



U.S. Department of Commerce
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Series P-25, No. 786
Issued January 1979

Population Estimates and Projections

1976 Population Estimates and 1975 and Revised 1974 Per Capita Income Estimates for Counties and Incorporated Places in Washington

This report is one of a series containing current estimates of the population and per capita money income for places in each State. The population estimates relate to July 1, 1976, and the estimates of per capita income (PCI) cover the 1975 and 1974 calendar years. The population estimates include revisions made during the review of the figures with local officials and, to the extent possible, also reflect changes made through the Office of Revenue Sharing challenge program. Population figures for earlier years comparable to the PCI estimates were published earlier in **Current Population Reports**, series P-25, Nos. 649 to 698, and are not repeated here. Revisions are being made to the 1975 population figures for approximately 400 places in the United States, to bring them in line with the 1976 figures shown here, however, and will be noted in subsequent reports. The entire 1974 series of income estimates is shown here due to major revisions in data and methodology that, to some degree, affect all areas.

Current estimates of population below the county level and per capita money income for all general-purpose governments were prompted by the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. The figures are used by a wide variety of Federal, State, and local governmental agencies for program planning and administrative purposes.

Areas included in this series of reports are all counties (or county equivalents such as census divisions in Alaska, parishes in Louisiana, and independent cities in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia) and incorporated places in the State, plus active minor civil divisions (MCD's), commonly towns in New England, New York, and Wisconsin, or townships in other parts of the United States.¹ These State reports appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, in

¹ In certain midwestern States (Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and the Dakotas) some counties have active minor civil divisions while others do not.

alphabetical sequence as report number 740 (Alabama) through number 789 (Wyoming). A list indicating the report number for each State is appended.

The detailed table for each State shows July 1, 1976 estimates of the population of each area, together with April 1, 1970 census population and numerical and percentage change between 1970 and 1976. The 1970 population and related per capita income figures reflect annexations since 1970 and include corrections to the 1970 census counts. In addition, the table presents per capita income estimates for the 1975 calendar year and revised figures for 1974, plus calendar year 1969 per capita money income derived from data collected in the 1970 census.

The estimates are presented in the table in county order, with all incorporated places in the county listed in alphabetical order, followed by any functioning minor civil divisions also listed in alphabetical order. Minor civil divisions are always identified in the listing by the term "township," "town," or other MCD category. When incorporated places fall in more than one county, each county piece is marked "part," and totals for these places are presented at the end of the table.

POPULATION ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

To estimate the population of each subcounty area, a component procedure (the Administrative Records method) was used, with each of the components of population change (births, deaths, net migration, and special populations) estimated separately. The estimates were derived in three stages, moving from 1970 as the base year to develop estimates for 1973, and in turn, moving from 1973 as the base year to derive estimates for 1975, and from 1975 as the base year for 1976.

Migration. Individual Federal income tax returns were used to measure migration by matching individual returns for

successive periods. The places of residence on tax returns filed in the base year and in the estimate year were noted for matched returns to determine inmigrants, outmigrants, and nonmigrants for each area. A net migration rate was derived, based on the difference between the immigration and outmigration of taxpayers and dependents, and was applied to a base population to yield an estimate of net migration for all persons in the area.

Natural increase. Reported resident birth and death statistics were used, wherever available, to estimate natural increase. These data were collected from State health departments and supplemented, where necessary, by data prepared and published by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Center for Health Statistics. For subcounty areas where reported birth and death statistics were not available from either source, estimates were developed by applying fertility and mortality rates. These estimates were subsequently controlled to agree with birth and death statistics for the reported county areas.

Adjustment for special populations. In addition to the above components of population change, estimates of special populations were also taken into account. Special populations include immigrants from abroad, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, residents of institutions (prisons and long-term health care facilities), and college students enrolled in full-time programs. These populations were treated separately because changes in these types of population groups are not always adequately reflected in the components of population change developed by standard measures, and the information can be collected for use as an independent series.

In generating estimates for counties by this procedure, the method was modified slightly to make the county estimates specific to the resident population under 65 years of age. The resident population 65 years old and over in counties was estimated separately by adding the change in Medicare enrollees between April 1, 1970 and July 1 of the estimate year to the April 1, 1970 population 65 years old and over in the county as enumerated in the 1970 census. These estimates of the population 65 years old and over were then added to estimates of the population under 65 years old to yield estimates of the total resident population in each county.

Annexations and new incorporations. The 1970 census counts shown in this report reflect all population "corrections" made to the figures after the initial tabulations. In addition, adjustments for annexations through December 31, 1976, are reflected in the estimates for areas where arrangements were made for determining the population in the annexed area in 1970.² For new incorporations occurring

after 1970, the 1970 population within the boundaries of the new areas are shown in the detailed table.

Other adjustments. For areas where special censuses were conducted at dates that approximate the estimate date, the census results were taken into account in developing the estimates.³ In several States, the subcounty estimates developed by the Administrative Records method were averaged with estimates for corresponding geographic areas which were prepared by State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates (FSCP). These States include California, Florida, Oregon, Washington, and Wisconsin.

The estimates for the subareas in each county were adjusted to independently derived county estimates. Since all of the data necessary to develop final estimates under the FSCP program are not available at the time subcounty estimates are prepared, only two of the methods relied upon in the standard FSCP program of estimates for counties (i.e., Component Method II and the Administrative Records method) were utilized. The 1976 estimates result from adding the average 1975-76 population change indicated by the two methods to the 1975 county population figures contained in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26.

The county estimates, in turn, were adjusted to be consistent with independent State estimates published by the Bureau of the Census in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 727, in which the Administrative Records-based estimates were averaged with the estimates prepared using Component Method II and the Regression method.⁴

PER CAPITA INCOME ESTIMATES METHODOLOGY

The 1975 per capita income (PCI) figure is the estimated average amount per person of total money income received during calendar years 1975 for all persons residing in a given political jurisdiction. The 1975 estimates are based on the 1970 census and have been updated using rates of change developed from various administrative record sets and compilations, mainly from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA).

The PCI estimates are based on a money income concept. Total money income is defined by the Bureau of the Census for statistical purposes as the sum of:

- Wage and salary income
- Net nonfarm self-employment income
- Net farm-self-employment income
- Social Security and railroad retirement income
- Public assistance income

³ Only special censuses conducted by the Bureau of the Census or by the California, Florida, Michigan, Oregon, or Washington State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates were used for this purpose. In addition, in a relatively small number of cases where special censuses were conducted by localities, where the procedures and definitions were essentially the same as those used by the Bureau of the Census, the results of these special censuses were also taken into account in preparing the estimates.

