

Population Estimates and Projections



U.S. Department of Commerce
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Series P-25, No. 695
Issued May 1977

1973 (Revised) and 1975 Population Estimates and 1972 (Revised) and 1974 Per Capita Income Estimates for Counties and Incorporated Places in Washington

This report is one of a series containing current estimates of the population and per capita money income for selected areas in each State. The population estimates relate to July 1, 1973 and July 1, 1975, and the estimates of per capita income cover calendar years 1972 and 1974. Current estimates of population below the county level and per capita money income for all general purpose governments were prompted by the enactment of the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. The figures are now used by a wide variety of Federal, State, and local governmental agencies for program planning and administrative purposes.

Areas included in this series of reports are all counties (or county equivalents such as census divisions in Alaska, parishes in Louisiana, and independent cities in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia) and incorporated places in the State, plus active minor civil divisions (MCD's), commonly towns in New England, New York, and Wisconsin, or townships in other parts of the United States.¹ These State reports appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, in alphabetical sequence as report number 649 (Alabama) through number 698 (Wyoming). A list indicating the report number for

each State is appended. No separate report is to be issued for the District of Columbia. However, the estimates for the District of Columbia, together with a summary table for all States, will be presented in a report detailing the methods used to estimate income and population, and will contain further evaluation of the estimates. This report will appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 699.

The detailed table for each State shows July 1, 1975 and revised July 1, 1973 estimates of the population of each area, together with April 1, 1970 census population and numerical and percentage change between 1970 and 1975. The 1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and include corrections to the 1970 census counts. In addition, the table presents per capita income estimates for calendar years 1974 and 1972 (revised), plus calendar year 1969 per capita money income derived from data collected in the 1970 census.

The estimates are presented in the table in county order, with all incorporated places in the county listed in alphabetical order, followed by any functioning minor civil divisions also listed in alphabetical order. Minor civil divisions are always identified in the listing by the term "township," "town," or other MCD category. When incorporated places fall in more than one county, each county piece is marked "part," and totals for these places are presented at the end of the table.

¹ In certain midwestern States (Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and the Dakotas) some counties have active minor civil divisions while others do not.

POPULATION ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

To estimate the population of each subcounty area, a component procedure (the Administrative Records method) was used, with each of the components of population change (births, deaths, net migration, and special populations) estimated separately. The estimates were derived in two stages, moving from 1970 as a base year to develop estimates for 1973, and in turn, moving from 1973 as the base year to derive estimates for 1975.

Migration. Individual Federal income tax returns were used to measure migration by matching individual returns for successive periods. The places of residence on tax returns filed in the base year and in the estimate year were noted for matched returns to determine in-migrants, out-migrants, and nonmigrants for each area. A net migration rate was derived, based on the difference between the immigration and out-migration of taxpayers and dependents, and was applied to a base population to yield an estimate of net migration for all persons in the area.

Natural increase. Reported resident birth and death statistics were used, wherever available, to estimate natural increase. These data were collected from State health departments and supplemented, where necessary, by data prepared and published by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Center for Health Statistics. For subcounty areas where reported birth and death statistics were not available from either source, estimates were developed by applying national fertility and mortality rates to the 1970 census counts for the cohort of the female population 18 to 34 years old and to the total population 65 years old and over, respectively, in these areas. These estimates were subsequently controlled to agree with birth and death statistics for larger areas where reported data were available.

Adjustment for special populations. In addition to the above components of population change, estimates of special populations were also taken into account. Special populations include immigrants from abroad, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, residents of institutions (prisons and long-term health care facilities), and college students enrolled in full-time programs. These populations were treated separately because changes in these types of population groups are not reflected in the components of population change developed by standard measures, and the information is generally available for use as an independent series.

In generating estimates for counties by this procedure, the method was modified slightly to make the county estimates specific to the resident population under 65 years of age. The resident population 65 years old and over in counties was estimated separately by adding the change in Medicare enrollees between April 1, 1970 and July 1 of the estimate year to the April 1, 1970 population 65 years old and over in the county as enumerated in the 1970 census. These estimates of the population 65 years old and over were then added to estimates of the population under 65 years old to yield estimates of the total resident population in each county.

Annexations and new incorporations. The 1970 census counts shown in this report reflect all population "corrections" made to the figures after the initial tabulations. In addition, adjustments for large annexations through December 31, 1975, are reflected in the estimates.² For new incorporations occurring after 1970, the 1970 population within the boundaries of the new areas are shown in the detailed table. This geographic updating is accomplished largely as a result of an annual boundary and annexation survey conducted by the Bureau of the Census.

Other adjustments. For areas where special censuses were conducted after July 1, 1972, such special censuses were taken into account in developing the estimates.³ In several States, the subcounty estimates developed by the Administrative Records method were averaged with estimates for corresponding geographic areas which were prepared by

²In general, an annexation was included if the 1970 census count for the annexing area was 5,000 or more and the 1970 census count for the annexed area or areas exceeded 5 percent of the 1970 count for the annexing area. Adjustments were also made for a limited number of "unusual" annexations where the annexations for an area did not meet the minimum requirements but were accepted by the Office of Revenue Sharing for inclusion in the population base.

³Only special censuses conducted by the Bureau of the Census or by the California, Florida, Oregon, or Washington State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates were used for this purpose. In addition, in a relatively small number of cases where special censuses were conducted by localities, where the procedures and definitions were essentially the same as those used by the Bureau of the Census, the results of these special censuses were also taken into account in preparing the estimates.

State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates (FSCP). These States include California, Florida, Oregon, Washington, and Wisconsin.

The estimates for the subareas in each county were adjusted to independent county estimates. For 1973, the county estimates are revisions to those prepared by the Bureau of the Census alone or by the Bureau of the Census in conjunction with participating State agencies as a part of the Federal-State Cooperative Program. These estimates are revisions of those published in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 620. For 1975, an intermediate set of county estimates was prepared, since all of the data necessary to develop final estimates under the FSCP program were not available. Specifically, only data for two of the methods relied upon in the FSCP estimates (i.e., Component Method II and the Administrative Records method) were available. The 1975 estimates result from adding the average 1974-1975 population change indicated by the two methods to the 1974 county population figures contained in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26.

The county estimates, in turn, were adjusted to be consistent with independent State estimates published by the Bureau of the Census in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 640 and 642, in which the Administrative Records-based estimates were averaged with the estimates prepared using Component Method II and the Regression method.⁴

PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

The 1974 and revised 1972 per capita income (PCI) figure is the estimated average amount per person of total money income received during calendar years 1974 and 1972 for all persons residing in a given political jurisdiction in April 1975 and April 1973, respectively. The 1974 and revised 1972 PCI estimates are based on the 1970 census and have been updated using rates of change developed from various administrative record sets and compilations, mainly from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA).

⁴ For further discussion of the methodologies used in preparing State estimates, see **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 640.

The PCI estimates are based on a money income concept. Total money income is defined by the Bureau of the Census for statistical purposes as the sum of:

- Wage and salary income
- Net nonfarm self-employment income
- Net farm self-employment income
- Social Security and railroad retirement income
- Public assistance income
- All other income such as interest, dividends, veteran's payments, pensions, unemployment insurance, alimony, etc.

