



U.S. Department of Commerce  
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Series P-25, No. 815  
Issued November 1979

# Population Estimates and Projections

## 1977 Population Estimates for Census Divisions, Boroughs, and Incorporated Places in Alaska

This report is one of a series containing current estimates of the total July 1, 1977, population for all general purpose governmental units in each State. The preparation of current population estimates below the county level was prompted by the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. The estimates shown here also reflect changes made during the review of the figures with local officials. The figures are used by a wide variety of Federal, State, and local governmental agencies for program planning and administrative purposes. Estimates of per capita income for 1976 were not prepared, but figures for 1977 will appear later in this report series accompanying the 1978 population estimates.

Areas included in this series of reports are all counties (or county equivalents such as census divisions in Alaska, parishes in Louisiana, and independent cities in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia) and incorporated places in the State, plus active minor civil divisions (MCD's), commonly towns in New England, New York, and Wisconsin, or townships in other parts of the United States.<sup>1</sup> These State reports appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, in alphabetical sequence as report number 814 (Alabama) through number 863 (Wyoming). A list indicating the report number for each State is appended.

The detailed table for each State shows July 1, 1977, estimates of the population of each area, together with April 1, 1970, census population and numerical and percentage change between 1970 and 1977. The 1970 figures reflect annexations since 1970 up to December 31, 1977, and include corrections to the 1970 census counts.

The estimates are presented in the table in county order, with all incorporated places in the county listed in alphabetical order, followed by any functioning minor civil divisions also listed in alphabetical order. Minor civil divisions

are always identified in the listing by the term "township," "town," or other MCD category. When incorporated places fall in more than one county, each county piece is marked "part," and totals for these places are presented at the end of the table.

### METHODOLOGY

To estimate the population of each subcounty area, a component procedure (the Administrative Records method) was used, with each of the components of population change (births, deaths, net migration, and special populations) estimated separately. The estimates were derived in four stages, moving from 1970 as a base year to develop estimates for 1973, and in turn, moving from 1973 as the base year to derive estimates for 1975, from 1975 as the base year for 1976, and from 1976 as the base year for 1977.

**Migration.** Individual Federal income tax returns were used to measure migration by matching individual returns for successive periods. The places of residence on tax returns filed in the base year and in the estimate year were noted for matched returns to determine immigrants, outmigrants, and nonmigrants for each area. A net migration rate was derived, based on the difference between the immigration and outmigration of taxpayers and dependents, and was applied to a base population to yield an estimate of net migration for all persons in the area.

**Natural change.** Reported resident birth and death statistics were used, wherever available, to estimate natural change. These data were collected from State health departments and supplemented, where necessary, by data prepared and published by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Center for Health Statistics. For subcounty areas where reported birth and death statistics were not available from either source, estimates were developed by applying fertility and mortality rates. These estimates were

<sup>1</sup>In certain midwestern States (Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and the Dakotas), some counties have active minor civil divisions while others do not.

subsequently controlled to agree with birth and death statistics for larger areas where reported data were available.

**Adjustment for special populations.** In addition to the above components of population change, estimates of special populations were also taken into account. Special populations include immigrants from abroad, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, residents of institutions (prisons and long-term health care facilities), and college students enrolled in full-time programs. These populations were treated separately because changes in these types of population groups are not reflected in the components of population change developed by standard measures, and the information is generally available for use as an independent series.

**Annexations and new incorporations.** The 1970 census counts shown in this report reflect all population corrections made to the figures after the initial tabulations. In addition, adjustments for annexations are reflected in the estimates. For new incorporations occurring after 1970, the 1970 population within the boundaries of the new areas is shown in the detailed table.

**Other adjustments.** For areas where special censuses were conducted at dates that approximate the estimate date, the census results were taken into account in developing the estimates.<sup>2</sup> In several States, the subcounty estimates developed by the Administrative Records method were averaged with estimates for corresponding geographic areas which were prepared by State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates (FSCP). These States include California, Florida, New Jersey, Oregon, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

**Counties.** In generating estimates for counties by this procedure, the method was modified slightly to make the county estimates specific to the resident population under 65 years of age. The resident population 65 years old and over in counties was estimated separately by adding the change in Medicare enrollees between April 1, 1970, and July 1 of the estimate year to the April 1, 1970, population 65 years old and over in the county as enumerated in the 1970 census. These estimates of the population 65 years old and over were then added to estimates of the population under 65 years old to yield estimates of the total resident population in each county.

