



U.S. Department of Commerce  
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

# Population Estimates and Projections

Series P-25, No. 677  
Issued April 1977

## 1973 (Revised) and 1975 Population Estimates and 1972 (Revised) and 1974 Per Capita Income Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places, and Selected Minor Civil Divisions In New Hampshire

This report is one of a series containing current estimates of the population and per capita money income for selected areas in each State. The population estimates relate to July 1, 1973 and July 1, 1975, and the estimates of per capita income cover calendar years 1972 and 1974. Current estimates of population below the county level and per capita money income for all general purpose governments were prompted by the enactment of the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. The figures are now used by a wide variety of Federal, State, and local governmental agencies for program planning and administrative purposes.

Areas included in this series of reports are all counties (or county equivalents such as census divisions in Alaska, parishes in Louisiana, and independent cities in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia) and incorporated places in the State, plus active minor civil divisions (MCD's), commonly towns in New England, New York, and Wisconsin, or townships in other parts of the United States.<sup>1</sup> These State reports appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, in alphabetical sequence as report number 649 (Alabama) through number 698 (Wyoming). A list indicating the report number for

each State is appended. No separate report is to be issued for the District of Columbia. However, the estimates for the District of Columbia, together with a summary table for all States, will be presented in a report detailing the methods used to estimate income and population, and will contain further evaluation of the estimates. This report will appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 699.

The detailed table for each State shows July 1, 1975 and revised July 1, 1973 estimates of the population of each area, together with April 1, 1970 census population and numerical and percentage change between 1970 and 1975. The 1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and include corrections to the 1970 census counts. In addition, the table presents per capita income estimates for calendar years 1974 and 1972 (revised), plus calendar year 1969 per capita money income derived from data collected in the 1970 census.

The estimates are presented in the table in county order, with all incorporated places in the county listed in alphabetical order, followed by any functioning minor civil divisions also listed in alphabetical order. Minor civil divisions are always identified in the listing by the term "township," "town," or other MCD category. When incorporated places fall in more than one county, each county piece is marked "part," and totals for these places are presented at the end of the table.

<sup>1</sup> In certain midwestern States (Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and the Dakotas) some counties have active minor civil divisions while others do not.

## POPULATION ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

To estimate the population of each subcounty area, a component procedure (the Administrative Records method) was used, with each of the components of population change (births, deaths, net migration, and special populations) estimated separately. The estimates were derived in two stages, moving from 1970 as a base year to develop estimates for 1973, and in turn, moving from 1973 as the base year to derive estimates for 1975.

**Migration.** Individual Federal income tax returns were used to measure migration by matching individual returns for successive periods. The places of residence on tax returns filed in the base year and in the estimate year were noted for matched returns to determine in-migrants, out-migrants, and nonmigrants for each area. A net migration rate was derived, based on the difference between the immigration and out-migration of taxpayers and dependents, and was applied to a base population to yield an estimate of net migration for all persons in the area.

**Natural increase.** Reported resident birth and death statistics were used, wherever available, to estimate natural increase. These data were collected from State health departments and supplemented, where necessary, by data prepared and published by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Center for Health Statistics. For subcounty areas where reported birth and death statistics were not available from either source, estimates were developed by applying national fertility and mortality rates to the 1970 census counts for the cohort of the female population 18 to 34 years old and to the total population 65 years old and over, respectively, in these areas. These estimates were subsequently controlled to agree with birth and death statistics for larger areas where reported data were available.

**Adjustment for special populations.** In addition to the above components of population change, estimates of special populations were also taken into account. Special populations include immigrants from abroad, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, residents of institutions (prisons and long-term health care facilities), and college students enrolled in full-time programs. These populations were treated separately because changes in these types of population groups are not reflected in the components of population change developed by standard measures, and the information is generally available for use as an independent series.

In generating estimates for counties by this procedure, the method was modified slightly to make the county estimates specific to the resident population under 65 years of age. The resident population 65 years old and over in counties was estimated separately by adding the change in Medicare enrollees between April 1, 1970 and July 1 of the estimate year to the April 1, 1970 population 65 years old and over in the county as enumerated in the 1970 census. These estimates of the population 65 years old and over were then added to estimates of the population under 65 years old to yield estimates of the total resident population in each county.

**Annexations and new incorporations.** The 1970 census counts shown in this report reflect all population "corrections" made to the figures after the initial tabulations. In addition, adjustments for large annexations through December 31, 1975, are reflected in the estimates.<sup>2</sup> For new incorporations occurring after 1970, the 1970 population within the boundaries of the new areas are shown in the detailed table. This geographic updating is accomplished largely as a result of an annual boundary and annexation survey conducted by the Bureau of the Census.

**Other adjustments.** For areas where special censuses were conducted after July 1, 1972, such special censuses were taken into account in developing the estimates.<sup>3</sup> In several States, the subcounty estimates developed by the Administrative Records method were averaged with estimates for corresponding geographic areas which were prepared by

---

<sup>2</sup>In general, an annexation was included if the 1970 census count for the annexing area was 5,000 or more and the 1970 census count for the annexed area or areas exceeded 5 percent of the 1970 count for the annexing area. Adjustments were also made for a limited number of "unusual" annexations where the annexations for an area did not meet the minimum requirements but were accepted by the Office of Revenue Sharing for inclusion in the population base.

<sup>3</sup>Only special censuses conducted by the Bureau of the Census or by the California, Florida, Oregon, or Washington State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates were used for this purpose. In addition, in a relatively small number of cases where special censuses were conducted by localities, where the procedures and definitions were essentially the same as those used by the Bureau of the Census, the results of these special censuses were also taken into account in preparing the estimates.

State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates (FSCP). These States include California, Florida, Oregon, Washington, and Wisconsin.

The estimates for the subareas in each county were adjusted to independent county estimates. For 1973, the county estimates are revisions to those prepared by the Bureau of the Census alone or by the Bureau of the Census in conjunction with participating State agencies as a part of the Federal-State Cooperative Program. These estimates are revisions of those published in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 620. For 1975, an intermediate set of county estimates was prepared, since all of the data necessary to develop final estimates under the FSCP program were not available. Specifically, only data for two of the methods relied upon in the FSCP estimates (i.e., Component Method II and the Administrative Records method) were available. The 1975 estimates result from adding the average 1974-1975 population change indicated by the two methods to the 1974 county population figures contained in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26.