The total represents the amount of income received before deductions for personal income taxes, Social Security, bond purchases, union dues, Medicare deductions, etc.

Procedures for State and county PCI estimates.

As noted above, the 1974 and revised 1972 State and county PCI estimates were based on the 1970 census.⁵ The updates for these areas were developed by carrying forward the aggregate amount (i.e., the sum of all individual incomes in the State or county) independently for each type of income identified in the census to reflect differential changes in these income sources between 1969 and the estimate date. Data from the 1969, 1972, and 1974 Federal tax returns provided by the Internal Revenue Service were used to estimate the change in wage and salary income at the State and county level. All other types of income for these governmental units were updated using rates of change based on estimates of aggregate money income provided by the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

At the county level, several modifications of these procedures were used to better control the estimates of income change. For example, the IRS data for sub-State jurisdictions were subject to non-reporting of address information on the tax return and to misassignment of geographic location for reported addresses. To minimize the impact on the estimates from such potential sources of error, per capita wage and salary income for counties was updated intact as a per capita figure using the percentage change in wage and salary income per exemption reported on IRS returns. In addition, because of differences in the definition of income, data collection techniques, and estimation procedures, 1969 in-

⁵ Income data from the 1970 census reflect income received in calendar year 1969.

come estimates from the census and BEA were not strictly comparable. These differences were especially evident at the county level for nonfarm and farm self-employment income. BEA estimates for these types of income tend to have considerably more year-to-year variation than estimates derived from surveys and censuses. To minimize the effects of these differences, constraints were put on the rate of change in income from these sources in developing the 1972 and 1974 PCI updates.

As a final step to insure a uniform series of estimates at the State and county levels, the updated county per capita figures were converted to a total aggregate income and were adjusted to agree with the State aggregate level before a final per capita income was calculated.

Procedures for subcounty per capita income estimates. The 1974 and revised 1972 per capita income estimates for subcounty governmental units were developed using a methodology similar to that used to derive county-level figures. However, there are differences in the number of separate categories of income types used in the estimation procedure, and in the sources used to update the income components.

As in the case of the population estimates, a two-step procedure was relied upon to update the income figures from their 1969 level to refer to 1974. The 1972 estimates were prepared using the rate of change from 1969 to 1972. The 1974 estimates are based on the 1972 estimates, and were updated by an estimate of change from 1972 to 1974. Also, as in the case of the population figures, the subcounty income data were uniformly adjusted to reflect major annexation and boundary changes which occurred since 1970.

1969 base estimates. The 1970 census PCI figures for small areas are subject to sizable sampling variability, causing them to lack sufficient statistical reliability for use in the estimation process. For this report, the 1969 PCI shown for areas with a 1970 census sample population estimate of less than 1,000 is a weighted average of the original 1970 census sample value and a regression estimate. Research has indicated that this procedure results in a considerable improvement in accuracy compared to the procedure relied upon in earlier estimates, which was to use the county PCI amount for various small governmental units. The resulting 1969 estimate for each of these areas is a base estimate for preparing 1972 and 1974 estimates and does not represent a change in the 1970 census value for these areas.

For subcounty updating, 1969 total money income was divided into two components: (1) "taxable income" which is approximately comparable to that portion of income included in IRS adjusted gross income, and (2) "transfer income" which for the most part is not included in adjusted gross income. These 1969 subcounty estimates were adjusted to 1970 census totals for higher level government units. This was done using a two-way adjustment procedure controlling both to county totals and to several size class totals for the State.⁶

1972 (revised) and 1974 PCI updates. The taxable income portion of the 1969 money income was updated using the percent change in adjusted gross income (AGI) per exemption as computed from IRS tax return data. However, if the number of IRS tax returns for any area was very small, or if the ratio of exemptions to the population or the change in this ratio from 1969 to 1972 and 1972 to 1974 was not within an acceptable range, the IRS data for the subcounty area were not used in the update process. In such cases the percent change in AGI per exemption for the county was used. Similarly, if the IRS data for a particular subcounty area passed the above conditions, but the percent change in AGI per exemption was excessively large or small compared to that for the county, the change was constrained to a proportion of the county change.

The percentage change in per capita transfer income at the subcounty level was assumed to be the same as that implied by the BEA estimates at the county level.

The 1974 and 1972 estimates of taxable income and transfer income were adjusted separately using a two-way procedure similar to that used for the base estimates and were then combined to estimate total money income. The 1974 and 1972 PCI estimates were formed by dividing the total money income aggregates by the July 1975 and 1973 population estimates, respectively.

REVISION OF 1973 POPULATION AND 1972 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES

The July 1, 1973 population and calendar year 1972 per capita income estimates presented in this report supersede those estimates published earlier in

⁶ Additional review and evaluation detail concerning the 1969 estimated income for places under 1,000 population is contained in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, No. 699.

Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Nos. 546 through 595. The July 1, 1973 population estimates shown in this report differ from those published previously for several reasons: (1) The procedure for correcting missing address information on the original tax forms was changed to more accurately reflect the population distribution of the various areas; (2) more accurate and up-to-date information on several components of population change (births, deaths, and special population groups) are now available; (3) the net migration component has been changed from a civilian population base to refer instead to the non-group quarters population (i.e., resident population excluding members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, inmates of long-term hospitals and prisons, and full-time students enrolled in college); and (4) additional special censuses are available for use that were conducted since the time of the last estimates.

Similarly for per capita income: (1) The 1969 income levels for small areas have been estimated rather than relying upon reported 1970 census figures, and (2) a revised procedure was used in controlling the 1972 estimates for internal agreement.

LIMITATIONS OF THE ESTIMATES

Population estimates. Tests of the accuracy of the methods used to develop State and county population estimates appearing in **Current Population Reports, Series P-25 and P-26** have been documented elsewhere. The results of evaluations against the 1970 census at the State level are reported in Series P-25, No. 520, while similar 1970 tests for counties are presented in Series P-26, No. 21. In summary, the State estimates averaging Component Method II and the Regression method yielded average differences of approximately 1.9 percent when compared to the 1970 census. Subsequent modifications of the two procedures that have been incorporated in preparing estimates for the 1970's would have reduced the average difference in 1970 to 1.2 percent. For counties, the 1970 evaluations indicated an average difference of approximately 4.5 percent for the combination of procedures used. It should be noted that all of the evaluations against the results of the 1970 census concern estimates extending over the entire 10-year period of 1960 to 1970.

Since 1970, however, the Administrative Records method has been introduced with partial weight in

the estimates for States and counties, and except for the few States in which local estimates are utilized, carries the full weight for estimates below the county level. The data series upon which the estimates procedure is based has been available as a comprehensive series for the entire United States only since 1967. Nonetheless, several studies have been undertaken evaluating the Administrative Records estimates from the State to the local level. At the State-wide level, little direct testing can be performed due to the lack of special censuses covering entire States. Some sense of the general reasonableness of the Administrative Records estimates may be obtained, however, by reviewing the degree of correspondence between the results of the method against those of the "standard" methods tested in 1970 and already in use to produce State estimates during the 1970's. It must be recognized that the differences between the two sets of estimates may not be interpreted as errors in either set of figures, but may only be used as a partial guide indicating the degree of consistency between the newer Administrative Records system and the established methods.

