Population Estimates and Projections

U.S. Department of Commerce
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Series P-25, No. 768
Issued January 1979

1976 Population Estimates and 1975 and Revised 1974 Per Capita Income Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places, and Selected Minor Civil Divisions in New Hampshire

This report is one of a series containing current estimates of the population and per capita money income for places in each State. The population estimate relate to July 1, 1976, and the estimates of per capita income (PCI) cover the 1975 and 1974 calendar years. The population estimates include revisions made during the review of the figures with local officials and, to the extent possible, also reflect changes made through the Office of Revenue Sharing challenge program. Population figures for earlier years comparable to the PCI estimates were published earlier in **Current Population Reports**, series P-25, Nos. 649 to 698, and are not repeated here. Revisions are being made to the 1975 population figures for approximately 400 places in the United States, to bring them in line with the 1976 figures shown here, however, and will be noted in subsequent reports. The entire 1974 series of income estimates is shown here due to major revisions in data and methodology that, to some degree, affect all areas.

Current estimates of population below the county level and per capita money income for all general-purpose governments were prompted by the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. The figures are used by a wide variety of Federal, State, and local governmental agencies for program planning and administrative purposes.

Areas included in this series of reports are all counties (or county equivalents such as census divisions in Alaska, parishes in Louisiana, and independent cities in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia) and incorporated places in the State, plus active minor civil divisions (MCD's), commonly towns in New England, New York, and Wisconsin, or townships in other parts of the United States.[1] These State reports appear in **Current Population Reports,** Series P-25, in alphabetical sequence as report number 740 (Alabama) through number 789 (Wyoming). A list indicating the report number for each State is appended.

The detailed table for each State shows July 1, 1976 estimates of the population of each area, together with April 1, 1970 census population and numerical and percentage change between 1970 and 1976. The 1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and include corrections to the 1970 census counts. In addition, the table presents per capita income estimates for the 1975 calendar year and revised figures for 1974, plus calendar year 1969 per capita money income derived from data collected in the 1970 census.

The estimates are presented in the table in county order, with all incorporated places in the county listed in alphabetical order, followed by any functioning minor civil divisions also listed in alphabetical order. Minor civil divisions are always identified in the listing by the term "township," "town," or other MCD category. When incorporated places fall in more than one county, each county piece is marked "part," and totals for these places are presented at the end of the table.

POPULATION ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

To estimate the population of each subcounty area, a component procedure (the Administrative Records method) was used, with each of the components of population change (births, deaths, net migration, and special populations) estimated separately. The estimates were derived in three stages, moving from 1970 as the base year to develop estimates for 1973, and in turn, moving from 1973 as the base year to derive estimates for 1975, and from 1975 as the base year for 1976.

Migration. Individual Federal income tax returns were used to measure migration by matching individual returns for

[1] In certain midwestern States (Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and the Dakotas) some counties have active minor civil divisions while others do not.

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Postage stamps not acceptable; currency submitted at sender's risk. Remittances from foreign countries must be by international money order or by a draft on a U.S. bank. **Current Population Reports** are sold in two subscription packages: Series P-20, P-23, P-27, and P-60 are available for $40.00 per year ($10 additional for foreign mailing); Series P-25, P-26, and P-28 are available for $70.00 per year ($17.50 additional for foreign mailing). The single-copy price of this report is 80 cents.

successive periods. The places of residence on tax returns filed in the base year and in the estimate year were noted for matched returns to determine inmigrants, outmigrants, and nonmigrants for each area. A net migration rate was derived, based on the difference between the inmigration and outmigration of taxpayers and dependents, and was applied to a base population to yield an estimate of net migration for all persons in the area.

Natural increase. Reported resident birth and death statistics were used, wherever available, to estimate natural increase. These data were collected from State health departments and supplemented, where necessary, by data prepared and published by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Center for Health Statistics. For subcounty areas where reported birth and death statistics were not available from either source, estimates were developed by applying fertility and mortality rates. These estimates were subsequently controlled to agree with birth and death statistics for the reported county areas.

Adjustment for special populations. In addition to the above components of population change, estimates of special populations were also taken into account. Special populations include immigrants from abroad, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, residents of institutions (prisons and long-term health care facilities), and college students enrolled in full-time programs. These populations were treated separately because changes in these types of population groups are not always adequately reflected in the components of population change developed by standard measures, and the information can be collected for use as an independent series.

In generating estimates for counties by this procedure, the method was modified slightly to make the county estimates specific to the resident population under 65 years of age. The resident population 65 years old and over in counties was estimated separately by adding the change in Medicare enrollees between April 1, 1970 and July 1 of the estimate year to the April 1, 1970 population 65 years old and over in the county as enumerated in the 1970 census. These estimates of the population 65 years old and over were then added to estimates of the population under 65 years old to yield estimates of the total resident population in each county.

Annexations and new incorporations. The 1970 census counts shown in this report reflect all population "corrections" made to the figures after the initial tabulations. In addition, adjustments for annexations through December 31, 1976, are reflected in the estimates for areas where arrangements were made for determining the population in the annexed area in 1970.[2] For new incorporations occurring after 1970, the 1970 population within the boundaries of the new areas are shown in the detailed table.

Other adjustments. For areas where special censuses were conducted at dates that approximate the estimate date, the census results were taken into account in developing the estimates.[3] In several States, the subcounty estimates developed by the Administrative Records method were averaged with estimates for corresponding geographic areas which were prepared by State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates (FSCP). These States include California, Florida, Oregon, Washington, and Wisconsin.

The estimates for the subareas in each county were adjusted to independently derived county estimates. Since all of the data necessary to develop final estimates under the FSCP program are not available at the time subcounty estimates are prepared, only two of the methods relied upon in the standard FSCP program of estimates for counties (i.e., Component Method II and the Administrative Records method) were utilized. The 1976 estimates result from adding the average 1975-76 population change indicated by the two methods to the 1975 county population figures contained in **Current Population Reports,** Series P-25 and P-26.