The county estimates, in turn, were adjusted to be consistent with independent State estimates published by the Bureau of the Census in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 640 and 642, in which the Administrative Records-based estimates were averaged with the estimates prepared using Component Method II and the Regression method.<sup>4</sup>

#### PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

The 1974 and revised 1972 per capita income (PCI) figure is the estimated average amount per person of total money income received during calendar years 1974 and 1972 for all persons residing in a given political jurisdiction in April 1975 and April 1973, respectively. The 1974 and revised 1972 PCI estimates are based on the 1970 census and have been updated using rates of change developed from various administrative record sets and compilations, mainly from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA).

<sup>4</sup>For further discussion of the methodologies used in preparing State estimates, see **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 640.

The PCI estimates are based on a money income concept. Total money income is defined by the Bureau of the Census for statistical purposes as the sum of:

- Wage and salary income
- Net nonfarm self-employment income
- Net farm self-employment income
- Social Security and railroad retirement income
- Public assistance income
- All other income such as interest, dividends, veteran's payments, pensions, unemployment insurance, alimony, etc.

The total represents the amount of income received before deductions for personal income taxes, Social Security, bond purchases, union dues, Medicare deductions, etc.

#### Procedures for State and county PCI estimates.

As noted above, the 1974 and revised 1972 State and county PCI estimates were based on the 1970 census.<sup>5</sup> The updates for these areas were developed by carrying forward the aggregate amount (i.e., the sum of all individual incomes in the State or county) independently for each type of income identified in the census to reflect differential changes in these income sources between 1969 and the estimate date. Data from the 1969, 1972, and 1974 Federal tax returns provided by the Internal Revenue Service were used to estimate the change in wage and salary income at the State and county level. All other types of income for these governmental units were updated using rates of change based on estimates of aggregate money income provided by the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

At the county level, several modifications of these procedures were used to better control the estimates of income change. For example, the IRS data for sub-State jurisdictions were subject to non-reporting of address information on the tax return and to misassignment of geographic location for reported addresses. To minimize the impact on the estimates from such potential sources of error, per capita wage and salary income for counties was updated intact as a per capita figure using the percentage change in wage and salary income per exemption reported on IRS returns. In addition, because of differences in the definition of income, data collection techniques, and estimation procedures, 1969 in-

<sup>5</sup>Income data from the 1970 census reflect income received in calendar year 1969.

come estimates from the census and BEA were not strictly comparable. These differences were especially evident at the county level for nonfarm and farm self-employment income. BEA estimates for these types of income tend to have considerably more year-to-year variation than estimates derived from surveys and censuses. To minimize the effects of these differences, constraints were put on the rate of change in income from these sources in developing the 1972 and 1974 PCI updates.

As a final step to insure a uniform series of estimates at the State and county levels, the updated county per capita figures were converted to a total aggregate income and were adjusted to agree with the State aggregate level before a final per capita income was calculated.

**Procedures for subcounty per capita income estimates.** The 1974 and revised 1972 per capita income estimates for subcounty governmental units were developed using a methodology similar to that used to derive county-level figures. However, there are differences in the number of separate categories of income types used in the estimation procedure, and in the sources used to update the income components.

As in the case of the population estimates, a two-step procedure was relied upon to update the income figures from their 1969 level to refer to 1974. The 1972 estimates were prepared using the rate of change from 1969 to 1972. The 1974 estimates are based on the 1972 estimates, and were updated by an estimate of change from 1972 to 1974. Also, as in the case of the population figures, the subcounty income data were uniformly adjusted to reflect major annexation and boundary changes which occurred since 1970.

**1969 base estimates.** The 1970 census PCI figures for small areas are subject to sizable sampling variability, causing them to lack sufficient statistical reliability for use in the estimation process. For this report, the 1969 PCI shown for areas with a 1970 census sample population estimate of less than 1,000 is a weighted average of the original 1970 census sample value and a regression estimate. Research has indicated that this procedure results in a considerable improvement in accuracy compared to the procedure relied upon in earlier estimates, which was to use the county PCI amount for various small governmental units. The resulting 1969 estimate for each of these areas is a base estimate for preparing 1972 and 1974 estimates and does not represent a change in the 1970 census value for these areas.

For subcounty updating, 1969 total money income was divided into two components: (1) "taxable income" which is approximately comparable to that portion of income included in IRS adjusted gross income, and (2) "transfer income" which for the most part is not included in adjusted gross income. These 1969 subcounty estimates were adjusted to 1970 census totals for higher level government units. This was done using a two-way adjustment procedure controlling both to county totals and to several size class totals for the State.<sup>6</sup>

**1972 (revised) and 1974 PCI updates.** The taxable income portion of the 1969 money income was updated using the percent change in adjusted gross income (AGI) per exemption as computed from IRS tax return data. However, if the number of IRS tax returns for any area was very small, or if the ratio of exemptions to the population or the change in this ratio from 1969 to 1972 and 1972 to 1974 was not within an acceptable range, the IRS data for the subcounty area were not used in the update process. In such cases the percent change in AGI per exemption for the county was used. Similarly, if the IRS data for a particular subcounty area passed the above conditions, but the percent change in AGI per exemption was excessively large or small compared to that for the county, the change was constrained to a proportion of the county change.

The percentage change in per capita transfer income at the subcounty level was assumed to be the same as that implied by the BEA estimates at the county level.

The 1974 and 1972 estimates of taxable income and transfer income were adjusted separately using a two-way procedure similar to that used for the base estimates and were then combined to estimate total money income. The 1974 and 1972 PCI estimates were formed by dividing the total money income aggregates by the July 1975 and 1973 population estimates, respectively.

#### REVISION OF 1973 POPULATION AND 1972 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES

The July 1, 1973 population and calendar year 1972 per capita income estimates presented in this report supersede those estimates published earlier in

<sup>6</sup> Additional review and evaluation detail concerning the 1969 estimated income for places under 1,000 population is contained in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, No. 699.

**Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 546 through 595. The July 1, 1973 population estimates shown in this report differ from those published previously for several reasons: (1) The procedure for correcting missing address information on the original tax forms was changed to more accurately reflect the population distribution of the various areas; (2) more accurate and up-to-date information on several components of population change (births, deaths, and special population groups) are now available; (3) the net migration component has been changed from a civilian population base to refer instead to the non-group quarters population (i.e., resident population excluding members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, inmates of long-term hospitals and prisons, and full-time students enrolled in college); and (4) additional special censuses are available for use that were conducted since the time of the last estimates.

Similarly for per capita income: (1) The 1969 income levels for small areas have been estimated rather than relying upon reported 1970 census figures, and (2) a revised procedure was used in controlling the 1972 estimates for internal agreement.