Table A presents such a comparison for State estimates referring to July 1, 1975. A rather close agreement may be observed in the estimates for all States at only a 1.0 percent difference. Only two States exceeded a 3-percent difference, with both being smaller States (under one million population) and both having unique circumstances that affect population patterns (Alaska and the District of Columbia). The variation of the Administrative Records method from the average of the other methods does increase noticeably for smaller States in a regular pattern, but still reaches an average of only 1.5 percent for the smallest size category.

The findings indicate no directional bias in the Administrative Records method either for all States or by size. It should also be noted that the Administrative Records estimate falls in the middle of the three estimates for 18 States, in contrast with approximately 17 cases to be expected by chance.

A similar comparison may be made at the county level (table B). Although the differences between the Co-op estimates and the Administrative Records results are larger at the county level than for States, the variations are well within the range that would be expected for areas of this population size, and the county pattern matches closely the findings for States. The overall differences for all counties is 3.3 percent, and ranges from 1.8 percent for the larger counties to 11.7 for the 26 small counties under 1,000 population.

Table A. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Average of Component Method II and Regression Estimates for States: 1975

(Base is the average of Method II and Regression estimates)

Item	All States	Population size in 1970		
		4 million and over	1.5 to 4 million	Less than 1.5 million
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	1.0	0.5	0.9	1.5
Number of States.....	51	16	18	17
With differences of:				
Less than 1 percent.....	32	14	12	6
1 to 2 percent.....	13	2	4	7
2 percent and over.....	6	-	2	4
Where Administrative Records was:				
Higher.....	24	7	9	8
Lower.....	27	9	9	9

- Represents zero.

Table B. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Provisional Co-op Estimates for Counties: 1975

(Base is the provisional Co-op estimates for counties)

Item	All counties	Counties with 1,000 or more 1970 population					Counties with less than 1,000 1970 population
		Total	50,000 or more	25,000 to 50,000	10,000 to 25,000	1,000 to 10,000	
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	3.3	3.2	1.8	2.7	3.2	4.4	11.7
Number of counties or equivalents.....	3,143	3,117	679	567	1,017	854	26
With differences of:							
Less than 1 percent.....	736	733	215	159	228	131	3
1 to 3 percent.....	1,153	1,145	311	213	373	248	8
3 to 5 percent.....	647	645	109	123	212	201	2
5 to 10 percent.....	471	467	42	58	167	200	4
10 percent and over.....	136	127	2	14	37	74	9

Comparison of these results for States and counties in 1975 with a similar analysis based on 1973 estimates is helpful as an indication of consistency over time. Some deterioration in the match of results from a selection of estimating techniques should be anticipated as the length of the estimating period increases and as the methods respond in varying degrees to the dynamics of population shifts. At the State level, such divergence is found. The overall variation increased from 0.6 percent difference in 1973 to 1.0 percent in 1975, with the most dramatic jumps occurring in the small States. On examination of the independent estimates from each method, however, this may be attributed as much to an increased variability in the Method II and Regression method results as to a tendency for the Administrative Records estimates to wander.

At the county level, the findings over time are more mixed. The level of difference for all counties indicates little change since the 1973 estimates (3.1 percent difference in 1973 and 3.3 percent in 1975). There are noticeable reductions in the differences for the largest and smallest population size categories (from 2.3 percent in 1973 to 1.8 percent in 1975 for counties of 50,000 or more, and from 18.1 percent to 11.7 percent for counties under 1,000 population), but modest increases may be observed in the variations for the remaining categories. In general, there appears to be some decrease of correspondence in the State level figures that should be monitored in coming years, but little change has occurred in the county variations, with even some convergence of estimates for the larger and smaller counties.

Three tests of the Administrative Records population estimates against census counts have been undertaken. First, a limited evaluation involving 24 large areas (16 counties and 8 cities) was conducted on estimates for the 1968-1970 period.⁷ Although the test shows the estimates to be quite accurate (1.8 percent difference), the areas may not be assumed to be representative of the 39,000 units of government covered by the Administrative Records estimating system, and the time segment evaluated refers only to a 2-year period.

A more representative group of special censuses in 86 areas selected particularly for evaluation purposes was conducted in 1973. The areas were randomly chosen nationwide to be typical of areas with populations below 20,000 persons.

Table C summarizes the average percent difference between the estimates from the Administrative Records method and counts from the 86 special censuses. Overall, the estimates differed from the special census counts by 5.9 percent, with the largest differences occurring in the smallest areas. Areas of between 1,000 and 20,000 population differed by 4.6 percent, while the average difference for the 27 areas below 1,000 population was 8.6 percent. There was a slight positive directional bias,

⁷Meyer Zitter and David L. Word, U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Use of Administrative Records for Small Area Population Estimates," unpublished paper prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 27, 1973.

Table C. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates (Unrevised) and 86 Special Censuses: 1973

(Base is special census)

Area	Average percent difference ¹	Number of areas with differences of:			
		Under 3 percent	3 to 5 percent	5 to 10 percent	10 percent and over
All areas (86) ²	5.9	32	18	20	16
1,000 to 20,000 (59).....	4.6	26	13	14	6
Under 1,000 population (27).....	8.6	6	5	6	10

¹Disregarding sign.

²All areas have population under 20,000 persons.

with about 60 percent of the estimates exceeding the census counts. Again the impact of population size on the expected level of accuracy may be noted. Even though all of the areas in this study are relatively small—less than 20,000 population—the larger ones demonstrate much lower variation from census figures than the smaller ones.

The third evaluation involving census comparisons is currently underway, and is based upon the approximately 2,000 special censuses that have been conducted since 1970 at the request of localities throughout the United States. Such areas constitute a fairly stringent test for any method in that they are generally very small areas, often are experiencing rapid population growth, and frequently are found to have had a vigorous program of annexation since the last census. This evaluation study has not been completed for use here but will be included in detail as a part of the comprehensive methodology description in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 699.

As a final caution, it must be noted that for convenience in presentation, the estimates contained in table I are shown in unrounded form. It is not intended, however, that the figures be considered accurate to the last digit. The nature of estimates prompts the rounding of figures in related Bureau reports and must be kept in mind during the application of the estimates contained here.

Per capita income estimates. Similar types of analyses and evaluation are not available for the updated estimates of PCI. Income data and PCI for 1972 are available for the 86 areas in which special censuses were conducted for testing purposes. As noted, however, the areas in which the censuses were taken are relatively small. The PCI estimates are based upon data from the 1970 census, which are subject to sampling variability due to the size of

the areas. Consequently, PCI did not change enough in the 1970-72 period in most instances to move outside of the relatively large range of sampling variability associated with the 1970 census results on income for small areas. Thus, it is not possible to obtain a reliable reading or even rough approximations on the accuracy of the change in PCI using the 86 areas as standards. The estimates were made available to persons working with economic statistics in each State for review prior to publication. Comments from this "local" review helped identify problem areas and input data errors.

