



U.S. Department of Commerce
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Series P-25, No. 820
Issued November 1979

Population Estimates and Projections

1977 Population Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places, and Minor Civil Divisions in Connecticut

This report is one of a series containing current estimates of the total July 1, 1977, population for all general purpose governmental units in each State. The preparation of current population estimates below the county level was prompted by the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. The estimates shown here also reflect changes made during the review of the figures with local officials. The figures are used by a wide variety of Federal, State, and local governmental agencies for program planning and administrative purposes. Estimates of per capita income for 1976 were not prepared, but figures for 1977 will appear later in this report series accompanying the 1978 population estimates.

Areas included in this series of reports are all counties (or county equivalents such as census divisions in Alaska, parishes in Louisiana, and independent cities in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia) and incorporated places in the State, plus active minor civil divisions (MCD's), commonly towns in New England, New York, and Wisconsin, or townships in other parts of the United States.¹ These State reports appear in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, in alphabetical sequence as report number 814 (Alabama) through number 863 (Wyoming). A list indicating the report number for each State is appended.

The detailed table for each State shows July 1, 1977, estimates of the population of each area, together with April 1, 1970, census population and numerical and percentage change between 1970 and 1977. The 1970 figures reflect annexations since 1970 up to December 31, 1977, and include corrections to the 1970 census counts.

The estimates are presented in the table in county order, with all incorporated places in the county listed in alphabetical order, followed by any functioning minor civil divisions also listed in alphabetical order. Minor civil divisions

are always identified in the listing by the term "township," "town," or other MCD category. When incorporated places fall in more than one county, each county piece is marked "part," and totals for these places are presented at the end of the table.

METHODOLOGY

To estimate the population of each subcounty area, a component procedure (the Administrative Records method) was used, with each of the components of population change (births, deaths, net migration, and special populations) estimated separately. The estimates were derived in four stages, moving from 1970 as a base year to develop estimates for 1973, and in turn, moving from 1973 as the base year to derive estimates for 1975, from 1975 as the base year for 1976, and from 1976 as the base year for 1977.

Migration. Individual Federal income tax returns were used to measure migration by matching individual returns for successive periods. The places of residence on tax returns filed in the base year and in the estimate year were noted for matched returns to determine immigrants, outmigrants, and nonmigrants for each area. A net migration rate was derived, based on the difference between the immigration and outmigration of taxpayers and dependents, and was applied to a base population to yield an estimate of net migration for all persons in the area.

Natural change. Reported resident birth and death statistics were used, wherever available, to estimate natural change. These data were collected from State health departments and supplemented, where necessary, by data prepared and published by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Center for Health Statistics. For subcounty areas where reported birth and death statistics were not available from either source, estimates were developed by applying fertility and mortality rates. These estimates were

¹In certain midwestern States (Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and the Dakotas), some counties have active minor civil divisions while others do not.

subsequently controlled to agree with birth and death statistics for larger areas where reported data were available.

Adjustment for special populations. In addition to the above components of population change, estimates of special populations were also taken into account. Special populations include immigrants from abroad, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, residents of institutions (prisons and long-term health care facilities), and college students enrolled in full-time programs. These populations were treated separately because changes in these types of population groups are not reflected in the components of population change developed by standard measures, and the information is generally available for use as an independent series.

Annexations and new incorporations. The 1970 census counts shown in this report reflect all population corrections made to the figures after the initial tabulations. In addition, adjustments for annexations are reflected in the estimates. For new incorporations occurring after 1970, the 1970 population within the boundaries of the new areas is shown in the detailed table.

Other adjustments. For areas where special censuses were conducted at dates that approximate the estimate date, the census results were taken into account in developing the estimates.² In several States, the subcounty estimates developed by the Administrative Records method were averaged with estimates for corresponding geographic areas which were prepared by State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates (FSCP). These States include California, Florida, New Jersey, Oregon, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

Counties. In generating estimates for counties by this procedure, the method was modified slightly to make the county estimates specific to the resident population under 65 years of age. The resident population 65 years old and over in counties was estimated separately by adding the change in Medicare enrollees between April 1, 1970, and July 1 of the estimate year to the April 1, 1970, population 65 years old and over in the county as enumerated in the 1970 census. These estimates of the population 65 years old and over were then added to estimates of the population under 65 years old to yield estimates of the total resident population in each county.

