



U.S. Department of Commerce
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Series P-25, No. 688
Issued May 1977

Population Estimates and Projections

1973 (Revised) and 1975 Population Estimates and 1972 (Revised) and 1974 Per Capita Income Estimates for Counties and Incorporated Places in South Carolina

This report is one of a series containing current estimates of the population and per capita money income for selected areas in each State. The population estimates relate to July 1, 1973 and July 1, 1975, and the estimates of per capita income cover calendar years 1972 and 1974. Current estimates of population below the county level and per capita money income for all general purpose governments were prompted by the enactment of the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. The figures are now used by a wide variety of Federal, State, and local governmental agencies for program planning and administrative purposes.

Areas included in this series of reports are all counties (or county equivalents such as census divisions in Alaska, parishes in Louisiana, and independent cities in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia) and incorporated places in the State, plus active minor civil divisions (MCD's), commonly towns in New England, New York, and Wisconsin, or townships in other parts of the United States.¹ These State reports appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, in alphabetical sequence as report number 649 (Alabama) through number 698 (Wyoming). A list indicating the report number for

each State is appended. No separate report is to be issued for the District of Columbia. However, the estimates for the District of Columbia, together with a summary table for all States, will be presented in a report detailing the methods used to estimate income and population, and will contain further evaluation of the estimates. This report will appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 699.

The detailed table for each State shows July 1, 1975 and revised July 1, 1973 estimates of the population of each area, together with April 1, 1970 census population and numerical and percentage change between 1970 and 1975. The 1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and include corrections to the 1970 census counts. In addition, the table presents per capita income estimates for calendar years 1974 and 1972 (revised), plus calendar year 1969 per capita money income derived from data collected in the 1970 census.

The estimates are presented in the table in county order, with all incorporated places in the county listed in alphabetical order, followed by any functioning minor civil divisions also listed in alphabetical order. Minor civil divisions are always identified in the listing by the term "township," "town," or other MCD category. When incorporated places fall in more than one county, each county piece is marked "part," and totals for these places are presented at the end of the table.

¹ In certain midwestern States (Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and the Dakotas) some counties have active minor civil divisions while others do not.

POPULATION ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

To estimate the population of each subcounty area, a component procedure (the Administrative Records method) was used, with each of the components of population change (births, deaths, net migration, and special populations) estimated separately. The estimates were derived in two stages, moving from 1970 as a base year to develop estimates for 1973, and in turn, moving from 1973 as the base year to derive estimates for 1975.

Migration. Individual Federal income tax returns were used to measure migration by matching individual returns for successive periods. The places of residence on tax returns filed in the base year and in the estimate year were noted for matched returns to determine in-migrants, out-migrants, and nonmigrants for each area. A net migration rate was derived, based on the difference between the in-migration and out-migration of taxpayers and dependents, and was applied to a base population to yield an estimate of net migration for all persons in the area.

Natural increase. Reported resident birth and death statistics were used, wherever available, to estimate natural increase. These data were collected from State health departments and supplemented, where necessary, by data prepared and published by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Center for Health Statistics. For subcounty areas where reported birth and death statistics were not available from either source, estimates were developed by applying national fertility and mortality rates to the 1970 census counts for the cohort of the female population 18 to 34 years old and to the total population 65 years old and over, respectively, in these areas. These estimates were subsequently controlled to agree with birth and death statistics for larger areas where reported data were available.

Adjustment for special populations. In addition to the above components of population change, estimates of special populations were also taken into account. Special populations include immigrants from abroad, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, residents of institutions (prisons and long-term health care facilities), and college students enrolled in full-time programs. These populations were treated separately because changes in these types of population groups are not reflected in the components of population change developed by standard measures, and the information is generally available for use as an independent series.

In generating estimates for counties by this procedure, the method was modified slightly to make the county estimates specific to the resident population under 65 years of age. The resident population 65 years old and over in counties was estimated separately by adding the change in Medicare enrollees between April 1, 1970 and July 1 of the estimate year to the April 1, 1970 population 65 years old and over in the county as enumerated in the 1970 census. These estimates of the population 65 years old and over were then added to estimates of the population under 65 years old to yield estimates of the total resident population in each county.

Annexations and new incorporations. The 1970 census counts shown in this report reflect all population "corrections" made to the figures after the initial tabulations. In addition, adjustments for large annexations through December 31, 1975, are reflected in the estimates.² For new incorporations occurring after 1970, the 1970 population within the boundaries of the new areas are shown in the detailed table. This geographic updating is accomplished largely as a result of an annual boundary and annexation survey conducted by the Bureau of the Census.

Other adjustments. For areas where special censuses were conducted after July 1, 1972, such special censuses were taken into account in developing the estimates.³ In several States, the subcounty estimates developed by the Administrative Records method were averaged with estimates for corresponding geographic areas which were prepared by

²In general, an annexation was included if the 1970 census count for the annexing area was 5,000 or more and the 1970 census count for the annexed area or areas exceeded 5 percent of the 1970 count for the annexing area. Adjustments were also made for a limited number of "unusual" annexations where the annexations for an area did not meet the minimum requirements but were accepted by the Office of Revenue Sharing for inclusion in the population base.

³Only special censuses conducted by the Bureau of the Census or by the California, Florida, Oregon, or Washington State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates were used for this purpose. In addition, in a relatively small number of cases where special censuses were conducted by localities, where the procedures and definitions were essentially the same as those used by the Bureau of the Census, the results of these special censuses were also taken into account in preparing the estimates.

State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates (FSCP). These States include California, Florida, Oregon, Washington, and Wisconsin.

The estimates for the subareas in each county were adjusted to independent county estimates. For 1973, the county estimates are revisions to those prepared by the Bureau of the Census alone or by the Bureau of the Census in conjunction with participating State agencies as a part of the Federal-State Cooperative Program. These estimates are revisions of those published in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 620. For 1975, an intermediate set of county estimates was prepared, since all of the data necessary to develop final estimates under the FSCP program were not available. Specifically, only data for two of the methods relied upon in the FSCP estimates (i.e., Component Method II and the Administrative Records method) were available. The 1975 estimates result from adding the average 1974-1975 population change indicated by the two methods to the 1974 county population figures contained in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26.

The county estimates, in turn, were adjusted to be consistent with independent State estimates published by the Bureau of the Census in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 640 and 642, in which the Administrative Records-based estimates were averaged with the estimates prepared using Component Method II and the Regression method.⁴

PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

The 1974 and revised 1972 per capita income (PCI) figure is the estimated average amount per person of total money income received during calendar years 1974 and 1972 for all persons residing in a given political jurisdiction in April 1975 and April 1973, respectively. The 1974 and revised 1972 PCI estimates are based on the 1970 census and have been updated using rates of change developed from various administrative record sets and compilations, mainly from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA).

⁴For further discussion of the methodologies used in preparing State estimates, see **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 640.

The PCI estimates are based on a money income concept. Total money income is defined by the Bureau of the Census for statistical purposes as the sum of:

- Wage and salary income
- Net nonfarm self-employment income
- Net farm self-employment income
- Social Security and railroad retirement income
- Public assistance income
- All other income such as interest, dividends, veteran's payments, pensions, unemployment insurance, alimony, etc.

The total represents the amount of income received before deductions for personal income taxes, Social Security, bond purchases, union dues, Medicare deductions, etc.

