



U.S. Department of Commerce
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Series P-25, No. 779
Issued January, 1979

Population Estimates and Projections

1976 Population Estimates and 1975 and Revised 1974 Per Capita Income Estimates for Counties and Incorporated Places in South Carolina

This report is one of a series containing current estimates of the population and per capita money income for places in each State. The population estimate relate to July 1, 1976, and the estimates of per capita income (PCI) cover the 1975 and 1974 calendar years. The population estimates include revisions made during the review of the figures with local officials and, to the extent possible, also reflect changes made through the Office of Revenue Sharing challenge program. Population figures for earlier years comparable to the PCI estimates were published earlier in **Current Population Reports**, series P-25, Nos. 649 to 698, and are not repeated here. Revisions are being made to the 1975 population figures for approximately 400 places in the United States, to bring them in line with the 1976 figures shown here, however, and will be noted in subsequent reports. The entire 1974 series of income estimates is shown here due to major revisions in data and methodology that, to some degree, affect all areas.

Current estimates of population below the county level and per capita money income for all general-purpose governments were prompted by the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. The figures are used by a wide variety of Federal, State, and local governmental agencies for program planning and administrative purposes.

Areas included in this series of reports are all counties (or county equivalents such as census divisions in Alaska, parishes in Louisiana, and independent cities in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia) and incorporated places in the State, plus active minor civil divisions (MCD's), commonly towns in New England, New York, and Wisconsin, or townships in other parts of the United States.¹ These State reports appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, in

¹ In certain midwestern States (Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and the Dakotas) some counties have active minor civil divisions while others do not.

alphabetical sequence as report number 740 (Alabama) through number 789 (Wyoming). A list indicating the report number for each State is appended.

The detailed table for each State shows July 1, 1976 estimates of the population of each area, together with April 1, 1970 census population and numerical and percentage change between 1970 and 1976. The 1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and include corrections to the 1970 census counts. In addition, the table presents per capita income estimates for the 1975 calendar year and revised figures for 1974, plus calendar year 1969 per capita money income derived from data collected in the 1970 census.

The estimates are presented in the table in county order, with all incorporated places in the county listed in alphabetical order, followed by any functioning minor civil divisions also listed in alphabetical order. Minor civil divisions are always identified in the listing by the term "township," "town," or other MCD category. When incorporated places fall in more than one county, each county piece is marked "part," and totals for these places are presented at the end of the table.

POPULATION ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

To estimate the population of each subcounty area, a component procedure (the Administrative Records method) was used, with each of the components of population change (births, deaths, net migration, and special populations) estimated separately. The estimates were derived in three stages, moving from 1970 as the base year to develop estimates for 1973, and in turn, moving from 1973 as the base year to derive estimates for 1975, and from 1975 as the base year for 1976.

Migration. Individual Federal income tax returns were used to measure migration by matching individual returns for

successive periods. The places of residence on tax returns filed in the base year and in the estimate year were noted for matched returns to determine in-migrants, out-migrants, and non-migrants for each area. A net migration rate was derived, based on the difference between the immigration and outmigration of taxpayers and dependents, and was applied to a base population to yield an estimate of net migration for all persons in the area.

Natural increase. Reported resident birth and death statistics were used, wherever available, to estimate natural increase. These data were collected from State health departments and supplemented, where necessary, by data prepared and published by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Center for Health Statistics. For subcounty areas where reported birth and death statistics were not available from either source, estimates were developed by applying fertility and mortality rates. These estimates were subsequently controlled to agree with birth and death statistics for the reported county areas.

Adjustment for special populations. In addition to the above components of population change, estimates of special populations were also taken into account. Special populations include immigrants from abroad, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, residents of institutions (prisons and long-term health care facilities), and college students enrolled in full-time programs. These populations were treated separately because changes in these types of population groups are not always adequately reflected in the components of population change developed by standard measures, and the information can be collected for use as an independent series.

In generating estimates for counties by this procedure, the method was modified slightly to make the county estimates specific to the resident population under 65 years of age. The resident population 65 years old and over in counties was estimated separately by adding the change in Medicare enrollees between April 1, 1970 and July 1 of the estimate year to the April 1, 1970 population 65 years old and over in the county as enumerated in the 1970 census. These estimates of the population 65 years old and over were then added to estimates of the population under 65 years old to yield estimates of the total resident population in each county.

Annexations and new incorporations. The 1970 census counts shown in this report reflect all population "corrections" made to the figures after the initial tabulations. In addition, adjustments for annexations through December 31, 1976, are reflected in the estimates for areas where arrangements were made for determining the population in the annexed area in 1970.² For new incorporations occurring

²In general, an annexation was included if the 1970 census count for the annexing area was 5,000 or more and the 1970 census count for the annexed area or areas exceeded 5 percent of the 1970 count for the annexing area. Adjustments were also made for a limited number of "unusual" annexations where the annexations for an area did not meet the minimum requirements but were accepted for inclusion in the population base.

after 1970, the 1970 population within the boundaries of the new areas are shown in the detailed table.

Other adjustments. For areas where special censuses were conducted at dates that approximate the estimate date, the census results were taken into account in developing the estimates.³ In several States, the subcounty estimates developed by the Administrative Records method were averaged with estimates for corresponding geographic areas which were prepared by State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates (FSCP). These States include California, Florida, Oregon, Washington, and Wisconsin.

The estimates for the subareas in each county were adjusted to independently derived county estimates. Since all of the data necessary to develop final estimates under the FSCP program are not available at the time subcounty estimates are prepared, only two of the methods relied upon in the standard FSCP program of estimates for counties (i.e., Component Method II and the Administrative Records method) were utilized. The 1976 estimates result from adding the average 1975-76 population change indicated by the two methods to the 1975 county population figures contained in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26.

The county estimates, in turn, were adjusted to be consistent with independent State estimates published by the Bureau of the Census in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 727, in which the Administrative Records-based estimates were averaged with the estimates prepared using Component Method II and the Regression method.⁴

PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

The 1975 per capita income (PCI) figure is the estimated average amount per person of total money income received during calendar years 1975 for all persons residing in a given political jurisdiction. The 1975 estimates are based on the 1970 census and have been updated using rates of change developed from various administrative record sets and compilations, mainly from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA).

The PCI estimates are based on a money income concept. Total money income is defined by the Bureau of the Census for statistical purposes as the sum of:

- Wage and salary income
- Net nonfarm self-employment income
- Net farm-self-employment income
- Social Security and railroad retirement income
- Public assistance income

³Only special censuses conducted by the Bureau of the Census or by the California, Florida, Michigan, Oregon, or Washington State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates were used for this purpose. In addition, in a relatively small number of cases where special censuses were conducted by localities, where the procedures and definitions were essentially the same as those used by the Bureau of the Census, the results of these special censuses were also taken into account in preparing the estimates.