⁴ For further discussion of the methodologies used in preparing State estimates, see **Current Population Reports**, P-25, No. 640.

² In general, an annexation was included if the 1970 census count for the annexing area was 5,000 or more and the 1970 census count for the annexed area or areas exceeded 5 percent of the 1970 count for the annexing area. Adjustments were also made for a limited number of "unusual" annexations where the annexations for an area did not meet the minimum requirements but were accepted for inclusion in the population base.

All other income such as interest, dividends, veteran's payments, pensions, unemployment insurance, alimony, etc.

The total represents the amount of income received before deductions for personal income taxes, Social Security, bond purchases, union dues, Medicare deductions, etc.

Procedures for State and county PCI estimates. As noted above, the 1975 State and county PCI estimates were based on the 1970 census.⁵ The updates for these areas were developed by carrying forward the aggregate amount (i.e., the sum of all individual incomes in the State or county) independently for each type of income identified in the census to reflect differential changes in these income sources between 1969 and the estimate date. Data from the 1969 and 1975 Federal tax returns provided by the Internal Revenue Service were used to estimate the change in wage and salary income at the State and county level. All other types of income for these governmental units were updated using rates of change based on estimates of aggregate money income provided by the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

At the county level, several modifications of these procedures were used to better control the estimates of income change. For example, the IRS data for sub-State jurisdictions were subject to nonreporting of address information on the tax return and to misassignment of geographic location for reported addresses. To minimize the impact on the estimates from such potential sources of error, per capita wage and salary income for counties was updated intact as a per capita figure using the percentage change in wage and salary income per exemption reported on IRS returns. In addition, because of differences in the definition of income, data collection techniques, and estimation procedures, 1969 income estimates from the census and BEA were not strictly comparable. These differences were especially evident at the county level for nonfarm and farm self-employment income. BEA estimates for these types of income tend to have considerably more year-to-year variation than estimates derived from surveys and censuses. To minimize the effects of these differences, constraints were imposed on the rate of change in income from these sources in developing the 1975 PCI updates.

As a final step to ensure a uniform series of estimates at the State and county levels, the updated county per capita figures were converted to a total aggregate income and were adjusted to agree with the State aggregate level before a final per capita income was calculated.

Procedures for subcounty per capita income estimates. The 1975 per capita income estimates for subcounty governmental units were developed using a methodology similar to that used to derive county-level figures. However, there are differences in the number of separate categories of income types used in the estimation procedure, and in the sources used to update the income components.

As in the case of the population estimates, a multi-step procedure was relied upon to update the income figures from their 1969 level to refer to 1975. Estimates for 1972 were prepared using the rate of change from 1969 to 1972. Estimates for 1974 were then developed based on the 1972 estimates, and were updated by an estimate of change from 1972 to 1974. The 1975 figures were then based upon the 1974 estimate. Also, as in the case of the population figures, the subcounty income data were uniformly adjusted to reflect major annexation and boundary changes which occurred since 1970.

1969 base estimates. The 1970 census PCI figures for small areas are subject to sizable sampling variability, causing them to lack sufficient statistical reliability for use in the estimation process. For this report, the 1969 PCI shown for areas with a 1970 census sample population estimate of less than 1,000 is a weighted average of the original 1970 census sample value and a regression estimate. Research has indicated that this procedure results in a considerable improvement in accuracy compared to the procedure relied upon in earlier estimates, which was to use the county PCI amount for various small governmental units. The resulting 1969 estimate for each of these areas is a base estimate for preparing 1972, 1974, and 1975 estimates and does not represent a change in the 1970 census value for these areas.

For subcounty updating, 1969 total money income was divided into two components: (1) taxable income which is approximately comparable to that portion of income included in IRS adjusted gross income, and (2) transfer income which, for the most part is not included in adjusted gross income. These 1969 subcounty estimates were adjusted to 1970 census totals for higher level government units. This was done using a two-way adjustment procedure controlling both to county totals and to several size class totals for the State.

1975 PCI updates. The taxable income portion of the 1969 money income was updated using the percent change in adjusted gross income (AGI) per exemption as computed from IRS tax return data. However, if the number of IRS tax returns for any area was very small, or if the ratios of exemptions to the population or the change in the ratios from 1969 to 1972, 1972 to 1974, and 1974 to 1975 were not within an acceptable range, the IRS data for the subcounty areas were not used in the update process. In such cases, the average percent change in AGI per exemption for similar governmental units in the county was used. Similarly, if the IRS data for a particular subcounty area passed the above conditions, but the percentage change in AGI per exemption was excessively large or small compared to that for similar units in the county, the change was constrained to a proportion of the average change of similar units.

The percentage change in per capita transfer income at the subcounty level was assumed to be the same as that implied by the BEA estimates at the county level.

The estimates of taxable income and transfer income were adjusted separately to the county controls and were then

⁵ Income data from the 1970 census reflect income received in calendar year 1969.

combined to produce total money income. The PCI estimates were formed by dividing the total money income aggregates by the population estimates.

LIMITATIONS OF THE ESTIMATES

Population estimates. Tests of the accuracy of the methods used to develop State and county population estimates appearing in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25 and P-26 have been documented elsewhere. The results of evaluations against the 1970 census at the State level are reported in Series P-25, No. 520, while similar 1970 tests for counties are presented in Series P-26, No. 21. In summary, the State estimates averaging Component Method II and the Regression method yielded average differences of approximately 1.9 percent when compared to the 1970 census. Subsequent modifications of the two procedures that have been incorporated in preparing estimates for the 1970's would have reduced the average difference in 1970 to 1.2 percent. For counties, the 1970 evaluations indicated an average difference of approximately 4.5 percent for the combination of procedures used. It should be noted that all of the evaluations against the results of the 1970 census concern estimates extending over the entire 10-year period of 1960 to 1970.

Since 1970, however, the Administrative Records method has been introduced with partial weight in the estimates for States and counties, and except for the few States in which local estimates are utilized, carries the full weight for estimates below the county level. The data series upon which the estimates procedure is based has been available as a comprehensive series for the entire United States only since 1967. Nonetheless, several studies have been undertaken evaluating the Administrative Records estimates from the

State to the local level. At the Statewide level, little direct testing can be performed due to the lack of special censuses covering entire States. Some sense of the general reasonableness of the Administrative Records estimates may be obtained, however, by reviewing the degree of correspondence between the results of the method against those of the "standard" methods tested in 1970 and already in use to produce State estimates during the 1970's. It must be recognized that the differences between the two sets of estimates may not be interpreted as errors in either set of figures, but may only be used as a partial guide indicating the degree of consistency between the newer Administrative Records system and the established methods.

