



U.S. Department of Commerce  
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Series P-25, No. 784  
Issued January 1979

# Population Estimates and Projections

## 1976 Population Estimates and 1975 and Revised 1974 Per Capita Income Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places, and Selected Minor Civil Divisions in Vermont

This report is one of a series containing current estimates of the population and per capita money income for places in each State. The population estimate relate to July 1, 1976, and the estimates of per capita income (PCI) cover the 1975 and 1974 calendar years. The population estimates include revisions made during the review of the figures with local officials and, to the extent possible, also reflect changes made through the Office of Revenue Sharing challenge program. Population figures for earlier years comparable to the PCI estimates were published earlier in **Current Population Reports**, series P-25, Nos. 649 to 698, and are not repeated here. Revisions are being made to the 1975 population figures for approximately 400 places in the United States, to bring them in line with the 1976 figures shown here, however, and will be noted in subsequent reports. The entire 1974 series of income estimates is shown here due to major revisions in data and methodology that, to some degree, affect all areas.

Current estimates of population below the county level and per capita money income for all general-purpose governments were prompted by the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. The figures are used by a wide variety of Federal, State, and local governmental agencies for program planning and administrative purposes.

Areas included in this series of reports are all counties (or county equivalents such as census divisions in Alaska, parishes in Louisiana, and independent cities in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia) and incorporated places in the State, plus active minor civil divisions (MCD's), commonly towns in New England, New York, and Wisconsin, or townships in other parts of the United States.<sup>1</sup> These State reports appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, in

<sup>1</sup>In certain midwestern States (Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and the Dakotas) some counties have active minor civil divisions while others do not.

alphabetical sequence as report number 740 (Alabama) through number 789 (Wyoming). A list indicating the report number for each State is appended.

The detailed table for each State shows July 1, 1976 estimates of the population of each area, together with April 1, 1970 census population and numerical and percentage change between 1970 and 1976. The 1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and include corrections to the 1970 census counts. In addition, the table presents per capita income estimates for the 1975 calendar year and revised figures for 1974, plus calendar year 1969 per capita money income derived from data collected in the 1970 census.

The estimates are presented in the table in county order, with all incorporated places in the county listed in alphabetical order, followed by any functioning minor civil divisions also listed in alphabetical order. Minor civil divisions are always identified in the listing by the term "township," "town," or other MCD category. When incorporated places fall in more than one county, each county piece is marked "part," and totals for these places are presented at the end of the table.

### POPULATION ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

To estimate the population of each subcounty area, a component procedure (the Administrative Records method) was used, with each of the components of population change (births, deaths, net migration, and special populations) estimated separately. The estimates were derived in three stages, moving from 1970 as the base year to develop estimates for 1973, and in turn, moving from 1973 as the base year to derive estimates for 1975, and from 1975 as the base year for 1976.

**Migration.** Individual Federal income tax returns were used to measure migration by matching individual returns for

successive periods. The places of residence on tax returns filed in the base year and in the estimate year were noted for matched returns to determine immigrants, outmigrants, and nonmigrants for each area. A net migration rate was derived, based on the difference between the immigration and outmigration of taxpayers and dependents, and was applied to a base population to yield an estimate of net migration for all persons in the area.

**Natural increase.** Reported resident birth and death statistics were used, wherever available, to estimate natural increase. These data were collected from State health departments and supplemented, where necessary, by data prepared and published by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Center for Health Statistics. For subcounty areas where reported birth and death statistics were not available from either source, estimates were developed by applying fertility and mortality rates. These estimates were subsequently controlled to agree with birth and death statistics for the reported county areas.

**Adjustment for special populations.** In addition to the above components of population change, estimates of special populations were also taken into account. Special populations include immigrants from abroad, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, residents of institutions (prisons and long-term health care facilities), and college students enrolled in full-time programs. These populations were treated separately because changes in these types of population groups are not always adequately reflected in the components of population change developed by standard measures, and the information can be collected for use as an independent series.

In generating estimates for counties by this procedure, the method was modified slightly to make the county estimates specific to the resident population under 65 years of age. The resident population 65 years old and over in counties was estimated separately by adding the change in Medicare enrollees between April 1, 1970 and July 1 of the estimate year to the April 1, 1970 population 65 years old and over in the county as enumerated in the 1970 census. These estimates of the population 65 years old and over were then added to estimates of the population under 65 years old to yield estimates of the total resident population in each county.

**Annexations and new incorporations.** The 1970 census counts shown in this report reflect all population "corrections" made to the figures after the initial tabulations. In addition, adjustments for annexations through December 31, 1976, are reflected in the estimates for areas where arrangements were made for determining the population in the annexed area in 1970.<sup>2</sup> For new incorporations occurring

<sup>2</sup>In general, an annexation was included if the 1970 census count for the annexing area was 5,000 or more and the 1970 census count for the annexed area or areas exceeded 5 percent of the 1970 count for the annexing area. Adjustments were also made for a limited number of "unusual" annexations where the annexations for an area did not meet the minimum requirements but were accepted for inclusion in the population base.

after 1970, the 1970 population within the boundaries of the new areas are shown in the detailed table.

**Other adjustments.** For areas where special censuses were conducted at dates that approximate the estimate date, the census results were taken into account in developing the estimates.<sup>3</sup> In several States, the subcounty estimates developed by the Administrative Records method were averaged with estimates for corresponding geographic areas which were prepared by State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates (FSCP). These States include California, Florida, Oregon, Washington, and Wisconsin.

The estimates for the subareas in each county were adjusted to independently derived county estimates. Since all of the data necessary to develop final estimates under the FSCP program are not available at the time subcounty estimates are prepared, only two of the methods relied upon in the standard FSCP program of estimates for counties (i.e., Component Method II and the Administrative Records method) were utilized. The 1976 estimates result from adding the average 1975-76 population change indicated by the two methods to the 1975 county population figures contained in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26.

The county estimates, in turn, were adjusted to be consistent with independent State estimates published by the Bureau of the Census in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 727, in which the Administrative Records-based estimates were averaged with the estimates prepared using Component Method II and the Regression method.<sup>4</sup>

## PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

The 1975 per capita income (PCI) figure is the estimated average amount per person of total money income received during calendar years 1975 for all persons residing in a given political jurisdiction. The 1975 estimates are based on the 1970 census and have been updated using rates of change developed from various administrative record sets and compilations, mainly from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA).

