566	4 285	4 032	534	13.2	7 525	6 449	5 175	45.4
DANVERS TOWN.....	24 951	25 449	26 151	-1 200	-4.6	4 689	3 968	3 323	41.1
ESSEX TOWN.....	2 873	2 829	2 670	203	7.6	4 856	4 144	3 401	42.8
GEORGETOWN TOWN.....	5 937	5 776	5 290	647	12.2	4 546	3 795	3 134	45.1
GROVELAND TOWN.....	5 228	5 355	5 382	-154	-2.9	4 779	3 953	3 228	48.0
HAMILTON TOWN.....	6 684	6 645	6 373	311	4.9	5 406	4 724	3 991	35.5
IPSWICH TOWN.....	11 574	11 317	10 750	824	7.7	4 732	3 956	3 371	40.4
LYNNFIELD TOWN.....	12 008	11 539	10 826	1 182	10.9	6 590	5 596	4 830	36.4
MANCHESTER TOWN.....	5 534	5 471	5 151	383	7.4	5 844	4 960	4 545	28.6
MARBLEHEAD TOWN.....	21 498	21 530	21 295	203	1.0	7 429	6 360	5 389	37.9
MERRIMAC TOWN.....	4 178	4 163	4 245	-67	-1.6	4 326	3 623	2 972	45.6
METHUEN TOWN.....	35 426	36 171	35 456	-30	-0.1	4 442	3 798	3 228	37.6
MIDDLETON TOWN.....	4 016	4 186	4 044	-28	-0.7	4 301	3 614	3 015	42.7
NAHANT TOWN.....	4 224	4 110	4 119	105	2.5	5 956	4 791	4 047	47.2
NEWBURY TOWN.....	4 254	4 148	3 804	450	11.8	5 400	4 604	3 662	47.5
NORTH ANDOVER TOWN.....	15 765	16 332	16 284	-519	-3.2	5 268	4 493	3 611	45.9
ROCKPORT TOWN.....	6 305	6 164	5 636	669	11.9	5 583	4 721	3 921	42.4
ROWLEY TOWN.....	3 473	3 282	3 040	433	14.2	4 452	3 717	3 078	44.6
SALISBURY TOWN.....	5 002	4 798	4 179	823	19.7	3 709	3 151	2 547	45.6
SAUGUS TOWN.....	24 614	24 987	25 110	-496	-2.0	4 699	3 965	3 326	41.3
SWAMPSCOTT TOWN.....	14 289	14 060	13 578	711	5.2	6 731	5 891	5 143	30.9
TOPSFIELD TOWN.....	5 924	5 652	5 225	699	13.4	5 999	5 041	4 264	40.7
WENHAM TOWN.....	3 990	3 876	3 849	141	3.7	7 128	5 660	4 882	46.0
WEST NEWBURY TOWN.....	2 641	2 582	2 254	387	17.2	5 188	4 388	3 659	41.8
FRANKLIN COUNTY.....	63 532	62 025	59 210	4 322	7.3	4 345	3 720	3 070	41.5
ASHFIELD TOWN.....	1 419	1 386	1 274	145	11.4	4 269	3 663	2 958	44.3
BERNARDSTON TOWN.....	1 779	1 701	1 659	120	7.2	3 850	3 417	2 759	39.5
BUCKLAND TOWN.....	1 866	1 948	1 892	-6	-0.3	4 094	3 640	2 826	44.9
CHARLEMONT TOWN.....	1 053	1 031	897	156	17.4	4 618	4 221	3 510	31.6
COLRAIN TOWN.....	1 493	1 449	1 420	73	5.1	3 706	3 085	2 459	50.7
CONWAY TOWN.....	1 160	1 148	998	162	16.2	4 857	3 972	3 258	49.1
DEERFIELD TOWN.....	4 257	4 147	3 850	407	10.6	4 532	3 805	3 147	44.0
ERVING TOWN.....	1 309	1 311	1 260	49	3.9	3 839	3 288	2 963	29.6
GILL TOWN.....	1 288	1 205	1 100	188	17.1	4 313	3 609	2 988	44.3
GREENFIELD TOWN.....	19 066	18 632	18 116	950	5.2	4 576	3 954	3 278	39.6
HAWLEY TOWN.....	270	258	224	46	20.5	3 418	2 915	2 418	41.4
HEATH TOWN.....	423	351	383	40	10.4	3 588	2 887	2 395	49.8
LEVERETT TOWN.....	1 294	1 081	1 005	289	28.8	4 582	3 891	3 388	35.2