Table A presents such a comparison for State estimates referring to July 1, 1976. A rather close agreement may be observed in the estimates for all States at only a 1.1 percent difference. The variation of the Administrative Records method from the average of the other methods does increase for smaller States in a regular pattern, but still reaches an average of only 1.5 percent for the smallest size category. The only consistent variations suggesting a potential for directional bias are indicated in the tendency for larger States to be estimated higher by the Administrative Records procedures than by the other techniques.

A similar comparison may be made at the county level (table B). Although the differences between the FSCP estimates and the Administrative Records results are larger at the county level than for States, the variations are well within the range that would be expected for areas of this population size, and the county pattern matches closely the findings for States. The overall differences for all counties is 2.5 percent, and ranges from 1.5 percent for the larger counties to 10.1 for the 26 small counties under 1,000

Table A. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Average of Component Method II and Regression Estimates for States: 1976

(Base is the average of Method II and Regression estimates)

Item	All States	Population size in 1970		
		4 million and over	1.5 to 4 million	Less than 1.5 million
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	1.1	0.7	1.1	1.5
Number of States.....	51	16	18	17
With differences of:				
Less than 1 percent.....	25	11	10	4
1 to 2 percent.....	19	5	5	9
2 percent and over.....	7	-	3	4
Where Administrative Records was:				
Higher.....	28	11	9	8
Lower.....	23	5	9	9

- Represents zero.

population. In addition, the variations from other FSCP methods shown for the 1976 estimates indicate substantial reduction from 1975 levels. Corresponding differences for the 1975 estimates were 3.3 percent, 1.8 and 11.7 percent, respectively.

Three tests of the Administrative Records population estimates against census counts also have been undertaken. First, a limited evaluation involving 24 large areas (16 counties and 8 cities) was conducted on estimates for the 1968-70 period.⁶ Although the test shows the estimates to

⁶ Meyer Zitter and David L. Word, U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Use of Administrative Records for Small Area Population Estimates," unpublished paper prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 27, 1973.

be quite accurate (1.8 percent difference), the areas may not be assumed to be representative of the 39,000 units of government covered by the Administrative Records estimating system, and the time segment evaluated refers only to a 2-year period.

A more representative group of special censuses in 86 areas selected particularly for evaluation purposes was conducted in 1973. The areas were randomly chosen nationwide to be typical of areas with populations below 20,000 persons. Table C summarizes the average percent difference between the estimates from the Administrative Records method and counts from the 86 special censuses. Overall, the estimates differed from the special census counts by 5.9 percent, with the largest differences occurring in the smallest areas. Areas of between 1,000 and 20,000 popula-

Table B. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Provisional FSCP Estimates for Counties: 1976

(Base is the provisional FSCP estimates for counties)

Item	All counties	Counties with 1,000 or more 1970 population					Counties with less than 1,000 1970 population
		Total	50,000 or more	25,000 to 50,000	10,000 to 25,000	1,000 to 10,000	
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	2.5	2.4	1.5	2.1	2.5	3.5	10.1
Number of counties or equivalents.....	3,143	3,117	679	567	1,017	854	26
With differences of:							
Less than 1 percent.....	906	904	286	184	268	166	2
1 to 3 percent.....	1,338	1,331	314	264	437	316	7
3 to 5 percent.....	504	505	59	76	206	162	1
5 to 10 percent.....	327	322	19	40	92	171	5
10 percent and over.....	68	57	1	3	14	39	11

Table C. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates (Unrevised) and 86 Special Censuses: 1973

(Base is special census)

Area	Average percent difference ¹	Number of areas with differences of:			
		Under 3 percent	3 to 5 percent	5 to 10 percent	10 percent and over
All areas (86) ²	5.9	32	18	20	16
1,000 to 20,000 (59).....	4.6	26	13	14	6
Under 1,000 population (27).....	8.6	6	5	6	10

¹Disregarding sign.

²All areas have population under 20,000 persons.

tion differed by 4.6 percent, while the average difference for the 27 areas below 1,000 population was 8.6 percent. There was a slight positive directional bias, with about 60 percent of the estimates exceeding the census counts. Again, the impact of population size on the expected level of accuracy may be noted. Even though all of the areas in this study are relatively small—less than 20,000 population—the larger ones demonstrate much lower variation from census figures than the smaller ones.

The third evaluation involving census comparisons is currently underway, and is based upon the approximately 2,000 special censuses that have been conducted since 1970 at the request of localities throughout the United States. Such areas constitute a fairly stringent test for any method in that they are generally very small areas, often are experiencing rapid population growth, and frequently are found to have had a vigorous program of annexation since the last census. This evaluation study has not been completed for use here, but will be included in detail as a part of the comprehensive methodology description in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-26, No. 699.

As a final caution, it must be noted that for convenience in presentation, the estimates contained in table 1 are shown in unrounded form. It is not intended, however, that the figures be considered accurate to the last digit. The nature of estimates prompts the rounding of figures in related Bureau reports and must be kept in mind during the application of the estimates contained here.

Per capita income estimates. Similar types of analyses and evaluation are not available for the updated estimates of PCI. Income data and PCI for 1972 are available for the 86 areas in which special censuses were conducted for testing purposes. As noted, however, the areas in which the censuses were taken are relatively small. The PCI estimates are based upon data from the 1970 census, which are subject to

sampling variability due to the size of the areas. Consequently, PCI did not change enough in the 1970-72 period in most instances to move outside of the relatively large range of sampling variability associated with the 1970 census results on income for small areas. Thus, it is not possible to obtain a reliable reading or even rough approximations on the accuracy of the change in PCI using the 86 areas as standards. The estimates were made available to persons working with economic statistics in each State for review prior to publication. Comments from this "local" review helped identify problem areas and input data errors.

Work has been initiated to evaluate 1975 State and county PCI estimates using income data from the Survey of Income and Education (SIE). While this work can indicate major sources of error in the PCI estimates, an indepth evaluation will have to await the 1980 census results.

RELATED REPORTS

The population estimates shown in this series of reports update those found in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 649 through 698 for 1975. The population estimates contained here for States are consistent with Series P-25, No. 727. The county estimates for 1976 are superior to the provisional 1976 figures published earlier in Series P-25 and P-26 due to the addition of a second method, but will not be reported elsewhere in **Current Population Reports**. The county population estimates are being replaced by subsequent final 1976 figures developed through the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates.

DETAILED TABLE SYMBOLS

In the detailed table entries, a dash "--" represents zero or rounds to zero. Three dots "... " mean not applicable.