### LIMITATIONS OF THE ESTIMATES

**Population estimates.** Tests of the accuracy of the methods used to develop State and county population estimates appearing in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26 have been documented elsewhere. The results of evaluations against the 1970 census at the State level are reported in Series P-25, No. 520, while similar 1970 tests for counties are presented in Series P-26, No. 21. In summary, the State estimates averaging Component Method II and the Regression method yielded average differences of approximately 1.9 percent when compared to the 1970 census. Subsequent modifications of the two procedures that have been incorporated in preparing estimates for the 1970's would have reduced the average difference in 1970 to 1.2 percent. For counties, the 1970 evaluations indicated an average difference of approximately 4.5 percent for the combination of procedures used. It should be noted that all of the evaluations against the results of the 1970 census concern estimates extending over the entire 10-year period of 1960 to 1970.

Since 1970, however, the Administrative Records method has been introduced with partial weight in

the estimates for States and counties, and except for the few States in which local estimates are utilized, carries the full weight for estimates below the county level. The data series upon which the estimates procedure is based has been available as a comprehensive series for the entire United States only since 1967. Nonetheless, several studies have been undertaken evaluating the Administrative Records estimates from the State to the local level. At the State-wide level, little direct testing can be performed due to the lack of special censuses covering entire States. Some sense of the general reasonableness of the Administrative Records estimates may be obtained, however, by reviewing the degree of correspondence between the results of the method against those of the "standard" methods tested in 1970 and already in use to produce State estimates during the 1970's. It must be recognized that the differences between the two sets of estimates may not be interpreted as errors in either set of figures, but may only be used as a partial guide indicating the degree of consistency between the newer Administrative Records system and the established methods.

Table A presents such a comparison for State estimates referring to July 1, 1975. A rather close agreement may be observed in the estimates for all States at only a 1.0 percent difference. Only two States exceeded a 3-percent difference, with both being smaller States (under one million population) and both having unique circumstances that affect population patterns (Alaska and the District of Columbia). The variation of the Administrative Records method from the average of the other methods does increase noticeably for smaller States in a regular pattern, but still reaches an average of only 1.5 percent for the smallest size category.

The findings indicate no directional bias in the Administrative Records method either for all States or by size. It should also be noted that the Administrative Records estimate falls in the middle of the three estimates for 18 States, in contrast with approximately 17 cases to be expected by chance.

A similar comparison may be made at the county level (table B). Although the differences between the Co-op estimates and the Administrative Records results are larger at the county level than for States, the variations are well within the range that would be expected for areas of this population size, and the county pattern matches closely the findings for States. The overall differences for all counties is 3.3 percent, and ranges from 1.8 percent for the larger counties to 11.7 for the 26 small counties under 1,000 population.

**Table A. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Average of Component Method II and Regression Estimates for States: 1975**

(Base is the average of Method II and Regression estimates)

Item	All States	Population size in 1970		
		4 million and over	1.5 to 4 million	Less than 1.5 million
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	1.0	0.5	0.9	1.5
Number of States.....	51	16	18	17
With differences of:				
Less than 1 percent.....	32	14	12	6
1 to 2 percent.....	13	2	4	7
2 percent and over.....	6	-	2	4
Where Administrative Records was:				
Higher.....	24	7	9	8
Lower.....	27	9	9	9

- Represents zero.

**Table B. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Provisional Co-op Estimates for Counties: 1975**

(Base is the provisional Co-op estimates for counties)

Item	All counties	Counties with 1,000 or more 1970 population					Counties with less than 1,000 1970 population
		Total	50,000 or more	25,000 to 50,000	10,000 to 25,000	1,000 to 10,000	
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	3.3	3.2	1.8	2.7	3.2	4.4	11.7
Number of counties or equivalents.....	3,143	3,117	679	567	1,017	854	26
With differences of:							
Less than 1 percent.....	736	733	215	159	228	131	3
1 to 3 percent.....	1,153	1,145	311	213	373	248	8
3 to 5 percent.....	647	645	109	123	212	201	2
5 to 10 percent.....	471	467	42	58	167	200	4
10 percent and over.....	136	127	2	14	37	74	9

Comparison of these results for States and counties in 1975 with a similar analysis based on 1973 estimates is helpful as an indication of consistency over time. Some deterioration in the match of results from a selection of estimating techniques should be anticipated as the length of the estimating period increases and as the methods respond in varying degrees to the dynamics of population shifts. At the State level, such divergence is found. The overall variation increased from 0.6 percent difference in 1973 to 1.0 percent in 1975, with the most dramatic jumps occurring in the small States. On examination of the independent estimates from each method, however, this may be attributed as much to an increased variability in the Method II and Regression method results as to a tendency for the Administrative Records estimates to wander.

At the county level, the findings over time are more mixed. The level of difference for all counties indicates little change since the 1973 estimates (3.1 percent difference in 1973 and 3.3 percent in 1975). There are noticeable reductions in the differences for the largest and smallest population size categories (from 2.3 percent in 1973 to 1.8 percent in 1975 for counties of 50,000 or more, and from 18.1 percent to 11.7 percent for counties under 1,000 population), but modest increases may be observed in the variations for the remaining categories. In general, there appears to be some decrease of correspondence in the State level figures that should be monitored in coming years, but little change has occurred in the county variations, with even some convergence of estimates for the larger and smaller counties.

Three tests of the Administrative Records population estimates against census counts have been undertaken. First, a limited evaluation involving 24 large areas (16 counties and 8 cities) was conducted on estimates for the 1968-1970 period.<sup>7</sup> Although the test shows the estimates to be quite accurate (1.8 percent difference), the areas may not be assumed to be representative of the 39,000 units of government covered by the Administrative Records estimating system, and the time segment evaluated refers only to a 2-year period.

A more representative group of special censuses in 86 areas selected particularly for evaluation purposes was conducted in 1973. The areas were randomly chosen nationwide to be typical of areas with populations below 20,000 persons.

Table C summarizes the average percent difference between the estimates from the Administrative Records method and counts from the 86 special censuses. Overall, the estimates differed from the special census counts by 5.9 percent, with the largest differences occurring in the smallest areas. Areas of between 1,000 and 20,000 population differed by 4.6 percent, while the average difference for the 27 areas below 1,000 population was 8.6 percent. There was a slight positive directional bias,

<sup>7</sup>Meyer Zitter and David L. Word, U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Use of Administrative Records for Small Area Population Estimates," unpublished paper prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 27, 1973.