⁴For further discussion of the methodologies used in preparing State estimates, see **Current Population Reports**, P-25, No. 640.

All other income such as interest, dividends, veteran's payments, pensions, unemployment insurance, alimony, etc.

The total represents the amount of income received before deductions for personal income taxes, Social Security, bond purchases, union dues, Medicare deductions, etc.

Procedures for State and county PCI estimates. As noted above, the 1975 State and county PCI estimates were based on the 1970 census.⁵ The updates for these areas were developed by carrying forward the aggregate amount (i.e., the sum of all individual incomes in the State or county) independently for each type of income identified in the census to reflect differential changes in these income sources between 1969 and the estimate date. Data from the 1969 and 1975 Federal tax returns provided by the Internal Revenue Service were used to estimate the change in wage and salary income at the State and county level. All other types of income for these governmental units were updated using rates of change based on estimates of aggregate money income provided by the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

At the county level, several modifications of these procedures were used to better control the estimates of income change. For example, the IRS data for sub-State jurisdictions were subject to nonreporting of address information on the tax return and to misassignment of geographic location for reported addresses. To minimize the impact on the estimates from such potential sources of error, per capita wage and salary income for counties was updated intact as a per capita figure using the percentage change in wage and salary income per exemption reported on IRS returns. In addition, because of differences in the definition of income, data collection techniques, and estimation procedures, 1969 income estimates from the census and BEA were not strictly comparable. These differences were especially evident at the county level for nonfarm and farm self-employment income. BEA estimates for these types of income tend to have considerably more year-to-year variation than estimates derived from surveys and censuses. To minimize the effects of these differences, constraints were imposed on the rate of change in income from these sources in developing the 1975 PCI updates.

As a final step to ensure a uniform series of estimates at the State and county levels, the updated county per capita figures were converted to a total aggregate income and were adjusted to agree with the State aggregate level before a final per capita income was calculated.

Procedures for subcounty per capita income estimates. The 1975 per capita income estimates for subcounty governmental units were developed using a methodology similar to that used to derive county-level figures. However, there are differences in the number of separate categories of income types used in the estimation procedure, and in the sources used to update the income components.

As in the case of the population estimates, a multi-step procedure was relied upon to update the income figures from their 1969 level to refer to 1975. Estimates for 1972 were prepared using the rate of change from 1969 to 1972. Estimates for 1974 were then developed based on the 1972 estimates, and were updated by an estimate of change from 1972 to 1974. The 1975 figures were then based upon the 1974 estimate. Also, as in the case of the population figures, the subcounty income data were uniformly adjusted to reflect major annexation and boundary changes which occurred since 1970.

1969 base estimates. The 1970 census PCI figures for small areas are subject to sizable sampling variability, causing them to lack sufficient statistical reliability for use in the estimation process. For this report, the 1969 PCI shown for areas with a 1970 census sample population estimate of less than 1,000 is a weighted average of the original 1970 census sample value and a regression estimate. Research has indicated that this procedure results in a considerable improvement in accuracy compared to the procedure relied upon in earlier estimates, which was to use the county PCI amount for various small governmental units. The resulting 1969 estimate for each of these areas is a base estimate for preparing 1972, 1974, and 1975 estimates and does not represent a change in the 1970 census value for these areas.

For subcounty updating, 1969 total money income was divided into two components: (1) taxable income which is approximately comparable to that portion of income included in IRS adjusted gross income, and (2) transfer income which, for the most part is not included in adjusted gross income. These 1969 subcounty estimates were adjusted to 1970 census totals for higher level government units. This was done using a two-way adjustment procedure controlling both to county totals and to several size class totals for the State.

1975 PCI updates. The taxable income portion of the 1969 money income was updated using the percent change in adjusted gross income (AGI) per exemption as computed from IRS tax return data. However, if the number of IRS tax returns for any area was very small, or if the ratios of exemptions to the population or the change in the ratios from 1969 to 1972, 1972 to 1974, and 1974 to 1975 were not within an acceptable range, the IRS data for the subcounty areas were not used in the update process. In such cases, the average percent change in AGI per exemption for similar governmental units in the county was used. Similarly, if the IRS data for a particular subcounty area passed the above conditions, but the percentage change in AGI per exemption was excessively large or small compared to that for similar units in the county, the change was constrained to a proportion of the average change of similar units.

The percentage change in per capita transfer income at the subcounty level was assumed to be the same as that implied by the BEA estimates at the county level.

The estimates of taxable income and transfer income were adjusted separately to the county controls and were then

⁵Income data from the 1970 census reflect income received in calendar year 1969.

combined to produce total money income. The PCI estimates were formed by dividing the total money income aggregates by the population estimates.

LIMITATIONS OF THE ESTIMATES

Population estimates. Tests of the accuracy of the methods used to develop State and county population estimates appearing in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26 have been documented elsewhere. The results of evaluations against the 1970 census at the State level are reported in Series P-25, No. 520, while similar 1970 tests for counties are presented in Series P-26, No. 21. In summary, the State estimates averaging Component Method II and the Regression method yielded average differences of approximately 1.9 percent when compared to the 1970 census. Subsequent modifications of the two procedures that have been incorporated in preparing estimates for the 1970's would have reduced the average difference in 1970 to 1.2 percent. For counties, the 1970 evaluations indicated an average difference of approximately 4.5 percent for the combination of procedures used. It should be noted that all of the evaluations against the results of the 1970 census concern estimates extending over the entire 10-year period of 1960 to 1970.

Since 1970, however, the Administrative Records method has been introduced with partial weight in the estimates for States and counties, and except for the few States in which local estimates are utilized, carries the full weight for estimates below the county level. The data series upon which the estimates procedure is based has been available as a comprehensive series for the entire United States only since 1967. Nonetheless, several studies have been undertaken evaluating the Administrative Records estimates from the

State to the local level. At the Statewide level, little direct testing can be performed due to the lack of special censuses covering entire States. Some sense of the general reasonableness of the Administrative Records estimates may be obtained, however, by reviewing the degree of correspondence between the results of the method against those of the "standard" methods tested in 1970 and already in use to produce State estimates during the 1970's. It must be recognized that the differences between the two sets of estimates may not be interpreted as errors in either set of figures, but may only be used as a partial guide indicating the degree of consistency between the newer Administrative Records system and the established methods.

Table A presents such a comparison for State estimates referring to July 1, 1976. A rather close agreement may be observed in the estimates for all States at only a 1.1 percent difference. The variation of the Administrative Records method from the average of the other methods does increase for smaller States in a regular pattern, but still reaches an average of only 1.5 percent for the smallest size category. The only consistent variations suggesting a potential for directional bias are indicated in the tendency for larger States to be estimated higher by the Administrative Records procedures than by the other techniques.