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976		1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
			NUMBER	PERCENT				
STATE OF WASHINGTON.....	3 611 090	3 413 244	197 846	5.8	5 369	4 882	3 357	59.9
ADAMS COUNTY.....	13 794	12 014	1 780	14.8	5 458	5 227	2 696	102.4
HATTON.....	75	60	15	25.0	5 524	4 874	2 589	113.4
LIND.....	656	622	34	5.5	6 215	5 540	2 795	122.4
OTHELLO.....	4 521	4 122	399	9.7	5 249	4 984	2 803	87.3
RITZVILLE.....	1 950	1 876	74	3.9	5 735	5 127	2 998	91.3
WASHYUCNA.....	299	316	-17	-5.4	5 427	4 430	2 353	130.6
ASOTIN COUNTY.....	15 139	13 799	1 340	9.7	4 580	4 142	2 698	69.8
ASOTIN.....	881	637	244	38.3	3 872	3 814	2 526	53.3
CLARKSTON.....	7 289	6 660	629	9.4	4 661	4 194	2 695	72.9
BENTON COUNTY.....	83 474	67 540	15 934	23.6	5 594	4 984	3 204	74.6
BENTON CITY.....	1 462	1 070	392	36.6	4 812	4 363	2 736	75.9
KENNEWICK ¹	21 885	16 436	5 449	33.2	5 414	4 804	2 996	80.7
PROSSER.....	3 142	2 954	188	6.4	5 575	4 990	2 987	86.6
RICHLAND.....	30 163	26 290	3 873	14.7	6 238	5 519	3 637	71.5
WEST RICHLAND.....	1 677	1 107	570	51.5	5 036	4 568	2 449	105.6
CHELAN COUNTY.....	41 201	41 103	98	0.2	5 011	4 607	2 945	70.2
CASHMERE.....	1 955	1 976	-21	-1.1	5 111	4 871	3 163	61.6
CHELAN.....	2 976	2 837	139	4.9	4 909	4 464	2 941	66.9
ENTIAT.....	394	355	39	11.0	4 903	4 871	3 086	58.9
LEAVENWORTH.....	1 405	1 322	83	6.3	3 971	3 741	2 430	63.4
WENATCHEE.....	17 489	16 912	577	3.4	5 039	4 575	3 001	67.9
CLALLAM COUNTY.....	42 162	34 770	7 392	21.3	5 049	4 662	3 081	63.9
FORKS.....	2 060	1 680	380	22.6	5 993	5 602	3 712	61.4
PORT ANGELES.....	17 008	16 367	641	3.9	5 163	4 820	3 212	60.7
SEQUIM.....	2 587	1 549	1 038	67.0	4 909	4 317	2 743	79.0
CLARK COUNTY.....	158 856	128 454	30 402	23.7	5 085	4 770	3 191	59.4
BATTLE GROUND.....	2 323	1 438	885	61.5	5 434	5 002	3 253	67.0
CAMAS.....	6 085	5 790	295	5.1	5 181	4 902	3 254	59.2
LA CENTER.....	424	300	124	41.3	5 296	4 819	3 139	68.7
RIDGEFIELD.....	985	1 004	-19	-1.9	4 462	4 080	2 616	70.6
VANCOUVER.....	47 774	41 859	5 915	14.1	5 425	5 046	3 384	60.3
WASHOUGAL.....	3 675	3 388	287	8.5	4 640	4 413	2 996	54.9
WOODLAND (PART).....	76	123	-47	-38.2	6 586	6 066	3 952	66.6
YACOLT.....	534	488	46	9.4	4 257	4 265	2 778	53.2
COLUMBIA COUNTY.....	4 584	4 439	145	3.3	5 123	5 136	2 856	79.4
DAYTON.....	2 648	2 596	52	2.0	4 898	4 567	2 801	74.9
STARBUCK.....	210	216	-6	-2.8	4 134	3 841	2 359	75.2
COWLITZ COUNTY.....	71 756	68 616	3 140	4.6	5 226	4 742	3 070	70.2
CASTLE ROCK.....	1 967	1 647	320	19.4	4 856	4 415	2 708	79.3
KALAMA.....	1 053	1 106	-53	-4.8	4 956	4 520	2 799	77.1
KELSO.....	10 201	10 296	-95	-0.9	4 855	4 343	2 797	73.6
LONGVIEW.....	29 125	28 373	752	2.7	5 610	5 082	3 308	69.6
WOODLAND (PART).....	2 039	1 499	540	36.0	5 582	4 797	2 964	88.3
DOUGLAS COUNTY.....	20 167	16 787	3 380	20.1	5 353	4 843	3 005	78.1
BRIDGEPORT.....	1 551	952	599	62.9	4 721	4 283	2 811	67.9
COULEE DAM (PART).....	279	241	38	15.8	6 361	6 041	3 907	62.8
EAST WENATCHEE.....	1 391	913	478	52.4	5 570	5 071	3 173	75.5
MANSFIELD.....	379	273	106	38.8	5 004	4 294	2 639	89.6
ROCK ISLAND.....	325	191	134	70.2	3 716	3 441	2 225	67.0
WATERVILLE.....	961	919	42	4.6	5 248	4 733	2 848	84.3
FERRY COUNTY.....	4 993	3 655	1 338	36.6	3 765	3 505	2 362	59.4
REPUBLIC.....	1 038	862	176	20.4	3 551	3 547	2 372	49.7

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE.