The estimates for the subareas in each county were adjusted to independently derived county estimates. Since all of the data necessary to develop final estimates under the FSCP program are not available at the time subcounty estimates are prepared, only two of the methods relied upon

in the standard FSCP program of estimates for counties (i.e., Component Method II and the Administrative Records method) were utilized. The 1977 estimates result from adding the average 1976-77 population change indicated by the two methods to the 1976 county population figures contained in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25 and P-26.³

The county estimates, in turn, were adjusted to be consistent with independent State estimates published by the Bureau of the Census in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, No. 790, in which the Administrative Records based estimates were averaged with the estimates prepared using Component Method II and the Regression method.⁴

LIMITATIONS OF THE ESTIMATES

Tests of the accuracy of the methods used to develop State and county population estimates appearing in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25 and P-26 are reported in Series P-25, No. 520 for States and in Series P-26, No. 21 for counties. In summary, the State estimates averaging Component Method II and the Regression method yielded average differences of approximately 1.9 percent when compared to the 1970 census. Subsequent modifications of the two procedures that have been incorporated in preparing estimates for the 1970's would have reduced the average difference in 1970 to 1.2 percent. For counties, the 1970 evaluations indicated an average difference of approximately 4.5 percent for the combination of procedures used. It should be noted that all of the evaluations against the results of the 1970 census concern estimates extending over the entire 10-year period of 1960 to 1970.

Since 1970, however, the Administrative Records method has been introduced with partial weight in the estimates for States and counties, and except for the few States in which local estimates are utilized, carries the full weight for estimates below the county level. The data series upon which the estimates procedure is based has been available as a comprehensive series for the entire United States only since 1967. Nonetheless, several studies have been undertaken evaluating the Administrative Records estimates from the State to the local level. At the Statewide level, little direct testing can be performed due to the lack of special censuses covering entire States. Some sense of the general reasonableness of the Administrative Records estimates may be obtained, however, by reviewing the degree of correspondence between the results of the method against those of the "standard" methods tested in 1970 and already in use to produce State estimates during the 1970's. It must be recognized that the differences between the two sets of estimates may not be interpreted as errors in either set of figures, but may only be used as a partial guide indicating the degree of consistency between the newer Administrative Records system and the established methods.

² Only special censuses conducted by the Bureau of the Census or by the California, Florida, Michigan, Oregon, or Washington State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates were used for this purpose. In addition, in a relatively small number of cases where special censuses were conducted by localities, where the procedures and definitions were essentially the same as those used by the Bureau of the Census, the results of these special censuses were also taken into account in preparing the estimates.

³ Descriptions of the methodologies are given for each State in the individual Series P-26 or P-25 report for the State.

⁴ For further discussion of the methodologies used in preparing State estimates, see *Current Population Reports*, P-25, No. 640.

Table A presents such a comparison for State estimates referring to July 1, 1977. A rather close agreement may be observed in the estimates for all States at only a 1.1 percent difference. The variation of the Administrative Records method from the average of the other methods does increase for smaller States in a regular pattern, but still reaches an average of only 1.3 percent for the smallest size category. The only consistent variations suggesting a potential for directional bias are indicated in the tendency for larger States to be estimated higher by the Administrative Records procedure than by the other techniques.

A similar comparison may be made at the county level (table B). Although the differences between the FSCP estimates and the Administrative Records results are larger at the county level than for States, the variations are well within the range that would be expected for areas of this population size, and the county pattern matches closely the findings for States. The overall difference for all counties is 2.6 percent, and ranges from 1.5 percent for the larger counties to 8.4 percent for the 26 small counties under 1,000 population. The comparisons indicate virtually no change from similar comparisons for the 1976 estimates. Only the average difference for counties with less than 1,000 population experienced any significant change from the 1976 levels in improving from 10.1 to 8.4 average percent differences.