Procedures for State and county PCI estimates. As noted above, the 1974 and revised 1972 State and county PCI estimates were based on the 1970 census.⁵ The updates for these areas were developed by carrying forward the aggregate amount (i.e., the sum of all individual incomes in the State or county) independently for each type of income identified in the census to reflect differential changes in these income sources between 1969 and the estimate date. Data from the 1969, 1972, and 1974 Federal tax returns provided by the Internal Revenue Service were used to estimate the change in wage and salary income at the State and county level. All other types of income for these governmental units were updated using rates of change based on estimates of aggregate money income provided by the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

At the county level, several modifications of these procedures were used to better control the estimates of income change. For example, the IRS data for sub-State jurisdictions were subject to non-reporting of address information on the tax return and to misassignment of geographic location for reported addresses. To minimize the impact on the estimates from such potential sources of error, per capita wage and salary income for counties was updated intact as a per capita figure using the percentage change in wage and salary income per exemption reported on IRS returns. In addition, because of differences in the definition of income, data collection techniques, and estimation procedures, 1969 in-

⁵Income data from the 1970 census reflect income received in calendar year 1969.

come estimates from the census and BEA were not strictly comparable. These differences were especially evident at the county level for nonfarm and farm self-employment income. BEA estimates for these types of income tend to have considerably more year-to-year variation than estimates derived from surveys and censuses. To minimize the effects of these differences, constraints were put on the rate of change in income from these sources in developing the 1972 and 1974 PCI updates.

As a final step to insure a uniform series of estimates at the State and county levels, the updated county per capita figures were converted to a total aggregate income and were adjusted to agree with the State aggregate level before a final per capita income was calculated.

Procedures for subcounty per capita income estimates. The 1974 and revised 1972 per capita income estimates for subcounty governmental units were developed using a methodology similar to that used to derive county-level figures. However, there are differences in the number of separate categories of income types used in the estimation procedure, and in the sources used to update the income components.

As in the case of the population estimates, a two-step procedure was relied upon to update the income figures from their 1969 level to refer to 1974. The 1972 estimates were prepared using the rate of change from 1969 to 1972. The 1974 estimates are based on the 1972 estimates, and were updated by an estimate of change from 1972 to 1974. Also, as in the case of the population figures, the subcounty income data were uniformly adjusted to reflect major annexation and boundary changes which occurred since 1970.

1969 base estimates. The 1970 census PCI figures for small areas are subject to sizable sampling variability, causing them to lack sufficient statistical reliability for use in the estimation process. For this report, the 1969 PCI shown for areas with a 1970 census sample population estimate of less than 1,000 is a weighted average of the original 1970 census sample value and a regression estimate. Research has indicated that this procedure results in a considerable improvement in accuracy compared to the procedure relied upon in earlier estimates, which was to use the county PCI amount for various small governmental units. The resulting 1969 estimate for each of these areas is a base estimate for preparing 1972 and 1974 estimates and does not represent a change in the 1970 census value for these areas.

For subcounty updating, 1969 total money income was divided into two components: (1) "taxable income" which is approximately comparable to that portion of income included in IRS adjusted gross income, and (2) "transfer income" which for the most part is not included in adjusted gross income. These 1969 subcounty estimates were adjusted to 1970 census totals for higher level government units. This was done using a two-way adjustment procedure controlling both to county totals and to several size class totals for the State.⁶

1972 (revised) and 1974 PCI updates. The taxable income portion of the 1969 money income was updated using the percent change in adjusted gross income (AGI) per exemption as computed from IRS tax return data. However, if the number of IRS tax returns for any area was very small, or if the ratio of exemptions to the population or the change in this ratio from 1969 to 1972 and 1972 to 1974 was not within an acceptable range, the IRS data for the subcounty area were not used in the update process. In such cases the percent change in AGI per exemption for the county was used. Similarly, if the IRS data for a particular subcounty area passed the above conditions, but the percent change in AGI per exemption was excessively large or small compared to that for the county, the change was constrained to a proportion of the county change.

The percentage change in per capita transfer income at the subcounty level was assumed to be the same as that implied by the BEA estimates at the county level.

The 1974 and 1972 estimates of taxable income and transfer income were adjusted separately using a two-way procedure similar to that used for the base estimates and were then combined to estimate total money income. The 1974 and 1972 PCI estimates were formed by dividing the total money income aggregates by the July 1975 and 1973 population estimates, respectively.

REVISION OF 1973 POPULATION AND 1972 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES

The July 1, 1973 population and calendar year 1972 per capita income estimates presented in this report supersede those estimates published earlier in

⁶ Additional review and evaluation detail concerning the 1969 estimated income for places under 1,000 population is contained in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, No. 699.

Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Nos. 546 through 595. The July 1, 1973 population estimates shown in this report differ from those published previously for several reasons: (1) The procedure for correcting missing address information on the original tax forms was changed to more accurately reflect the population distribution of the various areas; (2) more accurate and up-to-date information on several components of population change (births, deaths, and special population groups) are now available; (3) the net migration component has been changed from a civilian population base to refer instead to the non-group quarters population (i.e., resident population excluding members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, inmates of long-term hospitals and prisons, and full-time students enrolled in college); and (4) additional special censuses are available for use that were conducted since the time of the last estimates.

Similarly for per capita income: (1) The 1969 income levels for small areas have been estimated rather than relying upon reported 1970 census figures, and (2) a revised procedure was used in controlling the 1972 estimates for internal agreement.

LIMITATIONS OF THE ESTIMATES

Population estimates. Tests of the accuracy of the methods used to develop State and county population estimates appearing in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26 have been documented elsewhere. The results of evaluations against the 1970 census at the State level are reported in Series P-25, No. 520, while similar 1970 tests for counties are presented in Series P-26, No. 21. In summary, the State estimates averaging Component Method II and the Regression method yielded average differences of approximately 1.9 percent when compared to the 1970 census. Subsequent modifications of the two procedures that have been incorporated in preparing estimates for the 1970's would have reduced the average difference in 1970 to 1.2 percent. For counties, the 1970 evaluations indicated an average difference of approximately 4.5 percent for the combination of procedures used. It should be noted that all of the evaluations against the results of the 1970 census concern estimates extending over the entire 10-year period of 1960 to 1970.

Since 1970, however, the Administrative Records method has been introduced with partial weight in

the estimates for States and counties, and except for the few States in which local estimates are utilized, carries the full weight for estimates below the county level. The data series upon which the estimates procedure is based has been available as a comprehensive series for the entire United States only since 1967. Nonetheless, several studies have been undertaken evaluating the Administrative Records estimates from the State to the local level. At the State-wide level, little direct testing can be performed due to the lack of special censuses covering entire States. Some sense of the general reasonableness of the Administrative Records estimates may be obtained, however, by reviewing the degree of correspondence between the results of the method against those of the "standard" methods tested in 1970 and already in use to produce State estimates during the 1970's. It must be recognized that the differences between the two sets of estimates may not be interpreted as errors in either set of figures, but may only be used as a partial guide indicating the degree of consistency between the newer Administrative Records system and the established methods.

Table A presents such a comparison for State estimates referring to July 1, 1975. A rather close agreement may be observed in the estimates for all States at only a 1.0 percent difference. Only two States exceeded a 3-percent difference, with both being smaller States (under one million population) and both having unique circumstances that affect population patterns (Alaska and the District of Columbia). The variation of the Administrative Records method from the average of the other methods does increase noticeably for smaller States in a regular pattern, but still reaches an average of only 1.5 percent for the smallest size category.