The estimates for the subareas in each county were adjusted to independently derived county estimates. Since all of the data necessary to develop final estimates under the FSCP program are not available at the time subcounty estimates are prepared, only two of the methods relied upon

<sup>2</sup> Only special censuses conducted by the Bureau of the Census or by the California, Florida, Michigan, Oregon, or Washington State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates were used for this purpose. In addition, in a relatively small number of cases where special censuses were conducted by localities, where the procedures and definitions were essentially the same as those used by the Bureau of the Census, the results of these special censuses were also taken into account in preparing the estimates.

in the standard FSCP program of estimates for counties (i.e., Component Method II and the Administrative Records method) were utilized. The 1977 estimates result from adding the average 1976-77 population change indicated by the two methods to the 1976 county population figures contained in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26.<sup>3</sup>

The county estimates, in turn, were adjusted to be consistent with independent State estimates published by the Bureau of the Census in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 790, in which the Administrative Records based estimates were averaged with the estimates prepared using Component Method II and the Regression method.<sup>4</sup>

## LIMITATIONS OF THE ESTIMATES

Tests of the accuracy of the methods used to develop State and county population estimates appearing in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26 are reported in Series P-25, No. 520 for States and in Series P-26, No. 21 for counties. In summary, the State estimates averaging Component Method II and the Regression method yielded average differences of approximately 1.9 percent when compared to the 1970 census. Subsequent modifications of the two procedures that have been incorporated in preparing estimates for the 1970's would have reduced the average difference in 1970 to 1.2 percent. For counties, the 1970 evaluations indicated an average difference of approximately 4.5 percent for the combination of procedures used. It should be noted that all of the evaluations against the results of the 1970 census concern estimates extending over the entire 10-year period of 1960 to 1970.

Since 1970, however, the Administrative Records method has been introduced with partial weight in the estimates for States and counties, and except for the few States in which local estimates are utilized, carries the full weight for estimates below the county level. The data series upon which the estimates procedure is based has been available as a comprehensive series for the entire United States only since 1967. Nonetheless, several studies have been undertaken evaluating the Administrative Records estimates from the State to the local level. At the Statewide level, little direct testing can be performed due to the lack of special censuses covering entire States. Some sense of the general reasonableness of the Administrative Records estimates may be obtained, however, by reviewing the degree of correspondence between the results of the method against those of the "standard" methods tested in 1970 and already in use to produce State estimates during the 1970's. It must be recognized that the differences between the two sets of estimates may not be interpreted as errors in either set of figures, but may only be used as a partial guide indicating the degree of consistency between the newer Administrative Records system and the established methods.

<sup>3</sup> Descriptions of the methodologies are given for each State in the individual Series P-26 or P-25 report for the State.

<sup>4</sup> For further discussion of the methodologies used in preparing State estimates, see **Current Population Reports**, P-25, No. 640.

Table A presents such a comparison for State estimates referring to July 1, 1977. A rather close agreement may be observed in the estimates for all States at only a 1.1 percent difference. The variation of the Administrative Records method from the average of the other methods does increase for smaller States in a regular pattern, but still reaches an average of only 1.3 percent for the smallest size category. The only consistent variations suggesting a potential for directional bias are indicated in the tendency for larger States to be estimated higher by the Administrative Records procedure than by the other techniques.

A similar comparison may be made at the county level (table B). Although the differences between the FSCP estimates and the Administrative Records results are larger at the county level than for States, the variations are well within the range that would be expected for areas of this population size, and the county pattern matches closely the findings for States. The overall difference for all counties is 2.6 percent, and ranges from 1.5 percent for the larger counties to 8.4 percent for the 26 small counties under 1,000 population. The comparisons indicate virtually no change from similar comparisons for the 1976 estimates. Only the average difference for counties with less than 1,000 population experienced any significant change from the 1976 levels in improving from 10.1 to 8.4 average percent differences.

Three tests of the Administrative Records population estimates against census counts also have been undertaken. First, a limited evaluation involving 24 large areas (16 counties and 8 cities) was conducted on estimates for the 1968-70 period.<sup>5</sup> Although the test shows the estimates to

<sup>5</sup>Meyer Zitter and David L. Word, U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Use of Administrative Records for Small Area Population Estimates," unpublished paper prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 27, 1973.

be quite accurate (1.8 percent difference), the areas may not be assumed to be representative of the 39,000 units of government covered by the Administrative Records estimating system, and the time segment evaluated refers only to a 2-year period.