The PCI estimates are based on a money income concept. Total money income is defined by the Bureau of the Census for statistical purposes as the sum of:

- Wage and salary income
- Net nonfarm self-employment income
- Net farm-self-employment income
- Social Security and railroad retirement income
- Public assistance income

<sup>3</sup>Only special censuses conducted by the Bureau of the Census or by the California, Florida, Michigan, Oregon, or Washington State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates were used for this purpose. In addition, in a relatively small number of cases where special censuses were conducted by localities, where the procedures and definitions were essentially the same as those used by the Bureau of the Census, the results of these special censuses were also taken into account in preparing the estimates.

<sup>4</sup>For further discussion of the methodologies used in preparing State estimates, see **Current Population Reports**, P-25, No. 640.

All other income such as interest, dividends, veteran's payments, pensions, unemployment insurance, alimony, etc.

The total represents the amount of income received before deductions for personal income taxes, Social Security, bond purchases, union dues, Medicare deductions, etc.

**Procedures for State and county PCI estimates.** As noted above, the 1975 State and county PCI estimates were based on the 1970 census.<sup>5</sup> The updates for these areas were developed by carrying forward the aggregate amount (i.e., the sum of all individual incomes in the State or county) independently for each type of income identified in the census to reflect differential changes in these income sources between 1969 and the estimate date. Data from the 1969 and 1975 Federal tax returns provided by the Internal Revenue Service were used to estimate the change in wage and salary income at the State and county level. All other types of income for these governmental units were updated using rates of change based on estimates of aggregate money income provided by the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

At the county level, several modifications of these procedures were used to better control the estimates of income change. For example, the IRS data for sub-State jurisdictions were subject to nonreporting of address information on the tax return and to misassignment of geographic location for reported addresses. To minimize the impact on the estimates from such potential sources of error, per capita wage and salary income for counties was updated intact as a per capita figure using the percentage change in wage and salary income per exemption reported on IRS returns. In addition, because of differences in the definition of income, data collection techniques, and estimation procedures, 1969 income estimates from the census and BEA were not strictly comparable. These differences were especially evident at the county level for nonfarm and farm self-employment income. BEA estimates for these types of income tend to have considerably more year-to-year variation than estimates derived from surveys and censuses. To minimize the effects of these differences, constraints were imposed on the rate of change in income from these sources in developing the 1975 PCI updates.

As a final step to ensure a uniform series of estimates at the State and county levels, the updated county per capita figures were converted to a total aggregate income and were adjusted to agree with the State aggregate level before a final per capita income was calculated.

**Procedures for subcounty per capita income estimates.** The 1975 per capita income estimates for subcounty governmental units were developed using a methodology similar to that used to derive county-level figures. However, there are differences in the number of separate categories of income types used in the estimation procedure, and in the sources used to update the income components:

As in the case of the population estimates, a multi-step procedure was relied upon to update the income figures from their 1969 level to refer to 1975. Estimates for 1972 were prepared using the rate of change from 1969 to 1972. Estimates for 1974 were then developed based on the 1972 estimates, and were updated by an estimate of change from 1972 to 1974. The 1975 figures were then based upon the 1974 estimate. Also, as in the case of the population figures, the subcounty income data were uniformly adjusted to reflect major annexation and boundary changes which occurred since 1970.

**1969 base estimates.** The 1970 census PCI figures for small areas are subject to sizable sampling variability, causing them to lack sufficient statistical reliability for use in the estimation process. For this report, the 1969 PCI shown for areas with a 1970 census sample population estimate of less than 1,000 is a weighted average of the original 1970 census sample value and a regression estimate. Research has indicated that this procedure results in a considerable improvement in accuracy compared to the procedure relied upon in earlier estimates, which was to use the county PCI amount for various small governmental units. The resulting 1969 estimate for each of these areas is a base estimate for preparing 1972, 1974, and 1975 estimates and does not represent a change in the 1970 census value for these areas.

For subcounty updating, 1969 total money income was divided into two components: (1) taxable income which is approximately comparable to that portion of income included in IRS adjusted gross income, and (2) transfer income which, for the most part is not included in adjusted gross income. These 1969 subcounty estimates were adjusted to 1970 census totals for higher level government units. This was done using a two-way adjustment procedure controlling both to county totals and to several size class totals for the State.

**1975 PCI updates.** The taxable income portion of the 1969 money income was updated using the percent change in adjusted gross income (AGI) per exemption as computed from IRS tax return data. However, if the number of IRS tax returns for any area was very small, or if the ratios of exemptions to the population or the change in the ratios from 1969 to 1972, 1972 to 1974, and 1974 to 1975 were not within an acceptable range, the IRS data for the subcounty areas were not used in the update process. In such cases, the average percent change in AGI per exemption for similar governmental units in the county was used. Similarly, if the IRS data for a particular subcounty area passed the above conditions, but the percentage change in AGI per exemption was excessively large or small compared to that for similar units in the county, the change was constrained to a proportion of the average change of similar units.

The percentage change in per capita transfer income at the subcounty level was assumed to be the same as that implied by the BEA estimates at the county level.

The estimates of taxable income and transfer income were adjusted separately to the county controls and were then

<sup>5</sup>Income data from the 1970 census reflect income received in calendar year 1969.

combined to produce total money income. The PCI estimates were formed by dividing the total money income aggregates by the population estimates.

### LIMITATIONS OF THE ESTIMATES

**Population estimates.** Tests of the accuracy of the methods used to develop State and county population estimates appearing in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26 have been documented elsewhere. The results of evaluations against the 1970 census at the State level are reported in Series P-25, No. 520, while similar 1970 tests for counties are presented in Series P-26, No. 21. In summary, the State estimates averaging Component Method II and the Regression method yielded average differences of approximately 1.9 percent when compared to the 1970 census. Subsequent modifications of the two procedures that have been incorporated in preparing estimates for the 1970's would have reduced the average difference in 1970 to 1.2 percent. For counties, the 1970 evaluations indicated an average difference of approximately 4.5 percent for the combination of procedures used. It should be noted that all of the evaluations against the results of the 1970 census concern estimates extending over the entire 10-year period of 1960 to 1970.

Since 1970, however, the Administrative Records method has been introduced with partial weight in the estimates for States and counties, and except for the few States in which local estimates are utilized, carries the full weight for estimates below the county level. The data series upon which the estimates procedure is based has been available as a comprehensive series for the entire United States only since 1967. Nonetheless, several studies have been undertaken evaluating the Administrative Records estimates from the

State to the local level. At the Statewide level, little direct testing can be performed due to the lack of special censuses covering entire States. Some sense of the general reasonableness of the Administrative Records estimates may be obtained, however, by reviewing the degree of correspondence between the results of the method against those of the "standard" methods tested in 1970 and already in use to produce State estimates during the 1970's. It must be recognized that the differences between the two sets of estimates may not be interpreted as errors in either set of figures, but may only be used as a partial guide indicating the degree of consistency between the newer Administrative Records system and the established methods.