The findings indicate no directional bias in the Administrative Records method either for all States or by size. It should also be noted that the Administrative Records estimate falls in the middle of the three estimates for 18 States, in contrast with approximately 17 cases to be expected by chance.

A similar comparison may be made at the county level (table B). Although the differences between the Co-op estimates and the Administrative Records results are larger at the county level than for States, the variations are well within the range that would be expected for areas of this population size, and the county pattern matches closely the findings for States. The overall differences for all counties is 3.3 percent, and ranges from 1.8 percent for the larger counties to 11.7 for the 26 small counties under 1,000 population.

Table A. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Average of Component Method II and Regression Estimates for States: 1975

(Base is the average of Method II and Regression estimates)

Item	All States	Population size in 1970		
		4 million and over	1.5 to 4 million	Less than 1.5 million
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	1.0	0.5	0.9	1.5
Number of States.....	51	16	18	17
With differences of:				
Less than 1 percent.....	32	14	12	6
1 to 2 percent.....	13	2	4	7
2 percent and over.....	6	-	2	4
Where Administrative Records was:				
Higher.....	24	7	9	8
Lower.....	27	9	9	9

- Represents zero.

Table B. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Provisional Co-op Estimates for Counties: 1975

(Base is the provisional Co-op estimates for counties)

Item	All counties	Counties with 1,000 or more 1970 population					Counties with less than 1,000 1970 population
		Total	50,000 or more	25,000 to 50,000	10,000 to 25,000	1,000 to 10,000	
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	3.3	3.2	1.8	2.7	3.2	4.4	11.7
Number of counties or equivalents.....	3,143	3,117	679	567	1,017	854	26
With differences of:							
Less than 1 percent.....	736	733	215	159	228	131	3
1 to 3 percent.....	1,153	1,145	311	213	373	248	8
3 to 5 percent.....	647	645	109	123	212	201	2
5 to 10 percent.....	471	467	42	58	167	200	4
10 percent and over.....	136	127	2	14	37	74	9

Comparison of these results for States and counties in 1975 with a similar analysis based on 1973 estimates is helpful as an indication of consistency over time. Some deterioration in the match of results from a selection of estimating techniques should be anticipated as the length of the estimating period increases and as the methods respond in varying degrees to the dynamics of population shifts. At the State level, such divergence is found. The overall variation increased from 0.6 percent difference in 1973 to 1.0 percent in 1975, with the most dramatic jumps occurring in the small States. On examination of the independent estimates from each method, however, this may be attributed as much to an increased variability in the Method II and Regression method results as to a tendency for the Administrative Records estimates to wander.

At the county level, the findings over time are more mixed. The level of difference for all counties indicates little change since the 1973 estimates (3.1 percent difference in 1973 and 3.3 percent in 1975). There are noticeable reductions in the differences for the largest and smallest population size categories (from 2.3 percent in 1973 to 1.8 percent in 1975 for counties of 50,000 or more, and from 18.1 percent to 11.7 percent for counties under 1,000 population), but modest increases may be observed in the variations for the remaining categories. In general, there appears to be some decrease of correspondence in the State level figures that should be monitored in coming years, but little change has occurred in the county variations, with even some convergence of estimates for the larger and smaller counties.

Three tests of the Administrative Records population estimates against census counts have been undertaken. First, a limited evaluation involving 24 large areas (16 counties and 8 cities) was conducted on estimates for the 1968-1970 period.⁷ Although the test shows the estimates to be quite accurate (1.8 percent difference), the areas may not be assumed to be representative of the 39,000 units of government covered by the Administrative Records estimating system, and the time segment evaluated refers only to a 2-year period.

A more representative group of special censuses in 86 areas selected particularly for evaluation purposes was conducted in 1973. The areas were randomly chosen nationwide to be typical of areas with populations below 20,000 persons.

Table C summarizes the average percent difference between the estimates from the Administrative Records method and counts from the 86 special censuses. Overall, the estimates differed from the special census counts by 5.9 percent, with the largest differences occurring in the smallest areas. Areas of between 1,000 and 20,000 population differed by 4.6 percent, while the average difference for the 27 areas below 1,000 population was 8.6 percent. There was a slight positive directional bias,

⁷Meyer Zitter and David L. Word, U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Use of Administrative Records for Small Area Population Estimates," unpublished paper prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 27, 1973.

Table C. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates (Unrevised) and 86 Special Censuses: 1973

(Base is special census)

Area	Average percent difference ¹	Number of areas with differences of:			
		Under 3 percent	3 to 5 percent	5 to 10 percent	10 percent and over
All areas (86) ²	5.9	32	18	20	16
1,000 to 20,000 (59).....	4.6	26	13	14	6
Under 1,000 population (27).....	8.6	6	5	6	10

¹Disregarding sign.

²All areas have population under 20,000 persons.

with about 60 percent of the estimates exceeding the census counts. Again the impact of population size on the expected level of accuracy may be noted. Even though all of the areas in this study are relatively small—less than 20,000 population—the larger ones demonstrate much lower variation from census figures than the smaller ones.

The third evaluation involving census comparisons is currently underway, and is based upon the approximately 2,000 special censuses that have been conducted since 1970 at the request of localities throughout the United States. Such areas constitute a fairly stringent test for any method in that they are generally very small areas, often are experiencing rapid population growth, and frequently are found to have had a vigorous program of annexation since the last census. This evaluation study has not been completed for use here but will be included in detail as a part of the comprehensive methodology description in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 699.

As a final caution, it must be noted that for convenience in presentation, the estimates contained in table I are shown in unrounded form. It is not intended, however, that the figures be considered accurate to the last digit. The nature of estimates prompts the rounding of figures in related Bureau reports and must be kept in mind during the application of the estimates contained here.

Per capita income estimates. Similar types of analyses and evaluation are not available for the updated estimates of PCI. Income data and PCI for 1972 are available for the 86 areas in which special censuses were conducted for testing purposes. As noted, however, the areas in which the censuses were taken are relatively small. The PCI estimates are based upon data from the 1970 census, which are subject to sampling variability due to the size of

the areas. Consequently, PCI did not change enough in the 1970-72 period in most instances to move outside of the relatively large range of sampling variability associated with the 1970 census results on income for small areas. Thus, it is not possible to obtain a reliable reading or even rough approximations on the accuracy of the change in PCI using the 86 areas as standards. The estimates were made available to persons working with economic statistics in each State for review prior to publication. Comments from this "local" review helped identify problem areas and input data errors.

RELATED REPORTS

The population and per capita income estimates shown in this series of reports supersede those found in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 546 through 595 for 1973. The population estimates contained here for States are consistent with Series P-25, No. 533 (1973) and No. 642 (1975). The county estimates for 1975 are superior to the provisional 1975 figures published earlier in Series P-25 and P-26 due to the addition of a second method, but will not be reported elsewhere in **Current Population Reports**. The county population estimates will be replaced by subsequent final 1975 figures to be developed through the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates.

DETAILED TABLE SYMBOLS

In the detailed table entries, a dash "--" represents zero, and the symbol "Z" indicates that the figure is less than 0.05 percent. The symbol "B" means that the base for the derived figure is less than 75,000. Three dots "... " mean not applicable, and "NA" means not available.