A more representative group of special censuses in 86 areas selected particularly for evaluation purposes was conducted in 1973. The areas were randomly chosen nationwide to be typical of areas with populations below 20,000 persons. Table C summarizes the average percent difference between the estimates from the Administrative Records method and counts from the 86 special censuses. Overall, the estimates differed from the special census counts by 5.9 percent, with the largest differences occurring in the smallest areas. Areas of between 1,000 and 20,000 population differed by 4.6 percent, while the average difference for the 27 areas below 1,000 population was 8.6 percent. There was a slight positive directional bias, with about 60 percent of the estimates exceeding the census counts. Again, the impact of population size on the expected level of accuracy may be noted. Even though all of the areas in this study are relatively small—less than 20,000 population—the larger ones demonstrate much lower variation from census figures than the smaller ones.

The third evaluation involving census comparisons is currently underway, and is based upon the approximately 2,000 special censuses that have been conducted since 1970 at the request of localities throughout the United States. Such areas constitute a fairly stringent test for any method in that they are generally very small areas, often are experiencing rapid population growth, and frequently are found to have had a vigorous program of annexation since the last census. This evaluation study has not been completed for use here, but will be included in detail as a part of the comprehensive methodology description in *Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 699* (in preparation).

**Table A. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Average of Component Method II and Regression Estimates for States: 1977**

(Base is the average of Method II and Regression estimates)

Item	All States	Population size in 1970		
		4 million and over	1.5 to 4 million	Less than 1.5 million
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	1.1	0.8	1.2	1.3
Number of States.....	51	16	18	17
With differences of:				
Less than 1 percent.....	21	9	7	5
1 to 2 percent.....	19	6	6	7
2 percent and over.....	11	1	5	5
Where Administrative Records was:				
Higher.....	29	10	9	10
Lower.....	22	6	9	7

**Table B. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Provisional FSCP Estimates for Counties: 1977**

(Base is the provisional FSCP estimates for counties)

Item	All counties	Counties with 1,000 or more 1970 population					Counties with less than 1,000 1970 population
		Total	50,000 or more	25,000 to 49,999	10,000 to 24,999	1,000 to 9,999	
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	2.6	2.6	1.5	2.1	2.5	3.6	8.4
Number of counties or equivalents.....	3,143	3,117	679	567	1,017	854	26
With differences of:							
Less than 1 percent.....	952	951	329	191	266	165	1
1 to 3 percent.....	1,265	1,259	274	246	436	303	6
3 to 5 percent.....	526	520	56	95	196	173	6
5 to 10 percent.....	327	320	18	30	101	171	7
10 percent and over.....	73	67	2	5	18	42	6

**Table C. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates (Unrevised) and 86 Special Censuses: 1973**

(Base is special census)

Area	Average percent difference <sup>1</sup>	Number of areas with differences of:			
		Under 3 percent	3 to 5 percent	5 to 10 percent	10 percent and over
All areas (86) <sup>2</sup> .....	5.9	32	18	20	16
1,000 to 20,000 (59).....	4.6	26	13	14	6
Under 1,000 population (27).....	8.6	6	5	6	10

<sup>1</sup>Disregarding sign.

<sup>2</sup>All areas have population under 20,000 persons.

As a final caution, it must be noted that for convenience in presentation, the estimates contained in table 1 are shown in unrounded form. It is not intended, however, that the figures be considered accurate to the last digit. The nature of estimates prompts the rounding of figures in related Bureau reports and must be kept in mind during the application of the estimates contained here.

#### RELATED REPORTS

The population estimates shown in this series of reports update those found in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Nos. 740 through 789 for 1976. The population estimates contained here for States are consistent with Series P-25, No. 790. The county estimates for 1977 are

superior to the provisional 1977 figures published earlier in Series P-25 and P-26 due to the addition of a second method, but will not be reported elsewhere in *Current Population Reports*. The county population estimates are being replaced by subsequent final 1977 figures developed through the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates.

#### DETAILED TABLE SYMBOLS

In the detailed table entries, a dash "--" represents zero, and the symbol "Z" indicates that the figure is less than 0.05 percent. The symbol "B" means that the base for the derived figure is less than 75,000. Three dots "..." mean not applicable and "NA" means not available.