The county estimates, in turn, were adjusted to be consistent with independent State estimates published by the Bureau of the Census in **Current Population Reports,** Series P-25, No. 727, in which the Administrative Records-based estimates were averaged with the estimates prepared using Component Method II and the Regression method.[4]

PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

The 1975 per capita income (PCI) figure is the estimated average amount per person of total money income received during calendar years 1975 for all persons residing in a given political jurisdiction. The 1975 estimates are based on the 1970 census and have been updated using rates of change developed from various administrative record sets and compilations, mainly from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA).

The PCI estimates are based on a money income concept. Total money income is defined by the Bureau of the Census for statistical purposes as the sum of:

- Wage and salary income
- Net nonfarm self-employment income
- Net farm-self-employment income
- Social Security and railroad retirement income
- Public assistance income

[2] In general, an annexation was included if the 1970 census count for the annexing area was 5,000 or more and the 1970 census count for the annexed area or areas exceeded 5 percent of the 1970 count for the annexing area. Adjustments were also made for a limited number of "unusual" annexations where the annexations for an area did not meet the minimum requirements but were accepted for inclusion in the population base.

[3] Only special censuses conducted by the Bureau of the Census or by the California, Florida, Michigan, Oregon, or Washington State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates were used for this purpose. In addition, in a relatively small number of cases where special censuses were conducted by localities, where the procedures and definitions were essentially the same as those used by the Bureau of the Census, the results of these special censuses were also taken into account in preparing the estimates.

[4] For further discussion of the methodologies used in preparing State estimates, see **Current Population Reports,** P-25, No. 640.

All other income such as interest, dividends, veteran's payments, pensions, unemployment insurance, alimony, etc.

The total represents the amount of income received before deductions for personal income taxes, Social Security, bond purchases, union dues, Medicare deductions, etc.

Procedures for State and county PCI estimates. As noted above, the 1975 State and county PCI estimates were based on the 1970 census.[5] The updates for these areas were developed by carrying forward the aggregate amount (i.e., the sum of all individual incomes in the State or county) independently for each type of income identified in the census to reflect differential changes in these income sources between 1969 and the estimate date. Data from the 1969 and 1975 Federal tax returns provided by the Internal Revenue Service were used to estimate the change in wage and salary income at the State and county level. All other types of income for these governmental units were updated using rates of change based on estimates of aggregate money income provided by the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

At the county level, several modifications of these procedures were used to better control the estimates of income change. For example, the IRS data for sub-State jurisdictions were subject to nonreporting of address information on the tax return and to misassignment of geographic location for reported addresses. To minimize the impact on the estimates from such potential sources of error, per capita wage and salary income for counties was updated intact as a per capita figure using the percentage change in wage and salary income per exemption reported on IRS returns. In addition, because of differences in the definition of income, data collection techniques, and estimation procedures, 1969 income estimates from the census and BEA were not strictly comparable. These differences were especially evident at the county level for nonfarm and farm self-employment income. BEA estimates for these types of income tend to have considerably more year-to-year variation than estimates derived from surveys and censuses. To minimize the effects of these differences, constraints were imposed on the rate of change in income from these sources in developing the 1975 PCI updates.

As a final step to ensure a uniform series of estimates at the State and county levels, the updated county per capita figures were converted to a total aggregate income and were adjusted to agree with the State aggregate level before a final per capita income was calculated.

Procedures for subcounty per capita income estimates. The 1975 per capita income estimates for subcounty governmental units were developed using a methodology similar to that used to derive county-level figures. However, there are differences in the number of separate categories of income types used in the estimation procedure, and in the sources used to update the income components.

[5] Income data from the 1970 census reflect income received in calendar year 1969.

As in the case of the population estimates, a multi-step procedure was relied upon to update the income figures from their 1969 level to refer to 1975. Estimates for 1972 were prepared using the rate of change from 1969 to 1972. Estimates for 1974 were then developed based on the 1972 estimates, and were updated by an estimate of change from 1972 to 1974. The 1975 figures were then based upon the 1974 estimate. Also, as in the case of the population figures, the subcounty income data were uniformly adjusted to reflect major annexation and boundary changes which occurred since 1970.

1969 base estimates. The 1970 census PCI figures for small areas are subject to sizable sampling variability, causing them to lack sufficient statistical reliability for use in the estimation process. For this report, the 1969 PCI shown for areas with a 1970 census sample population estimate of less than 1,000 is a weighted average of the original 1970 census sample value and a regression estimate. Research has indicated that this procedure results in a considerable improvement in accuracy compared to the procedure relied upon in earlier estimates, which was to use the county PCI amount for various small governmental units. The resulting 1969 estimate for each of these areas is a base estimate for preparing 1972, 1974, and 1975 estimates and does not represent a change in the 1970 census value for these areas.

For subcounty updating, 1969 total money income was divided into two components: (1) taxable income which is approximately comparable to that portion of income included in IRS adjusted gross income, and (2) transfer income which, for the most part is not included in adjusted gross income. These 1969 subcounty estimates were adjusted to 1970 census totals for higher level government units. This was done using a two-way adjustment procedure controlling both to county totals and to several size class totals for the State.

1975 PCI updates. The taxable income portion of the 1969 money income was updated using the percent change in adjusted gross income (AGI) per exemption as computed from IRS tax return data. However, if the number of IRS tax returns for any area was very small, or if the ratios of exemptions to the population or the change in the ratios from 1969 to 1972, 1972 to 1974, and 1974 to 1975 were not within an acceptable range, the IRS data for the subcounty areas were not used in the update process. In such cases, the average percent change in AGI per exemption for similar governmental units in the county was used. Similarly, if the IRS data for a particular subcounty area passed the above conditions, but the percentage change in AGI per exemption was excessively large or small compared to that for similar units in the county, the change was constrained to a proportion of the average change of similar units.