Three tests of the Administrative Records population estimates against census counts also have been undertaken. First, a limited evaluation involving 24 large areas (16 counties and 8 cities) was conducted on estimates for the 1968-70 period.⁵ Although the test shows the estimates to

⁵Meyer Zitter and David L. Word, U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Use of Administrative Records for Small Area Population Estimates," unpublished paper prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 27, 1973.

be quite accurate (1.8 percent difference), the areas may not be assumed to be representative of the 39,000 units of government covered by the Administrative Records estimating system, and the time segment evaluated refers only to a 2-year period.

A more representative group of special censuses in 86 areas selected particularly for evaluation purposes was conducted in 1973. The areas were randomly chosen nationwide to be typical of areas with populations below 20,000 persons. Table C summarizes the average percent difference between the estimates from the Administrative Records method and counts from the 86 special censuses. Overall, the estimates differed from the special census counts by 5.9 percent, with the largest differences occurring in the smallest areas. Areas of between 1,000 and 20,000 population differed by 4.6 percent, while the average difference for the 27 areas below 1,000 population was 8.6 percent. There was a slight positive directional bias, with about 60 percent of the estimates exceeding the census counts. Again, the impact of population size on the expected level of accuracy may be noted. Even though all of the areas in this study are relatively small—less than 20,000 population—the larger ones demonstrate much lower variation from census figures than the smaller ones.

The third evaluation involving census comparisons is currently underway, and is based upon the approximately 2,000 special censuses that have been conducted since 1970 at the request of localities throughout the United States. Such areas constitute a fairly stringent test for any method in that they are generally very small areas, often are experiencing rapid population growth, and frequently are found to have had a vigorous program of annexation since the last census. This evaluation study has not been completed for use here, but will be included in detail as a part of the comprehensive methodology description in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, No. 699 (in preparation).

Table A. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Average of Component Method II and Regression Estimates for States: 1977

(Base is the average of Method II and Regression estimates)

Item	All States	Population size in 1970		
		4 million and over	1.5 to 4 million	Less than 1.5 million
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	1.1	0.8	1.2	1.3
Number of States.....	51	16	18	17
With differences of:				
Less than 1 percent.....	21	9	7	5
1 to 2 percent.....	19	6	6	7
2 percent and over.....	11	1	5	5
Where Administrative Records was:				
Higher.....	29	10	9	10
Lower.....	22	6	9	7

Table B. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Provisional FSCP Estimates for Counties: 1977

(Base is the provisional FSCP estimates for counties)

Item	All counties	Counties with 1,000 or more 1970 population					Counties with less than 1,000 1970 population
		Total	50,000 or more	25,000 to 49,999	10,000 to 24,999	1,000 to 9,999	
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	2.6	2.6	1.5	2.1	2.5	3.6	8.4
Number of counties or equivalents.....	3,143	3,117	679	567	1,017	854	26
With differences of:							
Less than 1 percent.....	952	951	329	191	266	165	1
1 to 3 percent.....	1,265	1,259	274	246	436	303	6
3 to 5 percent.....	526	520	56	95	196	173	6
5 to 10 percent.....	327	320	18	30	101	171	7
10 percent and over.....	73	67	2	5	18	42	6

Table C. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates (Unrevised) and 86 Special Censuses: 1973

(Base is special census)

Area	Average percent difference ¹	Number of areas with differences of:			
		Under 3 percent	3 to 5 percent	5 to 10 percent	10 percent and over
All areas (86) ²	5.9	32	18	20	16
1,000 to 20,000 (59).....	4.6	26	13	14	6
Under 1,000 population (27).....	8.6	6	5	6	10

¹Disregarding sign.

²All areas have population under 20,000 persons.

As a final caution, it must be noted that for convenience in presentation, the estimates contained in table 1 are shown in unrounded form. It is not intended, however, that the figures be considered accurate to the last digit. The nature of estimates prompts the rounding of figures in related Bureau reports and must be kept in mind during the application of the estimates contained here.