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976		1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				
FRANKLIN COUNTY.....	28 781	25 816	2 965	11.5	5 171	4 686	2 885	79.2
CONNELL.....	1 811	1 161	650	56.0	6 008	5 420	3 484	72.4
KAHLOTUS.....	201	308	-107	-34.7	4 586	4 313	2 554	79.6
MESA.....	403	274	129	47.1	4 050	3 809	2 255	79.6
PASCO.....	14 647	13 920	727	5.2	4 985	4 434	2 927	70.4
GARFIELD COUNTY.....	2 874	2 911	-37	-1.3	5 342	5 555	2 618	104.0
POMEROY.....	1 805	1 823	-18	-1.0	5 520	5 561	2 707	103.9
GRANT COUNTY.....	47 413	41 881	5 532	13.2	4 671	4 418	2 609	79.0
COULEE CITY.....	574	558	16	2.9	5 373	4 739	2 642	103.4
COULEE DAM (PART).....	7	7	-	-	4 607	4 263	2 597	77.4
ELECTRIC CITY.....	850	651	199	30.6	5 293	4 702	3 318	59.5
EPHRATA.....	5 253	5 255	-2	-	5 172	4 837	3 182	62.5
GEORGE.....	299	273	26	9.5	4 623	4 276	2 606	77.4
GRAND COULEE.....	1 566	1 302	264	20.3	4 992	4 561	2 773	80.0
HARTLINE.....	204	189	15	7.9	3 665	3 390	2 066	77.4
KRUPP.....	95	52	43	82.7	4 489	4 152	2 530	77.4
MATTAWA.....	237	180	57	31.7	3 960	3 662	2 232	77.4
MOSES LAKE.....	10 159	10 568	-409	-3.9	4 682	4 365	2 703	73.2
QUINCY.....	3 312	3 237	75	2.3	4 404	4 434	2 608	68.9
ROYAL CITY.....	719	477	242	50.7	4 482	4 511	2 749	63.0
SOAP LAKE.....	1 412	1 064	348	32.7	4 850	4 340	2 765	75.4
WARDEN.....	1 519	1 254	265	21.1	4 761	4 468	2 744	73.5
WILSON CREEK.....	209	184	25	13.6	4 158	3 845	2 343	77.5
GRAYS HARBOR COUNTY.....	61 053	59 553	1 500	2.5	4 706	4 454	2 976	58.1
ABERDEEN.....	18 370	18 489	-119	-0.6	4 686	4 480	3 014	55.5
COSMOPOLIS.....	1 601	1 599	2	0.1	5 706	5 263	3 363	69.7
ELMA.....	2 428	2 227	201	9.0	4 277	4 065	2 582	65.6
HOGUAM.....	10 174	10 466	-292	-2.8	4 508	4 306	2 958	52.4
MCCLEARY.....	1 312	1 265	47	3.7	4 252	3 855	2 599	63.6
MONTESANO.....	2 962	2 847	115	4.0	5 355	5 037	3 194	67.7
OAKVILLE.....	583	460	123	26.7	4 130	4 142	2 697	53.1
OCEAN SHORES.....	1 215	768	447	58.2	6 505	5 777	3 926	65.7
WESTPORT.....	1 568	1 364	204	15.0	5 912	5 256	3 835	54.2
ISLAND COUNTY.....	34 508	27 011	7 497	27.8	4 836	4 491	2 985	62.0
COUPEVILLE.....	908	678	230	33.9	5 490	4 822	3 001	82.9
LANGLEY.....	595	547	48	8.8	4 973	4 712	2 967	67.6
OAK HARBOR.....	11 358	9 167	2 191	23.9	4 181	3 930	2 610	60.2
JEFFERSON COUNTY.....	12 405	10 661	1 744	16.4	4 790	4 441	2 967	61.4
PORT TOWNSEND.....	5 575	5 241	334	6.4	4 750	4 366	3 022	57.2
KING COUNTY.....	1 150 246	1 159 369	-9 123	-0.8	6 221	5 584	3 963	57.0
ALGONA.....	1 019	1 276	-257	-20.1	3 624	3 241	2 236	62.1
AUBURN.....	22 718	21 653	1 065	4.9	5 261	4 755	3 399	54.8
BEAUX ARTS.....	359	475	-116	-24.4	10 465	9 412	6 860	52.6
BELLEVUE.....	66 569	61 196	5 373	8.8	7 030	6 300	4 540	54.8
BLACK DIAMOND.....	1 082	1 160	-78	-6.7	4 386	4 030	2 867	53.0
BOTHELL.....	6 574	5 840	734	12.6	5 413	4 863	3 458	56.5
CARNATION.....	624	530	94	17.7	4 768	4 256	2 983	59.8
CLYDE HILL.....	3 081	2 987	94	3.1	11 124	10 018	7 081	57.1
DES MOINES.....	6 021	5 687	334	5.9	6 270	5 585	3 880	61.6
DUVALL.....	609	607	2	0.3	4 929	4 412	3 311	48.9
ENUMCLAW.....	4 689	4 703	-14	-0.3	5 284	4 810	3 361	57.2
HUNTS POINT.....	470	578	-108	-18.7	12 694	11 403	8 184	55.1
ISSAQUAH.....	4 907	4 313	594	13.8	5 392	4 851	3 473	55.3
KENT.....	18 559	17 711	848	4.8	5 416	4 876	3 517	54.0
KIRKLAND.....	15 674	14 970	704	4.7	6 310	5 671	4 039	56.2
LAKE FOREST PARK.....	2 516	2 530	-14	-0.6	6 580	5 921	4 203	56.6
MEDINA.....	3 245	3 455	-210	-6.1	13 034	11 740	8 279	57.4
MERCER ISLAND.....	20 738	19 819	919	4.6	8 858	8 017	5 673	56.1
MILTON (PART).....	15	7	8	114.3	5 535	4 957	3 519	57.3
NORMANDY PARK.....	4 383	4 208	175	4.2	8 597	7 747	5 529	55.5
NORTH BEND.....	1 708	1 625	83	5.1	6 250	5 607	4 002	56.2
PACIFIC.....	1 641	1 831	-190	-10.4	4 130	3 794	2 485	66.2
REDMOND.....	16 773	11 020	5 753	52.2	6 558	5 804	4 066	61.3
RENTON.....	26 842	25 878	964	3.7	5 686	5 112	3 670	54.9
SEATTLE.....	490 586	530 831	-40 245	-7.6	6 441	5 778	4 051	59.0

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE.