The percentage change in per capita transfer income at the subcounty level was assumed to be the same as that implied by the BEA estimates at the county level.

The estimates of taxable income and transfer income were adjusted separately to the county controls and were then

combined to produce total money income. The PCI estimates were formed by dividing the total money income aggregates by the population estimates.

LIMITATIONS OF THE ESTIMATES

Population estimates. Tests of the accuracy of the methods used to develop State and county population estimates appearing in **Current Population Reports,** Series P-25 and P-26 have been documented elsewhere. The results of evaluations against the 1970 census at the State level are reported in Series P-25, No. 520, while similar 1970 tests for counties are presented in Series P-26, No. 21. In summary, the State estimates averaging Component Method II and the Regression method yielded average differences of approximately 1.9 percent when compared to the 1970 census. Subsequent modifications of the two procedures that have been incorporated in preparing estimates for the 1970's would have reduced the average difference in 1970 to 1.2 percent. For counties, the 1970 evaluations indicated an average difference of approximately 4.5 percent for the combination of procedures used. It should be noted that all of the evaluations against the results of the 1970 census concern estimates extending over the entire 10-year period of 1960 to 1970.

Since 1970, however, the Administrative Records method has been introduced with partial weight in the estimates for States and counties, and except for the few States in which local estimates are utilized, carries the full weight for estimates below the county level. The data series upon which the estimates procedure is based has been available as a comprehensive series for the entire United States only since 1967. Nonetheless, several studies have been undertaken evaluating the Administrative Records estimates from the State to the local level. At the Statewide level, little direct testing can be performed due to the lack of special censuses covering entire States. Some sense of the general reasonableness of the Administrative Records estimates may be obtained, however, by reviewing the degree of correspondence between the results of the method against those of the "standard" methods tested in 1970 and already in use to produce State estimates during the 1970's. It must be recognized that the differences between the two sets of estimates may not be interpreted as errors in either set of figures, but may only be used as a partial guide indicating the degree of consistency between the newer Administrative Records system and the established methods.

Table A presents such a comparison for State estimates referring to July 1, 1976. A rather close agreement may be observed in the estimates for all States at only a 1.1 percent difference. The variation of the Administrative Records method from the average of the other methods does increase for smaller States in a regular pattern, but still reaches an average of only 1.5 percent for the smallest size category. The only consistent variations suggesting a potential for directional bias are indicated in the tendency for larger States to be estimated higher by the Administrative Records procedures than by the other techniques.

A similar comparison may be made at the county level (table B). Although the differences between the FSCP estimates and the Administrative Records results are larger at the county level than for States, the variations are well within the range that would be expected for areas of this population size, and the county pattern matches closely the findings for States. The overall differences for all counties is 2.5 percent, and ranges from 1.5 percent for the larger counties to 10.1 for the 26 small counties under 1,000

Table A. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Average of Component Method II and Regression Estimates for States: 1976

(Base is the average of Method II and Regression estimates)

Item	All States	Population size in 1970		
		4 million and over	1.5 to 4 million	Less than 1.5 million
Average percent difference (disregarding sign)	1.1	0.7	1.1	1.5
Number of States	51	16	18	17
With differences of:				
Less than 1 percent	25	11	10	4
1 to 2 percent	19	5	5	9
2 percent and over	7	-	3	4
Where Administrative Records was:				
Higher	28	11	9	8
Lower	23	5	9	9

- Represents zero.

population. In addition, the variations from other FSCP methods shown for the 1976 estimates indicate substantial reduction from 1975 levels. Corresponding differences for the 1975 estimates were 3.3 percent, 1.8 and 11.7 percent, respectively.

Three tests of the Administrative Records population estimates against census counts also have been undertaken. First, a limited evaluation involving 24 large areas (16 counties and 8 cities) was conducted on estimates for the 1968-70 period.[6] Although the test shows the estimates to be quite accurate (1.8 percent difference), the areas may not be assumed to be representative of the 39,000 units of government covered by the Administrative Records estimating system, and the time segment evaluated refers only to a 2-year period.

A more representative group of special censuses in 86 areas selected particularly for evaluation purposes was conducted in 1973. The areas were randomly chosen nationwide to be typical of areas with populations below 20,000 persons. Table C summarizes the average percent difference between the estimates from the Administrative Records method and counts from the 86 special censuses. Overall, the estimates differed from the special census counts by 5.9 percent, with the largest differences occurring in the smallest areas. Areas of between 1,000 and 20,000 popula-

[6] Meyer Zitter and David L. Word, U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Use of Administrative Records for Small Area Population Estimates," unpublished paper prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 27, 1973.

Table B. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Provisional FSCP Estimates for Counties: 1976

(Base is the provisional FSCP estimates for counties)

Item	All counties	Counties with 1,000 or more 1970 population					Counties with less than 1,000 1970 population
		Total	50,000 or more	25,000 to 50,000	10,000 to 25,000	1,000 to 10,000	
Average percent difference (disregarding sign)	2.5	2.4	1.5	2.1	2.5	3.5	10.1
Number of counties or equivalents	3,143	3,117	679	567	1,017	854	26
With differences of:							
Less than 1 percent	906	904	286	184	268	166	2
1 to 3 percent	1,338	1,331	314	264	437	316	7
3 to 5 percent	504	505	59	76	206	162	1
5 to 10 percent	327	322	19	40	92	171	5
10 percent and over	68	57	1	3	14	39	11

Table C. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates (Unrevised) and 86 Special Censuses: 1973

(Base is special census)

Area	Average percent difference[1]	Number of areas with differences of:			
		Under 3 percent	3 to 5 percent	5 to 10 percent	10 percent and over
All areas (86)[2]	5.9	32	18	20	16
1,000 to 20,000 (59)	4.6	26	13	14	6
Under 1,000 population (27)	8.6	6	5	6	10

[1] Disregarding sign.
[2] All areas have population under 20,000 persons.

tion differed by 4.6 percent, while the average difference for the 27 areas below 1,000 population was 8.6 percent. There was a slight positive directional bias, with about 60 percent of the estimates exceeding the census counts. Again, the impact of population size on the expected level of accuracy may be noted. Even though all of the areas in this study are relatively small—less than 20,000 population—the larger ones demonstrate much lower variation from census figures than the smaller ones.