RELATED REPORTS

The population and per capita income estimates shown in this series of reports supersede those found in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 546 through 595 for 1973. The population estimates contained here for States are consistent with Series P-25, No. 533 (1973) and No. 642 (1975). The county estimates for 1975 are superior to the provisional 1975 figures published earlier in Series P-25 and P-26 due to the addition of a second method, but will not be reported elsewhere in **Current Population Reports**. The county population estimates will be replaced by subsequent final 1975 figures to be developed through the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates.

DETAILED TABLE SYMBOLS

In the detailed table entries, a dash "--" represents zero, and the symbol "Z" indicates that the figure is less than 0.05 percent. The symbol "B" means that the base for the derived figure is less than 75,000. Three dots "... " mean not applicable, and "NA" means not available.

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
STATE OF WASHINGTON....	3 553 231	3 444 928	3 413 244	139 987	4.1	4 864	3 896	3 357	44.9
ADAMS COUNTY.....	13 104	12 599	12 014	1 090	9.1	4 868	3 363	2 696	80.6
HATTON.....	71	67	60	11	18.3	4 401	3 060	2 589	70.0
LIND.....	636	642	622	14	2.3	4 837	3 354	2 795	73.1
OTHELLO.....	4 507	4 526	4 122	385	9.3	4 012	2 761	2 803	43.1
RITZVILLE.....	1 892	1 886	1 876	16	0.9	4 716	3 690	2 998	57.3
WASHTUCNA.....	320	289	316	4	1.3	4 042	2 782	2 353	71.8
ASOTIN COUNTY.....	15 053	14 725	13 799	1 254	9.1	4 130	3 278	2 698	53.1
ASOTIN.....	880	807	637	243	38.1	4 038	3 275	2 526	59.9
CLARKSTON.....	7 163	7 034	6 649	514	7.7	4 155	3 279	2 700	53.9
BENTON COUNTY.....	77 373	68 697	67 540	9 833	14.6	4 937	3 838	3 204	54.1
BENTON CITY.....	1 342	1 087	1 070	272	25.4	4 674	3 606	2 736	70.8
KENNEWICK.....	19 015	16 554	16 025	2 990	18.7	4 852	3 755	3 073	57.9
PROSSER.....	3 111	2 913	2 954	157	5.3	4 906	3 822	2 987	64.2
RICHLAND.....	29 543	26 391	26 290	3 253	12.4	5 462	4 273	3 637	50.2
WEST RICHLAND.....	1 498	1 231	1 107	391	35.3	4 281	3 069	2 449	74.8
CHELAN COUNTY.....	40 597	39 441	41 103	-506	-1.2	4 612	3 581	2 945	56.6
CASHMERE.....	1 959	1 926	1 976	-17	-0.9	5 070	3 676	3 163	60.3
CHELAN.....	2 946	2 816	2 837	109	3.8	4 509	3 518	2 941	53.3
ENTIAT.....	374	373	355	19	5.4	5 101	3 777	3 086	65.3
LEAVENWORTH.....	1 392	1 318	1 322	70	5.3	3 661	2 972	2 430	50.7
WENATCHEE.....	17 195	16 647	16 912	283	1.7	4 584	3 688	3 001	52.7
CLALLAM COUNTY.....	40 383	38 295	34 770	5 613	16.1	4 500	3 760	3 081	46.1
FORKS.....	2 008	1 832	1 680	328	19.5	5 396	4 554	3 712	45.4
PORT ANGELES.....	16 236	16 800	16 367	-131	-0.8	4 670	3 883	3 212	45.4
SEQUIM.....	2 362	1 983	1 549	813	52.5	4 393	3 658	2 743	60.2
CLARK COUNTY.....	154 590	144 988	128 454	26 136	20.3	4 715	3 824	3 191	47.8
BATTLE GROUND.....	2 159	1 953	1 438	721	50.1	4 802	4 196	3 253	47.6
CAMAS.....	6 119	5 955	5 790	329	5.7	4 895	3 967	3 254	50.4
LA CENTER.....	413	391	300	113	37.7	4 854	3 925	3 139	54.6
RIDGEFIELD.....	1 045	994	1 004	41	4.1	3 967	3 213	2 616	51.6
VANCOUVER.....	47 742	45 576	41 859	5 883	14.1	4 863	3 998	3 384	43.7
WASHOUGAL.....	3 577	3 459	3 388	189	5.6	4 611	3 742	2 996	53.9
WOODLAND (PART).....	83	82	123	-40	-32.5	6 111	4 941	3 952	54.6
YACOLT.....	552	550	488	64	13.1	4 468	3 578	2 778	60.8
COLUMBIA COUNTY.....	4 544	4 418	4 439	105	2.4	5 315	3 569	2 856	56.1
DAYTON.....	2 641	2 627	2 596	45	1.7	4 308	3 153	2 801	53.8
STARBUCK.....	208	206	216	-8	-3.7	3 578	2 818	2 359	51.7
COWLITZ COUNTY.....	71 931	69 854	68 616	3 315	4.8	4 684	3 775	3 070	52.6
CASTLE ROCK.....	1 827	1 755	1 647	180	10.9	4 219	3 393	2 708	55.8
KALAMA.....	1 048	1 040	1 106	-58	-5.2	4 726	3 652	2 799	68.8
KELSO.....	10 064	9 977	10 296	-232	-2.3	4 295	3 425	2 797	53.6
LONGVIEW.....	29 137	28 483	28 373	764	2.7	4 993	4 036	3 308	50.9
WOODLAND (PART).....	1 931	1 831	1 499	432	28.8	4 757	3 754	2 964	60.5
DOUGLAS COUNTY.....	19 347	18 017	16 787	2 560	15.2	4 839	3 723	3 005	61.0
BRIDGEPORT.....	1 141	1 041	952	189	19.9	4 325	3 396	2 811	53.9
COULEE DAM (PART).....	259	275	241	18	7.5	6 525	5 066	3 907	67.0
EAST WENATCHEE.....	1 130	979	913	217	23.8	5 141	4 019	3 173	62.0
MANSFIELD.....	365	333	273	92	33.7	4 361	3 723	2 639	65.3
ROCK ISLAND.....	263	226	191	72	37.7	3 389	2 631	2 225	52.3
WATERVILLE.....	947	932	919	28	3.0	4 912	3 901	2 848	72.5
FERRY COUNTY.....	4 476	3 972	3 655	821	22.5	3 510	2 727	2 362	48.6
REPUBLIC.....	1 107	971	862	245	28.4	3 201	2 254	2 372	34.9