Table 1. July 1, 1977 Population Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas

AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977		AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				NUMBER	PERCENT
STATE OF ALASKA.....	415 782	302 583	113 199	37.4	FAIRBANKS-NORTH STAR BOROUGH <sup>1</sup> .....	49 833	39 715	10 118	25.5
ALEUTIAN ISLANDS CENSUS DIVISION.....	8 080	8 221	-141	-1.7	FAIRBANKS <sup>1</sup> .....	32 900	27 278	5 622	20.6
KING COVE.....	345	283	62	21.9	NORTH POLE.....	701	265	436	164.5
ST. PAUL.....	604	478	126	26.4	HAINES CENSUS DIVISION..	1 980	1 504	476	31.6
SAND POINT.....	470	360	110	30.6	HAINES BOROUGH.....	1 804	1 351	453	33.5
UNALASKA.....	504	342	162	47.4	HAINES <sup>1</sup> .....	1 351	1 003	348	30.8
ANCHORAGE CENSUS DIVISION.....	181 754	126 385	55 369	43.8	JUNEAU CENSUS DIVISION..	19 093	13 556	5 537	40.8
ANCHORAGE, CITY AND BOROUGH OF.....	181 754	126 385	55 369	43.8	JUNEAU, CITY AND BOROUGH OF	19 093	13 556	5 537	40.8
ANGOON CENSUS DIVISION..	856	503	353	70.2	KENAI-COOK INLET CENSUS DIVISION.....	23 991	14 250	9 741	68.4
ANGOON.....	706	400	306	76.5	KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH-- (PT.) <sup>1</sup> .....	23 991	14 250	9 741	68.4
TENAKEE SPRINGS.....	144	93	51	54.8	HOMER.....	1 936	1 083	853	78.8
BARROW-NORTH SLOPE CENSUS DIVISION.....	4 627	3 451	1 176	34.1	KACHEMAK.....	247	76	171	225.0
ANAKTUVUK PASS.....	177	99	78	78.8	KENAI.....	4 272	3 533	739	20.9
BARROW.....	2 601	2 104	587	27.9	SELOOVIÄ.....	479	437	42	9.6
KAKTOVIK.....	275	123	152	123.6	SOLDOTNA.....	2 227	1 202	1 025	85.3
NULOSUT.....	29	-	29	100.0	KETCHIKAN CENSUS DIVISION.....	11 332	10 041	1 291	12.9
POINT HOPE.....	486	386	100	25.9	KETCHIKAN.....	7 804	6 994	810	11.6
WAINWRIGHT.....	407	315	92	29.2	SAXMAN.....	126	135	-9	-6.7
BETHEL CENSUS DIVISION..	9 861	7 767	2 094	27.0	KOBUK CENSUS DIVISION <sup>1</sup> ..	4 896	4 048	848	20.9
AKIACHAK.....	392	312	80	25.6	AMBLER.....	269	176	93	52.8
AKIAK.....	190	184	6	3.3	BUCKLAND.....	191	104	87	83.7
AKOLMIUT.....	464	526	-62	-11.8	DEERING.....	117	85	32	37.6