RELATED REPORTS

The population estimates shown in this series of reports update those found in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 740 through 789 for 1976. The population estimates contained here for States are consistent with Series P-25, No. 790. The county estimates for 1977 are

superior to the provisional 1977 figures published earlier in Series P-25 and P-26 due to the addition of a second method, but will not be reported elsewhere in **Current Population Reports**. The county population estimates are being replaced by subsequent final 1977 figures developed through the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates.

DETAILED TABLE SYMBOLS

In the detailed table entries, a dash "--" represents zero, and the symbol "Z" indicates that the figure is less than 0.05 percent. The symbol "B" means that the base for the derived figure is less than 75,000. Three dots "..." mean not applicable and "NA" means not available.

Table 1. July 1, 1977 Population Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas

AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977		AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				NUMBER	PERCENT
STATE OF CONNECTICUT..	3 113 416	3 032 217	81 199	2.7	MORRIS TOWN.....	1 829	1 609	220	13.7
FAIRFIELD COUNTY.....	810 224	792 814	17 410	2.2	NEW HARTFORD TOWN.....	4 732	3 970	762	19.2
BRIDGEPORT.....	137 116	156 542	-19 426	-12.4	NEW MILFORD TOWN.....	18 105	14 601	3 504	24.0
DANBURY.....	56 362	50 781	5 601	11.0	NORFOLK TOWN.....	2 111	2 072	38	1.8
NEWTON.....	2 386	1 963	423	21.5	NORTH CANAAN TOWN.....	2 878	3 045	-167	-5.5
NORWALK.....	77 159	79 288	-2 129	-2.7	PLYMOUTH TOWN.....	10 575	10 321	254	2.5
SHELTON.....	30 352	27 165	3 187	11.7	ROXBURY TOWN.....	1 492	1 258	234	18.6
STAMFORD.....	105 136	108 798	-3 662	-3.4	SALISBURY TOWN.....	3 721	3 573	148	4.1
BETHEL TOWN.....	15 136	10 945	4 191	38.3	SHARON TOWN.....	2 540	2 491	49	2.0
BROOKFIELD TOWN.....	12 221	9 688	2 533	26.1	THOMASTON TOWN.....	6 277	6 233	44	0.7
DARIEN TOWN.....	20 210	20 336	-126	-0.6	WASHINGTON TOWN.....	6 902	827	75	9.1
EASTON TOWN.....	5 437	4 885	552	11.3	WARREN TOWN.....	3 249	3 121	128	4.1
FAIRFIELD TOWN.....	58 884	56 487	2 397	4.2	WASHINGTON TOWN.....	19 314	18 610	704	3.8
GREENWICH TOWN.....	60 525	59 755	770	1.3	WINCHESTER TOWN.....	11 390	11 106	284	2.6
MONROE TOWN.....	14 214	12 047	2 167	18.0	WOODBURY TOWN.....	6 908	5 869	1 039	17.7
NEW CANAAN TOWN.....	18 536	17 451	1 085	6.2	MIDDLESEX COUNTY.....	125 787	115 018	10 769	9.4
NEW FAIRFIELD TOWN.....	10 740	6 991	3 749	53.6	MIDDLETOWN.....	37 719	36 924	795	2.2
NEWTOWN TOWN.....	19 216	16 942	2 274	13.4	FENWICK.....	52	45	7	15.6
REDDING TOWN.....	7 288	5 590	1 698	30.4	CHESTER TOWN.....	3 202	2 982	220	7.4
RIDGEFIELD TOWN.....	21 225	18 188	3 037	16.7	CLINTON TOWN.....	11 130	10 267	863	8.4
SHERMAN TOWN.....	2 328	1 489	869	59.6	CROMWELL TOWN.....	9 428	7 400	2 028	27.4
STRATFORD TOWN.....	51 463	49 775	1 688	3.4	DEEP RIVER TOWN.....	3 899	3 690	209	5.7
TRUMBULL TOWN.....	34 530	31 394	3 136	10.0	DURHAM TOWN.....	5 333	4 489	844	18.8
WESTON TOWN.....	9 229	7 417	1 812	24.4	EAST HADDAM TOWN.....	5 286	4 676	610	13.0
WESTPORT TOWN.....	27 680	27 318	362	1.3	EAST HAMPTON TOWN.....	8 330	7 078	1 252	17.7
WILTON TOWN.....	15 219	13 572	1 647	12.1	ESSEX TOWN.....	4 965	4 911	54	1.1
HARTFORD COUNTY.....	814 416	816 737	-2 321	-0.3	HADDAM TOWN.....	6 274	4 934	1 337	27.1
BRISTOL.....	57 597	55 487	2 110	3.8	KILLINGWORTH TOWN.....	3 773	2 435	1 338	54.9