Table A presents such a comparison for State estimates referring to July 1, 1976. A rather close agreement may be observed in the estimates for all States at only a 1.1 percent difference. The variation of the Administrative Records method from the average of the other methods does increase for smaller States in a regular pattern, but still reaches an average of only 1.5 percent for the smallest size category. The only consistent variations suggesting a potential for directional bias are indicated in the tendency for larger States to be estimated higher by the Administrative Records procedures than by the other techniques.

A similar comparison may be made at the county level (table B). Although the differences between the FSCP estimates and the Administrative Records results are larger at the county level than for States, the variations are well within the range that would be expected for areas of this population size, and the county pattern matches closely the findings for States. The overall differences for all counties is 2.5 percent, and ranges from 1.5 percent for the larger counties to 10.1 for the 26 small counties under 1,000

**Table A. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Average of Component Method II and Regression Estimates for States: 1976**

(Base is the average of Method II and Regression estimates)

Item	All States	Population size in 1970		
		4 million and over	1.5 to 4 million	Less than 1.5 million
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	1.1	0.7	1.1	1.5
Number of States.....	51	16	18	17
With differences of:				
Less than 1 percent.....	25	11	10	4
1 to 2 percent.....	19	5	5	9
2 percent and over.....	7	-	3	4
Where Administrative Records was:				
Higher.....	28	11	9	8
Lower.....	23	5	9	9

- Represents zero.

population. In addition, the variations from other FSCP methods shown for the 1976 estimates indicate substantial reduction from 1975 levels. Corresponding differences for the 1975 estimates were 3.3 percent, 1.8 and 11.7 percent, respectively.

Three tests of the Administrative Records population estimates against census counts also have been undertaken. First, a limited evaluation involving 24 large areas (16 counties and 8 cities) was conducted on estimates for the 1968-70 period.<sup>6</sup> Although the test shows the estimates to

<sup>6</sup>Meyer Zitter and David L. Word, U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Use of Administrative Records for Small Area Population Estimates," unpublished paper prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 27, 1973.

be quite accurate (1.8 percent difference), the areas may not be assumed to be representative of the 39,000 units of government covered by the Administrative Records estimating system, and the time segment evaluated refers only to a 2-year period.

A more representative group of special censuses in 86 areas selected particularly for evaluation purposes was conducted in 1973. The areas were randomly chosen nationwide to be typical of areas with populations below 20,000 persons. Table C summarizes the average percent difference between the estimates from the Administrative Records method and counts from the 86 special censuses. Overall, the estimates differed from the special census counts by 5.9 percent, with the largest differences occurring in the smallest areas. Areas of between 1,000 and 20,000 popula-

**Table B. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Provisional FSCP Estimates for Counties: 1976**

(Base is the provisional FSCP estimates for counties)

Item	All counties	Counties with 1,000 or more 1970 population					Counties with less than 1,000 1970 population
		Total	50,000 or more	25,000 to 50,000	10,000 to 25,000	1,000 to 10,000	
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	2.5	2.4	1.5	2.1	2.5	3.5	10.1
Number of counties or equivalents.....	3,143	3,117	679	567	1,017	854	26
With differences of:							
Less than 1 percent.....	906	904	286	184	268	166	2
1 to 3 percent.....	1,338	1,331	314	264	437	316	7
3 to 5 percent.....	504	505	59	76	206	162	1
5 to 10 percent.....	327	322	19	40	92	171	5
10 percent and over.....	68	57	1	3	14	39	11

**Table C. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates (Unrevised) and 86 Special Censuses: 1973**

(Base is special census)

Area	Average percent difference <sup>1</sup>	Number of areas with differences of:			
		Under 3 percent	3 to 5 percent	5 to 10 percent	10 percent and over
All areas (86) <sup>2</sup> .....	5.9	32	18	20	16
1,000 to 20,000 (59).....	4.6	26	13	14	6
Under 1,000 population (27).....	8.6	6	5	6	10

<sup>1</sup>Disregarding sign.

<sup>2</sup>All areas have population under 20,000 persons.

tion differed by 4.6 percent, while the average difference for the 27 areas below 1,000 population was 8.6 percent. There was a slight positive directional bias, with about 60 percent of the estimates exceeding the census counts. Again, the impact of population size on the expected level of accuracy may be noted. Even though all of the areas in this study are relatively small—less than 20,000 population—the larger ones demonstrate much lower variation from census figures than the smaller ones.

The third evaluation involving census comparisons is currently underway, and is based upon the approximately 2,000 special censuses that have been conducted since 1970 at the request of localities throughout the United States. Such areas constitute a fairly stringent test for any method in that they are generally very small areas, often are experiencing rapid population growth, and frequently are found to have had a vigorous program of annexation since the last census. This evaluation study has not been completed for use here, but will be included in detail as a part of the comprehensive methodology description in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-26, No. 699.

As a final caution, it must be noted that for convenience in presentation, the estimates contained in table 1 are shown in unrounded form. It is not intended, however, that the figures be considered accurate to the last digit. The nature of estimates prompts the rounding of figures in related Bureau reports and must be kept in mind during the application of the estimates contained here.

**Per capita income estimates.** Similar types of analyses and evaluation are not available for the updated estimates of PCI. Income data and PCI for 1972 are available for the 86 areas in which special censuses were conducted for testing purposes. As noted, however, the areas in which the censuses were taken are relatively small. The PCI estimates are based upon data from the 1970 census, which are subject to

sampling variability due to the size of the areas. Consequently, PCI did not change enough in the 1970-72 period in most instances to move outside of the relatively large range of sampling variability associated with the 1970 census results on income for small areas. Thus, it is not possible to obtain a reliable reading or even rough approximations on the accuracy of the change in PCI using the 86 areas as standards. The estimates were made available to persons working with economic statistics in each State for review prior to publication. Comments from this "local" review helped identify problem areas and input data errors.

Work has been initiated to evaluate 1975 State and county PCI estimates using income data from the Survey of Income and Education (SIE). While this work can indicate major sources of error in the PCI estimates, an indepth evaluation will have to await the 1980 census results.

## RELATED REPORTS

The population estimates shown in this series of reports update those found in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 649 through 698 for 1975. The population estimates contained here for States are consistent with Series P-25, No. 727. The county estimates for 1976 are superior to the provisional 1976 figures published earlier in Series P-25 and P-26 due to the addition of a second method, but will not be reported elsewhere in **Current Population Reports**. The county population estimates are being replaced by subsequent final 1976 figures developed through the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates.

## DETAILED TABLE SYMBOLS

In the detailed table entries, a dash "--" represents zero or rounds to zero. Three dots "... " mean not applicable.