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued
 (FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976		1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				
SKYKOMISH.....	271	283	-12	-4.2	5 205	4 661	3 308	57.3
SNOQUALMIE.....	1 259	1 260	-1	-0.1	4 393	3 919	2 740	60.3
TUKWILA.....	3 088	3 509	-421	-12.0	6 364	5 739	4 173	52.5
YARROW POINT.....	1 068	1 101	-33	-3.0	9 800	8 815	6 357	54.2
KITSAP COUNTY.....	118 347	101 732	16 615	16.3	5 216	4 748	3 319	57.2
BREMERTON.....	36 873	35 307	1 566	4.4	5 147	4 656	3 277	57.1
PORT ORCHARD.....	4 298	3 904	394	10.1	4 772	4 307	3 060	55.9
POULSBO.....	2 704	1 856	848	45.7	5 348	5 065	3 554	50.5
WINSLOW.....	1 851	1 461	390	26.7	6 019	5 735	4 105	46.6
KITTITAS COUNTY.....	25 218	25 039	179	0.7	4 307	3 873	2 669	61.4
CLF. FLUM.....	1 704	1 725	-21	-1.2	4 146	3 551	2 353	76.2
ELLENSBURG.....	13 037	13 568	-531	-3.9	4 303	3 932	2 689	60.0
KITTITAS.....	741	637	104	16.3	3 195	2 832	1 888	69.2
ROSLYN.....	972	1 031	-59	-5.7	4 175	3 897	2 594	60.9
SOUTH CLE ELUM.....	405	374	31	8.3	4 213	4 105	2 619	60.9
KLICKITAT COUNTY.....	13 656	12 138	1 518	12.5	4 743	4 449	2 806	69.0
RINGEN.....	710	671	39	5.8	4 318	4 030	2 897	49.1
GOLDENDALE.....	3 371	2 484	887	35.7	4 632	4 252	2 708	71.0
WHITE SALMON.....	1 619	1 585	34	2.1	4 496	4 322	2 924	53.8
LEWIS COUNTY.....	49 916	45 467	4 449	9.8	4 579	4 246	2 784	64.5
CENTRALIA.....	10 604	10 054	550	5.5	4 788	4 413	2 826	69.4
CHEHALIS.....	7 356	5 727	1 629	28.4	5 017	4 711	3 150	59.3
MORTON.....	1 433	1 134	299	26.4	4 643	4 459	2 906	59.8
MOSSY ROCK.....	460	409	51	12.5	4 390	4 073	2 723	61.2
MAPAVINE.....	536	377	159	42.2	3 835	3 560	2 463	55.7
PE ELL.....	666	582	84	14.4	3 660	3 579	2 482	47.5
TOLEDO.....	638	654	-16	-2.4	3 989	3 715	2 532	57.5
VADER.....	421	387	34	8.8	4 223	3 923	2 392	76.5
WINLOCK.....	982	890	92	10.3	4 009	3 723	2 660	50.7
LINCOLN COUNTY.....	9 859	9 572	287	3.0	6 742	6 199	3 206	110.3
ALMIRA.....	409	376	33	8.8	7 218	6 304	3 453	109.0
CRESTON.....	367	325	42	12.9	4 999	4 620	2 587	93.2
DAVENPORT.....	1 459	1 363	96	7.0	5 069	4 643	2 733	85.5
HARRINGTON.....	506	489	17	3.5	7 402	6 633	3 217	130.1
ODESSA.....	1 105	1 074	31	2.9	7 613	7 099	3 290	131.4
REARDAN.....	514	389	125	32.1	6 542	6 133	3 357	94.9
SPRAGUE.....	562	550	12	2.2	5 722	5 622	3 169	80.6
WILBUR.....	1 101	1 074	27	2.5	5 775	5 610	3 190	81.0
MASON COUNTY.....	24 386	20 918	3 468	16.6	5 015	4 715	3 080	62.8
SHELTON.....	6 532	6 515	17	0.3	4 737	4 486	2 986	58.6
OKANOGAN COUNTY.....	28 450	25 867	2 583	10.0	4 322	4 116	2 605	65.9
FREWSTER.....	1 375	1 059	316	29.8	4 983	4 835	3 135	58.9
CONCONULLY.....	174	122	52	42.6	4 164	3 923	2 536	64.2
COULEE DAM (PART).....	1 271	1 177	94	8.0	6 402	5 850	3 772	69.7
ELMER CITY.....	377	324	53	16.4	4 793	4 515	2 944	62.8
NESPELEM.....	382	323	59	18.3	3 457	3 257	2 106	64.2
OKANOGAN.....	2 239	2 015	224	11.1	4 058	3 898	2 577	57.5
OMAK.....	4 130	4 164	-34	-0.8	4 166	4 038	2 555	63.1
CROVILLE.....	1 621	1 555	66	4.2	3 663	3 445	2 104	74.1
PATEROS.....	573	472	101	21.4	5 112	4 470	2 771	84.5
RIVERSIDE.....	271	228	43	18.9	3 625	3 415	2 208	64.2
TOMASKET.....	1 131	951	180	18.9	3 872	3 607	2 337	65.7
TWISP.....	801	756	45	6.0	4 169	4 100	2 771	50.5
WINTHROP.....	437	371	66	17.8	4 378	4 457	2 881	52.0
PACIFIC COUNTY.....	15 941	15 796	145	0.9	4 705	4 395	2 888	62.9
ILWACO.....	571	506	65	12.8	5 317	5 068	3 260	63.1
LONG BEACH.....	1 119	968	151	15.6	5 020	5 002	3 269	53.6
RAYMOND.....	3 091	3 126	-35	-1.1	4 663	4 321	2 849	63.7
SOUTH BEND.....	1 803	1 795	8	0.4	4 931	4 686	2 989	65.0