HARTFORD.....	130 015	158 017	-28 002	-17.7	MIDDLEFIELD TOWN.....	4 071	4 132	-61	-1.5
NEW BRITAIN.....	75 986	83 441	-7 455	-8.9	MIDDLEFIELD TOWN.....	8 962	8 468	494	5.8
AVON TOWN.....	9 847	8 352	1 495	17.9	OLD SAYBROOK TOWN.....	8 571	8 812	-241	-2.7
BERLIN TOWN.....	15 286	14 149	1 137	8.0	PORTLAND TOWN.....	8 571	8 820	-249	-2.8
BLOOMFIELD TOWN.....	19 336	18 301	1 035	5.7	WESTBROOK TOWN.....	4 847	3 820	1 027	26.9
BURLINGTON TOWN.....	5 681	4 070	1 611	39.6	NEW HAVEN COUNTY.....	757 383	744 948	12 435	1.7
CANTON TOWN.....	7 798	6 868	930	13.5	ANSONIA.....	19 932	21 160	-1 228	-5.8
EAST GRANBY TOWN.....	4 354	3 532	822	23.3	DERBY.....	11 939	12 599	-660	-5.2
EAST HARTFORD TOWN.....	53 578	57 583	-4 005	-7.0	MERIDEN.....	57 135	55 959	1 176	2.1
EAST WINDSOR TOWN.....	8 800	8 513	287	3.4	MILFORD.....	50 240	48 744	1 496	3.1
ENFIELD TOWN.....	46 417	46 189	228	0.5	WOODMONT.....	2 132	2 114	18	0.9
FARMINGTON TOWN.....	15 297	14 390	907	6.3	NAUGATUCK.....	25 875	23 034	2 841	12.3
GLASTONBURY TOWN.....	24 426	20 651	3 775	18.3	NEW HAVEN.....	122 085	137 707	-15 622	-11.3
GRANBY TOWN.....	7 564	6 150	1 414	23.0	WATERBURY.....	104 890	108 033	-3 143	-2.9
HARTLAND TOWN.....	1 473	1 303	170	13.0	WEST HAVEN.....	52 190	52 851	-661	-1.3
MANCHESTER TOWN.....	50 119	47 994	2 125	4.4	BEACON FALLS TOWN.....	4 140	3 546	594	16.8
MARLBOROUGH TOWN.....	4 321	2 991	1 330	44.5	BETHANY TOWN.....	4 354	3 857	497	12.9
NEWINGTON TOWN.....	29 478	26 037	3 441	13.2	BRANFORD TOWN.....	22 842	20 444	2 398	11.7
PLAINVILLE TOWN.....	16 355	16 733	-378	-2.3	CHESHIRE TOWN.....	21 023	19 051	1 972	10.4
ROCKY HILL TOWN.....	13 867	11 103	2 764	25.1	EAST HAVEN TOWN.....	24 897	25 120	-223	-0.9
SIMSURY TOWN.....	21 161	17 475	3 686	21.1	GUILFORD TOWN.....	16 148	12 033	4 115	34.2
SOUTHINGTON TOWN.....	36 994	30 946	6 048	19.5	HAMDEN TOWN.....	50 195	49 357	838	1.7
SOUTH WINDSOR TOWN.....	17 042	15 553	1 489	9.6	MADISON TOWN.....	13 575	9 768	3 807	39.0
SUFFIELD TOWN.....	9 634	8 634	1 000	11.6	MIDDLEBURY TOWN.....	6 089	5 542	547	9.9
WEST HARTFORD TOWN.....	65 929	68 031	-2 102	-3.1	NORTH BRANFORD TOWN.....	11 928	10 778	1 150	10.7
WETHERSFIELD TOWN.....	27 232	26 662	570	2.1	NORTH HAVEN TOWN.....	23 203	22 194	1 009	4.5
WINDSOR LOCKS TOWN.....	13 710	15 080	-1 370	-9.1	ORANGE TOWN.....	13 949	13 524	425	3.1
WINDSOR TOWN.....	25 098	22 502	2 596	11.5	OXFORD TOWN.....	6 239	4 480	1 759	39.3
LITCHFIELD COUNTY.....	154 471	144 091	10 380	7.2	PROSPECT TOWN.....	6 752	6 543	209	3.2
BANTAM.....	916	881	35	4.0	SEYMOUR TOWN.....	14 309	12 776	1 533	12.0
LITCHFIELD.....	1 662	1 559	103	6.6	SOUTHBURY TOWN.....	12 340	7 852	4 488	57.2
TORRINGTON.....	31 539	31 952	-413	-1.3	WALLINGFORD TOWN.....	37 394	35 714	1 680	4.7
BARKHAMSTED TOWN.....	2 436	2 066	370	17.9	WOLCOTT TOWN.....	13 275	12 495	780	6.2
BETHLEHEM TOWN.....	2 430	1 923	507	26.4	WOODBIDGE TOWN.....	8 315	7 673	642	8.4
BRIDGEWATER TOWN.....	1 466	1 277	189	14.8	NEW LONDON COUNTY.....	245 304	230 654	14 650	6.4
CANAAN TOWN.....	1 012	931	81	8.7	COLCHESTER.....	3 908	3 529	379	10.7
COLEBROOK TOWN.....	1 212	1 020	192	18.8	JEWETT CITY.....	3 505	3 372	133	3.9
CORNWALL TOWN.....	1 323	1 177	146	12.4	GROTON.....	10 189	8 933	1 256	14.1
GOSHEN TOWN.....	1 818	1 351	467	34.6	NEW LONDON.....	29 467	31 630	-2 163	-6.8
HARWINTON TOWN.....	4 813	4 318	495	11.5	NORWICH.....	40 545	41 739	-1 194	-2.9
KENT TOWN.....	2 508	1 990	518	26.0	STONINGTON.....	2 418	1 413	5	0.4
LITCHFIELD TOWN.....	7 890	7 399	491	6.6	BOZRAH TOWN.....	2 208	2 036	172	8.4
					COLCHESTER TOWN.....	7 573	6 603	970	14.7