The third evaluation involving census comparisons is currently underway, and is based upon the approximately 2,000 special censuses that have been conducted since 1970 at the request of localities throughout the United States. Such areas constitute a fairly stringent test for any method in that they are generally very small areas, often are experiencing rapid population growth, and frequently are found to have had a vigorous program of annexation since the last census. This evaluation study has not been completed for use here, but will be included in detail as a part of the comprehensive methodology description in **Current Population Reports,** Series P-26, No. 699.

As a final caution, it must be noted that for convenience in presentation, the estimates contained in table 1 are shown in unrounded form. It is not intended, however, that the figures be considered accurate to the last digit. The nature of estimates prompts the rounding of figures in related Bureau reports and must be kept in mind during the application of the estimates contained here.

Per capita income estimates. Similar types of analyses and evaluation are not available for the updated estimates of PCI. Income data and PCI for 1972 are available for the 86 areas in which special censuses were conducted for testing purposes. As noted, however, the areas in which the censuses were taken are relatively small. The PCI estimates are based upon data from the 1970 census, which are subject to sampling variability due to the size of the areas. Consequently, PCI did not change enough in the 1970-72 period in most instances to move outside of the relatively large range of sampling variability associated with the 1970 census results on income for small areas. Thus, it is not possible to obtain a reliable reading or even rough approximations on the accuracy of the change in PCI using the 86 areas as standards. The estimates were made available to persons working with economic statistics in each State for review prior to publication. Comments from this "local" review helped identify problem areas and input data errors.

Work has been initiated to evaluate 1975 State and county PCI estimates using income data from the Survey of Income and Education (SIE). While this work can indicate major sources of error in the PCI estimates, an indepth evaluation will have to await the 1980 census results.

RELATED REPORTS

The population estimates shown in this series of reports update those found in **Current Population Reports,** Series P-25, Nos. 649 through 698 for 1975. The population estimates contained here for States are consistent with Series P-25, No. 727. The county estimates for 1976 are superior to the provisional 1976 figures published earlier in Series P-25 and P-26 due to the addition of a second method, but will not be reported elsewhere in **Current Population Reports.** The county population estimates are being replaced by subsequent final 1976 figures developed through the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates.

DETAILED TABLE SYMBOLS

In the detailed table entries, a dash "-" represents zero or rounds to zero. Three dots ". . ." mean not applicable.