A similar comparison may be made at the county level (table B). Although the differences between the FSCP estimates and the Administrative Records results are larger at the county level than for States, the variations are well within the range that would be expected for areas of this population size, and the county pattern matches closely the findings for States. The overall differences for all counties is 2.5 percent, and ranges from 1.5 percent for the larger counties to 10.1 for the 26 small counties under 1,000

Table A. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Average of Component Method II and Regression Estimates for States: 1976

(Base is the average of Method II and Regression estimates)

Item	All States	Population size in 1970		
		4 million and over	1.5 to 4 million	Less than 1.5 million
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	1.1	0.7	1.1	1.5
Number of States.....	51	16	18	17
With differences of:				
Less than 1 percent.....	25	11	10	4
1 to 2 percent.....	19	5	5	9
2 percent and over.....	7	-	3	4
Where Administrative Records was:				
Higher.....	28	11	9	8
Lower.....	23	5	9	9

- Represents zero.

population. In addition, the variations from other FSCP methods shown for the 1976 estimates indicate substantial reduction from 1975 levels. Corresponding differences for the 1975 estimates were 3.3 percent, 1.8 and 11.7 percent, respectively.

Three tests of the Administrative Records population estimates against census counts also have been undertaken. First, a limited evaluation involving 24 large areas (16 counties and 8 cities) was conducted on estimates for the 1968-70 period.⁶ Although the test shows the estimates to

⁶Meyer Zitter and David L. Word, U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Use of Administrative Records for Small Area Population Estimates," unpublished paper prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 27, 1973.

be quite accurate (1.8 percent difference), the areas may not be assumed to be representative of the 39,000 units of government covered by the Administrative Records estimating system, and the time segment evaluated refers only to a 2-year period.

A more representative group of special censuses in 86 areas selected particularly for evaluation purposes was conducted in 1973. The areas were randomly chosen nationwide to be typical of areas with populations below 20,000 persons. Table C summarizes the average percent difference between the estimates from the Administrative Records method and counts from the 86 special censuses. Overall, the estimates differed from the special census counts by 5.9 percent, with the largest differences occurring in the smallest areas. Areas of between 1,000 and 20,000 popula-

Table B. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Provisional FSCP Estimates for Counties: 1976

(Base is the provisional FSCP estimates for counties)

Item	All counties	Counties with 1,000 or more 1970 population					Counties with less than 1,000 1970 population
		Total	50,000 or more	25,000 to 50,000	10,000 to 25,000	1,000 to 10,000	
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	2.5	2.4	1.5	2.1	2.5	3.5	10.1
Number of counties or equivalentents.....	3,143	3,117	679	567	1,017	854	26
With differences of:							
Less than 1 percent.....	906	904	286	184	268	166	2
1 to 3 percent.....	1,338	1,331	314	264	437	316	7
3 to 5 percent.....	504	505	59	76	206	162	1
5 to 10 percent.....	327	322	19	40	92	171	5
10 percent and over.....	68	57	1	3	14	39	11

Table C. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates (Unrevised) and 86 Special Censuses: 1973

(Base is special census)

Area	Average percent difference ¹	Number of areas with differences of:			
		Under 3 percent	3 to 5 percent	5 to 10 percent	10 percent and over
All areas (86) ²	5.9	32	18	20	16
1,000 to 20,000 (59).....	4.6	26	13	14	6
Under 1,000 population (27).....	8.6	6	5	6	10

¹Disregarding sign.

²All areas have population under 20,000 persons.

tion differed by 4.6 percent, while the average difference for the 27 areas below 1,000 population was 8.6 percent. There was a slight positive directional bias, with about 60 percent of the estimates exceeding the census counts. Again, the impact of population size on the expected level of accuracy may be noted. Even though all of the areas in this study are relatively small—less than 20,000 population—the larger ones demonstrate much lower variation from census figures than the smaller ones.

The third evaluation involving census comparisons is currently underway, and is based upon the approximately 2,000 special censuses that have been conducted since 1970 at the request of localities throughout the United States. Such areas constitute a fairly stringent test for any method in that they are generally very small areas, often are experiencing rapid population growth, and frequently are found to have had a vigorous program of annexation since the last census. This evaluation study has not been completed for use here, but will be included in detail as a part of the comprehensive methodology description in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-26, No. 699.

As a final caution, it must be noted that for convenience in presentation, the estimates contained in table 1 are shown in unrounded form. It is not intended, however, that the figures be considered accurate to the last digit. The nature of estimates prompts the rounding of figures in related Bureau reports and must be kept in mind during the application of the estimates contained here.

Per capita income estimates. Similar types of analyses and evaluation are not available for the updated estimates of PCI. Income data and PCI for 1972 are available for the 86 areas in which special censuses were conducted for testing purposes. As noted, however, the areas in which the censuses were taken are relatively small. The PCI estimates are based upon data from the 1970 census, which are subject to

sampling variability due to the size of the areas. Consequently, PCI did not change enough in the 1970-72 period in most instances to move outside of the relatively large range of sampling variability associated with the 1970 census results on income for small areas. Thus, it is not possible to obtain a reliable reading or even rough approximations on the accuracy of the change in PCI using the 86 areas as standards. The estimates were made available to persons working with economic statistics in each State for review prior to publication. Comments from this "local" review helped identify problem areas and input data errors.

Work has been initiated to evaluate 1975 State and county PCI estimates using income data from the Survey of Income and Education (SIE). While this work can indicate major sources of error in the PCI estimates, an indepth evaluation will have to await the 1980 census results.

RELATED REPORTS

The population estimates shown in this series of reports update those found in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 649 through 698 for 1975. The population estimates contained here for States are consistent with Series P-25, No. 727. The county estimates for 1976 are superior to the provisional 1976 figures published earlier in Series P-25 and P-26 due to the addition of a second method, but will not be reported elsewhere in **Current Population Reports**. The county population estimates are being replaced by subsequent final 1976 figures developed through the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates.

DETAILED TABLE SYMBOLS

In the detailed table entries, a dash "--" represents zero or rounds to zero. Three dots "... " mean not applicable.