LEYDEN TOWN.....	456	371	376	80	21.3	3 919	3 187	2 474	58.4
MONROE TOWN.....	200	212	216	-16	-7.4	5 270	4 351	3 951	33.4
MONTAGUE TOWN.....	8 281	8 594	8 451	-170	-2.0	4 170	3 528	2 922	42.7
NEW SALEM TOWN.....	652	614	474	178	37.6	4 687	3 953	3 191	46.9
NORTHFIELD TOWN.....	2 454	2 592	2 631	-177	-6.7	4 574	4 008	3 303	38.5
ORANGE TOWN.....	6 447	6 153	6 104	343	5.6	3 829	3 223	2 718	40.9
ROWE TOWN.....	313	294	277	36	13.0	3 791	3 513	2 915	30.1
SHELburne TOWN.....	1 980	1 961	1 836	144	7.8	4 267	3 708	3 056	39.6
SHUTESBURY TOWN.....	808	682	489	319	65.2	6 732	6 048	4 835	39.2
SUNDERLAND TOWN.....	2 844	2 701	2 236	608	27.2	4 427	3 805	3 036	45.8
WARWICK TOWN.....	587	572	492	95	19.3	3 778	3 212	2 903	30.1
WENDELL TOWN.....	646	511	405	241	59.5	2 936	2 317	2 104	39.5
WHATELY TOWN.....	1 170	1 120	1 145	25	2.2	4 916	4 040	3 190	54.1

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
HAMPDEN COUNTY	463 804	465 170	459 050	4 754	1.0	4 485	3 728	3 178	41.1
CHICOPEE.....	57 771	65 074	66 676	-8 905	-13.4	4 252	3 413	2 880	47.6
HOLYOKE.....	46 435	48 021	50 112	-3 677	-7.3	4 096	3 382	2 866	41.9
SPRINGFIELD.....	170 790	168 944	163 905	6 885	4.2	4 145	3 474	2 967	39.7
WESTFIELD.....	34 172	32 483	31 433	2 739	8.7	4 487	3 742	3 182	41.0
AGAWAM TOWN.....	24 402	23 283	21 717	2 685	12.4	4 768	3 983	3 441	38.6
BLANDFORD TOWN.....	956	850	863	93	10.8	4 593	3 924	3 187	44.1
BRIMFIELD TOWN.....	2 184	1 980	1 907	277	14.5	4 330	3 657	2 944	47.1
CHESTER TOWN.....	1 116	1 032	1 025	91	8.9	4 093	3 620	2 846	43.8
EAST LONGMEADOW TOWN	13 093	13 022	13 029	64	0.5	4 979	4 082	3 609	38.0
GRANVILLE TOWN.....	1 193	1 091	1 008	185	18.4	4 741	4 013	3 212	47.6
HAMPDEN TOWN.....	4 743	4 652	4 572	171	3.7	4 635	3 837	3 147	47.3
HOLLAND TOWN.....	1 374	1 250	931	443	47.6	4 389	3 958	3 122	40.6
LONGMEADOW TOWN.....	16 626	16 051	15 630	996	6.4	7 944	6 783	6 107	30.1
LUDLOW TOWN.....	18 148	17 688	17 580	568	3.2	4 326	3 647	3 067	41.0
MONSON TOWN.....	7 350	7 173	7 355	-5	-0.1	3 680	3 097	2 676	37.5
MONTGOMERY TOWN.....	605	511	446	159	35.7	5 692	4 368	3 676	54.8
PALMER TOWN	11 718	11 769	11 680	38	0.3	4 427	3 734	3 190	38.8
RUSSELL TOWN.....	1 590	1 480	1 382	208	15.1	4 658	3 827	3 282	41.9
SOUTHWICK TOWN.....	7 055	6 697	6 330	725	11.5	4 200	3 543	2 999	40.0
TOLLAND TOWN.....	214	193	172	42	24.4	3 107	2 571	2 197	41.4
WALES TOWN.....	1 043	969	852	191	22.4	3 787	3 125	2 834	33.6
WEST SPRINGFIELD TOWN.....	28 110	28 256	28 461	-351	-1.2	5 035	4 216	3 513	43.3
WILBRAHAM TOWN.....	13 116	12 702	11 984	1 132	9.4	5 611	4 735	3 997	40.4