ATMAUTLUAK.....	134	-	134	100.0	KIANA.....	302	278	24	8.6
BETHEL.....	3 231	2 416	815	33.7	KIVALINA.....	238	188	50	26.6
CHEFORNAK.....	227	146	81	55.5	KOBUK.....	165	165	-	-
EEL.....	299	186	113	60.8	KOTZEBUE.....	1 980	1 696	284	16.7
GOODNEWS BAY.....	233	218	15	6.9	NOORVIK.....	586	462	124	26.8
KWETHLUK.....	531	408	123	30.1	SELAWIK.....	521	429	92	21.4
MEKORYUK.....	267	249	18	7.2	SHUNGNÄK.....	206	56	150	267.9
NAFASKIAK.....	348	259	89	34.4	KODIAK CENSUS DIVISION..	10 152	9 409	743	7.9
NAFASKIAK (PART).....	238	188	50	26.6	KODIAK ISLAND BOROUGH.....	7 336	6 357	979	15.4
NEWTON.....	159	114	45	39.5	AKHIOK.....	121	115	6	5.2
NIGHTMUTE.....	147	127	20	15.7	KODIAK.....	4 858	3 798	1 060	27.9
PLATINUM.....	72	57	15	26.3	LARSEN BAY.....	132	126	6	4.8
QUINHAGAK.....	448	340	108	31.8	OLD HARBOR.....	224	290	-66	-22.8
TOKSOOK BAY.....	303	257	46	17.9	OUZINKIE.....	127	160	-33	-20.6
TULUKSAK.....	222	195	27	13.8	PORT LIONS.....	228	227	1	0.4
TUNUNAK.....	359	274	85	31.0	KUSKOKWIM CENSUS DIVISION.....	2 930	2 306	624	27.1
BRISTOL BAY BOROUGH CENSUS DIVISION.....	1 339	1 147	192	16.7	ANIÄK.....	297	205	92	44.9
BRISTOL BAY CENSUS DIVISION.....	4 242	3 485	757	21.7	ANVIK.....	114	83	31	37.3
ALEKNAGIK.....	180	215	-35	-16.3	CHUATHBALUK.....	158	100	58	58.0
CLARK'S POINT.....	86	95	-9	-9.5	GRAYLING.....	171	139	32	23.0
DILLINGHAM.....	1 207	914	293	32.1	HOLY CROSS.....	309	199	110	55.3
EKWOK.....	107	103	4	3.9	LOWER KALSKÄG.....	225	183	42	23.0
MANOKOTÄK.....	252	214	38	17.8	MCGRATH.....	337	279	58	20.8
NEWHALEN.....	105	88	17	19.3	NIKOLAI.....	151	112	39	34.8
NEW STUYÄHOK.....	296	216	80	37.0	SHAGELUK.....	218	167	51	30.5
NONDALTON.....	239	184	55	29.9	UPPER KALSKÄG.....	162	122	40	32.8
PORT HEIDEN.....	62	75	-13	-17.3	MATANUSKA-SUSITNA CENSUS DIVISION.....	14 842	6 509	8 333	128.0
TOGIÄK.....	568	383	185	48.3	HOUSTON.....	354	69	285	413.0
CORDOVA-MCCARTHY CENSUS DIVISION.....	2 519	1 857	662	35.6	PALMER.....	1 981	1 140	841	73.8
CORDOVA <sup>1</sup> .....	2 178	1 587	591	37.2	WASILLA.....	1 220	376	844	224.5
FAIRBANKS CENSUS DIVISION.....	56 049	45 864	10 185	22.2					