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976		1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA.	2 844 216	2 590 713	253 503	9.8	3 819	3 670	2 303	65.8
ABBEVILLE COUNTY.....	21 612	21 112	500	2.4	3 403	3 395	2 184	55.8
ABBEVILLE.....	5 522	5 515	7	0.1	3 631	3 572	2 352	54.4
CALHOUN FALLS.....	2 177	2 234	-57	-2.6	3 063	3 240	1 983	54.5
DONALDS.....	401	392	9	2.3	3 535	3 585	2 282	54.9
DUE WEST.....	1 388	1 380	8	0.6	2 807	2 861	1 815	54.7
HONEA PATH (PART).....	11	12	-1	-8.3	3 074	3 143	2 000	53.7
LOWNEYSVILLE.....	241	219	22	10.0	3 752	3 836	2 442	53.0
WARE SHOALS (PART).....	323	316	7	2.2	4 699	4 804	3 059	53.6
AIKEN COUNTY.....	95 671	91 023	4 648	5.1	4 250	4 052	2 567	65.6
AIKEN.....	14 132	13 436	696	5.2	5 333	4 942	3 150	69.3
BURNETTOWN.....	434	434	-	-	4 831	4 689	2 943	64.2
JACKSON.....	1 840	1 928	-88	-4.6	4 700	4 538	2 829	66.1
NEW ELLENTON.....	2 418	2 546	-128	-5.0	3 753	3 554	2 104	78.4
NORTH AUGUSTA.....	13 700	12 883	817	6.3	5 494	5 175	3 256	68.7
PERRY.....	229	209	20	9.6	3 883	3 769	2 365	64.2
SALLEY.....	506	450	56	12.4	3 267	3 322	2 145	52.3
WAGENER.....	744	723	21	2.9	3 968	3 840	2 399	65.4
ALLENDALE COUNTY.....	10 134	9 783	351	3.6	2 801	2 775	1 690	65.7
ALLENDALE.....	3 683	3 620	63	1.7	3 391	3 386	2 031	67.0
FAIRFAX (PART).....	1 895	1 937	-42	-2.2	3 184	3 104	1 957	62.7
SYCAMORE.....	226	229	-3	-1.3	2 963	2 892	1 717	72.6
ULMER.....	99	109	-10	-9.2	4 180	4 080	2 422	72.6
ANDERSON COUNTY.....	115 541	105 474	10 067	9.5	4 015	3 914	2 545	57.9
ANDERSON.....	28 570	27 556	1 014	3.7	4 436	4 261	2 788	59.1
BELTON.....	5 341	5 257	84	1.6	4 102	3 933	2 643	55.2
CLEMSON (PART).....	19	17	2	11.8	4 859	4 865	3 172	53.2
HONEA PATH (PART).....	3 925	3 695	230	6.2	3 916	3 871	2 564	52.7
IVA.....	1 041	1 114	-73	-6.6	3 606	3 596	2 335	54.4
PELZER.....	139	130	9	6.9	5 526	5 533	3 637	51.9
PENDLETON.....	2 810	2 615	195	7.5	3 646	3 527	2 364	54.2
STARR.....	182	190	-8	-4.2	3 954	3 960	2 582	53.1
WEST PELZER.....	921	861	60	7.0	3 535	3 592	2 376	48.8
WILLIAMSTON.....	3 996	3 991	5	0.1	4 170	3 989	2 635	58.3
BAMBERG COUNTY.....	16 667	15 950	717	4.5	2 607	2 572	1 597	63.2
BAMBERG.....	3 307	3 406	-99	-2.9	3 530	3 418	2 072	70.4
DENMARK.....	3 585	3 571	14	0.4	3 087	2 870	1 837	68.0
EHRHARDT.....	532	478	54	11.3	3 716	3 791	2 072	79.3
GOVAN.....	150	136	14	10.3	2 704	2 586	1 584	70.7
OLAR.....	413	423	-10	-2.4	3 002	3 122	1 912	57.0
BARNWELL COUNTY.....	18 729	17 176	1 553	9.0	3 297	3 360	2 016	63.5
BARNWELL.....	4 926	4 439	487	11.0	4 036	4 137	2 643	52.7
BLACKVILLE.....	2 467	2 395	72	3.0	2 893	2 875	1 802	60.5
ELKO.....	268	202	66	32.7	3 011	3 069	1 928	56.2
HILDA.....	317	331	-14	-4.2	3 102	3 172	1 849	67.8
KLINE.....	337	305	32	10.5	1 384	1 411	886	56.2
SNELLING.....	165	150	15	10.0	3 414	3 479	2 186	56.2
WILLISTON.....	2 862	2 594	268	10.3	3 907	3 959	2 433	60.6
BEAUFORT COUNTY.....	58 008	51 136	6 872	13.4	4 342	4 231	2 244	93.5
BEAUFORT.....	8 948	9 434	-486	-5.2	5 282	5 130	2 673	97.0
BLUFFTON.....	498	529	-31	-5.9	3 428	3 221	1 802	90.2
PORT ROYAL.....	2 872	2 865	7	0.2	4 258	4 045	2 245	89.7
YEMASSEE (PART).....	8	7	1	14.3	6 053	5 746	3 230	87.4
BERKELEY COUNTY.....	69 298	56 199	13 099	23.3	3 257	3 095	1 920	69.6
BONNEAU.....	407	365	42	11.5	3 417	3 256	2 156	58.5
GOOSE CREEK.....	4 414	3 825	589	15.4	3 764	3 503	3 500	7.5
HANAHAN.....	10 316	9 118	1 198	13.1	5 021	4 490	2 776	80.9
JAMESTOWN.....	181	190	-9	-4.7	2 575	2 454	1 625	58.5
MONCK'S CORNER.....	3 143	2 314	829	35.8	4 515	4 204	2 689	67.9
ST. STEPHEN.....	1 584	1 506	78	5.2	2 737	2 682	1 818	50.6