HAMPSHIRE COUNTY	133 600	132 414	123 981	9 619	7.8	4 096	3 510	3 008	36.2
NORTHAMPTON	30 173	29 967	29 664	509	1.7	4 082	3 540	3 004	35.9
AMHERST TOWN.....	30 127	30 406	26 331	3 796	14.4	3 774	3 238	2 789	35.3
BELCHERTOWN TOWN.....	6 369	6 069	5 936	433	7.3	3 056	2 650	2 329	31.2
CHESTERFIELD TOWN.....	896	842	704	192	27.3	3 177	2 511	2 345	35.5
CUMMINGTON TOWN.....	656	633	562	94	16.7	4 305	3 592	2 859	50.6
EASTHAMPTON TOWN.....	15 168	14 833	13 012	2 156	16.6	4 383	3 772	3 289	33.3
GOSHEN TOWN.....	658	564	483	175	36.2	3 478	2 929	2 525	37.7
GRANBY TOWN.....	5 601	5 583	5 473	128	2.3	4 297	3 507	3 005	43.0
HADLEY TOWN	3 772	3 816	3 750	22	0.6	5 109	4 302	3 483	46.7
HATFIELD TOWN.....	3 091	3 016	2 825	266	9.4	4 794	4 155	3 309	44.9
HUNTINGTON TOWN.....	1 732	1 648	1 593	139	8.7	3 733	3 031	2 520	48.1
MIDDLEFIELD TOWN.....	308	305	288	20	6.9	3 771	3 505	2 799	34.7
PELHAM TOWN.....	1 171	1 100	937	234	25.0	5 670	5 033	4 015	41.2
PLAINFIELD TOWN.....	371	325	287	84	29.3	4 103	3 579	3 086	33.0
SOUTHAMPTON TOWN.....	3 807	3 533	3 069	738	24.0	4 712	3 950	3 334	41.3
SOUTH HADLEY TOWN.....	16 981	17 279	17 033	-52	-0.3	4 329	3 654	3 160	37.0
WARE TOWN	8 679	8 528	8 187	492	6.0	3 922	3 481	3 087	27.0
WESTHAMPTON TOWN.....	949	899	793	156	19.7	3 787	3 282	2 791	35.7
WILLIAMSBURG TOWN.....	2 279	2 274	2 342	-63	-2.7	4 513	3 740	3 161	42.8
WORTHINGTON TOWN.....	813	794	712	101	14.2	3 831	3 315	2 827	35.5
MIDDLESEX COUNTY	1 398 987	1 411 005	1 398 397	590	-	5 226	4 430	3 738	39.8
CAMBRIDGE	102 420	102 153	100 361	2 059	2.1	5 278	4 524	3 896	35.5
EVERETT.....	39 473	40 638	42 485	-3 012	-7.1	4 489	3 796	3 160	42.1
LOWELL.....	91 493	93 426	94 239	-2 746	-2.9	3 959	3 393	2 864	38.2
MALDEN.....	55 778	55 354	56 127	-349	-0.6	4 586	3 836	3 237	41.7
MARLBOROUGH.....	30 315	30 464	27 936	2 529	8.5	4 643	3 920	3 284	41.4
MEDFORD.....	60 769	62 349	64 397	-3 628	-5.6	4 680	3 950	3 343	40.0
MELROSE.....	32 050	32 621	33 180	-1 130	-3.4	5 226	4 452	3 797	37.6
NEWTON.....	88 559	90 653	91 263	-2 704	-3.0	7 129	6 209	5 385	32.4
SOMERVILLE	80 798	84 628	88 779	-7 981	-9.0	4 278	3 615	2 984	43.4
WALTHAM.....	56 251	58 656	61 582	-5 331	-8.7	4 748	3 991	3 324	42.8
WOBURN.....	35 041	36 125	37 406	-2 365	-6.3	4 691	3 926	3 288	42.7
ACTON TOWN	18 323	17 449	14 770	3 553	24.1	6 111	5 038	4 193	45.7
ARLINGTON TOWN.....	49 815	51 522	53 524	-3 709	-6.9	5 574	4 731	3 992	39.6
ASHBY TOWN.....	2 347	2 338	2 274	73	3.2	3 855	3 218	2 654	45.3
ASHLAND TOWN.....	8 868	8 993	8 882	-14	-0.2	4 728	3 965	3 235	46.2
AYER TOWN.....	6 583	6 997	8 325	-1 742	-20.9	3 872	3 290	2 790	38.8