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976		1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
			NUMBER	PERCENT				
STATE OF VERMONT.....	480 509	444 732	35 777	8.0	4 051	3 864	2 760	46.8
ADDISON COUNTY.....	26 627	24 266	2 361	9.7	3 824	3 658	2 593	47.5
BRISTOL.....	1 928	1 737	191	11.0	3 800	3 626	2 579	47.3
VERGENNES.....	2 074	2 242	-168	-7.5	4 508	4 279	3 123	44.3
ADDISON TOWN.....	810	717	93	13.0	3 227	2 875	2 089	54.5
BRIDPORT TOWN.....	989	809	180	22.2	4 543	4 074	2 699	66.3
BRISTOL TOWN.....	3 057	2 744	313	11.4	3 583	3 428	2 434	47.2
CORNWALL TOWN.....	998	900	98	10.9	4 839	4 309	2 929	65.2
FERRISBURG TOWN.....	2 275	1 875	400	21.3	3 243	3 144	2 365	37.1
GOSHEN TOWN.....	157	120	37	30.8	3 965	3 818	2 683	47.8
GRANVILLE TOWN.....	269	255	14	5.5	3 826	3 683	2 593	47.6
HANCOCK TOWN.....	308	283	25	8.8	3 524	3 549	2 400	46.8
LEICESTER TOWN.....	634	583	51	8.7	3 124	3 159	2 333	33.9
LINCOLN TOWN.....	645	599	46	7.7	2 736	2 773	2 061	32.8
MIDDLEBURY TOWN.....	6 869	6 532	337	5.2	3 979	3 894	2 736	45.4
MONKTON TOWN.....	895	765	130	17.0	3 508	3 376	2 291	53.1
NEW HAVEN TOWN.....	1 230	1 039	191	18.4	3 766	3 472	2 519	49.5
ORWELL TOWN.....	868	851	17	2.0	2 828	2 858	1 915	47.7
PANTON TOWN.....	486	416	70	16.8	3 014	2 850	2 115	42.5
RIPTON TOWN.....	263	187	76	40.6	3 922	3 481	2 446	60.3
SALISBURY TOWN.....	769	649	120	18.5	3 730	3 529	2 252	65.6
SHOREHAM TOWN.....	901	790	111	14.1	4 177	3 990	2 502	66.9
STARSBORO TOWN.....	867	668	199	29.8	4 454	4 312	3 176	40.2
WALTHAM TOWN.....	302	265	37	14.0	3 474	3 345	2 351	47.8
WEYBRIDGE TOWN.....	597	618	-21	-3.4	3 600	3 438	2 465	46.0
WHITING TOWN.....	364	359	5	1.4	4 059	3 898	2 739	48.2
BENNINGTON COUNTY.....	31 588	29 282	2 306	7.9	4 034	3 936	2 844	41.8
NORTH BENNINGTON.....	1 104	984	120	12.2	4 445	4 387	3 317	34.0
OLD BENNINGTON.....	269	268	1	0.4	8 074	7 935	5 941	35.9
MANCHESTER.....	450	435	15	3.4	5 402	5 270	4 327	24.8
READSBORO.....	434	469	-35	-7.5	3 815	3 796	2 772	37.6
ARLINGTON TOWN.....	2 011	1 934	77	4.0	3 160	3 035	2 203	43.4
BENNINGTON TOWN.....	15 940	14 586	1 354	9.3	4 048	3 955	2 846	42.2
DORSET TOWN.....	1 372	1 293	79	6.1	3 909	3 772	2 907	34.5
LANDGROVE TOWN.....	132	104	28	26.9	3 772	3 688	2 655	42.1
MANCHESTER TOWN.....	3 150	2 919	231	7.9	4 831	4 828	3 516	37.4
PERU TOWN.....	268	243	25	10.3	4 207	3 786	3 006	40.0
POWNAI TOWN.....	2 779	2 441	338	13.8	4 153	4 087	2 826	47.0
READSBORO TOWN.....	640	638	2	0.3	3 798	3 762	2 660	42.8
RUPERT TOWN.....	603	582	21	3.6	3 593	3 706	2 613	37.5
SANDGATE TOWN.....	179	127	52	40.9	2 891	2 827	2 035	42.1
SEARSBURG TOWN.....	88	84	4	4.8	3 441	3 364	2 422	42.1
SHAFTSBURY TOWN.....	2 412	2 411	1	-	3 915	3 694	2 773	41.2
STAMFORD TOWN.....	767	752	15	2.0	4 291	4 252	2 980	44.0
SUNDERLAND TOWN.....	602	601	1	0.2	3 463	3 430	2 346	47.6
WINHALL TOWN.....	373	281	92	32.7	4 341	3 907	3 071	41.4
WOODFORD TOWN.....	271	286	-15	-5.2	3 429	3 353	2 414	42.0
CALEDONIA COUNTY.....	24 964	22 789	2 175	9.5	3 617	3 502	2 441	48.2
WEST BURKE.....	454	358	96	26.8	3 490	3 270	2 279	53.1
HARDWICK.....	1 675	1 503	172	11.4	3 331	3 377	2 309	44.3
LYNDONVILLE.....	1 676	1 415	261	18.4	4 313	4 145	2 701	59.7
BARNET TOWN.....	1 365	1 342	23	1.7	3 808	3 781	2 535	50.2
BURKE TOWN.....	1 230	1 053	177	16.8	3 766	3 624	2 343	60.7
DANVILLE TOWN.....	1 608	1 405	203	14.4	3 556	3 273	2 274	56.4
GROTON TOWN.....	726	666	60	9.0	3 071	3 063	2 143	43.3
HARDWICK TOWN.....	2 693	2 466	227	9.2	2 958	2 990	2 014	46.9
KIRBY TOWN.....	213	224	-11	-4.9	3 601	3 496	2 441	47.5
LYNDON TOWN.....	4 638	3 705	933	25.2	3 457	3 349	2 283	51.4
NEWARK TOWN.....	206	144	62	43.1	1 724	1 674	1 166	47.9
PEACHAM TOWN.....	548	446	102	22.9	4 918	4 643	3 027	62.5
RYEGATE TOWN.....	963	830	133	16.0	2 935	2 914	2 084	40.8
ST. JOHNSBURY TOWN.....	7 997	8 409	-412	-4.9	3 994	3 831	2 729	46.4
SHEFFIELD TOWN.....	412	307	105	34.2	2 937	2 734	1 904	54.3
STANNARD TOWN.....	111	88	23	26.1	1 815	1 763	1 228	47.8
SUTTON TOWN.....	612	438	174	39.7	3 517	3 452	2 478	41.9
WALDEN TOWN.....	528	442	86	19.5	3 424	3 456	2 407	42.3
WATERFORD TOWN.....	822	586	236	40.3	3 873	3 620	2 285	69.5
WHEELLOCK TOWN.....	294	238	56	23.5	3 205	3 113	2 168	47.8