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976		1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				
CALHOUN COUNTY.....	11 491	10 780	711	6.6	2 817	2 752	1 575	78.9
CAMERON.....	491	476	15	3.2	3 915	4 318	2 475	58.2
ST. MATTHEWS.....	2 425	2 403	22	0.9	3 857	3 799	2 182	76.8
CHARLESTON COUNTY.....	261 704	247 565	14 139	5.7	4 265	3 965	2 550	67.3
CHARLESTON.....	60 772	66 945	-6 173	-9.2	4 604	4 268	2 726	68.9
FOLLY BEACH.....	1 263	1 157	106	9.2	4 566	4 273	2 470	84.9
HOLLYWOOD.....	370	339	31	9.1	3 720	3 379	1 975	88.4
ISLE OF PALMS.....	2 988	2 657	331	12.5	5 851	5 475	3 558	64.4
LINCOLNVILLE.....	699	504	195	38.7	3 343	3 032	1 768	89.1
MCCLFFLANVILLE.....	301	304	-3	-1.0	5 245	4 765	2 785	88.3
MEGGET.....	189	180	9	5.0	4 098	3 723	2 176	88.3
MOUNT PLEASANT.....	9 112	6 879	2 233	32.5	5 051	4 713	3 046	65.8
NORTH CHARLESTON ¹	59 056	52 929	6 127	11.6	4 468	4 187	2 722	64.1
RAVENEL.....	1 039	931	108	11.6	2 991	2 754	1 592	87.9
SULLIVANS ISLAND.....	1 591	1 426	165	11.6	5 857	5 094	2 856	105.1
CHEROKEE COUNTY.....	40 269	36 669	3 600	9.8	3 698	3 610	2 258	63.8
BLACKSBURG.....	2 257	1 977	280	14.2	3 452	3 429	2 168	59.2
GAFFNEY.....	15 620	13 131	2 489	19.0	4 224	4 078	2 599	62.5
CHESTER COUNTY.....	30 375	29 811	564	1.9	3 272	3 229	2 091	56.5
CHESTER.....	7 165	7 045	120	1.7	3 515	3 438	2 244	56.6
FORT LAWN.....	426	510	-84	-16.5	3 116	3 151	1 911	63.1
GREAT FALLS.....	2 552	2 727	-175	-6.4	3 564	3 745	2 547	39.9
LOWRYS.....	240	260	-20	-7.7	3 284	3 240	2 157	52.2
RICHBURG.....	334	304	30	9.9	2 296	2 165	1 379	66.5
CHESTERFIELD COUNTY.....	34 840	33 667	1 173	3.5	3 218	3 155	2 037	58.0
CHERAW.....	5 253	5 627	-374	-6.6	4 617	4 455	2 870	60.9
CHESTERFIELD.....	1 603	1 667	-64	-3.8	3 846	3 596	2 304	66.9
JEFFERSON.....	745	709	36	5.1	3 286	3 444	2 229	47.4
MCBEE.....	675	592	83	14.0	2 937	2 667	1 846	59.1
MOUNT CROGHAN.....	125	123	2	1.6	3 553	3 380	2 224	59.8
PAGELAND.....	2 298	2 122	176	8.3	3 384	3 197	2 137	58.4
PATRICK ¹	527	550	-23	-4.2	3 915	3 710	2 421	61.7
RUBY.....	243	306	-63	-20.6	3 693	3 498	2 312	59.7
CLARENDON COUNTY.....	27 121	25 604	1 517	5.9	2 371	2 314	1 339	77.1
MANNING.....	4 522	4 025	497	12.3	3 299	3 184	1 941	70.0
PAXVILLE.....	342	261	81	31.0	2 989	2 918	1 718	74.0
SUMMERTON.....	1 278	1 305	-27	-2.1	3 619	3 609	2 131	69.8
TURBEVILLE.....	548	442	106	24.0	4 621	4 525	2 345	97.1
COLLETON COUNTY.....	29 054	27 707	1 347	4.9	2 912	2 822	1 765	65.0
COTTAGEVILLE.....	379	497	-118	-23.7	3 700	3 630	2 294	61.3
EDISTO BEACH.....	116	85	31	36.5	3 005	2 880	1 795	67.4
LODGE.....	146	168	-22	-13.1	3 674	3 522	2 195	67.4
SMOAKS.....	169	155	14	9.0	4 695	4 501	2 805	67.4
WALTERBORO.....	6 222	6 257	-35	-0.6	4 179	4 019	2 557	63.4
WILLIAMS.....	177	201	-24	-11.9	4 169	3 997	2 491	67.4
DARLINGTON COUNTY.....	56 221	53 442	2 779	5.2	3 317	3 326	2 099	58.0
DARLINGTON.....	7 255	6 990	265	3.8	3 808	3 717	2 319	64.2
HARTSVILLE.....	7 990	8 017	-27	-0.3	4 169	4 187	2 856	46.0
LAMAR.....	1 280	1 250	30	2.4	3 741	3 715	2 357	58.7
SOCIETY HILL.....	813	806	7	0.9	3 361	3 477	2 004	67.7
DILLON COUNTY.....	29 967	28 838	1 129	3.9	2 778	2 744	1 613	72.2
DILLON.....	6 476	6 391	85	1.3	3 404	3 283	2 021	68.4
LAKEVIEW.....	917	949	-32	-3.4	4 438	4 357	2 193	102.4
LATTA.....	1 781	1 764	17	1.0	3 921	3 707	2 213	77.2
SELLERS (PART).....	86	92	-6	-6.5	1 524	1 461	844	80.6
DORCHESTER COUNTY.....	47 364	32 276	15 088	46.7	3 550	3 370	2 063	72.1
HARLEYVILLE.....	645	704	-59	-8.4	3 688	3 629	2 296	60.6
REEVESVILLE.....	254	247	7	2.8	3 398	3 186	1 999	70.0
RIDGEVILLE.....	616	563	53	9.4	3 396	3 345	2 096	62.0

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE.