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976		1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				
PEND OREILLE COUNTY.....	7 797	6 025	1 772	29.4	3 750	3 553	2 368	58.4
CUSICK.....	267	257	10	3.9	4 244	4 032	2 553	66.2
IONE.....	562	529	33	6.2	3 893	3 781	2 432	60.1
METALINE.....	200	197	3	1.5	4 275	4 061	2 572	66.2
METALINE FALLS.....	304	307	-3	-1.0	4 644	4 411	2 794	66.2
NEWPORT.....	1 541	1 418	123	8.7	4 309	4 130	2 680	60.8
PIERCE COUNTY.....	420 244	412 344	7 900	1.9	5 062	4 623	3 169	59.7
BONNEY LAKE.....	4 235	2 700	1 535	56.9	5 107	4 671	3 235	57.9
BUCKLEY.....	3 105	3 446	-341	-9.9	2 686	2 411	1 773	51.5
CARBONADO.....	393	394	-1	-0.3	3 936	3 643	2 343	68.0
DUPONT.....	483	384	99	25.8	4 372	4 148	2 615	67.2
EATONVILLE.....	982	852	130	15.3	5 021	4 793	3 160	58.9
FIFE.....	1 642	1 458	184	12.6	5 048	4 621	3 074	64.2
FIRCREST.....	5 917	5 651	266	4.7	6 871	6 348	4 514	52.2
GIG HARBOR.....	1 808	1 657	151	9.1	7 232	6 609	4 365	65.7
MILTON (PART).....	2 751	2 600	151	5.8	5 192	4 698	3 108	67.1
ORTING.....	1 654	1 643	11	0.7	4 274	3 713	2 437	75.4
PUYALLUP.....	16 057	14 742	1 315	8.9	5 166	4 696	3 209	61.0
ROY.....	393	381	12	3.1	5 350	4 884	3 213	66.5
RUSTON.....	698	668	30	4.5	4 975	4 538	2 972	67.4
SOUTH PRAIRIE.....	245	206	39	18.9	4 106	3 749	2 466	66.5
STEILACOOM.....	4 701	2 850	1 851	64.9	5 280	4 807	3 175	66.3
SUMNER.....	4 327	4 325	2	-	5 346	4 867	3 340	60.1
TACOMA.....	153 621	154 407	-786	-0.5	5 107	4 642	3 169	61.2
WILKESON.....	275	317	-42	-13.2	4 794	4 376	2 879	66.5
SAN JUAN COUNTY.....	5 652	3 856	1 796	46.6	5 426	5 143	3 427	58.3
FRIDAY HARBOR.....	993	803	190	23.7	5 523	5 687	3 767	46.6
SKAGIT COUNTY.....	55 738	52 381	3 357	6.4	5 052	4 524	3 041	66.1
ANACORTES.....	8 742	7 701	1 041	13.5	5 328	4 642	3 333	59.9
BURLINGTON.....	3 363	3 138	225	7.2	4 985	4 470	2 923	70.5
CONCRETE.....	666	573	93	16.2	3 603	3 077	2 254	59.8
HAMILTON.....	220	196	24	12.2	4 354	3 865	2 707	60.8
LA CONNER.....	634	639	-5	-0.8	4 445	4 115	2 976	49.4
LYMAN.....	314	324	-10	-3.1	4 152	3 686	2 581	60.9
MOUNT VERNON ¹	10 646	9 299	1 347	14.5	5 316	4 778	3 146	69.0
SEDRO WOOLLEY.....	5 732	4 598	1 134	24.7	5 001	4 462	3 048	64.1
SKAMANIA COUNTY.....	5 903	5 845	58	1.0	4 252	3 977	2 508	69.5
NORTH BONNEVILLE.....	372	459	-87	-19.0	3 578	3 440	2 228	60.6
STEVENSON.....	941	916	25	2.7	5 226	4 971	2 997	74.4
SNOHOMISH COUNTY.....	268 500	265 236	3 264	1.2	5 277	4 741	3 292	60.3
ARLINGTON.....	2 503	2 261	242	10.7	4 979	4 478	2 988	66.6
BRIER.....	3 050	3 093	-43	-1.4	5 019	4 468	3 163	58.7
DARRINGTON.....	1 041	1 094	-53	-4.8	4 090	3 969	2 624	55.9
EDMONDS ¹	25 477	24 376	1 101	4.5	6 327	5 645	3 930	61.0
EVERETT.....	50 023	53 622	-3 599	-6.7	5 438	4 901	3 386	60.6
GOLD BAR.....	535	504	31	6.2	5 582	5 154	3 507	59.2
GRANITE FALLS.....	804	813	-9	-1.1	3 971	3 556	2 518	57.7
INDEX.....	168	169	-1	-0.6	4 278	3 869	2 633	62.5
LAKE STEVENS.....	1 202	1 283	-81	-6.3	4 662	4 236	2 931	59.1
LYNNWOOD ¹	22 049	19 145	2 904	15.2	5 311	4 809	3 323	59.8
MARYSVILLE.....	4 440	4 343	97	2.2	5 661	5 062	3 351	68.9
MONROE.....	2 744	2 687	57	2.1	5 138	4 643	3 136	63.8
MOUNTLAKE TERRACE.....	15 753	16 600	-847	-5.1	4 703	4 293	2 940	60.0
MUKILTEO.....	1 329	1 369	-40	-2.9	6 974	6 062	4 032	73.0
SNOHOMISH.....	4 813	5 174	-361	-7.0	5 348	4 780	3 161	69.2
STANWOOD.....	1 463	1 347	116	8.6	4 511	4 029	2 618	72.3
SULTAN.....	1 135	1 119	16	1.4	4 287	3 591	2 420	77.1
WOODWAY.....	843	879	-36	-4.1	9 296	8 468	5 912	57.2
SPOKANE COUNTY.....	309 424	287 487	21 937	7.6	4 794	4 458	3 015	59.0
AIRWAY HEIGHTS.....	1 263	744	519	69.8	3 093	2 911	1 934	59.9
CHENEY.....	7 055	6 358	697	11.0	4 185	3 866	2 648	58.0
DEER PARK.....	1 569	1 295	274	21.2	3 785	3 544	2 206	71.6
FAIRFIELD.....	501	469	32	6.8	5 360	4 893	3 086	73.7
LATAH.....	148	169	-21	-12.4	5 165	4 905	3 086	67.4
MEDICAL LAKE.....	3 563	3 529	34	1.0	2 583	2 399	1 660	55.6

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE.