**Table C. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates (Unrevised) and 86 Special Censuses: 1973**

(Base is special census)

Area	Average percent difference <sup>1</sup>	Number of areas with differences of:			
		Under 3 percent	3 to 5 percent	5 to 10 percent	10 percent and over
All areas (86) <sup>2</sup> .....	5.9	32	18	20	16
1,000 to 20,000 (59).....	4.6	26	13	14	6
Under 1,000 population (27).....	8.6	6	5	6	10

<sup>1</sup>Disregarding sign.

<sup>2</sup>All areas have population under 20,000 persons.

with about 60 percent of the estimates exceeding the census counts. Again the impact of population size on the expected level of accuracy may be noted. Even though all of the areas in this study are relatively small—less than 20,000 population—the larger ones demonstrate much lower variation from census figures than the smaller ones.

The third evaluation involving census comparisons is currently underway, and is based upon the approximately 2,000 special censuses that have been conducted since 1970 at the request of localities throughout the United States. Such areas constitute a fairly stringent test for any method in that they are generally very small areas, often are experiencing rapid population growth, and frequently are found to have had a vigorous program of annexation since the last census. This evaluation study has not been completed for use here but will be included in detail as a part of the comprehensive methodology description in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, No. 699.

As a final caution, it must be noted that for convenience in presentation, the estimates contained in table I are shown in unrounded form. It is not intended, however, that the figures be considered accurate to the last digit. The nature of estimates prompts the rounding of figures in related Bureau reports and must be kept in mind during the application of the estimates contained here.

**Per capita income estimates.** Similar types of analyses and evaluation are not available for the updated estimates of PCI. Income data and PCI for 1972 are available for the 86 areas in which special censuses were conducted for testing purposes. As noted, however, the areas in which the censuses were taken are relatively small. The PCI estimates are based upon data from the 1970 census, which are subject to sampling variability due to the size of

the areas. Consequently, PCI did not change enough in the 1970-72 period in most instances to move outside of the relatively large range of sampling variability associated with the 1970 census results on income for small areas. Thus, it is not possible to obtain a reliable reading or even rough approximations on the accuracy of the change in PCI using the 86 areas as standards. The estimates were made available to persons working with economic statistics in each State for review prior to publication. Comments from this "local" review helped identify problem areas and input data errors.

## RELATED REPORTS

The population and per capita income estimates shown in this series of reports supersede those found in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Nos. 546 through 595 for 1973. The population estimates contained here for States are consistent with Series P-25, No. 533 (1973) and No. 642 (1975). The county estimates for 1975 are superior to the provisional 1975 figures published earlier in Series P-25 and P-26 due to the addition of a second method, but will not be reported elsewhere in *Current Population Reports*. The county population estimates will be replaced by subsequent final 1975 figures to be developed through the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates.

## DETAILED TABLE SYMBOLS

In the detailed table entries, a dash "--" represents zero, and the symbol "Z" indicates that the figure is less than 0.05 percent. The symbol "B" means that the base for the derived figure is less than 75,000. Three dots "... " mean not applicable, and "NA" means not available.

**Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS**

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE.	811 804	792 532	737 681	74 123	10.0	4 281	3 648	2 985	43.4
BELKNAP COUNTY.....	35 858	34 785	32 367	3 491	10.8	4 378	3 775	3 065	42.8
LACONIA.....	14 760	15 045	14 888	-128	-0.9	4 651	4 017	3 205	45.1
ALTON TOWN.....	1 892	1 795	1 647	245	14.9	3 848	3 513	2 695	42.8
BARNSTEAD TOWN.....	1 530	1 345	1 119	411	36.7	4 031	3 531	2 932	37.5
BELMONT TOWN.....	3 064	2 924	2 493	571	22.9	3 853	3 249	2 708	42.3
CENTER HARBOR TOWN.....	620	568	540	80	14.8	3 683	3 463	2 597	41.8
GILFORD TOWN.....	4 186	3 706	3 219	967	30.0	5 121	4 283	3 640	40.7
GILMANTON TOWN.....	1 347	1 173	1 010	337	33.4	3 677	3 122	2 626	40.0
MEREDITH TOWN.....	3 379	3 260	2 904	475	16.4	4 413	3 840	3 187	38.5
NEW HAMPTON TOWN.....	1 001	1 017	946	55	5.8	3 490	3 039	2 686	29.9
SANBORNTON TOWN.....	1 241	1 116	1 022	219	21.4	3 953	3 469	2 681	47.4
TILTON TOWN.....	2 839	2 835	2 579	260	10.1	3 901	3 280	2 601	50.0
CARROLL COUNTY.....	22 442	21 064	18 548	3 894	21.0	3 847	3 466	2 774	38.7
ALBANY TOWN.....	329	306	259	70	27.0	3 080	2 778	2 225	38.4
BARTLETT TOWN.....	1 324	1 210	1 098	226	20.6	3 780	3 289	2 850	32.6
BROOKFIELD TOWN.....	272	239	198	74	37.4	3 802	3 379	2 827	34.5
CHATHAM TOWN.....	111	99	134	-23	-17.2	3 775	3 142	2 518	49.9
CTR CONWAY TOWN.....	5 808	5 531	4 865	943	19.4	3 681	3 337	2 713	35.7
EATON TOWN.....	241	204	221	20	9.0	3 602	3 358	2 679	34.5
EFFINGHAM TOWN.....	424	406	360	64	17.8	4 161	3 515	2 817	47.7
FREEDOM TOWN.....	581	490	387	194	50.1	3 336	2 778	2 035	63.9
HARTS LOCATION TOWN.....	3	4	7	-4	-57.1	5 253	4 738	3 794	38.5
JACKSON TOWN.....	555	490	404	151	37.4	3 201	3 141	2 778	15.2
MADISON TOWN.....	787	716	572	215	37.6	4 284	4 076	3 071	39.5
MOULTONBOROUGH TOWN.....	1 669	1 542	1 310	359	27.4	3 066	3 006	2 238	37.0
OSSIPEE TOWN.....	2 042	1 903	1 647	395	24.0	3 721	3 337	2 753	35.2
SANDWICH TOWN.....	814	803	666	148	22.2	5 332	4 878	3 962	34.6
TAMWORTH TOWN.....	1 179	1 143	1 054	125	11.9	2 930	2 548	2 167	35.2
TUFTONBORO TOWN.....	1 225	1 085	910	315	34.6	5 924	4 923	3 768	57.2
WAKEFIELD TOWN.....	1 747	1 648	1 420	327	23.0	3 477	3 155	2 560	35.8
WOLFEBORG TOWN.....	3 332	3 245	3 036	296	9.7	4 170	3 793	2 932	42.2
CHESHIRE COUNTY.....	56 853	55 406	52 364	4 489	8.6	4 201	3 568	2 999	40.1
KEENE.....	21 107	21 013	20 467	640	3.1	4 364	3 703	3 129	39.5
ALSTEAD TOWN.....	1 439	1 292	1 185	254	21.4	3 429	3 072	2 386	43.7
CHESTERFIELD TOWN.....	2 229	2 032	1 817	412	22.7	3 967	3 527	2 947	34.6
DUBLIN TOWN.....	1 085	971	837	248	29.6	4 799	4 320	3 735	28.5
FITZWILLIAM TOWN.....	1 543	1 554	1 362	181	13.3	3 870	3 151	2 806	37.9
GILSUM TOWN.....	586	632	570	16	2.8	4 118	3 435	2 764	49.0
HARRISVILLE TOWN.....	569	587	584	-15	-2.6	4 466	3 615	2 996	49.1
HINSDALE TOWN.....	3 581	3 478	3 276	305	9.3	3 977	3 392	2 973	33.8
JAFFREY TOWN.....	3 674	3 525	3 353	321	9.6	4 758	4 002	3 170	50.1
MARLBOROUGH TOWN.....	1 745	1 792	1 671	74	4.4	4 312	3 500	2 945	46.4
MARLOW TOWN.....	505	460	390	115	29.5	3 390	2 660	2 419	40.1
NELSON TOWN.....	460	364	304	156	51.3	3 576	3 286	2 649	35.0
RICHMOND TOWN.....	371	336	287	84	29.3	4 085	3 290	2 585	58.0
RINDGE TOWN.....	2 520	2 469	2 175	345	15.9	3 658	3 188	2 655	37.8
ROXBURY TOWN.....	179	170	161	18	11.2	3 798	3 215	2 696	40.9
STODDARD TOWN.....	317	273	242	75	31.0	4 264	3 911	3 443	23.8
SULLIVAN TOWN.....	425	401	376	-49	-13.0	2 995	2 750	2 306	29.9
SURRY TOWN.....	496	461	507	-11	-2.2	4 346	3 545	2 985	45.6
SWANZEY TOWN.....	4 698	4 611	4 254	444	10.4	4 449	3 781	3 240	37.3
TROY TOWN.....	1 953	1 808	1 713	240	14.0	3 395	3 023	2 575	31.8
WALPOLE TOWN.....	3 025	3 028	2 966	59	2.0	4 492	3 526	2 797	60.6
WESTMORELAND TOWN.....	1 069	1 065	998	71	7.1	4 292	3 533	2 945	45.7
WINCHESTER TOWN.....	3 276	3 085	2 869	407	14.2	3 686	3 221	2 744	34.3
COOS COUNTY.....	35 453	34 829	34 291	1 162	3.4	3 688	3 100	2 486	48.4
BERLIN.....	14 667	14 825	15 256	-589	-3.9	3 886	3 197	2 542	52.9
CARROLL TOWN.....	532	441	310	222	71.6	3 090	2 810	2 251	37.3
CLARKSVILLE TOWN.....	169	166	166	3	1.8	2 693	2 262	1 813	48.5
COLEBROOK TOWN.....	2 238	2 224	2 094	144	6.9	3 512	2 961	2 365	48.5
COLUMBIA TOWN.....	508	479	467	41	8.8	3 272	2 974	2 428	34.8
DALTON TOWN.....	492	493	425	67	15.8	3 295	3 001	2 209	49.2
DUMMER TOWN.....	232	227	225	7	3.1	3 194	2 683	2 149	48.6
ERROL TOWN.....	211	205	199	12	6.0	3 570	2 998	2 402	48.6
GORHAM TOWN.....	3 119	3 107	2 998	121	4.0	3 982	3 282	2 633	51.2
JEFFERSON TOWN.....	913	835	714	199	27.9	2 921	2 602	1 943	50.3
LANCASTER TOWN.....	3 284	3 235	3 166	118	3.7	3 860	3 260	2 604	48.2
MILAN TOWN.....	900	768	713	187	26.2	3 263	2 702	2 333	39.9
NORTHUMBERLAND TOWN.....	2 608	2 547	2 493	115	4.6	3 395	3 030	2 412	40.8

**Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued**

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
PITTSBURG TOWN.....	810	774	726	84	11.6	2 909	2 656	2 346	24.0
RANDOLPH TOWN.....	252	219	169	83	49.1	4 942	3 855	2 264	51.4
SHELburnE TOWN.....	243	230	199	44	22.1	4 100	3 241	2 618	56.6
STARK TOWN.....	367	360	343	24	7.0	3 189	2 570	2 059	54.9
STEWARTSTOWN TOWN.....	1 101	992	1 008	93	9.2	4 044	3 378	2 705	49.5
STRATFORD TOWN.....	973	954	980	-7	-0.7	3 396	2 743	2 337	45.3
WHITEFIELD TOWN.....	1 730	1 643	1 538	192	12.5	3 205	2 859	2 316	38.4
GRAFTON COUNTY.....	58 611	58 906	54 914	3 697	6.7	4 347	3 716	3 001	44.9
LEBANON.....	9 873	10 165	9 725	148	1.5	4 932	4 073	3 266	51.0
ALEXANDRIA TOWN.....	528	501	466	62	13.3	3 150	2 896	2 558	23.1
ASHLAND TOWN.....	1 664	1 739	1 599	65	4.1	3 940	3 250	2 663	48.0
BATH TOWN.....	667	632	607	60	9.9	3 302	3 005	2 540	30.0
BENTON TOWN.....	232	240	194	38	19.6	3 799	3 278	2 654	43.1
BETHLEHEM TOWN.....	1 500	1 450	1 142	358	31.3	4 511	4 129	3 526	27.9
BRIDGEWATER TOWN.....	458	453	398	60	15.1	4 745	3 792	2 837	67.3
BRISTOL TOWN.....	1 922	1 922	1 670	252	15.1	3 821	3 515	2 752	38.8
CAMPTON TOWN.....	1 475	1 407	1 171	304	26.0	4 307	3 671	3 134	37.4
CANAAN TOWN.....	2 214	2 162	1 923	291	15.1	3 484	3 052	2 323	50.0
DORCHESTER TOWN.....	150	153	141	9	6.4	4 170	3 598	2 913	43.2
EASTON TOWN.....	88	91	92	-4	-4.3	3 739	3 224	2 848	31.3
ELLSWORTH TOWN.....	18	16	13	5	38.5	4 001	3 453	2 795	43.1
ENFIELD TOWN.....	2 437	2 471	2 345	92	3.9	4 051	3 391	2 805	44.4
FRANCONIA TOWN.....	724	728	655	69	10.5	4 002	3 742	2 836	41.1
GRAFTON TOWN.....	561	505	370	191	51.6	3 678	3 189	2 509	46.6
GROTON TOWN.....	96	115	120	-24	-20.0	3 780	3 020	2 482	52.3
HANOVER TOWN.....	8 588	8 882	8 494	94	1.1	5 667	4 608	3 630	56.1
HAVERHILL TOWN.....	3 252	3 240	3 090	162	5.2	4 265	3 686	2 839	50.2
HEBRON TOWN.....	278	241	234	44	18.8	3 449	3 231	2 854	20.8
HOLDERNESS TOWN.....	1 195	1 171	1 048	147	14.0	4 198	3 706	2 993	40.3
LANDAFF TOWN.....	315	311	292	23	7.9	4 464	3 852	3 119	43.1
LINCOLN TOWN.....	1 294	1 277	1 341	-47	-3.5	4 638	4 086	3 636	27.6
LISBON TOWN.....	1 425	1 463	1 480	-55	-3.7	3 379	3 055	2 507	34.8
LITTLETON TOWN.....	5 350	5 401	5 290	60	1.1	3 672	3 218	2 644	38.9
LYMAN TOWN.....	238	243	213	25	11.7	4 012	3 462	2 803	43.1
LYME TOWN.....	1 192	1 208	1 112	80	7.2	4 872	4 182	3 289	48.1
MONROE TOWN.....	485	432	385	100	26.0	3 749	3 355	2 655	41.2
ORANGE TOWN.....	139	108	103	36	35.0	4 285	3 424	2 772	54.6
ORFORD TOWN.....	847	839	793	54	6.8	3 627	3 128	2 608	39.1
PIERMONT TOWN.....	622	594	462	160	34.6	3 920	3 409	2 609	50.2
PLYMOUTH TOWN.....	4 733	4 810	4 225	588	12.0	3 447	2 966	2 413	42.9
RUMNEY TOWN.....	1 033	957	870	163	18.7	3 158	2 908	2 282	38.4
SUGAR HILL TOWN.....	357	337	336	21	6.3	5 290	4 756	4 122	28.3
THORNTON TOWN.....	706	652	594	112	18.9	3 931	3 357	2 490	57.9
WARREN TOWN.....	523	548	539	-16	-3.0	3 853	3 417	2 713	42.0
WATERVILLE VALLEY TOWN.....	140	128	109	31	28.4	6 110	5 731	4 640	31.7
WENTWORTH TOWN.....	475	449	376	99	26.3	5 518	4 625	4 086	35.0
WOODSTOCK TOWN.....	821	869	897	-76	-8.5	4 070	3 827	3 347	21.6
HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY.....	241 874	236 725	223 941	17 933	8.0	4 423	3 750	3 085	43.4
MANCHESTER.....	83 417	85 111	87 754	-4 337	-4.9	4 192	3 617	2 940	42.6
NASHUA.....	61 002	59 882	55 820	5 182	9.3	4 682	4 020	3 288	42.4
AMHERST TOWN.....	6 847	6 326	4 605	2 242	48.7	6 034	5 028	4 232	42.6
ANTRIM TOWN.....	1 860	2 037	2 122	-262	-12.3	3 329	2 822	2 330	42.9
BEDFORD TOWN.....	7 266	6 641	5 859	1 407	24.0	5 481	4 606	3 914	40.0
BENNINGTON TOWN.....	681	623	639	42	6.6	3 930	3 135	2 786	41.1
BROOKLINE TOWN.....	1 309	1 259	1 167	142	12.2	3 882	3 262	2 706	43.5
DEERING TOWN.....	694	632	578	116	20.1	4 780	3 950	3 212	48.8
FRANCESTOWN TOWN.....	647	583	525	122	23.2	3 979	3 642	2 780	43.1
GOFFSTOWN TOWN.....	9 598	9 720	9 284	314	3.4	3 974	3 267	2 754	44.3
GREENFIELD TOWN.....	1 221	1 163	1 058	163	15.4	3 990	3 339	2 741	45.6
GREENVILLE TOWN.....	1 728	1 640	1 587	141	8.9	3 892	3 308	2 668	45.9
HANCOCK TOWN.....	1 146	1 080	909	237	26.1	5 275	4 662	3 706	42.3
HILLSBOROUGH TOWN.....	2 968	2 818	2 775	193	7.0	4 080	3 375	2 821	44.6
HOLLIS TOWN.....	2 660	2 474	2 616	44	1.7	5 124	4 272	3 514	45.8
HUDSON TOWN.....	11 615	11 134	10 638	977	9.2	4 221	3 580	3 006	40.4
LITCHFIELD TOWN.....	1 751	1 691	1 420	331	23.3	4 644	3 631	3 135	48.1
LYNDEBOROUGH TOWN.....	861	840	789	72	9.1	5 406	4 240	3 446	56.9
MASON TOWN.....	609	570	518	91	17.6	4 367	3 396	3 085	41.6
MERRIMACK TOWN.....	14 293	12 348	8 595	5 698	66.3	4 247	3 455	3 006	41.3
MILFORD TOWN.....	7 702	7 575	6 622	1 080	16.3	4 335	3 451	3 010	44.0
MONT VERNON TOWN.....	1 208	1 061	906	302	33.3	5 064	3 936	3 113	62.7
NEW BOSTON TOWN.....	1 546	1 412	1 390	156	11.2	4 029	3 378	2 660	51.5
NEW IPSWICH TOWN.....	1 986	1 977	1 803	183	10.1	3 788	3 357	2 740	38.2
PELHAM TOWN.....	7 279	6 777	5 408	1 871	34.6	4 134	3 516	2 925	41.3
PETERBOROUGH TOWN.....	4 331	4 153	3 807	524	13.8	4 796	4 064	3 520	36.2
SHARON TOWN.....	152	152	136	16	11.8	4 441	3 732	3 090	43.7

**Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued**

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
TEMPLE TOWN.....	542	483	441	101	22.9	4 319	3 725	3 081	40.2
WEARE TOWN.....	2 543	2 143	1 851	692	37.4	3 867	3 224	2 642	46.4
WILTON TOWN.....	2 363	2 375	2 276	87	3.8	4 220	3 437	2 917	44.7
WINDSOR TOWN.....	45	45	43	2	4.7	4 782	4 018	3 326	43.8
<b>MERRIMACK COUNTY.....</b>	<b>87 874</b>	<b>87 125</b>	<b>80 925</b>	<b>6 949</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>4 354</b>	<b>3 702</b>	<b>3 035</b>	<b>43.5</b>
CONCORD.....	29 321	30 056	30 022	-701	-2.3	4 369	3 690	3 031	44.1
FRANKLIN.....	7 241	7 362	7 292	-51	-0.7	3 787	3 231	2 700	40.3
ALLENSTOWN TOWN.....	3 558	3 364	2 732	826	30.2	3 775	3 292	2 763	36.6
ANDOVER TOWN.....	1 313	1 251	1 138	175	15.4	4 086	3 639	2 889	41.4
BOSCAWEN TOWN.....	3 376	3 332	3 162	214	6.8	3 777	3 272	2 607	44.9
BOW TOWN.....	3 142	2 890	2 479	663	26.7	5 374	4 409	3 625	48.2
BRADFORD TOWN.....	833	824	679	154	22.7	4 185	3 813	3 017	38.7
CANTERBURY TOWN.....	1 066	990	895	171	19.1	4 566	3 798	3 184	43.4
CHICHESTER TOWN.....	1 163	1 137	1 083	80	7.4	4 278	3 794	3 091	38.4
DANBURY TOWN.....	554	503	489	65	13.3	4 235	3 683	2 977	42.3
DUNBARTON TOWN.....	949	900	825	124	15.0	4 136	3 562	3 192	29.6
EPSOM TOWN.....	1 935	1 826	1 469	466	31.7	3 846	3 366	2 563	50.1
HENNIKER TOWN.....	2 905	3 015	2 348	557	23.7	3 535	3 005	2 456	43.9
HILL TOWN.....	493	482	450	43	9.6	3 906	3 313	2 823	38.4
HOOKSETT TOWN.....	6 670	6 260	5 564	1 106	19.9	4 119	3 565	2 985	38.0
HOPKINTON TOWN.....	3 420	3 354	3 007	413	13.7	8 530	7 192	5 935	43.7
LOUDON TOWN.....	2 113	2 034	1 707	406	23.8	3 888	3 229	2 699	44.1
NEWBURY TOWN.....	733	717	509	224	44.0	5 168	4 445	3 363	53.7
NEW LONDON TOWN.....	2 771	2 581	2 236	535	23.9	5 446	4 551	3 559	53.0
NORTHFIELD TOWN.....	2 582	2 423	2 193	389	17.7	3 190	2 764	2 322	37.4
PEMBROKE TOWN.....	4 551	4 600	4 261	290	6.8	4 559	3 856	3 097	47.2
PITTSFIELD TOWN.....	2 614	2 818	2 517	97	3.9	3 579	3 077	2 490	43.7
SALISBURY TOWN.....	658	674	589	69	11.7	4 011	3 519	2 669	50.3
SUTTON TOWN.....	840	803	642	198	30.8	3 710	3 231	2 580	43.8
WARNER TOWN.....	1 650	1 565	1 441	209	14.5	3 639	3 169	2 655	37.1
WEBESTER TOWN.....	797	795	680	117	17.2	3 731	3 195	2 871	30.0
WILMOT TOWN.....	627	571	516	111	21.5	4 149	3 495	2 845	45.8
<b>ROCKINGHAM COUNTY.....</b>	<b>161 336</b>	<b>154 486</b>	<b>138 951</b>	<b>22 385</b>	<b>16.1</b>	<b>4 362</b>	<b>3 734</b>	<b>3 037</b>	<b>43.6</b>
PORTSMOUTH.....	24 780	25 109	25 717	-937	-3.6	3 959	3 407	2 747	44.1
ATKINSON TOWN.....	3 023	2 662	2 291	732	32.0	5 069	4 197	3 417	48.3
AUBURN TOWN.....	2 699	2 401	2 035	664	32.6	4 010	3 379	2 845	40.9
BRENTWOOD TOWN.....	1 758	1 662	1 468	290	19.8	4 106	3 493	2 799	46.7
CANDIA TOWN.....	2 031	2 213	1 997	34	1.7	4 737	4 049	3 190	48.5
CHESTER TOWN.....	1 732	1 575	1 382	350	25.3	4 020	3 449	2 736	46.9
DANVILLE TOWN.....	984	968	924	60	6.5	4 143	3 556	2 991	38.5
DEERFIELD TOWN.....	1 523	1 478	1 178	345	29.3	3 517	3 006	2 482	41.7
DERRY TOWN.....	15 259	14 161	11 712	3 547	30.3	4 172	3 659	2 906	43.6
EAST KINGSTON TOWN.....	1 224	1 069	838	386	46.1	4 357	3 791	2 813	54.9
EPING TOWN.....	2 696	2 591	2 356	340	14.4	3 704	3 463	2 679	38.3
EXETER TOWN.....	9 590	9 434	8 892	698	7.8	4 447	3 826	3 075	44.6
FREMONT TOWN.....	1 218	1 169	993	225	22.7	3 432	2 983	2 423	41.6
GREENLAND TOWN.....	1 643	1 610	1 784	-141	-7.9	4 681	4 066	3 213	45.7
HAMPSTEAD TOWN.....	2 986	2 878	2 401	585	24.4	4 044	3 409	2 825	43.2
HAMPTON FALLS TOWN.....	1 429	1 422	1 254	175	14.0	4 566	3 932	3 247	40.6
HAMPTON TOWN.....	8 738	8 597	8 011	727	9.1	4 793	4 046	3 243	47.8
KENSINGTON TOWN.....	1 180	1 122	1 044	136	13.0	4 763	4 089	3 301	44.3
KINGSTON TOWN.....	3 158	3 199	2 882	276	9.6	3 777	3 148	2 678	41.0
LONDONDERRY TOWN.....	9 629	8 023	5 346	4 283	80.1	4 519	3 780	2 943	53.6
NEW CASTLE TOWN.....	938	904	975	-37	-3.8	5 642	4 882	4 189	34.7
NEWFIELDS TOWN.....	825	897	843	-18	-2.1	3 920	3 341	2 808	39.6
NEWINGTON TOWN.....	609	730	798	-189	-23.7	4 208	3 602	2 925	43.9
NEWMARKET TOWN.....	3 493	3 517	3 361	132	3.9	3 757	3 231	2 573	46.0
NEWTON TOWN.....	2 376	2 263	1 920	456	23.7	3 734	3 176	2 501	49.3
NORTH HAMPTON TOWN.....	3 443	3 508	3 259	184	5.6	5 301	4 462	3 697	43.4
NORTHWOOD TOWN.....	1 764	1 740	1 526	238	15.6	4 232	3 575	2 980	42.0
NOTTINGHAM TOWN.....	1 043	985	952	91	9.6	3 862	3 161	2 525	53.0
PLAISTOW TOWN.....	5 529	5 268	4 712	817	17.3	4 836	4 129	3 432	40.9
KAYMOND TOWN.....	3 929	3 632	3 003	926	30.8	3 535	3 080	2 520	40.3
RYE TOWN.....	4 205	4 124	4 083	122	3.0	5 482	4 748	3 848	42.5
SALEM TOWN.....	22 385	21 991	20 142	2 243	11.1	4 653	4 019	3 365	38.3
SANDOWN TOWN.....	1 105	890	741	364	49.1	4 037	3 665	2 858	41.3
SEABROOK TOWN.....	5 443	4 440	3 053	2 390	78.3	4 299	3 476	2 842	51.3
SOUTHAMPTON TOWN.....	582	555	558	24	4.3	5 097	4 503	3 630	40.4
STRATHAM TOWN.....	2 052	1 834	1 512	540	35.7	4 447	3 844	2 955	50.5
WINDHAM TOWN.....	4 337	3 860	3 008	1 329	44.2	4 764	3 915	3 341	42.6

**Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued**

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
STRAFFORD COUNTY.....	78 470	76 986	70 431	8 039	11.4	3 967	3 403	2 810	41.2
DOVER.....	21 431	21 180	20 850	581	2.8	4 263	3 651	2 967	43.7
ROCHESTER.....	19 582	19 481	17 938	1 644	9.2	3 848	3 284	2 704	42.3
SOMERSWORTH.....	9 324	9 422	9 026	298	3.3	4 105	3 533	2 944	39.4
BARRINGTON TOWN.....	3 108	2 684	1 865	1 243	66.6	3 981	3 338	2 749	44.8
DURHAM TOWN.....	11 405	11 159	8 869	2 536	28.6	3 687	3 173	2 625	40.5
FARMINGTON TOWN.....	3 853	3 812	3 588	265	7.4	3 331	2 919	2 440	36.5
LEE TOWN.....	1 613	1 540	1 481	132	8.9	4 771	3 989	3 267	46.0
MADBURY TOWN.....	762	757	704	58	8.2	3 924	3 425	2 850	37.7
MIDDLETON TOWN.....	581	539	430	151	35.1	3 015	2 538	2 273	32.6
MILTON TOWN.....	2 211	2 142	1 859	352	18.9	3 361	2 842	2 485	35.3
NEW DURHAM TOWN.....	830	712	583	247	42.4	3 915	3 663	2 895	35.2
ROLLINSFORD TOWN.....	2 541	2 435	2 273	268	11.8	4 129	3 527	2 960	39.5
STRAFFORD TOWN.....	1 226	1 124	965	261	27.0	4 466	3 968	3 020	47.9
SULLIVAN COUNTY.....	33 032	32 219	30 949	2 083	6.7	4 242	3 506	2 849	48.9
CLAREMONT.....	14 269	14 332	14 221	48	0.3	4 428	3 623	2 877	53.9
ACWORTH TOWN.....	543	530	459	84	18.3	3 882	3 135	2 355	64.8
CHARLESTOWN TOWN.....	3 844	3 542	3 274	570	17.4	4 019	3 200	2 627	53.0
CORNISH TOWN.....	1 343	1 297	1 268	75	5.9	5 460	4 760	4 035	35.3
CROYDON TOWN.....	423	406	396	27	6.8	3 848	3 174	2 568	49.8
GOSHEN TOWN.....	473	450	395	78	19.7	3 618	3 030	2 616	38.3
GRANTHAM TOWN.....	474	417	366	108	29.5	4 344	3 327	2 494	74.2
LANGDON TOWN.....	408	393	337	71	21.1	3 793	2 941	2 492	52.2
LEMPSTER TOWN.....	521	391	360	161	44.7	3 902	3 156	2 726	43.1
NEWPORT TOWN.....	6 051	6 086	5 899	152	2.6	3 788	3 209	2 779	36.3
PLAINFIELD TOWN.....	1 332	1 313	1 323	9	0.7	4 097	3 543	2 665	53.7
SPRINGFIELD TOWN.....	376	392	310	66	21.3	4 350	3 715	2 944	47.8
SUNAPEE TOWN.....	1 737	1 582	1 384	353	25.5	4 390	3 662	2 898	51.5
UNITY TOWN.....	843	754	709	134	18.9	4 016	3 288	2 612	53.8
WASHINGTON TOWN.....	396	332	248	148	59.7	5 182	4 542	3 946	31.3

**1975 Population and Per Capita Income Estimates, and Revised 1973 Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places, and Selected Minor Civil Divisions**

(Reports may not be published in numerical order)

No. 649	Alabama	No. 674	Montana
No. 650	Alaska	No. 675	Nebraska
No. 651	Arizona	No. 676	Nevada
No. 652	Arkansas	No. 677	New Hampshire
No. 653	California	No. 678	New Jersey
No. 654	Colorado	No. 679	New Mexico
No. 655	Connecticut	No. 680	New York
No. 656	Delaware	No. 681	North Carolina
No. 657	Florida	No. 682	North Dakota
No. 658	Georgia	No. 683	Ohio
No. 659	Hawaii	No. 684	Oklahoma
No. 660	Idaho	No. 685	Oregon
No. 661	Illinois	No. 686	Pennsylvania
No. 662	Indiana	No. 687	Rhode Island
No. 663	Iowa	No. 688	South Carolina
No. 664	Kansas	No. 689	South Dakota
No. 665	Kentucky	No. 690	Tennessee
No. 666	Louisiana	No. 691	Texas
No. 667	Maine	No. 692	Utah
No. 668	Maryland	No. 693	Vermont
No. 669	Massachusetts	No. 694	Virginia
No. 670	Michigan	No. 695	Washington
No. 671	Minnesota	No. 696	West Virginia
No. 672	Mississippi	No. 697	Wisconsin
No. 673	Missouri	No. 698	Wyoming
		No. 699	U.S. Summary and Detailed Methodology