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976		1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
			NUMBER	PERCENT				
ST. GEORGE.....	1 744	1 806	-62	-3.4	3 529	3 444	2 157	63.6
SUMMERSVILLE.....	5 649	3 839	1 810	47.1	5 050	4 810	2 936	72.0
EDGEFIELD COUNTY.....	16 025	15 692	333	2.1	2 867	2 851	1 784	60.7
EDGEFIELD.....	3 250	2 750	500	18.2	3 374	3 377	2 074	62.7
JOHNSTON.....	2 443	2 552	-109	-4.3	4 131	4 016	2 655	55.6
TRENTON.....	371	362	9	2.5	3 711	3 670	2 306	60.9
FAIRFIELD COUNTY.....	20 066	19 999	69	0.3	2 663	2 577	1 611	65.3
RIDGEWAY.....	465	437	28	6.4	2 803	2 670	1 786	56.9
WINNSBORO.....	3 215	3 411	-196	-5.7	3 284	3 163	2 022	62.4
FLORENCE COUNTY.....	99 058	89 636	9 422	10.5	3 728	3 598	2 214	68.4
COWARD.....	489	466	23	4.9	4 807	5 182	2 948	63.1
FLORENCE.....	31 576	25 997	5 579	21.5	4 436	4 156	2 731	62.4
JOHNSONVILLE.....	1 390	1 267	123	9.7	4 069	4 033	2 414	68.6
LAKE CITY.....	6 232	6 256	-24	-0.4	3 551	3 357	2 180	62.9
OLANTA.....	669	640	29	4.5	3 860	4 099	2 440	58.2
PAMPLICO.....	1 027	1 068	-41	-3.8	3 518	3 517	2 091	68.2
QUINBY.....	992	788	204	25.9	7 000	7 020	3 758	86.3
SCRANTON.....	823	732	91	12.4	3 156	3 297	1 954	61.5
TIMMONSVILLE.....	2 399	2 246	153	6.8	2 819	2 663	1 615	74.6
GEORGETOWN COUNTY.....	38 067	33 500	4 567	13.6	3 185	3 042	1 816	75.4
ANDREWS (PART).....	2 763	2 831	-68	-2.4	2 981	2 825	1 618	84.2
GEORGETOWN.....	11 679	10 449	1 230	11.8	4 082	3 934	2 440	67.3
GREENVILLE COUNTY.....	265 652	240 774	24 878	10.3	4 443	4 289	2 753	61.4
CITY VIEW.....	2 853	2 497	356	14.3	2 968	2 822	1 721	72.5
FOUNTAIN INN (PART).....	3 487	2 800	687	24.5	3 957	3 770	2 504	58.0
GREENVILLE.....	57 962	61 436	-3 474	-5.7	4 760	4 558	2 893	64.5
GREER (PART).....	7 198	6 611	587	8.9	4 421	4 191	2 705	63.4
MAULDIN.....	7 135	3 797	3 338	87.9	4 604	4 449	2 893	59.1
SIMPSONVILLE.....	7 077	3 308	3 769	113.9	4 578	4 428	2 652	72.6
TRAVELERS REST.....	2 688	2 241	447	19.9	4 171	4 113	2 590	61.0
WOODSIDE.....	260	227	33	14.5	4 115	4 050	2 490	65.3
GREENWOOD COUNTY.....	52 790	49 686	3 104	6.2	4 120	4 023	2 615	57.6
GREENWOOD.....	25 039	21 069	3 970	18.8	4 279	4 095	2 569	66.6
HODGES.....	205	214	-9	-4.2	4 263	4 260	2 885	47.8
NINETY-SIX.....	2 141	2 166	-25	-1.2	4 050	4 209	2 846	42.3
TROY.....	242	207	35	16.9	2 737	2 735	1 852	47.8
WARE SHOALS (PART).....	1 958	2 164	-206	-9.5	4 207	4 072	2 847	47.8
HAMPTON COUNTY.....	16 931	15 878	1 053	6.6	2 876	2 860	1 735	65.8
BRUNSON.....	530	559	-29	-5.2	4 071	3 745	2 048	98.8
ESTILL.....	2 109	1 954	155	7.9	3 255	3 387	1 952	66.8
FAIRFAX (PART).....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	...
FURMAN.....	225	239	-14	-5.9	2 336	2 327	1 343	73.9
GIFFORD.....	275	280	-5	-1.8	1 931	1 924	1 110	74.0
HAMPTON.....	2 978	2 966	12	0.4	4 453	4 466	2 751	61.9
LURAY.....	63	72	-9	-12.5	2 744	2 733	1 577	74.0
SCOTIA.....	28	64	-36	-56.3	3 145	3 132	1 808	73.9
VARNVILLE.....	1 875	1 555	320	20.6	3 558	3 302	2 027	75.5
YEMASSEE (PART).....	743	738	5	0.7	2 628	2 689	1 547	69.9
HORRY COUNTY.....	86 662	69 992	16 670	23.8	3 558	3 374	2 027	75.5
ATLANTIC BEACH.....	251	215	36	16.7	2 632	2 507	1 550	69.8
AYNOR.....	602	536	66	12.3	4 394	4 337	2 681	63.9
BRIARCLIFFE ACRES.....	250	152	98	64.5	3 528	3 375	2 027	74.1
CONWAY.....	8 814	8 151	663	8.1	3 814	3 615	2 285	66.9
LORIS.....	2 042	1 741	301	17.3	3 446	3 353	2 125	62.2
MYRTLE BEACH.....	12 652	9 615	3 037	31.6	5 821	5 509	3 484	67.1
NORTH MYRTLE BEACH.....	3 145	1 957	1 188	60.7	5 548	5 271	3 252	70.6
SURFSIDE BEACH.....	2 312	1 329	983	74.0	4 319	4 073	2 596	66.4
JASPER COUNTY.....	13 640	11 885	1 755	14.8	2 557	2 517	1 522	68.0
HARDEEVILLE.....	1 084	853	231	27.1	3 617	3 572	2 088	73.2
RIDGELAND.....	1 239	1 165	74	6.4	4 026	3 987	2 412	66.9

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE.

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976		1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
			NUMBER	PERCENT				
KERSHAW COUNTY.....	36 607	34 727	1 880	5.4	3 978	3 828	2 410	65.1
BETHUNE.....	487	506	-19	-3.8	4 257	4 238	2 554	60.4
CAMDEN.....	8 038	6 532	-494	-5.8	5 307	5 021	3 112	70.5
ELGIN.....	425	374	51	13.6	3 844	3 795	2 351	63.5
KERSHAW (PART).....	864	828	36	4.3	4 429	4 294	2 828	56.6
LANCASTER COUNTY.....	44 901	43 328	1 573	3.6	3 668	3 570	2 397	53.0
HEATH SPRINGS.....	887	955	-68	-7.1	3 546	3 303	2 007	76.7
KERSHAW (PART).....	785	990	-205	-20.7	3 833	3 591	2 238	71.3
LANCASTER.....	8 846	9 736	-890	-9.1	4 280	4 163	2 569	66.0
LAURENS COUNTY.....	50 629	49 713	916	1.8	3 495	3 441	2 256	54.7
CLINTON.....	7 750	8 138	-388	-4.8	3 768	3 613	2 336	61.3
CROSS HILL.....	512	579	-67	-11.6	2 432	2 372	1 502	51.8
FOUNTAIN INN (PART).....	674	591	83	14.0	3 812	3 596	2 261	68.0
GRAY COURT.....	920	859	61	7.1	3 259	3 100	2 049	59.1
LAURENS.....	10 022	10 298	-276	-2.7	4 030	4 069	2 549	52.1
WATERLOO.....	104	112	-8	-7.1	3 043	2 883	1 840	65.4
LEE COUNTY.....	17 566	18 323	-757	-4.1	2 491	2 462	1 439	73.1
BISHOPVILLE.....	3 142	3 404	-262	-7.7	3 861	3 681	2 113	82.7
LYNCHBURG.....	517	546	-29	-5.3	3 332	3 162	1 900	75.4
LEXINGTON COUNTY.....	121 287	89 012	32 275	36.3	4 430	4 221	2 597	70.6
BATESBURG (PART).....	3 592	3 668	-76	-2.1	3 227	3 242	2 200	46.7
CAYCE ¹	10 238	10 486	-248	-2.4	4 430	4 168	2 736	61.9
CHAPIN.....	415	342	73	21.3	3 930	3 670	2 231	76.2
GASTON.....	919	654	265	40.5	4 540	4 362	2 658	70.8
GILBERT.....	242	186	56	30.1	3 831	3 578	2 175	76.1
IRMO.....	1 533	517	1 016	196.5	3 759	3 510	2 179	72.5
LEESVILLE.....	2 101	1 907	194	10.2	3 826	3 835	2 455	55.8
LEXINGTON.....	1 813	969	844	87.1	5 076	4 770	2 915	74.1
PELION.....	209	216	-7	-3.2	4 571	4 269	2 595	76.1
PINE RIDGE.....	800	633	167	26.4	4 196	3 916	2 378	76.5
SOUTH CONGAREE.....	1 679	1 434	245	17.1	3 785	3 543	2 157	75.5
SPRINGDALE.....	3 616	2 638	978	37.1	4 808	4 573	3 005	60.0
SUMMIT.....	167	130	37	28.5	3 145	2 938	1 785	76.2
SWANSEA.....	841	691	150	21.7	3 824	3 602	2 126	79.9
WEST COLUMBIA ¹	13 724	10 433	3 291	31.5	4 101	3 859	2 477	65.0
MCCORMICK COUNTY.....	7 986	7 955	31	0.4	2 644	2 530	1 564	69.1
MCCORMICK.....	1 881	1 864	17	0.9	3 555	3 345	2 142	66.0
MOUNT CARMEL.....	151	138	13	9.4	2 575	2 433	1 526	68.7
PARKSVILLE.....	144	164	-20	-12.2	2 676	2 529	1 586	68.7
PLUM BRANCH.....	90	108	-18	-16.7	3 888	3 674	2 304	68.8
MARION COUNTY.....	32 411	30 270	2 141	7.1	3 003	2 951	1 752	71.4
MARION.....	7 946	7 435	511	6.9	3 652	3 583	2 198	66.2
MULLINS.....	5 781	6 006	-225	-3.7	3 463	3 418	2 004	72.8
NICHOLS.....	594	549	45	8.2	3 805	3 817	2 231	70.6
SELLERS (PART).....	446	469	-23	-4.9	2 714	2 658	1 586	71.1
MARLBORO COUNTY.....	28 375	27 151	1 224	4.5	2 817	2 783	1 743	61.6
BENNETTSVILLE.....	8 094	7 468	626	8.4	3 769	3 601	2 241	68.2
BLENHAIM.....	249	236	13	5.5	2 422	2 318	1 420	70.6
CLIO.....	962	936	26	2.8	3 331	3 272	2 031	64.0
MCCOLL.....	2 401	2 524	-123	-4.9	3 230	3 233	2 105	53.4
TATUM.....	112	115	-3	-2.6	3 762	3 600	2 206	70.5
NEWBERRY COUNTY.....	30 835	29 273	1 562	5.3	3 581	3 488	2 235	60.2
CHAPPELLS.....	68	74	-6	-8.1	4 203	4 014	2 572	63.4
LITTLE MOUNTAIN.....	236	240	-4	-1.7	3 497	3 340	2 140	63.4
NEWBERRY ¹	9 042	9 361	-319	-3.4	3 976	3 861	2 482	60.2
PEAK.....	69	87	-18	-20.7	3 227	3 082	1 975	63.4
POMARIA.....	283	264	19	7.2	2 755	2 728	1 748	57.0
PROSPERITY.....	855	762	93	12.2	3 651	3 479	2 251	62.2
SILVERSTREET.....	145	156	-11	-7.1	4 105	3 921	2 512	63.4
WHITMIRE.....	2 060	2 226	-166	-7.5	4 170	4 048	2 615	59.5