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976		1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				
MILLWOOD.....	1 736	1 770	-34	-1.9	4 415	4 215	2 843	55.3
ROCKFORD.....	409	327	82	25.1	4 995	4 905	3 086	61.9
SPANGLE.....	209	179	30	16.8	5 165	4 905	3 086	67.4
SPOKANE.....	175 751	170 516	5 235	3.1	4 967	4 607	3 099	60.3
WAVERLY.....	70	48	22	45.8	5 166	4 905	3 086	67.4
STEVENS COUNTY.....	23 555	17 405	6 150	35.3	3 906	3 638	2 401	62.7
CHEWELAH.....	1 825	1 365	460	33.7	3 684	3 384	2 244	64.2
COLVILLE.....	4 368	3 742	626	16.7	4 867	4 652	3 054	59.4
KETTLE FALLS.....	908	893	15	1.7	4 360	3 999	2 563	70.1
MARCUS.....	173	142	31	21.8	3 307	3 044	2 061	60.5
NORTHPORT.....	438	423	15	3.5	2 578	2 484	1 769	45.7
SPRINGDALE.....	248	215	33	15.3	4 229	3 893	2 635	60.5
THURSTON COUNTY.....	97 096	76 894	20 202	26.3	5 381	4 912	3 350	60.6
BUCODA.....	540	421	119	28.3	3 813	3 509	2 495	52.8
LACEY.....	11 539	9 696	1 843	19.0	5 568	5 045	3 441	61.8
OLYMPIA.....	27 399	23 296	4 103	17.6	6 212	5 628	3 820	62.6
RAINIER.....	736	382	354	92.7	4 137	4 144	2 799	47.8
TENINO.....	1 175	962	213	22.1	4 453	3 808	2 679	66.2
TUMWATER.....	5 905	5 373	532	9.9	5 682	5 213	3 524	61.2
YELM.....	784	628	156	24.8	3 704	3 402	2 397	54.5
WAHKIAKUM COUNTY.....	3 686	3 592	94	2.6	4 779	4 439	2 895	65.1
CATHLAMET.....	630	647	-17	-2.6	5 616	5 185	3 380	66.2
WALLA WALLA COUNTY.....	43 340	42 176	1 164	2.8	5 077	4 806	3 040	67.0
COLLEGE PLACE ¹	5 388	4 791	597	12.5	3 934	3 607	2 674	47.1
PRESCOTT.....	296	242	54	22.3	4 241	4 664	2 506	69.2
WATTSBURG.....	1 062	953	109	11.4	4 671	4 755	2 960	57.8
WALLA WALLA.....	23 990	23 619	371	1.6	5 110	4 768	3 102	64.7
WHATCOM COUNTY.....	92 006	81 983	10 023	12.2	4 986	4 433	2 960	68.4
BELLINGHAM.....	42 827	39 375	3 452	8.8	5 121	4 570	3 066	67.0
BLAINE.....	2 316	1 955	361	18.5	5 178	4 814	3 027	71.1
EVERSON.....	730	633	97	15.3	4 509	4 091	2 806	60.7
FERNDALE.....	3 073	2 164	909	42.0	4 933	4 403	3 115	58.4
LYNDEN.....	3 383	2 808	575	20.5	5 196	4 635	3 000	73.2
NOOKSACK.....	417	322	95	29.5	4 478	4 055	2 760	62.2
SUMAS.....	699	722	-23	-3.2	4 915	4 130	2 673	83.9
WHITMAN COUNTY.....	42 036	37 900	4 136	10.9	4 815	4 590	2 785	72.9
ALBION.....	621	687	-66	-9.6	4 418	3 755	2 533	74.4
COLFAX.....	2 771	2 664	107	4.0	5 353	4 936	3 282	63.1
COLTON.....	307	279	28	10.0	7 654	7 402	4 215	81.6
ENDICOTT.....	365	333	32	9.6	4 107	3 986	2 451	67.6
FARMINGTON.....	169	140	29	20.7	4 050	3 917	2 230	81.6
GARFIELD.....	668	610	58	9.5	4 628	4 863	2 843	62.8
LA CROSSE.....	395	426	-31	-7.3	4 972	4 808	2 738	81.6
LAMONT.....	95	88	7	8.0	4 927	4 765	2 713	81.6
MALDEN.....	218	219	-1	-0.5	5 300	5 126	2 919	81.6
OAKESDALE.....	488	447	41	9.2	5 976	5 135	2 946	102.9
PALOUSE.....	1 010	948	62	6.5	4 818	4 898	2 628	83.3
PULLMAN.....	24 336	20 509	3 827	18.7	4 369	4 122	2 582	69.2
ROSALIA.....	646	569	77	13.5	4 088	4 191	2 446	67.1
ST. JOHN.....	562	575	-13	-2.3	6 347	6 030	3 199	98.4
TEKOA.....	950	808	142	17.6	4 712	4 393	2 481	89.9
UNIONTOWN.....	341	310	31	10.0	6 545	6 825	3 434	90.6
YAKIMA COUNTY.....	156 934	145 212	11 722	8.1	4 237	3 893	2 549	66.2
GRANDVIEW.....	4 273	3 605	668	18.5	4 073	3 653	2 547	59.9
GRANGER.....	1 593	1 567	26	1.7	2 907	2 633	1 836	58.3
HARRAH.....	343	305	38	12.5	4 777	4 014	2 864	66.8
MABTON.....	1 090	926	164	17.7	2 476	2 207	1 457	69.9
MOXEE CITY.....	843	600	243	40.5	3 579	3 175	2 096	70.8
NACHES.....	732	666	66	9.9	4 920	4 141	2 691	82.8
SELAH.....	3 767	3 311	456	13.8	4 997	4 580	2 883	73.3
SUNNYSIDE.....	7 408	6 751	657	9.7	4 002	3 551	2 392	67.3
TIETON.....	494	415	79	19.0	3 780	3 681	2 334	62.0
TOPPENISH.....	6 027	5 744	283	4.9	3 467	3 283	2 173	59.5
UNION GAP.....	2 549	2 040	509	25.0	3 974	3 761	2 684	48.1
WAPATO.....	3 020	2 841	179	6.3	3 544	3 428	2 077	70.6

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE.

Table 1. July 1, 1976 Population and Calendar Year 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

(FOR SUBCOUNTY AREAS WITH A 1970 CENSUS SAMPLE POPULATION LESS THAN 1,000, THE 1969 PER CAPITA INCOME FIGURE IS AN ESTIMATE AND NOT THE 1970 CENSUS FIGURE. FOR DETAILS, SEE TEXT. FOR MEANING OF SYMBOLS, SEE TEXT.)

AREA	POPULATION				ESTIMATED PER CAPITA MONEY INCOME (DOLLARS)			
	JULY 1, 1976	APRIL 1, 1970 (CENSUS)	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1976		1975	1974 (REVISED)	1969	PERCENT CHANGE, 1969 TO 1975
			NUMBER	PERCENT				
YAKIMA ¹	51 248	48 619	2 629	5.4	4 593	4 183	2 804	63.8
ZILLAH.....	.1 382	1 138	244	21.4	3 564	3 237	2 218	60.7
MULTI-COUNTY PLACES								
COULEE DAM.....	1 557	1 425	132	9.3	6 387	5 874	3 789	68.6
MILTON.....	2 766	2 607	159	6.1	5 194	4 699	3 109	67.1
WOODLAND.....	2 115	1 622	493	30.4	5 619	4 850	3 039	84.9

¹1970 CENSUS FIGURE INCLUDES 1970 CENSUS POPULATION RESIDING IN AREAS ANNEXED THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1976.

**1976 Population and 1975 Per Capita Income Estimates for Counties,
Incorporated Places, and Selected Minor Civil Divisions**

(Reports may not be published in numerical order)

No. 740 Alabama	No. 765 Montana
No. 741 Alaska	No. 766 Nebraska
No. 742 Arizona	No. 767 Nevada
No. 743 Arkansas	No. 768 New Hampshire
No. 744 California	No. 769 New Jersey
No. 745 Colorado	No. 770 New Mexico
No. 746 Connecticut	No. 771 New York
No. 747 Delaware	No. 772 North Carolina
No. 748 Florida	No. 773 North Dakota
No. 749 Georgia	No. 774 Ohio
No. 750 Hawaii	No. 775 Oklahoma
No. 751 Idaho	No. 776 Oregon
No. 752 Illinois	No. 777 Pennsylvania
No. 753 Indiana	No. 778 Rhode Island
No. 754 Iowa	No. 779 South Carolina
No. 755 Kansas	No. 780 South Dakota
No. 756 Kentucky	No. 781 Tennessee
No. 757 Louisiana	No. 782 Texas
No. 758 Maine	No. 783 Utah
No. 759 Maryland	No. 784 Vermont
No. 760 Massachusetts	No. 785 Virginia
No. 761 Michigan	No. 786 Washington
No. 762 Minnesota	No. 787 West Virginia
No. 763 Mississippi	No. 788 Wisconsin
No. 764 Missouri	No. 789 Wyoming