BEDFORD TOWN	13 223	13 978	13 513	-290	-2.1	5 474	4 583	3 889	40.8
BELMONT TOWN.....	27 480	27 952	28 285	-805	-2.8	6 989	5 984	5 240	33.4
BILLERICA TOWN.....	36 407	35 380	31 648	4 759	15.0	4 111	3 422	2 877	42.9
BOXBOROUGH TOWN.....	2 714	2 173	1 451	1 263	87.0	5 301	4 173	3 549	49.4
BURLINGTON TOWN.....	24 537	23 906	21 980	2 557	11.6	4 665	3 824	3 176	46.9
CARLISLE TOWN.....	3 182	3 093	2 871	311	10.8	7 227	6 027	5 113	41.3
CHELMSFORD TOWN.....	31 789	32 170	31 432	357	1.1	5 192	4 446	3 756	38.2
CONCORD TOWN.....	17 637	17 156	16 148	1 489	9.2	7 413	6 262	5 250	41.2
DRACUT TOWN	20 397	19 909	18 214	2 183	12.0	4 308	3 635	3 001	43.6
DUNSTABLE TOWN.....	1 547	1 517	1 292	255	19.7	4 734	3 933	3 315	42.8
FRAMINGHAM TOWN.....	65 540	65 984	64 048	1 492	2.3	5 556	4 763	3 955	40.5
GROTON TOWN.....	5 489	5 373	5 109	380	7.4	4 522	3 894	3 371	34.1

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
HOLLISTON TOWN.....	12 917	12 969	12 069	848	7.0	5 237	4 270	3 560	47.1
HOPKINTON TOWN.....	6 394	6 214	5 981	413	6.9	4 990	4 266	3 473	43.7
HUDSON TOWN.....	16 950	16 700	16 084	866	5.4	4 418	3 674	3 074	43.7
LEXINGTON TOWN.....	32 310	32 591	31 886	424	1.3	6 740	5 768	4 964	35.8
LINCOLN TOWN.....	7 249	7 495	7 567	-318	-4.2	7 384	6 291	5 571	32.5
LITTLETON TOWN.....	6 619	6 570	6 380	239	3.7	4 975	4 118	3 416	45.6
MAYNARD TOWN.....	9 873	9 802	9 710	163	1.7	4 529	3 820	3 230	40.2
NATICK TOWN.....	30 951	30 884	31 057	-106	-0.3	5 459	4 564	3 811	43.2
NORTH READING TOWN.....	12 134	11 782	11 264	870	7.7	4 704	3 936	3 293	42.8
PEPPERELL TOWN.....	6 780	6 336	5 887	893	15.2	3 917	3 264	2 762	41.8
READING TOWN.....	23 676	23 603	22 539	1 137	5.0	5 518	4 673	3 910	41.1
SHERBORN TOWN.....	4 146	3 890	3 309	837	25.3	6 742	5 833	4 785	40.9
SHIRLEY TOWN.....	4 844	4 992	4 909	-65	-1.3	4 316	3 471	2 885	49.6
STONEHAM TOWN.....	21 524	21 308	20 725	799	3.9	5 321	4 461	3 844	38.4
STOW TOWN.....	4 717	4 375	3 984	733	18.4	5 556	4 567	3 710	49.8
SUDBURY TOWN.....	14 935	14 918	13 506	1 429	10.6	6 342	5 350	4 357	45.6
TEWKSBURY TOWN.....	24 063	24 150	22 755	1 308	5.7	4 094	3 376	2 760	48.3
TOWNSEND TOWN.....	5 167	4 874	4 281	886	20.7	5 320	4 455	3 657	45.5
TYNGSBOROUGH TOWN.....	4 909	4 404	4 204	705	16.8	3 853	3 169	2 727	41.3
WAKEFIELD TOWN.....	25 971	25 805	25 402	569	2.2	5 360	4 522	3 795	41.2
WATERTOWN TOWN.....	35 743	36 933	39 307	-3 564	-9.1	5 225	4 344	3 642	43.5
WAYLAND TOWN.....	13 209	13 317	13 461	-252	-1.9	6 926	5 877	5 039	37.4
WESTFORD TOWN.....	13 109	12 437	10 368	2 741	26.4	4 510	3 825	3 132	44.0