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976 NUMBER	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976 PERCENT	1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE..	627 000	737 681	89 319	12.1	4 460	4 236	2 985	49.4
BELKNAP COUNTY.....	36 987	32 367	4 620	14.3	4 486	4 273	3 065	46.4
LACONIA.....	14 903	14 888	15	0.1	4 808	4 557	3 205	50.0
ALTON TOWN.....	2 011	1 647	364	22.1	4 107	3 764	2 695	52.4
BARNSTEAD TOWN.....	1 653	1 119	534	47.7	4 110	3 941	2 932	40.2
BELMONT TOWN.....	3 178	2 493	685	27.5	3 912	3 772	2 708	44.5
CENTER HARBOR TOWN.....	645	540	105	19.4	3 829	3 633	2 597	47.4
GILFORD TOWN.....	4 504	3 219	1 285	39.9	5 093	5 008	3 640	39.9
GILMANTON TOWN.....	1 408	1 010	398	39.4	3 876	3 593	2 626	47.6
MEREDITH TOWN.....	3 520	2 904	616	21.2	4 679	4 315	3 187	46.8
NEW HAMPTON TOWN.....	1 095	946	149	15.8	3 551	3 529	2 686	32.2
SANBORNTON TOWN.....	1 288	1 022	266	26.0	4 058	3 866	2 681	51.4
TILTON TOWN.....	2 783	2 579	204	7.9	3 705	3 626	2 601	42.4
CARROLL COUNTY.....	23 210	18 548	4 662	25.1	3 998	3 776	2 774	44.1
ALBANY TOWN.....	337	259	78	30.1	3 217	3 040	2 225	44.6
BARTLETT TOWN.....	1 448	1 098	350	31.9	4 251	3 721	2 850	49.2
BROOKFIELD TOWN.....	319	198	121	61.1	4 144	3 917	2 827	46.6
CHATHAM TOWN.....	118	134	-16	-11.9	3 640	3 440	2 518	44.6
CENTER CONWAY TOWN.....	5 940	4 865	1 075	22.1	3 808	3 634	2 713	40.4
EATON TOWN.....	219	221	-2	-0.9	3 874	3 660	2 679	44.6
EFFINGHAM TOWN.....	430	360	70	19.4	4 000	3 848	2 817	42.0
FREEDOM TOWN.....	624	387	237	61.2	3 234	3 180	2 035	58.9
HARTS LOCATION TOWN.....	3	7	-4	-57.1	5 487	5 183	3 794	44.6
JACKSON TOWN.....	560	404	156	38.6	3 749	3 288	2 778	35.0
MADISON TOWN.....	816	572	244	42.7	4 012	3 979	3 071	30.6
MOULTONBOROUGH TOWN.....	1 737	1 310	427	32.6	3 332	2 980	2 238	48.9
OSSIPEE TOWN.....	2 084	1 647	437	26.5	3 943	3 669	2 753	43.2
SANDWICH TOWN.....	828	666	162	24.3	5 337	5 253	3 962	34.7
TAMWORTH TOWN.....	1 210	1 054	156	14.8	2 929	2 885	2 167	35.2
TUFTONBORO TOWN.....	1 309	910	399	43.8	5 790	5 836	3 768	53.7
WAKEFIELD TOWN.....	1 772	1 420	352	24.8	3 644	3 427	2 560	42.3
WOLFEBORO TOWN.....	3 457	3 036	421	13.9	4 399	4 106	2 932	50.0
CHESHIRE COUNTY.....	57 556	52 364	5 192	9.9	4 296	4 167	2 999	43.2
KEENE.....	20 845	20 467	378	1.8	4 490	4 340	3 129	43.5
ALSTEAD TOWN.....	1 458	1 185	273	23.0	3 559	3 399	2 386	49.2
CHESTERFIELD TOWN.....	2 401	1 817	584	32.1	4 177	3 933	2 947	41.7
DUBLIN TOWN.....	1 095	837	258	30.8	5 308	4 753	3 735	42.1
FITZWILLIAM TOWN.....	1 596	1 362	234	17.2	4 139	3 836	2 806	47.5
GILSUM TOWN.....	607	570	37	6.5	4 159	4 078	2 764	50.5
HARRISVILLE TOWN.....	578	584	-6	-1.0	4 248	4 423	2 996	41.8
HINSDALE TOWN.....	3 556	3 276	280	8.5	4 121	3 951	2 973	38.6
JAFFREY TOWN.....	3 708	3 353	355	10.6	4 674	4 692	3 170	47.4
MARLBOROUGH TOWN.....	1 804	1 671	133	8.0	4 374	4 275	2 945	48.5
MARLOW TOWN.....	517	390	127	32.6	3 285	3 367	2 419	35.8
NELSON TOWN.....	462	304	158	52.0	3 461	3 416	2 649	30.7
RICHMOND TOWN.....	412	287	125	43.6	3 640	3 804	2 585	40.8
RINDGE TOWN.....	2 885	2 175	710	32.6	3 810	3 626	2 655	43.5
ROXBURY TOWN.....	180	161	19	11.8	3 900	3 773	2 696	44.7
STODDARD TOWN.....	328	242	86	35.5	4 892	4 441	3 443	42.1
SULLIVAN TOWN.....	481	376	105	27.9	3 169	2 975	2 306	37.4
SURRY TOWN.....	525	507	18	3.6	4 203	4 305	2 985	40.8
SWANZEY TOWN.....	4 741	4 254	487	11.4	4 522	4 416	3 240	39.6
TROY TOWN.....	1 900	1 713	187	10.9	3 312	3 365	2 575	28.6
WALPOLE TOWN.....	3 041	2 966	75	2.5	4 533	4 439	2 797	62.1
WESTMORELAND TOWN.....	1 122	998	124	12.4	4 695	4 250	2 945	59.4
WINCHESTER TOWN.....	3 315	2 869	446	15.5	3 764	3 657	2 744	37.2
COOS COUNTY.....	35 081	34 291	790	2.3	3 796	3 621	2 486	52.7
BERLIN.....	14 349	15 256	-907	-5.9	4 033	3 820	2 542	58.7
CARROLL TOWN.....	520	310	210	67.7	3 058	3 030	2 251	35.9
CLARKSVILLE TOWN.....	161	166	-5	-3.0	2 759	2 642	1 813	52.2
COLEBROOK TOWN.....	2 201	2 094	107	5.1	3 497	3 442	2 365	47.9
COLUMBIA TOWN.....	537	467	70	15.0	3 392	3 540	2 428	39.7
DALTON TOWN.....	480	425	55	12.9	3 086	3 129	2 209	39.7
DUMMER TOWN.....	233	225	8	3.6	3 272	3 133	2 149	52.3
ERROL TOWN.....	227	199	28	14.1	3 657	3 502	2 402	52.2
GORHAM TOWN.....	3 139	2 998	141	4.7	4 181	3 907	2 633	58.8
JEFFERSON TOWN.....	910	714	196	27.5	3 107	2 799	1 943	59.9
LANCASTER TOWN.....	3 192	3 166	26	0.8	3 868	3 771	2 604	48.5
MILAN TOWN.....	954	713	241	33.8	3 197	3 196	2 333	37.0
NORTHUMBERLAND TOWN.....	2 615	2 493	122	4.9	3 709	3 330	2 412	53.8