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976		1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
			NUMBER	PERCENT				
CHITTENDEN COUNTY.....	108 121	99 131	8 990	9.1	4 527	4 223	3 073	47.3
BURLINGTON.....	38 504	38 633	-129	-0.3	4 345	4 055	2 979	45.9
ESSEX JUNCTION.....	7 260	6 511	749	11.5	5 008	4 614	3 299	51.8
MILTON.....	1 365	1 164	201	17.3	4 271	4 021	2 767	54.4
RICHMOND.....	1 099	935	164	17.5	3 816	3 675	2 633	44.9
SOUTH BURLINGTON.....	10 503	10 032	471	4.7	5 560	5 184	3 842	44.7
WINDOOSKI.....	7 175	7 309	-134	-1.8	3 772	3 489	2 504	50.6
BOLTON TOWN.....	569	427	142	33.3	4 306	4 110	3 023	42.4
CHARLOTTE TOWN.....	2 133	1 802	331	18.4	5 912	5 394	3 736	58.2
COLCHESTER TOWN.....	10 375	8 776	1 599	18.2	4 253	4 027	2 994	42.1
ESSEX TOWN.....	13 049	10 951	2 098	19.2	4 759	4 406	3 211	48.2
HINESBURG TOWN.....	2 385	1 775	610	34.4	4 289	4 159	2 833	51.4
HUNTINGTON TOWN.....	891	748	143	19.1	3 043	2 791	2 019	50.7
JERICO TOWN.....	3 134	2 343	791	33.8	3 783	3 531	2 623	44.2
MILTON TOWN.....	5 544	4 495	1 049	23.3	3 842	3 646	2 621	46.6
RICHMOND TOWN.....	2 633	2 249	384	17.1	3 581	3 425	2 526	41.8
ST. GEORGE TOWN.....	628	477	151	31.7	4 811	4 493	3 294	46.1
SHELBURNE TOWN.....	4 374	3 728	646	17.3	6 233	5 741	4 021	55.0
UNDERHILL TOWN.....	1 690	1 198	492	41.1	4 550	4 153	2 881	57.9
WESTFORD TOWN.....	1 141	991	150	15.1	3 163	2 936	2 029	55.9
WILLISTON TOWN.....	3 381	3 187	194	6.1	5 166	4 805	3 459	49.3
ESSEX COUNTY.....	6 176	5 416	760	14.0	3 418	3 306	2 278	50.0
BLOOMFIELD TOWN.....	183	196	-13	-6.6	2 885	2 679	1 811	59.3
BRIGHTON TOWN.....	1 454	1 365	89	6.5	3 545	3 236	2 255	57.2
BRUNSWICK TOWN.....	45	45	-	-	4 563	4 237	2 865	59.3
CANAAN TOWN.....	990	949	41	4.3	3 592	3 417	2 339	53.6
CONCORD TOWN.....	1 148	896	252	28.1	3 045	2 816	1 166	161.1
EAST HAVEN TOWN.....	312	197	115	58.4	3 393	3 151	2 131	59.2
GRANBY TOWN.....	58	52	6	11.5	3 238	3 007	2 033	59.3
GUILDHALL TOWN.....	165	169	-4	-2.4	4 104	3 811	2 577	59.3
LEMINGTON TOWN.....	125	120	5	4.2	4 134	3 839	2 596	59.2
LUNENBURG TOWN.....	1 260	1 061	199	18.8	3 309	3 182	2 200	50.4
MAIDSTONE TOWN.....	111	94	17	18.1	3 656	3 395	2 295	59.3
NORTON TOWN.....	232	207	25	12.1	3 617	3 359	2 271	59.3
VICTORY TOWN.....	47	42	5	11.9	3 349	3 110	2 102	59.3
FRANKLIN COUNTY.....	33 348	31 282	2 066	6.6	3 873	3 672	2 657	45.8
ENOSBURG FALLS.....	1 249	1 266	-17	-1.3	4 663	4 479	3 099	50.5
RICHFORD.....	1 391	1 527	-136	-8.9	3 826	3 552	2 539	50.7
ST. ALBANS.....	7 640	8 082	-442	-5.5	4 391	4 143	2 993	46.7
SWANTON.....	2 816	2 630	186	7.1	4 448	4 097	2 736	62.6
BAKERSFIELD TOWN.....	697	635	62	9.8	2 923	2 980	1 968	48.5
BERKSHIRE TOWN.....	976	931	45	4.8	3 226	3 219	2 212	45.8
ENOSBURG TOWN.....	2 102	1 918	184	9.6	3 769	3 729	2 685	40.4
FAIRFAX TOWN.....	1 636	1 366	270	19.8	4 430	3 944	2 795	58.5
FAIRFIELD TOWN.....	1 480	1 285	195	15.2	3 044	2 970	2 117	43.8
FLETCHER TOWN.....	470	456	14	3.1	2 778	2 652	2 056	35.1
FRANKLIN TOWN.....	962	821	141	17.2	3 090	2 784	2 202	40.3
GEORGIA TOWN.....	2 252	1 711	541	31.6	3 653	3 511	2 519	45.0
HIGHGATE TOWN.....	2 219	1 936	283	14.6	3 143	3 029	2 308	36.2
MONTGOMERY TOWN.....	788	652	136	20.9	3 452	3 078	2 117	63.1
RICHFORD TOWN.....	2 024	2 116	-92	-4.3	4 883	4 589	3 297	48.1
ST. ALBANS TOWN.....	3 364	3 270	94	2.9	3 841	3 651	2 674	43.6
SHELDON TOWN.....	1 745	1 481	264	17.8	3 229	3 116	2 338	38.1
SWANTON TOWN.....	4 993	4 622	371	8.0	4 043	3 780	2 564	57.7
GRAND ISLE COUNTY.....	4 221	3 574	647	18.1	3 871	3 709	2 694	43.7
ALBURG.....	518	520	-2	-0.4	3 218	3 096	2 262	42.3
ALBURG TOWN.....	1 411	1 271	140	11.0	3 715	3 589	2 666	39.3
GRAND ISLE TOWN.....	1 105	809	296	36.6	4 072	3 932	2 821	44.3
ISLE LA MOTTE TOWN.....	295	262	33	12.6	3 068	2 942	2 127	44.2
NORTH HERO TOWN.....	381	364	17	4.7	3 410	3 079	2 216	53.9
SOUTH HERO TOWN.....	1 030	868	162	18.7	4 251	4 107	2 986	42.4
LAMOILLE COUNTY.....	15 461	13 309	2 152	16.2	4 098	3 812	2 820	45.3
CAMBRIDGE.....	264	235	29	12.3	3 758	3 485	2 403	56.4
JEFFERSONVILLE.....	455	382	73	19.1	3 944	3 657	2 501	57.7
HYDE PARK.....	489	418	71	17.0	4 298	4 286	2 979	44.3
JOHNSON.....	1 917	1 296	621	47.9	3 725	3 716	2 699	38.0
MORRISVILLE.....	1 975	2 116	-141	-6.7	4 394	4 049	2 650	65.8
STOWE.....	535	435	100	23.0	5 772	5 273	3 752	53.8
BELVIDERE TOWN.....	239	189	50	26.5	2 930	2 732	2 019	45.1
CAMBRIDGE TOWN.....	1 714	1 528	186	12.2	4 288	3 914	2 890	48.4