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE.

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976		1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
			NUMBER	PERCENT				
OCONEE COUNTY.....	43 445	40 728	2 717	6.7	3 508	3 497	2 293	53.0
SALEM.....	297	301	-4	-1.3	3 735	3 800	2 276	64.1
SENECA.....	6 892	6 573	319	4.9	3 971	3 871	2 547	55.9
WALHALLA.....	3 482	3 662	-180	-4.9	3 789	3 781	2 507	51.1
WESTMINSTER.....	2 758	2 521	237	9.4	3 109	3 250	2 272	36.8
WEST UNION.....	357	388	-31	-8.0	3 756	3 697	2 455	53.0
ORANGEBURG COUNTY.....	76 893	69 789	7 104	10.2	3 034	2 901	1 812	67.4
BOWMAN.....	1 190	1 095	95	8.7	2 607	2 531	1 504	73.3
BRANCHVILLE.....	991	1 011	-20	-2.0	3 334	3 268	1 963	69.8
COPE.....	199	202	-3	-1.5	3 738	3 565	2 111	77.1
CORDOVA.....	220	205	15	7.3	4 174	3 981	2 358	77.0
ELLOREE.....	943	940	3	0.3	4 085	3 780	2 258	80.9
EJTAWVILLE.....	390	386	4	1.0	3 697	3 491	2 033	81.8
HOLLY HILL.....	1 269	1 178	91	7.7	5 024	4 812	2 869	75.1
LIVINGSTON.....	116	165	-49	-29.7	4 984	4 753	2 815	77.1
NEESES.....	483	388	95	24.5	3 040	3 089	1 971	54.2
NORTH.....	1 115	1 076	39	3.6	4 253	4 042	2 354	80.7
NORWAY.....	623	579	44	7.6	3 552	3 139	1 916	85.4
ORANGEBURG.....	16 459	14 193	2 266	16.0	4 252	4 045	2 526	68.3
ROWESVILLE.....	380	392	-12	-3.1	2 383	2 357	1 420	67.8
SANTEE.....	136	137	-1	-0.7	2 504	2 388	1 414	77.1
SPRINGFIELD.....	779	724	55	7.6	4 026	3 831	2 211	82.1
VANCE.....	56	54	4	7.4	3 556	3 391	2 009	77.0
WOODFORD.....	224	195	29	14.9	3 470	3 309	1 960	77.0
PICKENS COUNTY.....	69 619	58 956	10 663	18.1	3 932	3 841	2 486	58.2
CENTRAL.....	1 633	1 550	83	5.4	3 766	3 667	2 395	57.2
CLEMSON (PART).....	7 090	6 673	417	6.2	5 559	5 105	3 338	66.5
EASLEY.....	12 599	11 175	1 424	12.7	4 154	4 137	2 744	51.4
LIBERTY.....	3 152	2 860	292	10.2	4 499	4 392	2 796	60.9
NORRIS.....	907	757	150	19.8	3 510	3 634	2 287	53.5
PICKENS.....	3 141	2 954	187	6.3	3 963	3 889	2 536	56.3
SIX MILE.....	408	361	47	13.0	5 022	4 956	3 198	57.0
RICHLAND COUNTY.....	250 879	233 868	17 011	7.3	4 522	4 209	2 631	71.9
ARCADIA LAKES.....	823	741	82	11.1	7 736	7 474	4 602	68.1
BLYTHEWOOD.....	78	70	8	11.4	4 556	4 366	2 673	70.4
COLUMBIA.....	112 779	113 542	-763	-0.7	4 430	4 101	2 601	70.3
EASTOVER.....	821	817	4	0.5	3 451	3 185	2 016	71.2
FOREST ACRES.....	6 948	6 808	140	2.1	7 874	7 337	4 674	68.5
SALUDA COUNTY.....	14 526	14 528	-2	-	3 171	3 195	1 951	62.5
BATESBURG (PART).....	313	368	-55	-14.9	2 764	2 781	1 768	56.3
RIDGE SPRING.....	691	644	47	7.3	3 567	3 534	2 199	62.2
SALUDA.....	2 503	2 442	61	2.5	3 498	3 549	2 315	51.1
WARD.....	104	150	-46	-30.7	3 024	3 019	1 919	57.6
SPARTANBURG COUNTY.....	192 336	173 724	18 612	10.7	4 060	3 956	2 495	62.7
CAMPOBELLO.....	576	530	46	8.7	2 952	2 740	1 694	74.3
CENTRAL PACOLET.....	516	483	33	6.8	2 965	2 897	1 820	62.9
CHESNEE.....	1 169	1 069	100	9.4	3 506	3 379	2 094	67.4
COWPENS.....	2 285	2 109	176	8.3	4 005	3 961	2 463	62.6
DUNCAN.....	1 497	1 266	231	18.2	3 815	3 807	2 413	58.1
GREER (PART).....	4 349	4 031	318	7.9	2 894	2 707	1 821	58.9
INMAN.....	1 744	1 661	83	5.0	3 799	3 661	2 267	67.6
LANDRUM.....	2 072	1 859	213	11.5	3 848	3 769	2 347	64.0
LYMAN.....	1 550	1 159	391	33.7	5 071	4 866	3 157	60.6
PACOLET.....	1 646	1 418	228	16.1	3 174	3 031	1 900	67.1
PACOLET MILLS.....	1 282	1 504	-222	-14.8	4 105	4 110	2 631	56.0
SPARTANBURG.....	46 789	44 546	2 243	5.0	4 618	4 408	2 824	63.5
WELLFORD.....	1 537	1 298	239	18.4	2 665	2 663	1 606	65.9
WOODRUFF.....	4 590	4 690	-100	-2.1	3 858	3 801	2 437	58.3
SUMTER COUNTY.....	83 775	79 425	4 350	5.5	3 291	3 177	1 962	67.7
MAYESVILLE.....	725	757	-32	-4.2	2 702	2 700	1 484	82.1
PINEWOOD.....	571	687	-116	-16.9	3 324	2 887	1 725	92.7
SUMTER.....	25 008	24 555	453	1.8	3 948	3 770	2 375	66.2