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976 NUMBER	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976 PERCENT	1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
PITTSBURG TOWN	818	726	92	12.7	2 895	2 932	2 346	23.4
RANDOLPH TOWN	251	169	82	48.5	4 969	4 758	3 264	52.2
SHELBURNE TOWN	267	199	68	34.2	3 986	3 817	2 618	52.3
STARK TOWN	385	343	42	12.2	3 253	3 002	2 059	58.0
STEWARTSTOWN TOWN	1 126	1 008	118	11.7	4 044	3 964	2 705	49.5
STRATFORD TOWN	888	980	-92	-9.4	3 497	3 366	2 337	49.6
WHITEFIELD TOWN	1 724	1 538	186	12.1	3 274	3 183	2 316	41.4
GRAFTON COUNTY	59 843	54 914	4 929	9.0	4 565	4 300	3 001	52.1
LEBANON	10 179	9 725	454	4.7	5 168	4 865	3 266	58.2
ALEXANDRIA TOWN	551	466	85	18.2	3 320	3 393	2 558	29.8
ASHLAND TOWN	1 715	1 599	116	7.3	3 910	3 885	2 663	46.8
BATH TOWN	694	607	87	14.3	3 229	3 258	2 540	27.1
BENTON TOWN	236	194	42	21.6	4 001	3 754	2 654	50.8
BETHLEHEM TOWN	1 599	1 142	457	40.0	4 773	4 451	3 526	35.4
BRIDGEWATER TOWN	454	398	56	14.1	4 565	4 552	2 837	60.9
BRISTOL TOWN	1 990	1 670	320	19.2	3 826	3 770	2 752	39.0
CAMPTON TOWN	1 495	1 171	324	27.7	4 361	4 248	3 134	39.2
CANAAN TOWN	2 285	1 923	362	18.8	3 642	3 437	2 323	56.8
DORCHESTER TOWN	148	141	7	5.0	4 392	4 121	2 913	50.8
EASTON TOWN	102	92	10	10.9	4 295	4 030	2 848	50.8
ELLSWORTH TOWN	19	13	6	46.2	4 215	3 956	2 795	50.8
ENFIELD TOWN	2 412	2 345	67	2.9	4 448	3 993	2 805	58.6
FRANCONIA TOWN	718	655	63	9.6	4 406	3 946	2 836	55.4
GRAFTON TOWN	568	370	198	53.5	3 673	3 635	2 509	46.4
GROTON TOWN	107	120	-13	-10.8	3 742	3 511	2 482	50.8
HANOVER TOWN	8 610	8 494	116	1.4	6 046	5 608	3 630	66.6
HAVERHILL TOWN	3 308	3 090	218	7.1	4 434	4 244	2 839	56.2
HEBRON TOWN	260	234	26	11.1	4 080	3 531	2 854	43.0
HOLDERNESS TOWN	1 213	1 048	165	15.7	4 272	4 138	2 993	42.7
LANDAFF TOWN	322	292	30	10.3	4 702	4 412	3 119	50.8
LINCOLN TOWN	1 353	1 341	12	0.9	5 151	4 745	3 636	41.7
LISBON TOWN	1 458	1 480	-22	-1.5	3 475	3 335	2 507	38.6
LITTLETON TOWN	5 357	5 290	67	1.3	3 811	3 623	2 644	44.1
LYMAN TOWN	232	213	19	8.9	4 226	3 965	2 803	50.8
LYME TOWN	1 252	1 112	140	12.6	5 212	4 807	3 289	58.5
MONROE TOWN	541	385	156	40.5	4 222	3 706	2 655	59.0
ORANGE TOWN	133	103	30	29.1	4 180	3 922	2 772	50.8
ORFORD TOWN	838	793	45	5.7	3 827	3 584	2 608	46.7
PIERMONT TOWN	629	462	167	36.1	4 121	3 869	2 609	58.0
PLYMOUTH TOWN	4 867	4 225	642	15.2	3 538	3 407	2 413	46.6
RUMNEY TOWN	1 094	870	224	25.7	3 199	3 119	2 282	40.2
SUGAR HILL TOWN	364	336	28	8.3	6 040	5 229	4 122	46.5
THORNTON TOWN	702	594	108	18.2	4 145	3 768	2 490	66.5
WARREN TOWN	509	539	-30	-5.6	4 107	3 808	2 713	51.4
WATERVILLE VALLEY TOWN	166	109	57	52.3	6 996	6 564	4 640	50.8
WENTWORTH TOWN	541	376	165	43.9	6 270	5 653	4 086	53.5
WOODSTOCK TOWN	823	897	-74	-8.2	4 303	4 009	3 347	28.6
HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY	246 840	223 941	22 899	10.2	4 624	4 387	3 085	49.9
MANCHESTER	83 498	87 754	-4 256	-4.8	4 386	4 169	2 940	49.2
NASHUA	62 485	55 820	6 665	11.9	4 868	4 655	3 288	48.1
AMHERST TOWN	7 295	4 605	2 690	58.4	6 302	5 958	4 232	48.9
ANTRIM TOWN	2 004	2 122	-118	-5.6	3 529	3 319	2 330	51.5
BEDFORD TOWN	7 526	5 859	1 667	28.5	5 906	5 446	3 914	50.9
BENNINGTON TOWN	679	639	40	6.3	3 861	3 874	2 786	38.6
BROOKLINE TOWN	1 358	1 167	191	16.4	3 975	3 809	2 706	46.9
DEERING TOWN	714	578	136	23.5	5 140	4 673	3 212	60.0
FRANCESTOWN TOWN	678	525	153	29.1	4 205	3 812	2 780	51.3
GOFFSTOWN TOWN	9 847	9 284	563	6.1	4 116	3 924	2 754	49.5
GREENFIELD TOWN	1 233	1 058	175	16.5	4 172	3 934	2 741	52.2
GREENVILLE TOWN	1 749	1 587	162	10.2	3 892	3 838	2 668	45.9
HANCOCK TOWN	1 201	909	292	32.1	5 716	5 188	3 706	54.2
HILLSBOROUGH TOWN	2 982	2 775	207	7.5	4 325	4 031	2 821	53.3
HOLLIS TOWN	2 765	2 616	149	5.7	5 321	5 055	3 514	51.4
HUDSON TOWN	11 999	10 638	1 361	12.8	4 418	4 167	3 006	47.0
LITCHFIELD TOWN	1 934	1 420	514	36.2	4 791	4 586	3 135	52.8
LYNDEBOROUGH TOWN	879	789	90	11.4	5 790	5 323	3 446	68.0
MASON TOWN	674	518	156	30.1	4 745	4 470	3 085	53.8
MERRIMACK TOWN	15 210	8 595	6 615	77.0	4 471	4 191	3 006	48.7
MILFORD TOWN	7 797	6 622	1 175	17.7	4 439	4 292	3 010	47.5
MONT VERNON TOWN	1 160	906	254	28.0	5 299	4 993	3 113	70.2
NEW BOSTON TOWN	1 594	1 390	204	14.7	4 138	3 975	2 660	55.6
NEW IPSWICH TOWN	2 022	1 803	219	12.1	3 737	3 736	2 740	36.4
PELHAM TOWN	7 433	5 408	2 025	37.4	4 302	4 078	2 925	47.1
PETERBOROUGH TOWN	4 353	3 807	546	14.3	4 958	4 754	3 520	40.9
SHARON TOWN	148	136	12	8.8	4 634	4 391	3 090	50.0