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976		1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				
EDEN TOWN.....	595	513	82	16.0	4 067	3 514	3 008	35.2
ELMORE TOWN.....	304	292	12	4.1	4 259	3 972	2 936	45.1
HYDE PARK TOWN.....	1 625	1 347	278	20.6	3 899	3 839	2 885	35.1
JOHNSON TOWN.....	2 704	1 927	777	40.3	3 622	3 545	2 573	40.8
MORRISTOWN TOWN.....	4 133	4 052	81	2.0	3 725	3 442	2 398	55.3
STOWE TOWN.....	2 759	2 388	371	15.5	5 816	5 196	4 013	44.9
WATERVILLE TOWN.....	427	397	30	7.6	2 469	2 511	1 693	45.8
WOLCOTT TOWN.....	960	676	284	42.0	3 109	3 052	2 250	38.2
ORANGE COUNTY.....	20 215	17 676	2 539	14.4	3 607	3 524	2 442	47.7
BRADFORD.....	732	709	23	3.2	4 062	3 889	2 572	57.9
NEWBURY.....	359	344	15	4.4	3 457	3 511	2 425	42.6
WELLS RIVER.....	475	419	56	13.4	2 861	3 058	2 304	24.2
RANDOLPH.....	2 149	2 115	34	1.6	4 206	4 034	2 827	48.8
BRADFORD TOWN.....	1 825	1 627	198	12.2	4 374	4 223	2 929	49.3
BRAINTREE TOWN.....	950	751	199	26.5	3 884	3 673	2 394	62.2
BROOKFIELD TOWN.....	740	606	134	22.1	3 591	3 386	2 204	62.9
CHELSEA TOWN.....	1 117	983	134	13.6	3 500	3 449	2 326	50.5
CORINTH TOWN.....	809	683	126	18.4	2 643	2 522	1 785	48.1
FAIRLEE TOWN.....	645	604	41	6.8	3 845	3 808	2 428	58.4
NEWBURY TOWN.....	1 746	1 440	306	21.2	3 102	3 207	2 306	34.5
ORANGE TOWN.....	715	540	175	32.4	3 669	3 608	2 500	46.8
RANDOLPH TOWN.....	4 026	3 882	144	3.7	3 797	3 662	2 604	45.8
STRAFFORD TOWN.....	679	536	143	26.7	3 442	3 557	2 799	23.0
THETFORD TOWN.....	1 723	1 422	301	21.2	4 574	4 310	2 941	55.5
TOPSHAM TOWN.....	826	686	140	20.4	2 964	3 000	2 072	43.1
TUNBRIDGE TOWN.....	855	791	64	8.1	2 877	2 849	2 005	43.5
VERSHIRE TOWN.....	349	299	50	16.7	3 703	3 501	2 263	63.6
WASHINGTON TOWN.....	842	667	175	26.2	2 902	2 994	1 973	47.1
WEST FAIRLEE TOWN.....	392	337	55	16.3	2 960	2 960	2 150	37.7
WILLIAMSTOWN TOWN.....	1 976	1 822	154	8.5	3 439	3 383	2 250	52.8
ORLEANS COUNTY.....	21 840	20 153	1 687	8.4	3 394	3 252	2 308	47.1
ALBANY.....	179	175	4	2.3	3 382	3 229	2 161	56.5
BARTON.....	1 043	1 051	-8	-0.8	3 241	3 031	2 165	49.7
ORLEANS.....	1 083	1 138	-55	-4.8	3 447	3 329	2 389	44.3
DERBY CENTER.....	524	547	-23	-4.2	3 312	3 092	2 142	54.6
DERBY LINE.....	868	834	34	4.1	3 476	3 293	2 447	42.1
NEWPORT.....	4 565	4 664	-99	-2.1	3 930	3 691	2 640	48.9
NORTH TROY.....	861	774	87	11.2	2 748	2 626	1 721	59.7
ALBANY TOWN.....	573	528	45	8.5	3 859	3 648	2 361	63.4
BARTON TOWN.....	2 960	2 874	86	3.0	3 282	3 114	2 223	47.6
BROWNINGTON TOWN.....	543	522	21	4.0	2 006	1 847	1 382	45.2
CHARLESTON TOWN.....	935	654	281	43.0	2 334	2 304	1 794	30.1
COVENTRY TOWN.....	565	492	73	14.8	3 678	3 672	2 308	59.4
CRAFTSBURY TOWN.....	702	632	70	11.1	3 079	3 246	2 341	31.5
DERBY TOWN.....	3 697	3 252	445	13.7	3 444	3 302	2 380	44.7
GLOVER TOWN.....	762	645	113	17.4	2 296	2 227	1 538	49.3
GREENSBORO TOWN.....	673	593	80	13.5	3 879	3 846	2 534	53.1
HOLLAND TOWN.....	416	383	33	8.6	3 543	3 265	2 308	53.5
IRASBURG TOWN.....	886	775	111	14.3	3 164	2 938	2 383	32.8
JAY TOWN.....	225	182	43	23.6	3 034	2 915	2 055	47.6
LOWELL TOWN.....	558	515	43	8.3	2 157	1 916	1 466	47.1
MORGAN TOWN.....	395	286	109	38.1	2 052	2 149	1 583	29.6
NEWPORT TOWN.....	1 289	1 125	164	14.6	3 481	3 406	2 225	56.4
TROY TOWN.....	1 504	1 457	47	3.2	3 136	3 010	1 966	59.5
WESTFIELD TOWN.....	362	375	-13	-3.5	3 719	3 658	2 385	55.9
WESTMORE TOWN.....	227	195	32	16.4	3 170	3 046	2 147	47.6
RUTLAND COUNTY.....	55 902	52 637	3 265	6.2	3 821	3 660	2 580	48.1
PITTSFORD.....	770	682	88	12.9	5 075	4 970	3 286	54.4
POULTNEY.....	1 656	1 914	-258	-13.5	3 309	3 186	2 244	47.5
RUTLAND.....	18 987	19 293	-306	-1.6	4 186	3 969	2 813	48.8
BENSON TOWN.....	711	583	128	22.0	2 429	2 569	1 865	30.2
BRANDON TOWN.....	3 798	3 697	101	2.7	3 057	2 941	2 104	45.3
CASTLETON TOWN.....	3 278	2 837	441	15.5	3 278	3 144	2 092	56.7
CHITTENDEN TOWN.....	796	646	150	23.2	3 750	3 510	2 344	60.0
CLARENDON TOWN.....	2 052	1 537	515	33.5	3 954	3 818	2 646	49.4
DANBY TOWN.....	956	910	46	5.1	2 748	2 656	1 730	58.8
FAIR HAVEN TOWN.....	2 867	2 777	90	3.2	3 176	3 045	2 202	44.2
HUBBARDTON TOWN.....	438	228	210	92.1	3 659	3 507	2 490	46.9
IRA TOWN.....	346	284	62	21.8	3 877	3 716	2 639	46.9
MENDON TOWN.....	806	743	63	8.5	4 580	4 568	3 198	43.2
MIDDLETOWN SPRINGS TOWN.....	592	426	166	39.0	3 204	3 155	2 181	46.9
MOUNT HOLLY TOWN.....	921	687	234	34.1	4 046	3 709	2 651	52.6
MOUNT TABOR TOWN.....	208	184	24	13.0	4 740	4 542	3 226	46.9