WESTON TOWN.....	11 747	11 482	10 870	877	8.1	9 609	8 535	7 259	32.4
WILMINGTON TOWN.....	17 643	17 567	17 102	541	3.2	4 238	3 510	2 962	43.1
WINCHESTER TOWN.....	22 583	22 648	22 269	314	1.4	7 169	6 202	5 242	36.8
NANTUCKET COUNTY.....	5 660	4 774	3 774	1 886	50.0	4 376	3 756	3 044	43.8
NANTUCKET TOWN.....	5 660	4 774	3 774	1 886	50.0	4 376	3 756	3 044	43.8
NORFOLK COUNTY.....	619 994	617 704	604 854	15 140	2.5	5 605	4 777	4 028	39.2
QUINCY.....	91 494	90 800	87 966	3 528	4.0	5 057	4 239	3 484	45.1
AVON TOWN.....	5 301	5 179	5 295	6	0.1	4 363	3 795	3 104	40.6
BELLINGHAM TOWN.....	14 458	14 566	13 967	491	3.5	4 075	3 406	2 778	46.7
BRAINTREE TOWN.....	36 804	36 014	35 050	1 754	5.0	5 189	4 404	3 599	44.2
BROOKLINE TOWN.....	52 590	55 027	58 689	-6 099	-10.4	7 690	6 706	6 131	25.4
CANTON TOWN.....	18 094	17 900	17 100	994	5.8	5 413	4 602	3 745	44.5
COHASSET TOWN.....	7 803	7 509	6 954	849	12.2	6 931	5 940	5 023	38.0
DEDHAM TOWN.....	26 819	26 976	26 938	-119	-0.4	5 312	4 601	3 800	39.8
DOVER TOWN.....	4 917	4 785	4 529	388	8.6	9 982	9 219	7 434	34.3
FOXBOROUGH TOWN.....	14 658	14 774	14 218	440	3.1	4 636	3 820	3 164	46.5
FRANKLIN TOWN.....	18 348	18 333	17 830	518	2.9	4 093	3 439	2 839	44.2
HOLBROOK TOWN.....	11 816	11 732	11 775	41	0.3	4 396	3 721	3 021	45.5
MEDFIELD TOWN.....	10 021	10 081	9 821	200	2.0	5 864	4 734	3 955	48.3
MEDWAY TOWN.....	8 148	7 869	7 938	210	2.6	4 349	3 650	2 991	45.4
MILLIS TOWN.....	6 561	5 938	5 686	875	15.4	5 237	4 174	3 399	54.1
MILTON TOWN.....	27 397	27 539	27 190	207	0.8	6 605	5 707	4 906	34.6
NEEDHAM TOWN.....	29 802	29 925	29 748	54	0.2	7 010	5 919	5 011	39.9
NORFOLK TOWN.....	6 035	5 501	4 656	1 379	29.6	4 313	3 604	2 821	52.9
NORWOOD TOWN.....	31 179	31 484	30 815	364	1.2	5 407	4 548	3 752	44.1
PLAINVILLE TOWN.....	5 481	5 415	4 953	528	10.7	4 540	3 725	2 982	52.2
RANDOLPH TOWN.....	29 227	28 987	27 035	2 192	8.1	4 830	4 107	3 352	44.1
SHARON TOWN.....	13 621	12 989	12 367	1 254	10.1	6 214	5 219	4 291	44.8
STOUGHTON TOWN.....	25 844	24 956	23 459	2 385	10.2	4 755	3 872	3 119	52.5
WALPOLE TOWN.....	18 437	18 479	18 149	288	1.6	5 120	4 321	3 582	42.9
WELLESLEY TOWN.....	26 980	27 369	28 051	-1 071	-3.8	7 858	6 901	5 981	31.4
WESTWOOD TOWN.....	14 026	13 762	12 750	1 276	10.0	7 040	6 072	5 139	37.0
WEYMOUTH TOWN.....	56 815	56 550	54 610	2 205	4.0	4 869	4 109	3 307	47.2
WRENTHAM TOWN.....	7 320	7 260	7 315	5	0.1	3 687	3 115	2 592	42.2
PLYMOUTH COUNTY.....	379 778	366 169	333 314	46 464	13.9	4 483	3 858	3 216	39.4
BROCKTON.....	95 878	95 074	89 040	6 838	7.7	4 189	3 610	3 073	36.3