10 WASH.

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
FRANKLIN COUNTY.....	27 649	26 548	25 816	1 833	7.1	4 849	3 540	2 885	68.1
CONNELL.....	1 702	1 435	1 161	541	46.6	5 810	4 446	3 484	66.8
KAHLOTUS.....	217	277	308	-91	-29.5	4 813	3 539	2 554	88.4
MESA.....	382	344	274	108	39.4	4 386	2 877	2 255	94.5
PASCO.....	14 530	14 124	13 920	610	4.4	4 461	3 542	2 927	52.4
GARFIELD COUNTY.....	2 945	2 974	2 911	34	1.2	5 917	3 486	2 618	126.0
POMEROY.....	1 815	1 865	1 823	-8	-0.4	5 422	3 295	2 707	100.3
GRANT COUNTY.....	44 980	43 454	41 881	3 099	7.4	4 145	3 147	2 609	58.9
COULEE CITY.....	567	581	558	9	1.6	4 687	3 632	2 642	77.4
COULEE DAM (PART).....	8	8	7	1	14.3	4 243	3 263	2 597	63.4
ELECTRIC CITY.....	854	863	651	203	31.2	4 238	3 798	3 318	27.7
EPHRATA.....	5 134	5 087	5 255	-121	-2.3	4 418	3 732	3 182	38.8
GEORGE.....	272	269	273	-1	-0.4	4 361	2 965	2 606	67.3
GRAND COULEE.....	1 437	1 558	1 302	135	10.4	3 887	3 391	2 773	40.2
HARTLINE.....	172	176	189	-17	-9.0	4 144	2 840	2 066	100.6
KRUPP.....	90	78	52	38	73.1	4 133	3 179	2 530	63.4
MATTAWA.....	241	164	180	61	33.9	3 645	2 804	2 232	63.3
MOSES LAKE.....	10 183	10 035	10 310	-127	-1.2	3 803	3 229	2 771	37.2
QUINCY.....	3 300	3 180	3 237	63	1.9	4 552	3 168	2 608	74.5
ROYAL CITY.....	653	621	477	176	36.9	5 086	3 454	2 749	85.0
SOAP LAKE.....	1 349	1 222	1 064	285	26.8	3 948	3 436	2 765	42.8
WARDEN.....	1 432	1 326	1 254	178	14.2	3 937	2 999	2 744	43.5
WILSON CREEK.....	180	189	184	-4	-2.2	4 025	3 222	2 343	71.8
GRAYS HARBOR COUNTY.....	61 342	59 799	59 553	1 789	3.0	4 360	3 568	2 976	46.5
ABERDEEN.....	18 046	17 927	18 489	-443	-2.4	4 390	3 559	3 014	45.7
COSMOPOLIS.....	1 670	1 597	1 599	71	4.4	5 179	4 299	3 363	54.0
ELMA.....	2 554	2 379	2 227	327	14.7	3 997	3 313	2 582	54.8
HOQUIAM.....	10 098	10 025	10 466	-368	-3.5	4 256	3 469	2 958	43.9
MC CLEARY.....	1 312	1 313	1 265	47	3.7	3 793	3 219	2 599	45.9
MONTESANO.....	3 056	2 947	2 847	209	7.3	5 009	3 880	3 194	56.8
OAKVILLE.....	547	495	460	87	18.9	4 415	3 597	2 697	63.7
OCEAN SHORES.....	1 099	974	768	331	43.1	5 632	4 315	3 926	43.5
WESTPORT.....	1 508	1 383	1 364	144	10.6	4 901	4 315	3 855	27.8
ISLAND COUNTY.....	32 398	29 978	27 011	5 387	19.9	4 362	3 485	2 985	46.1
COUPEVILLE.....	878	831	678	200	29.5	4 600	3 670	3 001	53.3
LANGLEY.....	578	573	547	31	5.7	4 243	3 318	2 967	43.0
OAK HARBOR.....	11 065	10 514	9 167	1 898	20.7	3 971	3 173	2 610	52.1
JEFFERSON COUNTY.....	12 016	10 916	10 661	1 355	12.7	4 242	3 475	2 967	43.0
PORT TOWNSEND.....	5 392	5 122	5 241	151	2.9	4 186	3 433	3 022	38.5
KING COUNTY.....	1 142 544	1 132 581	1 159 369	-16 825	-1.5	5 581	4 458	3 963	40.8
ALGONA.....	973	942	1 276	-303	-23.7	3 328	2 595	2 236	48.8
AUBURN.....	22 513	21 223	21 653	860	4.0	4 856	3 867	3 399	42.9
BEAUX ARTS.....	368	365	475	-107	-22.5	9 694	7 906	6 860	41.3
BELLEVUE.....	65 365	63 021	61 196	4 169	6.8	6 424	5 153	4 540	41.5
BLACK DIAMOND.....	1 091	1 116	1 160	-69	-5.9	4 139	3 157	2 867	44.4
BOTHELL.....	5 931	5 601	5 420	511	9.4	4 988	3 983	3 458	44.2
CARNATION.....	600	600	530	70	13.2	4 387	3 502	2 983	47.1
CLYDE HILL.....	3 071	3 123	2 987	84	2.8	10 096	8 068	7 081	42.6
DES MOINES ¹	5 496	4 761	5 687	-191	-3.4	5 780	4 426	3 880	49.0
DUVALL.....	550	560	607	-57	-9.4	4 601	3 676	3 311	39.0
ENUMCLAW.....	4 844	4 590	4 703	141	3.0	5 119	4 085	3 361	52.3
HUNTS POINT.....	482	469	578	-96	-16.6	11 844	9 470	8 184	44.7
ISSAQUAH.....	4 775	4 581	4 313	462	10.7	4 985	3 982	3 473	43.5
KENT.....	16 926	16 238	16 596	330	2.0	4 987	3 973	3 517	41.8
KIRKLAND.....	16 151	15 386	14 970	1 181	7.9	5 756	4 584	4 039	42.5
LAKE FOREST PARK.....	2 542	2 559	2 530	12	0.5	6 209	5 129	4 203	47.7
MEDINA.....	3 230	3 391	3 455	-225	-6.5	11 790	9 423	8 279	42.4
MERCER ISLAND.....	20 470	19 992	19 819	651	3.3	8 113	6 513	5 673	43.0
MILTON (PART).....	6	7	7	-1	-14.3	5 297	4 204	3 519	50.5
NORMANDY PARK.....	4 394	4 176	4 208	186	4.4	7 878	6 296	5 529	42.5
NORTH BEND.....	1 731	1 662	1 625	106	6.5	5 639	4 790	4 002	40.9
PACIFIC.....	1 590	1 575	1 831	-241	-13.2	3 897	2 979	2 485	56.8
REDMOND.....	15 524	13 339	11 020	4 504	40.9	5 961	4 732	4 066	46.6
RENTON.....	26 785	26 025	25 878	907	3.5	5 232	4 167	3 670	42.6
SEATTLE.....	487 091	495 433	530 831	-43 740	-8.2	5 800	4 678	4 051	43.2

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE.