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	2 815 762	2 721 481	2 590 835	224 927	8.7	3 635	2 973	2 303	57.8
ABBEVILLE COUNTY.....	21 480	21 229	21 112	368	1.7	3 371	2 814	2 184	54.3
ABBEVILLE.....	5 453	5 487	5 515	-62	-1.1	3 537	2 996	2 352	50.4
CALHOUN FALLS.....	2 199	2 197	2 234	-35	-1.6	3 239	2 497	1 983	63.3
DONALDS.....	407	408	392	15	3.8	3 560	2 926	2 282	56.0
DUE WEST.....	1 413	1 352	1 380	33	2.4	2 831	2 342	1 815	56.0
HONEA PATH (PART).....	11	12	12	-1	-8.3	3 099	2 565	2 000	54.9
LOWNESVILLE.....	234	241	219	15	6.8	3 848	3 131	2 442	57.6
WARE SHOALS (PART).....	332	295	316	16	5.1	4 796	3 996	3 059	56.8
AIKEN COUNTY.....	95 241	93 459	91 023	4 218	4.6	4 030	3 266	2 567	57.0
AIKEN.....	14 105	13 720	13 436	669	5.0	4 962	4 001	3 150	57.5
BURNETTOWN.....	441	435	434	7	1.6	4 661	3 747	2 943	58.4
JACKSON.....	1 859	1 901	1 928	-69	-3.6	4 542	3 528	2 829	60.6
NEW ELLENTON.....	2 487	2 498	2 546	-59	-2.3	3 558	2 760	2 104	69.1
NORTH AUGUSTA.....	13 755	13 294	12 883	872	6.8	5 090	4 211	3 256	56.3
PERRY.....	218	213	209	9	4.3	3 746	3 011	2 365	58.4
SALLEY.....	491	488	450	41	9.1	3 318	2 727	2 145	54.7
WAGENER.....	724	703	723	1	0.1	3 798	3 068	2 399	58.3
ALLENDALE COUNTY.....	10 192	10 171	9 783	409	4.2	2 812	2 243	1 690	66.4
ALLENDALE.....	3 854	3 833	3 620	234	6.5	3 390	2 716	2 031	66.9
FAIRFAX (PART).....	1 948	1 939	1 937	11	0.6	3 105	2 663	1 957	58.7
SYCAMORE.....	227	256	229	-2	-0.9	3 351	2 439	1 717	95.2
ULMER.....	103	107	109	-6	-5.5	3 995	3 159	2 422	64.9
ANDERSON COUNTY.....	114 965	111 364	105 474	9 491	9.0	3 885	3 218	2 545	52.7
ANDERSON.....	28 853	28 738	27 556	1 297	4.7	4 234	3 526	2 788	51.9
BELTON.....	5 396	5 276	5 257	139	2.6	3 909	3 252	2 643	47.9
CLEMSON (PART).....	19	20	17	2	11.8	4 877	4 001	3 172	53.8
HONEA PATH (PART).....	3 957	3 948	3 695	262	7.1	3 862	3 166	2 564	50.6
IVA.....	1 057	1 078	1 114	-57	-5.1	3 617	2 965	2 335	54.9
PELZER.....	140	139	130	10	7.7	5 591	4 586	3 637	53.7
PENDLETON.....	2 843	2 718	2 615	228	8.7	3 519	2 872	2 364	48.9
STARR.....	188	193	190	-2	-1.1	3 970	3 256	2 582	53.8
WEST PELZER.....	917	907	861	56	6.5	3 610	2 990	2 376	51.9
WILLIAMSTON.....	4 040	4 009	3 991	49	1.2	3 980	3 301	2 635	51.0
BAMBERG COUNTY.....	16 672	16 083	15 950	722	4.5	2 488	2 080	1 597	55.8
BAMBERG.....	3 368	3 397	3 406	-38	-1.1	3 299	2 728	2 072	59.2
DENMARK.....	3 660	3 502	3 571	89	2.5	2 772	2 388	1 837	50.9
EHRHARDT.....	528	511	478	50	10.5	3 632	2 841	2 072	75.3
GOVAN.....	150	139	136	14	10.3	2 503	2 064	1 584	58.0
OLAR.....	429	443	423	6	1.4	3 025	2 324	1 912	58.2
BARNWELL COUNTY.....	19 340	18 081	17 176	2 164	12.6	3 307	2 592	2 016	64.0
BARNWELL.....	5 345	4 894	4 439	906	20.4	4 056	3 276	2 643	53.5
BLACKVILLE.....	2 511	2 415	2 395	116	4.8	2 851	2 311	1 802	58.2
ELKO.....	243	226	202	41	20.3	3 246	2 467	1 928	68.4
HILDA.....	316	336	331	-15	-4.5	3 135	2 464	1 849	69.6
KLINE.....	349	325	305	44	14.4	1 449	1 134	886	63.5
SNELLING.....	160	153	150	10	6.7	3 574	2 797	2 186	63.5
WILLISTON.....	2 867	2 656	2 594	273	10.5	3 929	3 134	2 433	61.5
BEAUFORT COUNTY.....	54 372	55 419	51 136	3 236	6.3	4 045	3 221	2 244	80.3
BEAUFORT.....	8 747	9 404	9 434	-687	-7.3	4 429	3 497	2 673	65.7
BLUFFTON.....	512	529	529	-17	-3.2	3 231	2 560	1 802	79.3
PORT ROYAL.....	2 691	2 826	2 865	-174	-6.1	3 900	3 071	2 245	73.7
YAMASSEE (PART).....	7	9	7	-	-	5 800	4 560	3 230	79.6
BERKELEY COUNTY.....	65 628	59 923	56 199	9 429	16.8	3 081	2 473	1 920	60.5
BONNEAU.....	394	350	365	29	7.9	3 456	2 746	2 156	60.3
GOOSE CREEK.....	3 986	3 592	3 656	330	9.0	3 577	2 894	2 223	60.9
HANAHAN.....	11 752	11 014	9 118	2 634	28.9	4 384	3 527	2 776	57.9
JAMESTOWN.....	195	191	190	5	2.6	2 693	2 277	1 625	65.7
MONCK'S CORNER.....	2 481	2 227	2 314	167	7.2	4 302	3 435	2 689	60.0
ST STEPHEN.....	1 493	1 429	1 506	-13	-0.9	2 740	2 358	1 818	50.7