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976 NUMBER	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976 PERCENT	1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
TEMPLE TOWN	545	441	104	23.6	4 424	4 269	3 081	43.6
WEARE TOWN	2 615	1 851	764	41.3	4 075	3 816	2 642	54.2
WILTON TOWN	2 416	2 276	140	6.2	4 587	4 178	2 917	57.3
WINDSOR TOWN	47	43	4	9.3	4 988	4 727	3 326	50.0
MERRIMACK COUNTY	88 547	80 925	7 622	9.4	4 561	4 320	3 035	50.3
CONCORD	28 961	30 022	-1 061	-3.5	4 562	4 344	3 031	50.5
FRANKLIN	7 174	7 292	-118	-1.6	3 872	3 763	2 700	43.4
ALLENSTOWN TOWN	3 745	2 732	1 013	37.1	3 829	3 737	2 763	38.6
ANDOVER TOWN	1 325	1 138	187	16.4	4 498	4 054	2 889	55.7
BOSCAWEN TOWN	3 350	3 162	188	5.9	4 015	3 744	2 607	54.0
BOW TOWN	3 379	2 479	900	36.3	5 594	5 326	3 625	54.3
BRADFORD TOWN	858	679	179	26.4	4 458	4 152	3 017	47.8
CANTERBURY TOWN	1 113	895	218	24.4	4 965	4 523	3 184	55.9
CHICHESTER TOWN	1 181	1 083	98	9.0	4 486	4 243	3 091	45.1
DANBURY TOWN	559	489	70	14.3	4 071	4 196	2 977	36.7
DUNBARTON TOWN	939	825	114	13.8	4 360	4 249	3 192	36.6
EPSOM TOWN	1 964	1 469	495	33.7	3 840	3 723	2 563	49.8
HENNIKER TOWN	2 944	2 348	596	25.4	3 701	3 507	2 456	50.7
HILL TOWN	484	450	34	7.6	4 194	3 882	2 823	48.6
HOOKSETT TOWN	6 802	5 564	1 238	22.3	4 359	4 092	2 985	46.0
HOPKINTON TOWN	3 443	3 007	436	14.5	9 256	8 442	5 935	56.0
LOUDON TOWN	2 251	1 707	544	31.9	4 099	3 853	2 699	51.9
NEWBURY TOWN	745	509	236	46.4	5 320	4 980	3 363	58.2
NEW LONDON TOWN	2 895	2 236	659	29.5	5 786	5 404	3 559	62.6
NORTHFIELD TOWN	2 596	2 193	403	18.4	3 297	3 164	2 322	42.0
PEMBROKE TOWN	4 507	4 261	246	5.8	4 708	4 517	3 097	52.0
PITTSFIELD TOWN	2 582	2 517	65	2.6	3 608	3 547	2 490	44.9
SALISBURY TOWN	692	589	103	17.5	4 403	3 855	2 669	65.0
SUTTON TOWN	887	642	245	38.2	3 940	3 679	2 580	52.7
WARNER TOWN	1 653	1 441	212	14.7	3 862	3 612	2 655	45.5
WEBESTER TOWN	876	680	196	28.8	3 941	3 834	2 871	37.3
WILMOT TOWN	646	516	130	25.2	4 561	4 124	2 845	60.3
ROCKINGHAM COUNTY	167 258	138 951	28 307	20.4	4 570	4 334	3 037	50.5
PORTSMOUTH	24 622	25 717	-1 095	-4.3	4 160	3 936	2 747	51.4
ATKINSON TOWN	3 269	2 291	978	42.7	5 174	5 028	3 417	51.4
AUBURN TOWN	2 897	2 035	862	42.4	4 149	3 978	2 845	45.8
BRENTWOOD TOWN	1 805	1 468	337	23.0	4 334	4 073	2 799	54.8
CANDIA TOWN	2 043	1 997	46	2.3	4 970	4 699	3 190	55.8
CHESTER TOWN	1 882	1 382	500	36.2	4 209	3 943	2 736	53.8
DANVILLE TOWN	1 010	924	86	9.3	4 394	4 107	2 991	46.9
DEERFIELD TOWN	1 668	1 178	490	41.6	3 786	3 488	2 482	52.5
DERRY TOWN	16 255	11 712	4 543	38.8	4 350	4 145	2 906	49.7
EAST KINGSTON TOWN	1 073	838	235	28.0	4 420	4 182	2 813	57.1
EPPING TOWN	2 821	2 356	465	19.7	3 845	3 674	2 679	43.5
EXETER TOWN	9 894	8 892	1 002	11.3	4 634	4 426	3 075	50.7
FREMONT TOWN	1 253	993	260	26.2	3 741	3 404	2 423	54.4
GREENLAND TOWN	1 677	1 784	-107	-6.0	4 953	4 706	3 213	54.2
HAMPSTEAD TOWN	3 060	2 401	659	27.4	4 198	4 011	2 825	48.6
HAMPTON FALLS TOWN	1 429	1 254	175	14.0	4 773	4 530	3 247	47.0
HAMPTON TOWN	9 057	8 011	1 046	13.1	5 144	4 770	3 243	58.6
KENSINGTON TOWN	1 133	1 044	89	8.5	5 062	4 724	3 301	53.3
KINGSTON TOWN	3 286	2 882	404	14.0	3 953	3 748	2 678	47.6
LONDONDERRY TOWN	10 610	5 346	5 264	98.5	4 744	4 496	2 943	61.2
NEW CASTLE TOWN	936	975	-39	-4.0	5 780	5 592	4 189	38.0
NEWFIELDS TOWN	864	843	21	2.5	4 138	3 886	2 808	47.4
NEWINGTON TOWN	635	798	-163	-20.4	4 416	4 185	2 925	51.0
NEWMARKET TOWN	3 505	3 361	144	4.3	4 026	3 725	2 573	56.5
NEWTON TOWN	2 645	1 920	725	37.8	3 772	3 704	2 501	50.8
NORTH HAMPTON TOWN	3 499	3 259	240	7.4	5 690	5 271	3 697	53.9
NORTHWOOD TOWN	1 856	1 526	330	21.6	4 445	4 198	2 980	49.2
NOTTINGHAM TOWN	1 109	952	157	16.5	3 989	3 831	2 525	58.0
PLAISTOW TOWN	5 390	4 712	678	14.4	5 137	4 884	3 432	49.7
RAYMOND TOWN	4 218	3 003	1 215	40.5	3 606	3 506	2 520	43.1
RYE TOWN	4 314	4 083	231	5.7	6 060	5 441	3 848	57.5
SALEM TOWN	23 116	20 142	2 974	14.8	4 796	4 618	3 365	42.5
SANDOWN TOWN	1 207	741	466	62.9	4 227	4 002	2 858	47.9
SEABROOK TOWN	5 892	3 053	2 839	93.0	4 462	4 270	2 842	57.0
SOUTHHAMPTON TOWN	600	558	42	7.5	5 524	5 068	3 630	52.2
STRATHAM TOWN	2 208	1 512	696	46.0	4 656	4 411	2 955	57.6
WINDHAM TOWN	4 519	3 008	1 511	50.2	4 980	4 721	3 341	49.1