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
SKYKOMISH.....	252	268	283	-31	-11.0	5 252	3 954	3 308	58.8
SNOQUALMIE.....	1 303	1 215	1 260	43	3.4	4 099	3 294	2 740	49.6
TUKWILA.....	3 244	3 295	3 509	-265	-7.6	6 189	4 872	4 173	48.3
YARROW POINT.....	1 069	829	1 101	-32	-2.9	9 158	7 324	6 357	44.1
KITSAP COUNTY.....	116 710	110 911	101 732	14 978	14.7	4 727	3 902	3 319	42.4
BREMERTON.....	37 206	37 247	35 307	1 899	5.4	4 623	3 862	3 277	41.1
PORT ORCHARD.....	4 098	3 984	3 904	194	5.0	4 407	3 646	3 060	44.0
POULSBO.....	2 460	2 034	1 856	604	32.5	5 056	4 260	3 554	42.3
WINSLOW.....	1 811	1 764	1 461	350	24.0	5 813	4 809	4 105	41.6
KITTITAS COUNTY.....	24 862	26 262	25 039	-177	-0.7	3 902	3 013	2 669	46.2
CLE ELUM.....	1 709	1 789	1 725	-16	-0.9	3 604	2 831	2 353	53.2
ELLENSBURG.....	12 924	14 072	13 568	-644	-4.7	3 970	3 000	2 689	47.6
KITTITAS.....	703	750	637	66	10.4	2 845	2 189	1 888	50.7
ROSLYN.....	985	1 036	1 031	-46	-4.5	3 884	2 988	2 594	49.7
SOUTH CLE ELUM.....	406	392	374	32	8.6	4 044	3 339	2 619	54.4
KLICKITAT COUNTY.....	13 728	12 948	12 138	1 590	13.1	4 534	3 626	2 806	61.6
BINGEN.....	677	708	671	6	0.9	5 392	4 122	3 531	52.7
GOLDENDALE.....	3 306	3 162	2 484	822	33.1	4 374	3 457	2 708	61.5
WHITE SALMON.....	1 607	1 572	1 585	22	1.4	4 220	3 572	2 924	44.3
LEWIS COUNTY.....	49 497	47 084	45 467	4 030	8.9	4 175	3 367	2 784	50.0
CENTRALIA.....	10 516	10 121	10 054	462	4.6	4 344	3 432	2 826	53.7
CHEHALIS.....	5 992	5 839	5 727	265	4.6	4 628	3 833	3 150	46.9
MORTON.....	1 478	1 357	1 134	344	30.3	4 606	3 576	2 906	58.5
MOSSYROCK.....	452	444	409	43	10.5	5 299	4 488	3 545	49.5
NAPAVINE.....	553	429	377	176	46.7	3 671	3 106	2 463	49.0
PE ELL.....	660	567	582	78	13.4	3 593	2 951	2 482	44.8
TOLEDO.....	625	635	654	-29	-4.4	3 830	3 103	2 532	51.3
VADER.....	435	397	387	48	12.4	4 049	3 117	2 392	69.3
WINLOCK.....	979	975	890	89	10.0	3 855	3 298	2 660	44.9
LINCOLN COUNTY.....	9 738	9 625	9 572	166	1.7	5 334	4 291	3 206	66.4
ALMIRA.....	398	407	376	22	5.9	5 528	4 361	3 453	60.1
CRESTON.....	353	359	325	28	8.6	3 699	2 707	2 587	43.0
DAVENPORT.....	1 428	1 380	1 363	65	4.8	3 762	3 099	2 733	37.7
HARRINGTON.....	503	484	489	14	2.9	5 522	4 218	3 217	71.7
ODESSA.....	1 100	1 105	1 074	26	2.4	5 969	4 386	3 290	81.4
REARDAN.....	490	448	389	101	26.0	5 089	4 083	3 357	51.6
SPRAGUE.....	548	557	550	-2	-0.4	4 583	3 558	3 169	44.6
WILBUR.....	1 097	1 126	1 074	23	2.1	4 481	3 457	3 190	40.5
MASON COUNTY.....	23 537	21 779	20 918	2 619	12.5	4 583	3 723	3 080	48.8
SHELTON.....	6 476	6 763	6 515	-39	-0.6	4 355	3 522	2 986	45.8
OKANOGAN COUNTY.....	27 915	26 615	25 867	2 048	7.9	4 138	3 275	2 605	58.8
BREWSTER.....	1 293	1 160	1 059	234	22.1	4 724	3 725	3 135	50.7
CONCONULLY.....	166	142	122	44	36.1	4 173	3 255	2 536	64.6
COULEE DAM (PART).....	1 267	1 343	1 177	90	7.6	5 918	4 741	3 772	56.9
ELMER CITY.....	370	396	324	46	14.2	4 512	3 834	2 944	53.3
NESPELEM.....	385	379	323	62	19.2	3 464	2 702	2 106	64.5
OKANOGAN.....	2 238	2 171	2 015	223	11.1	3 950	3 126	2 577	53.3
OMAK.....	4 564	4 416	4 164	400	9.6	4 077	3 215	2 555	59.6
OROVILLE.....	1 558	1 508	1 555	3	0.2	3 633	2 630	2 104	72.7
PATEROS.....	549	497	472	77	16.3	4 712	3 653	2 771	70.0
RIVERSIDE.....	267	247	228	39	17.1	3 697	2 833	2 208	67.4
TONASKET.....	1 037	965	951	86	9.0	3 707	2 908	2 337	58.6
TWISP.....	724	751	756	-32	-4.2	4 231	3 421	2 771	52.7
WINTHROP.....	412	382	371	41	11.1	4 672	3 364	2 881	62.2
PACIFIC COUNTY.....	16 348	15 785	15 796	552	3.5	4 338	3 590	2 888	50.2
ILWACO.....	565	546	506	59	11.7	5 022	4 198	3 260	54.0
LONG BEACH.....	1 130	1 067	968	162	16.7	4 957	4 231	3 269	51.6
RAYMOND.....	3 086	3 013	3 126	-40	-1.3	4 435	3 708	2 849	55.7
SOUTH BEND.....	1 802	1 767	1 795	7	0.4	4 617	3 773	2 989	54.5