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
CALHOUN COUNTY.....	11 121	10 471	10 780	341	3.2	2 670	2 149	1 575	69.5
CAMERON.....	475	460	476	-1	-0.2	4 348	3 604	2 475	75.7
ST MATTHEWS.....	2 414	2 276	2 403	11	0.5	3 607	2 792	2 182	65.3
CHARLESTON COUNTY.....	260 426	252 904	247 565	12 861	5.2	3 960	3 248	2 550	55.3
CHARLESTON.....	57 470	57 818	66 945	-9 475	-14.2	4 191	3 476	2 726	53.7
FOLLY BEACH.....	1 237	1 138	1 157	80	6.9	3 959	3 203	2 470	60.3
HOLLYWOOD.....	373	334	339	34	10.0	3 122	2 525	1 975	58.1
ISLE OF PALMS.....	2 961	2 747	2 657	304	11.4	5 555	4 502	3 558	56.1
LINCOLNVILLE.....	718	658	504	214	42.5	2 792	2 271	1 768	57.9
MCCELLELLANVILLE.....	311	293	304	7	2.3	4 401	3 560	2 785	58.0
MEGGET.....	194	180	180	14	7.8	3 439	2 781	2 176	58.0
MOUNT PLEASANT.....	8 680	7 829	6 879	1 801	26.2	4 786	3 894	3 046	57.1
NORTH CHARLESTON.....	58 544	56 789	52 871	5 673	10.7	4 181	3 452	2 725	53.4
RAVENEL.....	1 058	931	931	127	13.6	2 574	2 071	1 592	61.7
SULLIVANS ISLAND.....	1 630	1 642	1 426	204	14.3	4 962	3 995	2 856	73.7
CHEROKEE COUNTY.....	40 029	38 763	36 791	3 238	8.8	3 602	2 971	2 258	59.5
BLACKSBURG.....	2 153	2 091	1 977	176	8.9	3 440	2 923	2 168	58.7
GAFFNEY.....	15 777	13 555	13 253	2 524	19.0	4 059	3 408	2 599	56.2
CHESTER COUNTY.....	30 460	30 156	29 811	649	2.2	3 215	2 675	2 091	53.8
CHESTER.....	7 251	7 049	7 045	206	2.9	3 314	2 782	2 244	47.7
FORT LAWN.....	435	510	510	-75	-14.7	3 320	2 612	1 911	73.7
GREAT FALLS.....	2 563	2 643	2 727	-164	-6.0	3 861	3 177	2 547	51.6
LOWRYS.....	241	248	260	-19	-7.3	3 334	2 743	2 157	54.6
RICHBURG.....	304	288	304	-	-	2 103	1 878	1 379	52.5
CHESTERFIELD COUNTY.....	34 794	34 155	33 667	1 127	3.3	3 073	2 535	2 037	50.9
CHERAW.....	5 244	5 280	5 627	-383	-6.8	4 324	3 600	2 870	50.7
CHESTERFIELD.....	1 577	1 636	1 667	-90	-5.4	3 527	2 920	2 304	53.1
JEFFERSON.....	745	695	709	36	5.1	3 345	2 662	2 229	50.1
MCBEE.....	679	625	592	87	14.7	2 773	2 423	1 846	50.2
MOUNT CROGHAN.....	120	122	123	-3	-2.4	5 057	4 305	3 444	46.8
PAGELAND.....	2 293	2 296	2 122	171	8.1	3 133	2 605	2 137	46.6
PATRICK.....	530	499	550	-20	-3.6	3 626	2 849	2 421	49.8
RUBY.....	257	274	306	-49	-16.0	3 207	2 655	2 312	38.7
CLARENDON COUNTY.....	26 610	26 078	25 604	1 006	3.9	2 179	1 812	1 339	62.7
MANNING.....	4 420	4 304	4 025	395	9.8	2 995	2 600	1 941	54.3
PAXVILLE.....	345	306	261	84	32.2	2 500	2 061	1 718	45.5
SUMMERTON.....	1 249	1 285	1 305	-56	-4.3	3 283	2 739	2 131	54.1
TURBEVILLE.....	536	488	442	94	21.3	4 433	3 425	2 345	89.0
COLLETON COUNTY.....	28 918	28 168	27 707	1 211	4.4	2 814	2 301	1 765	59.4
COTTAGEVILLE.....	397	425	497	-100	-20.1	3 936	2 991	2 294	71.6
EDISTO BEACH.....	90	89	85	5	5.9	2 918	2 350	1 795	62.6
LODGE.....	155	125	168	-13	-7.7	3 831	2 874	2 195	74.5
SMOAKS.....	163	156	155	8	5.2	4 561	3 673	2 805	62.6
WALTERBORO.....	6 295	6 341	6 257	38	0.6	3 986	3 299	2 557	55.9
WILLIAMS.....	186	142	201	-15	-7.5	4 193	3 382	2 491	68.3
DARLINGTON COUNTY.....	56 393	53 968	53 442	2 951	5.5	3 299	2 756	2 099	57.2
DARLINGTON.....	7 347	7 128	6 990	357	5.1	3 690	3 098	2 319	59.1
HARTSVILLE.....	8 182	7 837	8 017	165	2.1	4 158	3 540	2 856	45.6
LAMAR.....	1 326	1 299	1 250	76	6.1	3 701	3 189	2 357	57.0
SOCIETY HILL.....	782	816	806	-24	-3.0	3 415	2 647	2 004	70.4
DILLON COUNTY.....	29 836	29 045	28 838	998	3.5	2 691	2 198	1 613	66.8
DILLON.....	6 537	6 316	6 391	146	2.3	3 134	2 682	2 021	55.1
LAKEVIEW.....	905	913	949	-44	-4.6	4 261	3 241	2 193	94.3
LATTA.....	1 773	1 750	1 764	9	0.5	3 367	2 907	2 213	52.1
SELLERS (PART).....	87	90	92	-5	-5.4	1 405	1 142	844	66.5
DORCHESTER COUNTY.....	45 296	39 269	32 276	13 020	40.3	3 311	2 604	2 063	60.5
HARLEYVILLE.....	656	653	704	-48	-6.8	3 945	3 095	2 296	71.8
REEVESVILLE.....	266	267	247	19	7.7	2 772	2 266	1 999	38.7
RIDGEVILLE.....	594	574	563	31	5.5	3 364	2 644	2 096	60.5