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976		1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
			NUMBER	PERCENT				
STRAFFORD COUNTY	78 650	70 431	8 219	11.7	4 126	3 916	2 810	46.8
DOVER	21 223	20 850	373	1.8	4 428	4 213	2 967	49.2
ROCHESTER	19 406	17 938	1 468	8.2	4 013	3 803	2 704	48.4
SOMERSWORTH	9 231	9 026	205	2.3	4 157	4 058	2 944	41.2
BARRINGTON TOWN	3 346	1 865	1 481	79.4	4 179	3 906	2 749	52.0
DURHAM TOWN	11 597	8 869	2 728	30.8	3 833	3 640	2 625	46.0
FARMINGTON TOWN	3 943	3 588	355	9.9	3 459	3 282	2 440	41.8
LEE TOWN	1 608	1 481	127	8.6	5 273	4 703	3 267	61.4
MADBURY TOWN	824	704	120	17.0	4 025	3 899	2 850	41.2
MIDDLETON TOWN	577	430	147	34.2	3 266	3 073	2 273	43.7
MILTON TOWN	2 194	1 859	335	18.0	3 499	3 313	2 485	40.8
NEW DURHAM TOWN	861	583	278	47.7	4 208	3 857	2 895	45.4
ROLLINSFORD TOWN	2 519	2 273	246	10.8	4 410	4 071	2 960	49.0
STRAFFORD TOWN	1 322	965	357	37.0	4 605	4 271	3 020	52.5
SULLIVAN COUNTY	33 028	30 949	2 079	6.7	4 330	4 137	2 849	52.0
CLAREMONT	14 136	14 221	-85	-0.6	4 515	4 322	2 877	56.9
ACWORTH TOWN	563	459	104	22.7	3 542	3 447	2 355	50.4
CHARLESTOWN TOWN	3 860	3 274	586	17.9	4 031	3 908	2 627	53.4
CORNISH TOWN	1 266	1 268	-2	-0.2	5 523	5 325	4 035	36.9
CROYDON TOWN	423	396	27	6.8	3 943	3 759	2 568	53.5
GOSHEN TOWN	471	395	76	19.2	3 593	3 533	2 616	37.3
GRANTHAM TOWN	529	366	163	44.5	4 085	4 120	2 494	63.8
LANGDON TOWN	413	337	76	22.6	3 984	3 706	2 492	59.9
LEMPSTER TOWN	553	360	193	53.6	4 044	3 990	2 726	48.3
NEWPORT TOWN	6 004	5 899	105	1.8	3 916	3 710	2 779	40.9
PLAINFIELD TOWN	1 369	1 323	46	3.5	4 072	3 860	2 665	52.8
SPRINGFIELD TOWN	396	310	86	27.7	4 732	4 162	2 944	60.7
SUNAPEE TOWN	1 800	1 384	416	30.1	4 566	4 281	2 898	57.6
UNITY TOWN	828	709	119	16.8	4 234	3 911	2 612	62.1
WASHINGTON TOWN	416	248	168	67.7	6 083	5 775	3 946	54.2

1976 Population and 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places, and Selected Minor Civil Divisions

(Reports may not be published in numerical order)

No. 740 Alabama
No. 741 Alaska
No. 742 Arizona
No. 743 Arkansas
No. 744 California
No. 745 Colorado
No. 746 Connecticut
No. 747 Delaware
No. 748 Florida
No. 749 Georgia
No. 750 Hawaii
No. 751 Idaho
No. 752 Illinois
No. 753 Indiana
No. 754 Iowa
No. 755 Kansas
No. 756 Kentucky
No. 757 Louisiana
No. 758 Maine
No. 759 Maryland
No. 760 Massachusetts
No. 761 Michigan
No. 762 Minnesota
No. 763 Mississippi
No. 764 Missouri
No. 765 Montana
No. 766 Nebraska
No. 767 Nevada
No. 768 New Hampshire
No. 769 New Jersey
No. 770 New Mexico
No. 771 New York
No. 772 North Carolina
No. 773 North Dakota
No. 774 Ohio
No. 775 Oklahoma
No. 776 Oregon
No. 777 Pennsylvania
No. 778 Rhode Island
No. 779 South Carolina
No. 780 South Dakota
No. 781 Tennessee
No. 782 Texas
No. 783 Utah
No. 784 Vermont
No. 785 Virginia
No. 786 Washington
No. 787 West Virginia
No. 788 Wisconsin
No. 789 Wyoming