ABINGTON TOWN.....	13 503	13 068	12 334	1 169	9.5	4 613	3 967	3 223	43.1
BRIDGEWATER TOWN.....	13 620	13 256	12 911	1 709	5.5	4 272	3 630	2 907	47.0
CARVER TOWN.....	4 392	3 683	2 420	1 972	81.5	3 375	2 979	2 468	36.8
DUXBURY TOWN.....	10 743	9 792	7 636	3 107	40.7	5 864	5 179	4 407	33.1
EAST BRIDGEWATER TOWN.....	9 548	9 471	8 347	1 201	14.4	4 211	3 606	3 009	39.9
HALIFAX TOWN.....	4 775	4 209	3 537	1 238	35.0	4 105	3 451	2 785	47.4
HANOVER TOWN.....	10 656	10 722	10 107	549	5.4	4 723	3 980	3 371	40.1
HANSON TOWN.....	8 449	8 170	7 148	1 301	18.2	4 054	3 510	2 905	39.6
HINGHAM TOWN.....	19 470	19 218	18 845	625	3.3	5 990	5 126	4 234	41.5
HULL TOWN.....	10 573	10 496	9 961	612	6.1	4 348	3 664	3 034	43.3
KINGSTON TOWN.....	6 810	6 691	5 999	811	13.5	4 127	3 608	2 925	41.1
LAKEVILLE TOWN.....	5 160	4 980	4 376	784	17.9	4 520	3 894	3 170	42.6
MARION TOWN.....	3 885	3 749	3 466	419	12.1	4 723	4 265	3 773	25.2

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
MARSHFIELD TOWN.....	19 657	18 303	15 223	4 434	29.1	4 997	4 286	3 524	41.8
MATTAPOISETT TOWN.....	5 432	5 178	4 500	932	20.7	4 884	4 173	3 376	44.7
MIDDLEBOROUGH TOWN.....	14 152	13 906	13 607	545	4.0	4 024	3 459	2 882	39.6
NORWELL TOWN.....	9 083	9 018	7 796	1 287	16.5	5 703	4 830	3 860	47.7
PEMBROKE TOWN.....	12 431	12 097	11 193	1 238	11.1	4 172	3 592	2 979	40.0
PLYMOUTH TOWN.....	27 531	23 780	18 606	8 925	48.0	4 516	3 984	3 336	45.4
PLYMPTON TOWN.....	1 705	1 494	1 224	481	39.3	4 326	3 865	3 183	35.9
ROCHESTER TOWN.....	2 315	2 012	1 770	545	30.8	4 414	3 668	3 117	41.6
ROCKLAND TOWN.....	17 064	16 337	15 674	1 390	8.9	3 865	3 260	2 730	41.6
SCITUATE TOWN.....	17 762	17 723	16 973	789	4.6	5 151	4 408	3 712	38.8
WAREHAM TOWN.....	15 266	14 152	11 492	3 774	32.8	3 710	3 259	2 680	38.4
WEST BRIDGEWATER TOWN.....	6 442	6 462	6 070	372	6.1	4 111	3 523	2 861	43.7
WHITMAN TOWN.....	13 475	13 131	13 059	416	3.2	4 305	3 670	3 080	39.8
SUFFOLK COUNTY.....	722 794	720 090	735 190	-12 396	-1.7	4 189	3 681	3 103	35.0
BOSTON.....	636 725	631 820	641 071	-4 346	-0.7	4 157	3 663	3 095	34.3
CHELSEA.....	24 716	27 515	30 625	-5 909	-19.3	3 957	3 460	2 846	39.0
REVERE.....	41 078	40 863	43 159	-2 081	-4.8	4 492	3 883	3 230	39.1
WINTHROP TOWN.....	20 276	19 892	20 335	-59	-0.3	4 868	4 140	3 472	40.2
WORCESTER COUNTY.....	648 095	647 954	637 037	11 058	1.7	4 472	3 766	3 189	40.2
FITCHBURG.....	38 976	41 580	43 343	-4 367	-10.1	4 228	3 568	2 992	41.3
GARDNER.....	19 233	19 630	19 748	-515	-2.6	4 425	3 756	3 125	41.6
LEOMINSTER.....	35 493	34 861	32 939	2 554	7.8	4 574	3 876	3 260	40.3
WORCESTER.....	171 566	174 633	176 572	-5 006	-2.8	4 435	3 752	3 239	36.9