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
ST GEORGE.....	1 833	1 846	1 806	27	1.5	3 406	2 645	2 157	57.9
SUMMERVILLE.....	5 430	4 690	3 839	1 591	41.4	4 750	3 714	2 936	61.8
EDGEFIELD COUNTY.....	16 254	15 845	15 692	562	3.6	2 799	2 301	1 784	56.9
EDGEFIELD.....	3 242	3 057	2 750	492	17.9	3 265	2 778	2 074	57.4
JOHNSTON.....	2 502	2 451	2 552	-50	-2.0	3 955	3 351	2 655	49.0
TRENTON.....	373	365	362	11	3.0	3 945	3 242	2 306	71.1
FAIRFIELD COUNTY.....	20 136	19 878	19 999	137	0.7	2 594	2 141	1 611	61.0
RIDGEWAY.....	454	437	437	17	3.9	2 780	2 326	1 786	55.7
WINNSBORO.....	3 257	3 315	3 411	-154	-4.5	3 201	2 649	2 022	58.3
FLORENCE COUNTY.....	98 018	93 904	89 636	8 382	9.4	3 564	2 899	2 214	61.0
COWARD.....	480	462	466	14	3.0	5 263	4 219	2 948	78.5
FLORENCE.....	31 337	28 839	25 997	5 340	20.5	4 130	3 398	2 731	51.2
JOHNSVILLE.....	1 379	1 339	1 267	112	8.8	3 575	2 985	2 414	48.1
LAKE CITY.....	6 103	6 221	6 247	-144	-2.3	3 331	2 802	2 180	52.8
OLANTA.....	694	714	640	54	8.4	4 064	3 268	2 440	66.6
PAMPLICO.....	1 026	1 033	1 068	-42	-3.9	3 441	2 708	2 091	64.6
QUINBY.....	975	881	788	187	23.7	7 078	5 383	3 758	88.3
SCRANTON.....	813	827	732	81	11.1	3 262	2 468	1 954	66.9
TIMMONSVILLE.....	2 344	2 210	2 246	98	4.4	2 664	2 137	1 615	65.0
GEORGETOWN COUNTY.....	37 818	35 684	33 500	4 318	12.9	3 007	2 435	1 816	65.6
ANDREWS (PART).....	2 828	2 874	2 831	-3	-0.1	2 839	2 297	1 618	75.5
GEORGETOWN.....	11 803	11 081	10 449	1 354	13.0	3 889	3 151	2 440	59.4
GREENVILLE COUNTY.....	265 573	255 442	240 774	24 799	10.3	4 258	3 487	2 753	54.7
CITY VIEW.....	2 883	2 604	2 497	386	15.5	2 723	2 199	1 721	58.2
FOUNTAIN INN (PART).....	3 352	3 079	2 800	552	19.7	3 765	3 097	2 504	50.4
GREENVILLE.....	58 518	58 603	61 436	-2 918	-4.7	4 565	3 771	2 893	57.8
GREER (PART).....	7 123	7 017	6 611	512	7.7	4 167	3 483	2 705	54.0
MAULDIN.....	6 600	5 532	3 797	2 803	73.8	4 203	3 293	2 893	45.3
SIMPSONVILLE.....	6 692	5 243	3 308	3 384	102.3	4 417	3 384	2 652	66.6
TRAVELERS REST.....	2 575	2 443	2 241	334	14.9	4 281	3 473	2 590	65.3
WOODSIDE.....	264	241	227	37	16.3	3 895	3 154	2 490	56.4
GREENWOOD COUNTY.....	52 423	51 393	49 686	2 737	5.5	4 008	3 295	2 615	53.3
GREENWOOD.....	24 606	23 167	21 069	3 537	16.8	4 080	3 320	2 569	58.8
HODGES.....	205	211	214	-9	-4.2	4 469	3 636	2 885	54.9
NINETY-SIX.....	2 137	2 142	2 166	-29	-1.3	4 213	3 369	2 846	48.0
TROY.....	226	208	207	19	9.2	3 078	2 326	1 852	66.2
WARE SHOALS (PART).....	2 019	2 067	2 164	-145	-6.7	4 075	3 321	2 847	43.1
HAMPTON COUNTY.....	17 028	16 275	15 878	1 150	7.2	2 843	2 340	1 735	63.9
BRUNSON.....	557	553	559	-2	-0.4	3 585	2 966	2 048	75.0
ESTILL.....	2 108	1 994	1 954	154	7.9	3 346	2 499	1 952	71.4
FAIRFAX (PART).....	-	-	-	-	...	-	-	-	...
FURMAN.....	211	199	239	-28	-11.7	2 244	1 802	1 343	67.1
GIFFORD.....	282	275	280	2	0.7	1 855	1 490	1 110	67.1
HAMPTON.....	3 008	3 083	2 966	42	1.4	4 489	3 671	2 751	63.2
LURAY.....	66	65	72	-6	-8.3	2 635	2 116	1 577	67.1
SCOTIA.....	31	60	64	-33	-51.6	3 021	2 426	1 808	67.1
VARNVILLE.....	1 894	1 710	1 555	339	21.8	3 207	2 740	2 027	58.2
YAMASSEE (PART).....	785	684	738	47	6.4	2 686	2 275	1 547	73.6
HORRY COUNTY.....	84 536	79 410	69 992	14 544	20.8	3 340	2 730	2 027	64.8
ATLANTIC BEACH.....	253	240	215	38	17.7	2 509	2 063	1 550	61.9
AYNOR.....	587	617	536	51	9.5	4 120	3 261	2 681	53.7
CONWAY.....	8 772	8 576	8 151	621	7.6	3 594	2 967	2 285	57.3
LORIS.....	1 919	1 894	1 741	178	10.2	3 221	2 672	2 125	51.6
MYRTLE BEACH.....	12 300	11 336	9 615	2 685	27.9	5 617	4 649	3 484	61.2
NORTH MYRTLE BEACH.....	2 954	2 402	1 957	997	50.9	5 426	4 876	3 252	66.9
SURFSIDE BEACH.....	2 341	1 871	1 329	1 012	76.1	3 906	3 476	2 596	50.5
JASPER COUNTY.....	13 179	12 494	11 885	1 294	10.9	2 502	2 022	1 522	64.4
HARDEEVILLE.....	1 047	1 033	853	194	22.7	3 465	2 765	2 088	65.9
RIDGELAND.....	1 198	1 188	1 165	33	2.8	3 991	3 188	2 412	65.5