Table 1. July 1, 1977 Population Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977		AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				NUMBER	PERCENT
EAST LYME TOWN.....	14 373	11 399	2 974	26.1	SOMERS TOWN.....	7 615	6 893	722	10.5
FRANKLIN TOWN.....	1 658	1 356	302	22.3	STAFFORD TOWN.....	8 966	8 680	286	3.3
GRISWOLD TOWN.....	8 332	7 763	569	7.3	TOLLAND TOWN.....	10 905	7 857	3 048	38.8
GROTON TOWN.....	40 499	38 244	2 255	5.9	UNION TOWN.....	536	443	93	21.0
LEBANON TOWN.....	4 590	3 804	786	20.7	VERNON TOWN.....	29 208	27 237	1 971	7.2
LEDYARD TOWN.....	18 131	14 837	3 294	22.2	WILLINGTON TOWN.....	4 106	3 755	351	9.3
LISBON TOWN.....	3 184	2 808	376	13.4					
LYME TOWN.....	1 944	1 484	460	31.0	WINDHAM COUNTY.....	90 695	84 515	6 180	7.3
MONTVILLE TOWN.....	16 898	15 662	1 236	7.9	DANIELSON.....	4 537	4 580	-43	-0.9
NORTH STONINGTON TOWN.....	4 478	3 748	730	19.5	PUTNAM.....	6 802	6 918	-116	-1.7
OLD LYME TOWN.....	6 001	4 964	1 037	20.9	WILLIMANTIC.....	14 805	14 402	403	2.8
PRESTON TOWN.....	3 873	3 593	280	7.8	ASHFORD TOWN.....	2 236	2 156	80	3.7
SALEM TOWN.....	1 883	1 453	430	29.6	BROOKLYN TOWN.....	5 894	4 965	929	18.7
SPRAGUE TOWN.....	2 951	2 912	39	1.3	CANTERBURY TOWN.....	3 442	2 673	769	28.8
STONINGTON TOWN.....	16 773	15 940	833	5.2	CHAPLIN TOWN.....	1 554	1 621	-67	-4.1
VOLUNTOWN TOWN.....	1 784	1 452	332	22.9	EASTFORD TOWN.....	1 197	922	275	29.8
WATERFORD TOWN.....	18 156	17 227	929	5.4	HAMPTON TOWN.....	1 359	1 129	230	20.4
					KILLINGLY TOWN.....	14 159	13 573	586	4.3
TOLLAND COUNTY.....	115 135	103 440	11 695	11.3	PLAINFIELD TOWN.....	13 039	11 957	1 082	9.0
STAFFORD SPRINGS.....	3 943	3 339	604	18.1	POMFRET TOWN.....	2 628	2 529	99	3.9
ANDOVER TOWN.....	2 128	2 099	29	1.4	PUTNAM TOWN.....	8 545	8 598	-53	-0.6
BOLTON TOWN.....	4 118	3 691	427	11.6	SCOTLAND TOWN.....	1 054	1 022	32	3.1
COLUMBIA TOWN.....	3 287	3 129	158	5.0	STERLING TOWN.....	1 880	1 853	27	1.5
COVENTRY TOWN.....	8 607	8 140	467	5.7	THOMPSON TOWN.....	8 247	7 580	667	8.8
ELLINGTON TOWN.....	9 174	7 707	1 467	19.0	WINDHAM TOWN.....	20 117	19 626	491	2.5
HEBRON TOWN.....	4 829	3 815	1 014	26.6	WOODSTOCK TOWN.....	5 345	4 311	1 034	24.0
HANSFIELD TOWN.....	21 656	19 994	1 662	8.3					