<sup>1</sup>1970 CENSUS FIGURE INCLUDES 1970 CENSUS POPULATION RESIDING IN AREAS ANNEXED THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1977.

Table 1. July 1, 1977 Population Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977		AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				NUMBER	PERCENT
NOME CENSUS DIVISION....	7 091	5 749	1 342	23.3	EAGLE.....	113	36	77	213.9
BREVIG MISSION.....	193	123	70	56.9	PORT YUKON.....	597	448	149	33.3
DIOMEDE.....	104	84	20	23.8	VALDEZ-CHITTINA-WHITTIER CENSUS DIVISION.....	8 049	3 098	4 951	159.8
ELIM.....	290	174	116	66.7	VALDEZ.....	4 267	1 005	3 262	324.6
GAMBELL.....	471	372	99	26.6	WHITTIER.....	353	130	223	171.5
GOLovin.....	92	117	-25	-21.4	WADE HAMPTON CENSUS DIVISION.....	4 968	3 917	1 051	26.8
KOYUK.....	164	122	42	34.4	ALAKANUK.....	575	414	161	38.9
NOME.....	2 565	2 357	208	8.8	CHEVAK.....	444	387	57	14.7
ST. MICHAEL.....	290	207	83	40.1	EMRONAK.....	554	439	115	26.2
SAVOONGA.....	491	364	127	34.9	FORTUNA LEDGE.....	239	176	63	35.8
SHAKTOOLIK.....	168	151	17	11.3	HOOPER BAY.....	661	490	171	34.9
SHISHMAREF.....	419	267	152	56.9	KOTLIK.....	317	228	89	39.0
STEBBINS.....	335	231	104	45.0	MOUNTAIN VILLAGE.....	603	419	184	43.9
TELLER.....	261	220	41	18.6	NEWTOK (PART).....	-	-	-	...
UNALAKLEET.....	646	470	176	37.4	PILOT STATION.....	300	290	10	3.4
WALES.....	139	131	8	6.1	RUSSIAN MISSION.....	203	147	56	38.1
WHITE MOUNTAIN.....	116	87	29	33.3	ST. MARY'S.....	466	384	82	21.4
OUTER KETCHIKAN CENSUS DIVISION.....	1 858	1 676	182	10.9	SCAMMON BAY.....	205	166	39	23.5
PRINCE OF WALES CENSUS DIVISION.....	3 060	2 106	954	45.3	SHELDON POINT.....	144	125	19	15.2
CRAIG.....	578	272	306	112.5	WRANGELL-PETERSBURG CENSUS DIVISION <sup>1</sup> .....	6 188	4 949	1 239	25.0
HYDABURG.....	416	214	202	94.4	KAKE.....	569	448	121	27.0
KASAAN.....	38	30	8	26.7	KUPREANOF.....	43	36	7	19.4
KLAWOCK.....	308	213	95	44.6	PETERSBURG.....	2 396	2 042	354	17.3
SEWARD CENSUS DIVISION..	2 976	2 336	640	27.4	PORT ALEXANDER.....	98	36	62	172.2
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH-- (PT.).....	2 976	2 336	640	27.4	WRANGELL.....	2 666	2 029	637	31.4
SEWARD.....	1 754	1 587	167	10.5	YUKON-KOYUKUK CENSUS DIVISION.....	5 947	4 758	1 189	25.0
SITKA CENSUS DIVISION <sup>1</sup> .	7 315	6 073	1 242	20.5	ALLAKAKET.....	216	174	42	24.1
SITKA, CITY AND BOROUGH OF <sup>1</sup> .	7 315	6 073	1 242	20.5	ANDERSON.....	448	362	86	23.8
SKAGWAY-YAKUTAT CENSUS DIVISION.....	2 868	2 157	711	33.0	GALENA.....	722	581	141	24.3
HOONAH.....	1 025	748	277	37.0	HUGHES.....	96	85	11	12.9
PELICAN.....	211	133	78	58.6	HUSLIA.....	232	159	73	45.9
SKAGWAY.....	927	675	252	37.3	KALTAG.....	249	206	43	20.9
YAKUTAT.....	297	190	107	56.3	KOYUKUK.....	106	114	-8	-7.0
SOUTHEAST FAIRBANKS CENSUS DIVISION.....	5 316	4 179	1 137	27.2	NENANA.....	546	382	164	42.9
DELTA JUNCTION.....	1 081	703	378	53.8	MULTI-COUNTY PLACES				
UPPER YUKON CENSUS DIVISION <sup>1</sup> .....	1 602	1 282	320	25.0	KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH <sup>1</sup> ..	26 967	16 586	10 381	62.6
					NEWTOK.....	159	114	45	39.5

<sup>1</sup>1970 CENSUS FIGURE INCLUDES 1970 CENSUS POPULATION RESIDING IN AREAS ANNEXED THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1977.

**1977 Population Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places,  
and Selected Minor Civil Divisions**

(Reports may not be published in numerical order)

No. 814 Alabama	No. 839 Montana
No. 815 Alaska	No. 840 Nebraska
No. 816 Arizona	No. 841 Nevada
No. 817 Arkansas	No. 842 New Hampshire
No. 818 California	No. 843 New Jersey
No. 819 Colorado	No. 844 New Mexico
No. 820 Connecticut	No. 845 New York
No. 821 Delaware	No. 846 North Carolina
No. 822 Florida	No. 847 North Dakota
No. 823 Georgia	No. 848 Ohio
No. 824 Hawaii	No. 849 Oklahoma
No. 825 Idaho	No. 850 Oregon
No. 826 Illinois	No. 851 Pennsylvania
No. 827 Indiana	No. 852 Rhode Island
No. 828 Iowa	No. 853 South Carolina
No. 829 Kansas	No. 854 South Dakota
No. 830 Kentucky	No. 855 Tennessee
No. 831 Louisiana	No. 856 Texas
No. 832 Maine	No. 857 Utah
No. 833 Maryland	No. 858 Vermont
No. 834 Massachusetts	No. 859 Virginia
No. 835 Michigan	No. 860 Washington
No. 836 Minnesota	No. 861 West Virginia
No. 837 Mississippi	No. 862 Wisconsin
No. 838 Missouri	No. 863 Wyoming