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
KERSHAW COUNTY.....	36 393	35 930	34 727	1 666	4.8	3 810	3 094	2 410	58.1
BETHUNE.....	470	496	506	-36	-7.1	3 928	3 075	2 654	48.0
CAMDEN.....	8 296	8 407	8 532	-236	-2.8	4 987	4 032	3 112	60.3
ELGIN.....	416	401	374	42	11.2	3 781	3 027	2 351	60.8
KERSHAW (PART).....	862	824	828	34	4.1	4 455	3 779	2 828	57.5
LANCASTER COUNTY.....	45 638	44 616	43 328	2 310	5.3	3 546	2 982	2 397	47.9
HEATH SPRINGS.....	965	955	955	10	1.0	3 284	2 586	2 007	63.6
KERSHAW (PART).....	819	876	990	-171	-17.3	3 413	2 719	2 238	52.5
LANCASTER.....	8 655	8 828	9 186	-531	-5.8	4 119	3 479	2 723	51.3
LAURENS COUNTY.....	50 464	49 848	49 713	751	1.5	3 435	2 845	2 256	52.3
CLINTON.....	7 817	7 936	8 138	-321	-3.9	3 616	3 026	2 336	54.8
CROSS HILL.....	494	484	579	-85	-14.7	2 360	2 012	1 602	47.3
FOUNTAIN INN (PART).....	663	656	591	72	12.2	3 574	2 818	2 261	58.1
GRAY COURT.....	1 007	949	859	148	17.2	3 084	2 586	2 049	50.5
LAURENS.....	10 034	10 093	10 298	-264	-2.6	4 074	3 292	2 649	53.8
WATERLOO.....	105	107	112	-7	-6.3	2 834	2 319	1 840	54.0
LEE COUNTY.....	17 411	17 346	18 323	-912	-5.0	2 369	1 909	1 439	64.6
BISHOPVILLE.....	3 151	3 151	3 404	-253	-7.4	3 434	2 778	2 113	62.5
LYNCHBURG.....	510	505	546	-36	-6.6	3 100	2 489	1 900	63.2
LEXINGTON COUNTY.....	117 603	106 097	89 012	28 591	32.1	4 184	3 375	2 597	61.1
BATESBURG (PART).....	3 584	3 592	3 668	-84	-2.3	3 258	2 696	2 200	48.1
CAYCE.....	10 434	10 845	10 486	-52	-0.5	4 166	3 420	2 736	52.3
CHAPIN.....	402	393	342	60	17.5	3 586	2 872	2 231	60.7
GASTON.....	816	777	654	162	24.8	4 184	3 375	2 597	61.1
GILBERT.....	232	224	186	46	24.7	3 496	2 800	2 175	60.7
IRMO.....	1 183	934	517	666	128.8	3 506	2 805	2 179	60.9
LEESVILLE.....	2 107	2 035	1 907	200	10.5	3 851	3 112	2 455	56.9
LEXINGTON.....	1 770	1 334	969	801	82.7	4 630	3 747	2 915	58.8
PELION.....	224	233	216	8	3.7	4 012	2 978	2 595	54.6
PINE RIDGE.....	776	743	633	143	22.6	3 808	3 070	2 378	60.1
SOUTH CONGAREE.....	1 632	1 577	1 434	198	13.8	3 471	2 787	2 157	60.9
SPRINGDALE.....	3 529	3 137	2 638	891	33.8	4 812	3 873	3 005	60.1
SUMMIT.....	164	156	130	34	26.2	2 870	2 299	1 785	60.8
SWANSEA.....	825	763	691	134	19.4	3 414	2 625	2 126	60.6
WEST COLUMBIA.....	13 320	11 803	10 372	2 948	28.4	3 859	3 120	2 492	54.9
MCCORMICK COUNTY.....	7 983	8 138	7 955	28	0.4	2 583	1 973	1 564	65.2
MCCORMICK.....	1 915	1 952	1 864	51	2.7	3 424	2 601	2 142	59.9
MOUNT CARMEL.....	153	135	138	15	10.9	2 666	2 069	1 526	74.7
PARKSVILLE.....	155	164	164	-9	-5.5	2 912	2 070	1 586	83.6
PLUM BRANCH.....	92	99	108	-16	-14.8	3 880	2 909	2 304	68.4
MARION COUNTY.....	32 492	31 885	30 270	2 222	7.3	2 911	2 353	1 752	66.2
MARION.....	7 994	7 649	7 435	559	7.5	3 540	2 846	2 198	61.1
MULLINS.....	5 775	5 882	6 006	-231	-3.8	3 373	2 749	2 004	68.3
NICHOLS.....	582	558	549	33	6.0	3 774	3 014	2 231	69.2
SELLERS (PART).....	456	462	469	-13	-2.8	2 652	2 127	1 586	67.2
MARLBORO COUNTY.....	28 632	27 946	27 151	1 481	5.5	2 734	2 245	1 743	56.9
BENNETTSVILLE.....	8 098	7 795	7 468	630	8.4	3 498	2 849	2 241	56.1
BLENNHEIM.....	254	247	236	18	7.6	2 254	1 991	1 420	58.7
CLIO.....	1 003	948	936	67	7.2	3 187	2 616	2 031	56.9
MCCOLL.....	2 523	2 508	2 524	-1	-	3 164	2 646	2 105	50.3
TATUM.....	100	121	115	-15	-13.0	3 322	2 920	2 206	50.6
NEWBERRY COUNTY.....	30 621	29 737	29 273	1 348	4.6	3 453	2 863	2 235	54.5
CHAPPELLS.....	72	67	74	-2	-2.7	4 019	3 307	2 572	56.3
LITTLE MOUNTAIN.....	237	242	240	-3	-1.2	3 434	3 013	2 140	60.5
NEWBERRY.....	8 998	8 985	9 218	-220	-2.4	3 835	3 209	2 482	54.5
PEAK.....	75	75	87	-12	-13.8	3 085	2 539	1 975	56.2
POMARIA.....	270	261	264	6	2.3	2 731	2 248	1 748	56.2
PROSPERITY.....	835	790	762	73	9.6	3 463	2 888	2 251	53.8
SILVERSTREET.....	142	164	156	-14	-9.0	3 884	3 230	2 512	54.6
WHITMIRE.....	2 067	2 101	2 226	-159	-7.1	3 920	3 372	2 615	49.9

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
OCONEE COUNTY.....	43 430	42 988	40 728	2 702	6.6	3 468	2 875	2 293	51.2
SALEM.....	299	298	301	-2	-0.7	3 778	2 942	2 276	66.0
SENECA.....	6 913	6 803	6 573	340	5.2	3 838	3 228	2 547	50.7
WALHALLA.....	3 594	3 674	3 662	-68	-1.9	3 770	3 178	2 507	50.4
WESTMINSTER.....	2 638	2 538	2 521	117	4.6	3 242	2 751	2 272	42.7
WEST UNION.....	373	369	388	-15	-3.9	3 527	2 918	2 455	43.7
ORANGEBURG COUNTY.....	76 163	72 942	69 789	6 374	9.1	2 831	2 338	1 812	56.2
BOWMAN.....	1 199	1 094	1 095	104	9.5	2 526	2 077	1 504	68.0
BRANCHVILLE.....	975	968	1 011	-36	-3.6	3 214	2 621	1 963	63.7
COPE.....	203	203	202	1	0.5	3 357	2 745	2 111	59.0
CORDOVA.....	223	214	205	18	8.8	3 749	3 065	2 358	59.0
ELLOREE.....	970	934	940	30	3.2	3 983	3 140	2 258	76.4
EUTAWVILLE.....	396	394	386	10	2.6	3 279	2 673	2 033	61.3
HOLLY HILL.....	1 304	1 237	1 178	126	10.7	4 539	3 733	2 869	58.2
LIVINGSTON.....	129	133	165	-36	-21.8	4 901	3 992	2 815	74.1
NEESES.....	475	463	388	87	22.4	3 001	2 576	1 971	52.3
NORTH.....	1 079	1 070	1 076	3	0.3	3 798	3 095	2 354	61.3
NORWAY.....	614	587	579	35	6.0	3 086	2 550	1 916	61.1
ORANGEBURG.....	15 809	14 640	14 101	1 708	12.1	3 766	3 154	2 543	48.1
ROWESVILLE.....	379	368	392	-13	-3.3	2 262	1 718	1 420	59.3
SANTEE.....	138	138	137	1	0.7	2 249	1 839	1 414	59.1
SPRINGFIELD.....	781	766	724	57	7.9	3 616	2 819	2 211	63.5
VANCE.....	59	57	54	5	9.3	3 194	2 611	2 009	59.0
WOODFORD.....	67	155	195	-128	-65.6	3 117	2 548	1 960	59.0
PICKENS COUNTY.....	68 270	65 294	58 956	9 314	15.8	3 805	3 160	2 486	53.1
CENTRAL.....	1 640	1 739	1 550	90	5.8	3 622	2 991	2 395	51.2
CLEMSON (PART).....	7 132	7 170	6 673	459	6.9	5 047	4 173	3 338	51.2
EASLEY.....	12 161	12 066	11 175	986	8.8	4 137	3 374	2 744	50.8
LIBERTY.....	3 200	3 185	2 860	340	11.9	4 361	3 586	2 796	56.0
NORRIS.....	850	792	757	93	12.3	3 580	3 006	2 287	56.5
PICKENS.....	3 151	3 080	2 954	197	6.7	3 919	3 233	2 536	54.5
SIX MILE.....	417	385	361	56	15.5	4 840	4 086	3 198	51.3
RICHLAND COUNTY.....	247 553	241 435	233 868	13 685	5.9	4 215	3 418	2 631	60.2
ARCADIA LAKES.....	804	808	741	63	8.5	7 336	5 943	4 602	59.4
BLYTHEWOOD.....	77	76	70	7	10.0	4 320	3 464	2 673	61.6
COLUMBIA.....	111 616	108 503	113 542	-1 926	-1.7	4 076	3 346	2 601	56.7
EASTOVER.....	807	835	817	-10	-1.2	3 253	2 625	2 016	61.4
FOREST ACRES.....	6 897	7 171	6 808	89	1.3	7 472	6 038	4 674	59.9
SALUDA COUNTY.....	14 623	14 632	14 528	95	0.7	3 156	2 544	1 951	61.8
BATESBURG (PART).....	321	366	368	-47	-12.8	3 053	2 438	1 768	72.7
RIDGE SPRING.....	665	658	644	21	3.3	3 224	2 573	2 199	46.6
SALUDA.....	2 512	2 479	2 442	70	2.9	3 510	2 952	2 315	51.6
WARD.....	107	136	150	-43	-28.7	3 477	2 743	1 919	81.2
SPARTANBURG COUNTY.....	191 587	186 363	173 724	17 863	10.3	3 893	3 201	2 495	56.0
CAMPOBELLO.....	575	556	530	45	8.5	2 667	2 177	1 694	57.4
CENTRAL PACOLET.....	519	512	483	36	7.5	2 814	2 323	1 820	54.6
CHESNEE.....	1 136	1 097	1 069	67	6.3	3 386	2 754	2 094	61.7
COWPENS.....	2 286	2 244	2 109	177	8.4	3 923	3 155	2 463	59.3
DUNCAN.....	1 459	1 418	1 266	193	15.2	3 770	3 085	2 413	56.2
GREER (PART).....	4 312	4 323	4 031	281	7.0	2 610	2 124	1 821	43.3
INMAN.....	1 752	1 746	1 661	91	5.5	3 592	2 920	2 267	58.4
LANDRUM.....	2 080	2 067	1 859	221	11.9	3 681	2 937	2 347	56.8
LYMAN.....	1 505	1 401	1 159	346	29.9	4 819	3 754	3 157	52.6
PACOLET.....	1 654	1 569	1 418	236	16.6	2 992	2 454	1 900	57.5
PACOLET MILLS.....	1 281	1 340	1 504	-223	-14.8	4 072	3 328	2 631	54.8
SPARTANBURG.....	46 929	46 373	44 546	2 383	5.3	4 346	3 622	2 824	53.9
WELLFORD.....	1 552	1 416	1 298	254	19.6	2 648	2 055	1 606	64.9
WOODRUFF.....	4 539	4 646	4 690	-151	-3.2	3 763	3 080	2 437	54.4
SUMTER COUNTY.....	82 582	81 908	79 425	3 157	4.0	3 115	2 545	1 962	58.8
MAYESVILLE.....	722	759	757	-35	-4.6	2 869	2 117	1 484	93.3
PINEWOOD.....	595	658	687	-92	-13.4	2 789	2 195	1 725	61.7
SUMTER.....	24 905	25 016	24 555	350	1.4	3 690	2 988	2 375	55.4