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE.

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976		1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
			NUMBER	PERCENT				
UNION COUNTY.....	29 867	29 230	637	2.2	3 435	3 303	2 198	56.3
CARLISLE.....	597	670	-73	-10.9	2 503	2 308	1 460	71.4
JONESVILLE.....	1 392	1 447	-55	-3.8	3 686	3 584	2 229	65.4
LOCKHART.....	99	103	-4	-3.9	4 209	4 039	2 531	66.3
UNION.....	10 472	10 775	-303	-2.8	3 851	3 647	2 434	58.2
WILLIAMSBURG COUNTY.....	35 240	34 243	997	2.9	2 385	2 370	1 388	71.8
ANDREWS (PART).....	52	48	4	8.3	3 544	3 390	2 079	70.5
GREELEYVILLE.....	531	542	-11	-2.0	3 297	3 065	1 890	74.4
HEMINGWAY.....	988	1 026	-38	-3.7	3 657	3 488	2 143	70.6
KINGSTREE.....	3 476	3 381	95	2.8	4 555	4 508	2 610	74.5
LANE.....	528	517	11	2.1	2 876	2 686	1 615	78.1
STUCKEY.....	197	193	4	2.1	1 798	1 720	1 055	70.4
YORK COUNTY.....	94 080	85 216	8 864	10.4	3 951	3 741	2 386	65.6
CLOVER.....	4 148	3 506	642	18.3	4 887	4 394	2 388	104.6
FORT HILL.....	5 120	4 505	615	13.7	4 588	4 453	2 711	69.2
HICKORY GROVE.....	313	377	-64	-17.0	4 002	3 868	2 321	72.4
MCCONNELLS.....	266	213	53	24.9	2 644	2 483	1 558	69.7
ROCK HILL.....	36 139	33 846	2 293	6.8	3 971	3 729	2 422	64.0
SHARON.....	299	268	31	11.6	4 970	4 667	2 929	69.7
SMYRNA.....	82	85	-3	-3.5	4 970	4 667	2 929	69.7
YORK ¹	6 068	5 661	407	7.2	3 462	3 310	2 159	60.4
MULTI-COUNTY PLACES								
ANDREWS.....	2 815	2 879	-64	-2.2	2 991	2 835	1 626	83.9
BATESBURG.....	3 905	4 036	-131	-3.2	3 190	3 203	2 161	47.6
CLEMSON.....	7 109	6 690	419	6.3	5 557	5 104	3 338	66.5
FAIRFAX.....	1 895	1 937	-42	-2.2	3 184	3 104	1 957	62.7
FOUNTAIN INN.....	4 161	3 391	770	22.7	3 933	3 741	2 462	59.7
GREER.....	11 547	10 642	905	8.5	3 846	3 631	2 370	62.3
HONEA PATH.....	3 936	3 707	229	6.2	3 914	3 869	2 562	52.8
KERSHAW.....	1 649	1 818	-169	-9.3	4 144	3 949	2 507	65.3
SELLERS.....	532	561	-29	-5.2	2 523	2 467	1 464	72.3
WARE SHOALS.....	2 281	2 480	-199	-8.0	4 277	4 174	2 874	48.8
YEMASSEE.....	751	745	6	0.8	2 664	2 716	1 563	70.4

¹1970 CENSUS FIGURE INCLUDES 1970 CENSUS POPULATION RESIDING IN AREAS ANNEXED THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1976.

**1976 Population and 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for Counties,
Incorporated Places, and Selected Minor Civil Divisions**

(Reports may not be published in numerical order)

No. 740 Alabama	No. 765 Montana
No. 741 Alaska	No. 766 Nebraska
No. 742 Arizona	No. 767 Nevada
No. 743 Arkansas	No. 768 New Hampshire
No. 744 California	No. 769 New Jersey
No. 745 Colorado	No. 770 New Mexico
No. 746 Connecticut	No. 771 New York
No. 747 Delaware	No. 772 North Carolina
No. 748 Florida	No. 773 North Dakota
No. 749 Georgia	No. 774 Ohio
No. 750 Hawaii	No. 775 Oklahoma
No. 751 Idaho	No. 776 Oregon
No. 752 Illinois	No. 777 Pennsylvania
No. 753 Indiana	No. 778 Rhode Island
No. 754 Iowa	No. 779 South Carolina
No. 755 Kansas	No. 780 South Dakota
No. 756 Kentucky	No. 781 Tennessee
No. 757 Louisiana	No. 782 Texas
No. 758 Maine	No. 783 Utah
No. 759 Maryland	No. 784 Vermont
No. 760 Massachusetts	No. 785 Virginia
No. 761 Michigan	No. 786 Washington
No. 762 Minnesota	No. 787 West Virginia
No. 763 Mississippi	No. 788 Wisconsin
No. 764 Missouri	No. 789 Wyoming