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976		1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
			NUMBER	PERCENT				
PAWLET TOWN.....	1 174	1 184	-10	-0.8	3 923	4 158	2 721	44.2
PITTSFIELD TOWN.....	358	249	109	43.8	3 061	2 751	2 123	44.2
PITTSFORD TOWN.....	2 513	2 306	207	9.0	4 662	4 542	3 164	47.3
POULTNEY TOWN.....	2 997	3 217	-220	-6.8	3 157	3 044	2 155	46.5
PROCTOR TOWN.....	2 086	2 095	-9	-0.4	3 882	3 685	2 620	48.2
RUTLAND TOWN.....	2 675	2 248	427	19.0	4 378	4 230	2 855	53.3
SHERBURNE TOWN.....	771	558	213	38.2	4 340	3 900	2 819	54.0
SHREWSBURY TOWN.....	755	570	185	32.5	3 497	3 171	2 288	52.6
SUDBURY TOWN.....	304	253	51	20.2	2 895	3 030	2 152	34.5
TINMOUTH TOWN.....	344	268	76	28.4	2 391	2 503	1 777	34.6
WALLINGFORD TOWN.....	1 763	1 676	87	5.2	3 865	3 689	2 568	50.5
WELLS TOWN.....	654	560	94	16.8	3 358	3 411	2 607	28.8
WEST HAVEN TOWN.....	219	240	-21	-8.7	2 883	2 763	1 962	46.9
WEST RUTLAND TOWN.....	2 537	2 381	156	6.6	3 909	3 675	2 698	44.9
WASHINGTON COUNTY.....	49 493	47 659	1 834	3.8	4 004	3 810	2 690	48.8
PLAINFIELD.....	514	491	23	4.7	2 649	2 443	1 886	40.5
BARRE.....	10 059	10 209	-150	-1.5	4 208	4 018	2 792	50.7
CABOT.....	262	253	9	3.6	3 163	3 083	2 313	36.7
MARSHFIELD.....	356	322	34	10.6	3 441	3 442	2 591	32.8
MONTPELIER.....	8 180	8 609	-429	-5.0	4 679	4 439	3 150	48.5
NORTHFIELD.....	2 187	2 139	48	2.2	3 835	3 677	2 700	42.0
WATERBURY.....	2 268	2 840	-572	-20.1	3 255	3 124	2 201	47.9
BARRE TOWN.....	6 777	6 509	268	4.1	3 962	3 815	2 689	47.3
BERLIN TOWN.....	2 431	2 050	381	18.6	4 426	4 153	2 933	50.9
CABOT TOWN.....	778	663	115	17.3	3 390	3 273	2 353	44.1
CALAIS TOWN.....	1 009	749	260	34.7	3 388	3 348	2 340	44.8
DUXBURY TOWN.....	682	621	61	9.8	3 442	3 352	2 450	40.5
EAST MONTPELIER TOWN.....	1 843	1 597	246	15.4	4 730	4 540	3 139	50.7
FAYSTON TOWN.....	413	292	121	41.4	3 915	3 566	2 699	45.1
MARSHFIELD TOWN.....	1 104	1 033	71	6.9	3 632	3 592	2 574	41.1
MIDDLESEX TOWN.....	1 081	857	224	26.1	3 892	3 430	2 427	60.4
MORETOWN TOWN.....	1 092	904	188	20.8	3 178	3 038	2 148	48.0
NORTHFIELD TOWN.....	4 875	4 870	5	0.1	3 620	3 424	2 423	49.4
PLAINFIELD TOWN.....	1 470	1 399	71	5.1	2 865	2 582	1 802	59.0
ROXBURY TOWN.....	360	354	6	1.7	4 156	3 889	2 676	55.3
WAITSFIELD TOWN.....	1 151	837	314	37.5	4 032	3 855	2 789	44.6
WARREN TOWN.....	904	588	316	53.7	3 772	3 333	2 682	40.6
WATERBURY TOWN.....	4 156	4 614	-458	-9.9	3 436	3 324	2 276	51.0
WOODBURY TOWN.....	427	399	28	7.0	2 303	2 306	1 764	30.6
WORCESTER.....	702	505	197	39.0	4 443	3 958	2 680	65.8
WINDHAM COUNTY.....	35 412	33 476	1 936	5.8	4 123	3 988	2 838	45.3
NEWFANE.....	169	183	-14	-7.7	3 882	3 861	2 805	38.4
BELLOWS FALLS.....	3 168	3 505	-337	-9.6	3 985	3 838	2 666	49.5
SAXTONS RIVER.....	525	581	-56	-9.6	5 118	4 908	3 136	63.2
NORTH WESTMINSTER.....	363	348	15	4.3	4 666	4 469	2 929	59.3
WESTMINSTER.....	496	446	50	11.2	4 353	4 169	2 732	59.3
JACKSONVILLE.....	295	251	44	17.5	4 205	4 124	2 923	43.9
ATHENS TOWN.....	221	159	62	39.0	3 301	3 208	2 267	44.3
BRATTLEBORO TOWN.....	11 915	12 239	-324	-2.6	4 347	4 180	3 007	44.6
BROOKLINE TOWN.....	238	180	58	32.2	3 355	3 260	2 324	44.4
DOVER TOWN.....	647	555	92	16.6	4 899	4 761	3 395	44.3
DUMMERSTON TOWN.....	1 549	1 295	254	19.6	3 739	3 709	2 693	38.8
GRAFTON TOWN.....	557	465	92	19.8	3 838	4 050	2 894	32.6
GUILFORD TOWN.....	1 403	1 108	295	26.6	4 402	3 995	2 794	57.6
HALIFAX TOWN.....	378	295	83	28.1	4 505	4 308	2 838	58.7
JAMAICA TOWN.....	669	590	79	13.4	3 815	3 675	2 600	46.7
LONDONDERRY TOWN.....	1 294	1 037	257	24.8	3 968	3 821	2 854	39.0
MARLBORO TOWN.....	710	592	118	19.9	4 218	4 098	2 922	44.4
NEWFANE TOWN.....	985	900	85	9.4	3 776	3 701	2 725	38.6
PUTNEY TOWN.....	1 896	1 727	169	9.8	3 250	3 214	2 199	47.8
ROCKINGHAM TOWN.....	5 228	5 501	-273	-5.0	4 095	3 959	2 760	48.4
STRATTON TOWN.....	155	104	51	49.0	3 798	3 690	2 631	44.4
TOWNSHEND TOWN.....	723	668	55	8.2	4 623	4 536	2 350	96.7
VERNON TOWN.....	1 231	1 024	207	20.2	5 338	5 142	3 402	56.9
WARDSBORO TOWN.....	445	391	54	13.8	4 099	4 347	3 291	24.6
WESTMINSTER TOWN.....	2 077	1 875	202	10.8	3 862	3 691	2 470	56.4
WHITINGHAM TOWN.....	1 082	1 011	71	7.0	3 909	3 829	2 699	44.8
WILMINGTON TOWN.....	1 804	1 586	218	13.7	3 413	3 209	1 597	113.7
WINDHAM TOWN.....	205	174	31	17.8	3 735	3 629	2 588	44.3
WINDSOR COUNTY.....	47 141	44 082	3 059	6.9	4 307	4 176	2 939	46.5
PROCTORSVILLE.....	485	512	-27	-5.3	3 836	3 846	2 576	48.9
LUDLOW.....	1 455	1 508	-53	-3.5	4 300	4 248	2 924	47.1
PERKINSVILLE.....	204	188	16	8.5	3 049	3 001	2 408	26.6

**Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued**

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976		1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
			NUMBER	PERCENT				
WOODSTOCK.....	1 254	1 154	100	8.7	4 865	4 882	3 385	43.7
ANDOVER TOWN.....	263	239	24	10.0	5 027	4 980	3 503	43.5
BALTIMORE TOWN.....	188	170	18	10.6	3 820	3 700	2 602	46.8
BARNARD TOWN.....	647	569	78	13.7	3 415	3 568	2 477	37.9
BETHEL TOWN.....	1 532	1 347	185	13.7	3 584	3 444	2 505	43.1
BRIDGEWATER TOWN.....	779	783	-4	-0.5	3 505	3 566	2 857	22.7
CAVENDISH TOWN.....	1 242	1 264	-22	-1.7	3 776	3 479	2 355	60.3
CHESTER TOWN.....	2 516	2 371	145	6.1	4 034	4 072	2 824	42.8
HARTFORD TOWN.....	6 980	6 477	503	7.8	4 614	4 395	2 940	56.9
HARTLAND TOWN.....	2 196	1 806	390	21.6	4 093	3 892	2 584	58.4
LUDLOW TOWN.....	2 427	2 463	-36	-1.5	4 281	4 160	2 888	48.2
NORWICH TOWN.....	2 157	1 966	191	9.7	5 122	4 790	3 363	52.3
PLYMOUTH TOWN.....	346	283	63	22.3	3 847	3 532	2 484	54.9
POMFRET TOWN.....	715	620	95	15.3	3 794	3 692	2 887	31.4
READING TOWN.....	582	564	18	3.2	4 410	3 932	2 872	53.6
ROCHESTER TOWN.....	984	884	100	11.3	3 454	3 463	2 443	41.4
ROYALTON TOWN.....	1 855	1 399	456	32.6	3 666	3 522	2 397	52.9
SHARON TOWN.....	644	541	103	19.0	4 163	4 032	2 836	46.8
SPRINGFIELD TOWN.....	9 978	10 063	-85	-0.8	4 608	4 550	3 205	43.8
STOCKBRIDGE TOWN.....	491	389	102	26.2	3 065	2 992	2 418	26.8
WEATHERSFIELD TOWN.....	2 532	2 040	492	24.1	3 439	3 344	2 527	36.1
WESTON TOWN.....	583	507	76	15.0	3 524	3 686	2 630	34.0
WEST WINDSOR TOWN.....	626	571	55	9.6	4 750	4 286	3 055	55.5
WINDSOR TOWN.....	4 097	4 158	-61	-1.5	4 459	4 270	3 028	47.3
WOODSTOCK TOWN.....	2 777	2 608	169	6.5	5 059	4 933	3 433	47.4

Official Business

**1976 Population and 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for Counties,  
Incorporated Places, and Selected Minor Civil Divisions**

(Reports may not be published in numerical order)

No. 740 Alabama	No. 765 Montana
No. 741 Alaska	No. 766 Nebraska
No. 742 Arizona	No. 767 Nevada
No. 743 Arkansas	No. 768 New Hampshire
No. 744 California	No. 769 New Jersey
No. 745 Colorado	No. 770 New Mexico
No. 746 Connecticut	No. 771 New York
No. 747 Delaware	No. 772 North Carolina
No. 748 Florida	No. 773 North Dakota
No. 749 Georgia	No. 774 Ohio
No. 750 Hawaii	No. 775 Oklahoma
No. 751 Idaho	No. 776 Oregon
No. 752 Illinois	No. 777 Pennsylvania
No. 753 Indiana	No. 778 Rhode Island
No. 754 Iowa	No. 779 South Carolina
No. 755 Kansas	No. 780 South Dakota
No. 756 Kentucky	No. 781 Tennessee
No. 757 Louisiana	No. 782 Texas
No. 758 Maine	No. 783 Utah
No. 759 Maryland	No. 784 Vermont
No. 760 Massachusetts	No. 785 Virginia
No. 761 Michigan	No. 786 Washington
No. 762 Minnesota	No. 787 West Virginia
No. 763 Mississippi	No. 788 Wisconsin
No. 764 Missouri	No. 789 Wyoming