**1977 Population Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places,
and Selected Minor Civil Divisions**

(Reports may not be published in numerical order)

No. 814 Alabama	No. 839 Montana
No. 815 Alaska	No. 840 Nebraska
No. 816 Arizona	No. 841 Nevada
No. 817 Arkansas	No. 842 New Hampshire
No. 818 California	No. 843 New Jersey
No. 819 Colorado	No. 844 New Mexico
No. 820 Connecticut	No. 845 New York
No. 821 Delaware	No. 846 North Carolina
No. 822 Florida	No. 847 North Dakota
No. 823 Georgia	No. 848 Ohio
No. 824 Hawaii	No. 849 Oklahoma
No. 825 Idaho	No. 850 Oregon
No. 826 Illinois	No. 851 Pennsylvania
No. 827 Indiana	No. 852 Rhode Island
No. 828 Iowa	No. 853 South Carolina
No. 829 Kansas	No. 854 South Dakota
No. 830 Kentucky	No. 855 Tennessee
No. 831 Louisiana	No. 856 Texas
No. 832 Maine	No. 857 Utah
No. 833 Maryland	No. 858 Vermont
No. 834 Massachusetts	No. 859 Virginia
No. 835 Michigan	No. 860 Washington
No. 836 Minnesota	No. 861 West Virginia
No. 837 Mississippi	No. 862 Wisconsin
No. 838 Missouri	No. 863 Wyoming