12 WASH.

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
PEND OREILLE COUNTY.....	7 361	6 683	6 025	1 336	22.2	3 577	3 048	2 368	51.1
CUSICK.....	314	268	257	57	22.2	3 728	3 379	2 553	46.0
IONE.....	556	552	529	27	5.1	3 382	2 800	2 432	39.1
METALINE.....	222	213	197	25	12.7	4 045	3 136	2 572	57.3
METALINE FALLS.....	417	373	307	110	35.8	3 959	3 245	2 794	41.7
NEWPORT.....	1 533	1 515	1 418	115	8.1	3 866	3 179	2 680	44.3
PIERCE COUNTY.....	415 707	392 953	412 344	3 363	0.8	4 628	3 729	3 169	46.0
BONNEY LAKE.....	3 779	2 893	2 700	1 079	40.0	4 742	3 831	3 235	46.6
BUCKLEY.....	3 116	3 087	3 446	-330	-9.6	2 464	1 988	1 773	39.0
CARBONADO.....	405	414	394	11	2.8	3 813	3 068	2 343	62.7
DUPONT.....	504	399	384	120	31.3	4 011	3 472	2 615	53.4
EATONVILLE.....	967	893	852	115	13.5	4 725	3 826	3 160	49.5
FIFE.....	1 549	1 362	1 458	91	6.2	4 807	3 879	3 074	56.4
FIRCREST.....	6 014	5 669	5 651	363	6.4	6 359	5 165	4 514	40.9
GIG HARBOR.....	1 691	1 584	1 657	34	2.1	6 500	5 235	4 365	48.9
MILTON (PART).....	2 714	2 621	2 600	114	4.4	4 847	3 695	3 108	56.0
ORTING.....	1 653	1 579	1 643	10	0.6	3 755	2 996	2 437	54.1
PUYALLUP.....	16 205	15 249	14 742	1 463	9.9	4 776	3 829	3 209	48.8
ROY.....	393	368	381	12	3.1	4 978	3 984	3 213	54.9
RUSTON.....	702	663	668	34	5.1	4 498	3 620	2 972	51.3
SOUTH PRAIRIE.....	223	232	206	17	8.3	3 638	2 916	2 466	47.5
STEILACOOM.....	3 840	3 349	2 850	990	34.7	4 908	4 015	3 175	54.6
SUMNER.....	4 327	4 073	4 325	2	-	4 986	4 014	3 340	49.3
TACOMA.....	151 267	147 436	154 407	-3 140	-2.0	4 607	3 730	3 169	45.4
WILKESON.....	273	294	317	-44	-13.9	4 138	3 528	2 879	43.7
SAN JUAN COUNTY.....	5 425	4 676	3 856	1 569	40.7	4 854	4 263	3 427	41.6
FRIDAY HARBOR.....	955	896	803	152	18.9	4 761	4 180	3 767	26.4
SKAGIT COUNTY.....	53 202	52 749	52 381	821	1.6	4 491	3 657	3 041	47.7
ANACORTES.....	8 647	8 054	7 701	946	12.3	4 557	3 790	3 333	36.7
BURLINGTON.....	3 534	3 309	3 138	396	12.6	4 542	3 734	2 923	55.4
CONCRETE.....	637	603	573	64	11.2	3 147	2 637	2 254	39.6
HAMILTON.....	233	197	196	37	18.9	3 993	3 410	2 707	47.5
LA CONNER.....	641	658	639	2	0.3	4 325	3 787	2 976	45.3
LYMAN.....	306	304	324	-18	-5.6	3 699	3 211	2 581	43.3
MOUNT VERNON.....	10 082	9 564	9 254	828	8.9	4 800	3 803	3 161	51.9
SEDRO WOOLLEY.....	5 285	5 047	4 598	687	14.9	4 518	3 701	3 048	48.2
SKAMANIA COUNTY.....	5 960	5 954	5 845	115	2.0	3 847	3 223	2 508	53.4
NORTH BONNEVILLE.....	455	426	459	-4	-0.9	3 051	2 529	2 228	36.9
STEVENSON.....	908	878	916	-8	-0.9	4 280	3 476	2 997	42.8
SNOHOMISH COUNTY.....	264 202	262 605	265 236	-1 034	-0.4	4 705	3 752	3 292	42.9
ARLINGTON.....	2 281	2 210	2 261	20	0.9	4 461	3 554	2 988	49.3
BRIER.....	3 052	3 034	3 093	-41	-1.3	4 487	3 593	3 163	41.9
DARRINGTON.....	1 056	1 091	1 094	-38	-3.5	3 967	3 228	2 624	51.2
EDMONDS.....	24 908	24 113	23 998	910	3.8	5 786	4 570	3 930	47.2
EVERETT.....	48 371	50 170	53 622	-5 251	-9.8	4 797	3 849	3 386	41.7
GOLD BAR.....	502	502	504	-2	-0.4	5 314	4 219	3 507	51.5
GRANITE FALLS.....	811	789	813	-2	-0.2	3 490	3 005	2 518	38.6
INDEX.....	158	162	169	-11	-6.5	3 988	3 167	2 633	51.5
LAKE STEVENS.....	1 186	1 242	1 283	-97	-7.6	4 214	3 369	2 931	43.8
LYNNWOOD.....	19 732	19 202	17 711	2 021	11.4	4 713	3 757	3 407	38.3
MARYSVILLE.....	4 316	4 306	4 343	-27	-0.6	5 045	4 015	3 351	50.6
MONROE.....	2 686	2 720	2 687	-1	-	4 644	3 694	3 136	48.1
MOUNTLAKE TERRACE.....	15 517	15 768	16 600	-1 083	-6.5	4 316	3 347	2 940	46.8
MUKILTEO.....	1 318	1 381	1 369	-51	-3.7	6 017	4 841	4 032	49.2
SNOHOMISH.....	4 741	4 750	5 174	-433	-8.4	4 726	3 751	3 161	49.5
STANWOOD.....	1 429	1 366	1 347	82	6.1	4 018	3 192	2 618	53.5
SULTAN.....	1 094	1 084	1 119	-25	-2.2	3 399	2 892	2 420	40.5
WOODWAY.....	849	840	879	-30	-3.4	8 480	6 795	5 912	43.4
SPOKANE COUNTY.....	306 338	299 812	287 487	18 851	6.6	4 380	3 580	3 015	45.3
AIRWAY HEIGHTS.....	1 187	1 062	744	443	59.5	2 887	2 272	1 934	49.3
CHENEY.....	6 573	6 702	6 358	215	3.4	3 764	3 176	2 648	42.1
DEER PARK.....	1 525	1 409	1 295	230	17.8	3 405	2 721	2 206	54.4
FAIRFIELD.....	508	482	469	39	8.3	5 255	4 196	3 086	70.3
LATAH.....	150	158	169	-19	-11.2	5 568	4 196	3 086	80.4
MEDICAL LAKE.....	3 474	3 435	3 529	-55	-1.6	2 433	2 021	1 660	46.6