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
UNION COUNTY.....	30 100	30 384	29 230	870	3.0	3 281	2 744	2 198	49.3
CARLISLE.....	607	657	670	-63	-9.4	2 281	1 882	1 460	56.2
JONESVILLE.....	1 460	1 527	1 447	13	0.9	3 584	2 867	2 229	60.8
LOCKHART.....	101	103	103	-2	-1.9	3 827	3 172	2 531	51.2
UNION.....	10 221	10 630	10 775	-554	-5.1	3 625	3 085	2 434	48.9
WILLIAMSBURG COUNTY.....	34 783	34 412	34 243	540	1.6	2 321	1 865	1 388	67.2
ANDREWS (PART).....	49	52	48	1	2.1	3 454	2 753	2 079	66.1
GREELEYVILLE.....	517	522	542	-25	-4.6	2 937	2 240	1 890	55.4
HEMINGWAY.....	986	1 006	1 026	-40	-3.9	3 469	2 827	2 143	61.9
KINGSTREE.....	3 451	3 448	3 381	70	2.1	4 308	3 463	2 610	65.1
LANE.....	522	511	517	5	1.0	2 762	2 159	1 615	71.0
STUCKEY.....	200	195	193	7	3.6	1 753	1 397	1 055	66.2
YORK COUNTY.....	92 698	90 548	85 216	7 482	8.8	3 696	3 054	2 386	54.9
CLOVER.....	4 071	3 643	3 506	565	16.1	4 341	3 286	2 388	81.8
FORT MILL.....	5 113	4 851	4 505	608	13.5	4 558	3 617	2 711	68.1
HICKORY GROVE.....	297	347	377	-80	-21.2	3 810	2 880	2 321	64.2
MCCONNELLS.....	303	315	213	90	42.3	2 583	2 182	1 558	65.8
ROCK HILL.....	35 346	35 178	33 846	1 500	4.4	3 678	3 057	2 422	51.9
SHARON.....	282	279	268	14	5.2	4 268	3 742	2 929	45.7
SMYRNA.....	82	87	85	-3	-3.5	4 335	3 742	2 929	48.0
YORK.....	6 007	5 712	5 636	371	6.6	3 260	2 782	2 168	50.4
MULTI-COUNTY PLACES									
ANDREWS.....	2 877	2 926	2 879	-2	-0.1	2 849	2 305	1 626	75.2
BATESBURG.....	3 905	3 958	4 036	-131	-3.2	3 241	2 672	2 161	50.0
CLEMSON.....	7 151	7 190	6 690	461	6.9	5 047	4 173	3 338	51.2
FAIRFAX.....	1 948	1 939	1 937	11	0.6	3 105	2 663	1 957	58.7
FOUNTAIN INN.....	4 015	3 735	3 391	624	18.4	3 733	3 048	2 462	51.6
GREER.....	11 435	11 340	10 642	793	7.5	3 579	2 965	2 370	51.0
HONEA PATH.....	3 968	3 960	3 707	261	7.0	3 860	3 164	2 562	50.7
KERSHAW.....	1 681	1 700	1 818	-137	-7.5	3 944	3 230	2 507	57.3
SELLERS.....	543	552	561	-18	-3.2	2 453	1 967	1 464	67.6
WARE SHOALS.....	2 351	2 362	2 480	-129	-5.2	4 175	3 406	2 874	45.3
YEMASSEE.....	792	693	745	47	6.3	2 714	2 305	1 563	73.6

1975 Population and Per Capita Income Estimates, and Revised 1973 Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places, and Selected Minor Civil Divisions

(Reports may not be published in numerical order)

No. 649	Alabama	No. 674	Montana
No. 650	Alaska	No. 675	Nebraska
No. 651	Arizona	No. 676	Nevada
No. 652	Arkansas	No. 677	New Hampshire
No. 653	California	No. 678	New Jersey
No. 654	Colorado	No. 679	New Mexico
No. 655	Connecticut	No. 680	New York
No. 656	Delaware	No. 681	North Carolina
No. 657	Florida	No. 682	North Dakota
No. 658	Georgia	No. 683	Ohio
No. 659	Hawaii	No. 684	Oklahoma
No. 660	Idaho	No. 685	Oregon
No. 661	Illinois	No. 686	Pennsylvania
No. 662	Indiana	No. 687	Rhode Island
No. 663	Iowa	No. 688	South Carolina
No. 664	Kansas	No. 689	South Dakota
No. 665	Kentucky	No. 690	Tennessee
No. 666	Louisiana	No. 691	Texas
No. 667	Maine	No. 692	Utah
No. 668	Maryland	No. 693	Vermont
No. 669	Massachusetts	No. 694	Virginia
No. 670	Michigan	No. 695	Washington
No. 671	Minnesota	No. 696	West Virginia
No. 672	Mississippi	No. 697	Wisconsin
No. 673	Missouri	No. 698	Wyoming
		No. 699	U.S. Summary and Detailed Methodology