ASHBURNHAM TOWN.....	3 847	3 827	3 484	363	10.4	4 300	3 569	3 010	42.9
ATHOL TOWN.....	10 772	11 058	11 185	-413	-3.7	4 374	3 589	3 107	40.8
AUBURN TOWN.....	15 569	15 544	15 347	222	1.4	4 695	3 887	3 344	40.4
BARRE TOWN.....	3 983	3 958	3 825	158	4.1	4 127	3 474	3 017	36.8
BERLIN TOWN.....	2 283	2 222	2 099	184	8.8	4 430	3 802	3 135	41.3
BLACKSTONE TOWN.....	6 463	6 498	6 566	-103	-1.6	3 724	3 214	2 644	40.8
BOLTON TOWN.....	2 451	2 235	1 905	546	28.7	5 306	4 313	3 663	44.9
BOYLSTON TOWN.....	3 353	3 110	2 774	579	20.9	5 010	4 152	3 609	38.8
BROOKFIELD TOWN.....	2 176	2 254	2 063	113	5.5	4 109	3 386	2 856	43.9
CHARLTON TOWN.....	5 653	5 082	4 654	999	21.5	3 904	3 333	2 785	40.2
CLINTON TOWN.....	12 934	13 416	13 383	-449	-3.4	4 248	3 635	3 061	38.8
DOUGLAS TOWN.....	3 178	3 083	2 947	231	7.8	4 187	3 530	3 006	39.3
DUDLEY TOWN.....	7 816	7 932	8 087	-271	-3.4	4 148	3 540	3 023	37.2
EAST BROOKFIELD TOWN.....	1 986	1 948	1 800	186	10.3	3 981	3 506	3 206	24.2
GRAFTON TOWN.....	10 511	10 856	11 659	-1 148	-9.8	4 425	3 640	3 115	42.1
HARDWICK TOWN.....	2 154	2 243	2 379	-225	-9.5	4 125	3 485	2 880	43.2
HARVARD TOWN.....	11 726	11 298	12 494	-768	-6.1	4 181	3 551	3 048	37.2
HOLDEN TOWN.....	13 623	13 090	12 564	1 059	8.4	5 607	4 658	4 044	38.6
HOPEDALE TOWN.....	3 960	4 102	4 292	-332	-7.7	4 383	3 725	3 043	44.0
HUBBARDSTON TOWN.....	1 656	1 438	1 437	219	15.2	3 782	3 012	2 627	44.0
LANCASTER TOWN.....	6 164	6 108	6 095	69	1.1	4 109	3 499	2 984	37.7
LEICESTER TOWN.....	8 993	9 027	9 140	-147	-1.6	4 138	3 494	2 963	39.7
LUNENBURG TOWN.....	8 188	7 783	7 419	769	10.4	4 656	3 894	3 278	42.0
MENDON TOWN.....	2 719	2 553	2 524	195	7.7	4 695	3 971	3 183	47.5
MILFORD TOWN.....	23 553	22 402	19 352	4 201	21.7	4 432	3 815	3 095	43.2
MILLBURY TOWN.....	12 111	12 000	11 987	124	1.0	4 258	3 595	3 073	38.6
MILLVILLE TOWN.....	1 738	1 742	1 764	-26	-1.5	3 055	2 616	2 187	39.7
NEW BRAINTREE TOWN.....	700	636	631	69	10.9	4 336	3 620	3 326	30.4
NORTHBOROUGH TOWN.....	10 606	10 402	9 218	1 388	15.1	4 905	4 082	3 332	47.2
NORTHBRIDGE TOWN.....	12 141	11 904	11 795	346	2.9	4 341	3 612	2 981	45.6
NORTH BROOKFIELD TOWN.....	4 151	4 035	3 967	184	4.6	3 976	3 377	2 915	36.4
OAKHAM TOWN.....	851	804	730	121	16.6	5 176	4 198	3 299	56.9
OXFORD TOWN.....	10 826	10 693	10 345	481	4.6	3 935	3 276	2 787	41.2
PAXTON TOWN.....	3 834	3 822	3 731	103	2.8	5 226	4 323	3 572	46.3
PETERSHAM TOWN.....	1 128	1 107	1 014	114	11.2	4 262	3 512	2 967	43.6
PHILLIPSTON TOWN.....	968	945	872	96	11.0	3 591	2 977	2 530	41.9