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
MILLWOOD.....	1 866	1 844	1 770	96	5.4	4 252	3 546	2 843	49.6
ROCKFORD.....	419	370	327	92	28.1	4 793	3 882	3 086	55.3
SPANGLE.....	200	192	179	21	11.7	4 793	3 882	3 086	55.3
SPOKANE.....	173 698	172 548	170 516	3 182	1.9	4 499	3 671	3 099	45.2
WAVERLY.....	66	59	48	18	37.5	4 793	3 882	3 086	55.3
STEVENS COUNTY.....	22 489	20 539	17 405	5 084	29.2	3 712	2 887	2 401	54.6
CHEWELAH.....	1 675	1 582	1 365	310	22.7	3 700	2 721	2 244	64.9
COLVILLE.....	4 267	4 302	3 742	525	14.0	4 674	3 572	3 054	53.0
KETTLE FALLS.....	932	949	893	59	4.4	4 172	3 032	2 563	62.8
MARCUS.....	168	151	142	26	18.3	3 025	2 331	2 061	46.8
NORTHPORT.....	431	445	423	8	1.9	2 408	2 001	1 769	36.1
SPRINGDALE.....	243	275	215	28	13.0	4 247	3 272	2 635	61.2
THURSTON COUNTY.....	92 549	84 725	76 894	15 655	20.4	4 810	3 953	3 350	43.6
BUCODA.....	526	460	421	105	24.9	3 493	3 095	2 495	40.0
LACEY.....	12 945	10 539	9 696	3 249	33.5	4 948	4 074	3 441	43.8
OLYMPIA.....	26 811	25 383	23 296	3 515	15.1	5 533	4 548	3 820	44.8
RAINIER.....	699	569	382	317	83.0	4 073	3 568	2 799	45.5
TENINO.....	1 161	1 071	962	199	20.7	3 904	3 231	2 679	45.7
TUMWATER.....	5 848	5 694	5 373	475	8.8	5 115	4 092	3 524	45.1
YELM.....	731	668	628	103	16.4	3 555	2 923	2 397	48.3
WAHKIACUM COUNTY.....	3 671	3 619	3 592	79	2.2	4 437	3 495	2 895	53.3
CATHLAMET.....	633	644	647	-14	-2.2	4 525	3 632	3 380	33.9
WALLA WALLA COUNTY.....	42 934	41 774	42 176	758	1.8	4 847	3 671	3 040	59.4
COLLEGE PLACE.....	4 918	4 681	4 510	408	9.0	3 660	3 007	2 674	36.9
PRESCOTT.....	276	285	242	34	14.0	4 991	3 456	2 506	99.2
WATTSBURG.....	1 058	969	953	105	11.0	5 270	3 714	2 960	78.0
WALLA WALLA.....	23 655	23 010	23 619	36	0.2	4 796	3 703	3 102	54.6
WHATCOM COUNTY.....	89 842	87 931	81 983	7 859	9.6	4 395	3 568	2 960	48.5
BELLINGHAM.....	41 789	41 224	39 375	2 414	6.1	4 550	3 690	3 066	48.4
BLAINE.....	2 314	2 184	1 955	359	18.4	4 618	3 713	3 027	52.6
EVERSON.....	732	710	633	99	15.6	4 237	3 429	2 806	51.0
FERNDALE.....	2 937	2 784	2 164	773	35.7	4 721	3 795	3 115	51.6
LYNDEN.....	3 086	2 886	2 808	278	9.9	4 543	3 653	3 000	51.4
NOOKSACK.....	402	385	322	80	24.8	4 581	3 514	2 760	66.0
SUMAS.....	695	678	722	-27	-3.7	3 990	3 205	2 673	49.3
WHITMAN COUNTY.....	40 430	39 976	37 900	2 530	6.7	4 619	3 325	2 785	65.9
ALBION.....	579	594	687	-108	-15.7	3 917	2 977	2 533	54.6
COLFAX.....	2 657	2 684	2 664	-7	-0.3	5 560	4 104	3 282	69.4
COLTON.....	282	299	279	3	1.1	7 704	5 463	4 215	82.8
ENDICOTT.....	373	352	333	40	12.0	4 093	3 177	2 451	67.0
FARMINGTON.....	172	154	140	32	22.9	4 077	2 890	2 230	82.8
GARFIELD.....	669	638	610	59	9.7	5 398	3 657	2 843	89.9
LA CROSSE.....	416	389	426	-10	-2.3	5 563	3 927	2 738	103.2
LAMONT.....	86	77	88	-2	-2.3	4 959	3 516	2 713	82.8
MALDEN.....	218	222	219	-1	-0.5	4 976	4 000	2 919	70.5
OAKESDALE.....	504	469	447	57	12.8	5 872	3 868	2 946	99.3
PALOUSE.....	971	1 020	948	23	2.4	4 761	3 158	2 628	81.2
PULLMAN.....	23 396	23 158	20 509	2 887	14.1	3 671	2 847	2 582	42.2
ROSALIA.....	617	611	569	48	8.4	4 300	3 102	2 446	75.8
ST JOHN.....	544	544	575	-31	-5.4	6 212	4 059	3 199	94.2
TEKOA.....	934	900	808	126	15.6	4 501	3 154	2 481	81.4
UNIONTOWN.....	341	366	310	31	10.0	7 597	4 925	3 434	121.2
YAKIMA COUNTY.....	155 516	148 664	145 212	10 304	7.1	3 909	3 063	2 549	53.4
GRANDVIEW.....	4 071	3 681	3 605	466	12.9	3 632	3 037	2 547	42.6
GRANGER.....	1 562	1 533	1 567	-5	-0.3	2 741	2 050	1 836	49.3
HARRAH.....	329	345	305	24	7.9	4 449	3 765	2 864	55.3
MABTON.....	1 059	1 056	926	133	14.4	2 334	1 783	1 457	60.2
MOXEE CITY.....	800	655	600	200	33.3	3 226	2 609	2 096	53.9
NACHES.....	733	677	666	67	10.1	4 215	3 347	2 691	56.6
SELAH.....	3 662	3 463	3 311	351	10.6	4 641	3 537	2 883	61.0
SUNNYSIDE.....	7 074	6 903	6 751	323	4.8	3 567	2 850	2 392	49.1
TIETON.....	482	451	415	67	16.1	3 830	2 744	2 334	64.1
TOPPENISH.....	5 914	5 878	5 744	170	3.0	3 302	2 612	2 173	52.0
UNION GAP.....	2 318	2 023	2 040	278	13.6	3 798	3 113	2 684	41.5
WAPATO.....	2 968	2 914	2 841	127	4.5	3 479	2 669	2 077	67.5

14 WASH.

Table 1. JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED) AND JULY 1, 1975 POPULATION AND CALENDAR YEAR 1972 (REVISED) AND 1974 PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES FOR THE STATE, COUNTIES, AND SUBCOUNTY AREAS—Continued

(1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and corrections to 1970 census counts. For subcounty areas with a 1970 census sample population of less than 1,000, the 1969 per capita income is an estimate and not the 1970 census figure. For details and meaning of symbols, see text)

AREA	POPULATION					ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1975	JULY 1, 1973 (REVISED)	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1975		1974	1972 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1974
				NUMBER	PERCENT				
YAKIMA.....	49 264	47 532	48 170	1 094	2.3	4 199	3 387	2 830	48.4
ZILLAH.....	1 355	1 240	1 138	217	19.1	3 301	2 645	2 218	48.8
MULTI-COUNTY PLACES									
COULEE DAM.....	1 534	1 626	1 425	109	7.6	6 012	4 789	3 789	58.7
MILTON.....	2 720	2 628	2 607	113	4.3	4 848	3 696	3 109	55.9
WOODLAND.....	2 014	1 913	1 622	392	24.2	4 813	3 807	3 039	58.4

¹APPROXIMATE ANNEXATION INCLUDED IN THE 1970 CENSUS COUNT.

1975 Population and Per Capita Income Estimates, and Revised 1973 Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places, and Selected Minor Civil Divisions

(Reports may not be published in numerical order)

No. 649	Alabama	No. 674	Montana
No. 650	Alaska	No. 675	Nebraska
No. 651	Arizona	No. 676	Nevada
No. 652	Arkansas	No. 677	New Hampshire
No. 653	California	No. 678	New Jersey
No. 654	Colorado	No. 679	New Mexico
No. 655	Connecticut	No. 680	New York
No. 656	Delaware	No. 681	North Carolina
No. 657	Florida	No. 682	North Dakota
No. 658	Georgia	No. 683	Ohio
No. 659	Hawaii	No. 684	Oklahoma
No. 660	Idaho	No. 685	Oregon
No. 661	Illinois	No. 686	Pennsylvania
No. 662	Indiana	No. 687	Rhode Island
No. 663	Iowa	No. 688	South Carolina
No. 664	Kansas	No. 689	South Dakota
No. 665	Kentucky	No. 690	Tennessee
No. 666	Louisiana	No. 691	Texas
No. 667	Maine	No. 692	Utah
No. 668	Maryland	No. 693	Vermont
No. 669	Massachusetts	No. 694	Virginia
No. 670	Michigan	No. 695	Washington
No. 671	Minnesota	No. 696	West Virginia
No. 672	Mississippi	No. 697	Wisconsin
No. 673	Missouri	No. 698	Wyoming
		No. 699	U.S. Summary and Detailed Methodology