PRINCETON TOWN.....	2 089	1 737	1 681	408	24.3	5 221	4 483	3 531	47.9
ROYALSTON TOWN.....	881	867	809	72	8.9	3 726	3 260	2 613	42.6
RUTLAND TOWN.....	3 780	3 522	3 198	582	18.2	4 660	3 800	3 196	45.8
SHREWSBURY TOWN.....	22 039	21 337	19 196	2 843	14.8	5 391	4 523	3 805	41.7
SOUTHBOROUGH TOWN.....	6 334	6 292	5 798	536	9.2	5 710	4 875	4 054	40.8
SOUTHBRIDGE TOWN.....	16 832	16 972	17 057	-225	-1.3	4 457	3 812	3 277	36.0
SPENCER TOWN.....	9 949	9 461	8 779	1 170	13.3	4 152	3 400	2 947	40.9
STERLING TOWN.....	4 936	4 708	4 247	689	16.2	4 874	4 030	3 345	45.7
STURBRIDGE TOWN.....	5 540	5 333	4 878	662	13.6	5 061	4 200	3 628	39.5
SUTTON TOWN.....	4 987	4 858	4 590	397	8.6	4 456	3 808	3 171	40.5
TEMPLETON TOWN.....	6 066	5 978	5 863	203	3.5	3 632	3 018	2 585	40.5
UPTON TOWN.....	3 785	3 697	3 484	301	8.6	4 305	3 572	2 956	45.6
UXBRIDGE TOWN.....	8 514	8 400	8 253	261	3.2	4 030	3 408	2 857	41.1
WARREN TOWN.....	3 426	3 576	3 633	-207	-5.7	3 730	3 262	2 935	27.1
WEBSTER TOWN.....	14 369	14 820	14 917	-548	-3.7	4 190	3 535	3 042	37.7
WESTBOROUGH TOWN.....	13 980	14 057	12 594	1 386	11.0	5 023	4 218	3 390	48.2

14 MASS.

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
WEST BOYLSTON TOWN.....	6 252	6 425	6 369	-117	-1.8	5 569	4 524	3 826	45.6
WEST BROOKFIELD TOWN.....	2 932	2 914	2 653	279	10.5	4 186	3 641	2 992	39.9
WESTMINSTER TOWN.....	4 533	4 349	4 273	260	6.1	5 002	4 068	3 356	49.0
WINCHENDON TOWN.....	6 839	6 788	6 635	204	3.1	3 980	3 471	2 900	37.2

1975 Population and Per Capita Income Estimates, and Revised 1973 Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places, and Selected Minor Civil Divisions

(Reports may not be published in numerical order)

No. 649	Alabama	No. 674	Montana
No. 650	Alaska	No. 675	Nebraska
No. 651	Arizona	No. 676	Nevada
No. 652	Arkansas	No. 677	New Hampshire
No. 653	California	No. 678	New Jersey
No. 654	Colorado	No. 679	New Mexico
No. 655	Connecticut	No. 680	New York
No. 656	Delaware	No. 681	North Carolina
No. 657	Florida	No. 682	North Dakota
No. 658	Georgia	No. 683	Ohio
No. 659	Hawaii	No. 684	Oklahoma
No. 660	Idaho	No. 685	Oregon
No. 661	Illinois	No. 686	Pennsylvania
No. 662	Indiana	No. 687	Rhode Island
No. 663	Iowa	No. 688	South Carolina
No. 664	Kansas	No. 689	South Dakota
No. 665	Kentucky	No. 690	Tennessee
No. 666	Louisiana	No. 691	Texas
No. 667	Maine	No. 692	Utah
No. 668	Maryland	No. 693	Vermont
No. 669	Massachusetts	No. 694	Virginia
No. 670	Michigan	No. 695	Washington
No. 671	Minnesota	No. 696	West Virginia
No. 672	Mississippi	No. 697	Wisconsin
No. 673	Missouri	No. 698	Wyoming
		No. 699	U.S. Summary and Detailed Methodology