



U.S. Department of Commerce
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Series P-25, No. 842
Issued November 1979

Population Estimates and Projections

1977 Population Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places, and Minor Civil Divisions in New Hampshire

This report is one of a series containing current estimates of the total July 1, 1977, population for all general purpose governmental units in each State. The preparation of current population estimates below the county level was prompted by the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. The estimates shown here also reflect changes made during the review of the figures with local officials. The figures are used by a wide variety of Federal, State, and local governmental agencies for program planning and administrative purposes. Estimates of per capita income for 1976 were not prepared, but figures for 1977 will appear later in this report series accompanying the 1978 population estimates.

Areas included in this series of reports are all counties (or county equivalents such as census divisions in Alaska, parishes in Louisiana, and independent cities in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia) and incorporated places in the State, plus active minor civil divisions (MCD's), commonly towns in New England, New York, and Wisconsin, or townships in other parts of the United States.¹ These State reports appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, in alphabetical sequence as report number 814 (Alabama) through number 863 (Wyoming). A list indicating the report number for each State is appended.

The detailed table for each State shows July 1, 1977, estimates of the population of each area, together with April 1, 1970, census population and numerical and percentage change between 1970 and 1977. The 1970 figures reflect annexations since 1970 up to December 31, 1977, and include corrections to the 1970 census counts.

The estimates are presented in the table in county order, with all incorporated places in the county listed in alphabetical order, followed by any functioning minor civil divisions also listed in alphabetical order. Minor civil divisions

are always identified in the listing by the term "township," "town," or other MCD category. When incorporated places fall in more than one county, each county piece is marked "part," and totals for these places are presented at the end of the table.

METHODOLOGY

To estimate the population of each subcounty area, a component procedure (the Administrative Records method) was used, with each of the components of population change (births, deaths, net migration, and special populations) estimated separately. The estimates were derived in four stages, moving from 1970 as a base year to develop estimates for 1973, and, in turn, moving from 1973 as the base year to derive estimates for 1975, from 1975 as the base year for 1976, and from 1976 as the base year for 1977.

Migration. Individual Federal income tax returns were used to measure migration by matching individual returns for successive periods. The places of residence on tax returns filed in the base year and in the estimate year were noted for matched returns to determine immigrants, outmigrants, and nonmigrants for each area. A net migration rate was derived, based on the difference between the immigration and outmigration of taxpayers and dependents, and was applied to a base population to yield an estimate of net migration for all persons in the area.

Natural change. Reported resident birth and death statistics were used, wherever available, to estimate natural change. These data were collected from State health departments and supplemented, where necessary, by data prepared and published by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Center for Health Statistics. For subcounty areas where reported birth and death statistics were not available from either source, estimates were developed by applying fertility and mortality rates. These estimates were

¹In certain midwestern States (Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and the Dakotas), some counties have active minor civil divisions while others do not.

subsequently controlled to agree with birth and death statistics for larger areas where reported data were available.

Adjustment for special populations. In addition to the above components of population change, estimates of special populations were also taken into account. Special populations include immigrants from abroad, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, residents of institutions (prisons and long-term health care facilities), and college students enrolled in full-time programs. These populations were treated separately because changes in these types of population groups are not reflected in the components of population change developed by standard measures, and the information is generally available for use as an independent series.

Annexations and new incorporations. The 1970 census counts shown in this report reflect all population corrections made to the figures after the initial tabulations. In addition, adjustments for annexations are reflected in the estimates. For new incorporations occurring after 1970, the 1970 population within the boundaries of the new areas is shown in the detailed table.

Other adjustments. For areas where special censuses were conducted at dates that approximate the estimate date, the census results were taken into account in developing the estimates.² In several States, the subcounty estimates developed by the Administrative Records method were averaged with estimates for corresponding geographic areas which were prepared by State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates (FSCP). These States include California, Florida, New Jersey, Oregon, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

Counties. In generating estimates for counties by this procedure, the method was modified slightly to make the county estimates specific to the resident population under 65 years of age. The resident population 65 years old and over in counties was estimated separately by adding the change in Medicare enrollees between April 1, 1970, and July 1 of the estimate year to the April 1, 1970, population 65 years old and over in the county as enumerated in the 1970 census. These estimates of the population 65 years old and over were then added to estimates of the population under 65 years old to yield estimates of the total resident population in each county.

The estimates for the subareas in each county were adjusted to independently derived county estimates. Since all of the data necessary to develop final estimates under the FSCP program are not available at the time subcounty estimates are prepared, only two of the methods relied upon

in the standard FSCP program of estimates for counties (i.e., Component Method II and the Administrative Records method) were utilized. The 1977 estimates result from adding the average 1976-77 population change indicated by the two methods to the 1976 county population figures contained in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26.³

The county estimates, in turn, were adjusted to be consistent with independent State estimates published by the Bureau of the Census in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 790, in which the Administrative Records based estimates were averaged with the estimates prepared using Component Method II and the Regression method.⁴

LIMITATIONS OF THE ESTIMATES

Tests of the accuracy of the methods used to develop State and county population estimates appearing in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26 are reported in Series P-25, No. 520 for States and in Series P-26, No. 21 for counties. In summary, the State estimates averaging Component Method II and the Regression method yielded average differences of approximately 1.9 percent when compared to the 1970 census. Subsequent modifications of the two procedures that have been incorporated in preparing estimates for the 1970's would have reduced the average difference in 1970 to 1.2 percent. For counties, the 1970 evaluations indicated an average difference of approximately 4.5 percent for the combination of procedures used. It should be noted that all of the evaluations against the results of the 1970 census concern estimates extending over the entire 10-year period of 1960 to 1970.

Since 1970, however, the Administrative Records method has been introduced with partial weight in the estimates for States and counties, and except for the few States in which local estimates are utilized, carries the full weight for estimates below the county level. The data series upon which the estimates procedure is based has been available as a comprehensive series for the entire United States only since 1967. Nonetheless, several studies have been undertaken evaluating the Administrative Records estimates from the State to the local level. At the Statewide level, little direct testing can be performed due to the lack of special censuses covering entire States. Some sense of the general reasonableness of the Administrative Records estimates may be obtained, however, by reviewing the degree of correspondence between the results of the method against those of the "standard" methods tested in 1970 and already in use to produce State estimates during the 1970's. It must be recognized that the differences between the two sets of estimates may not be interpreted as errors in either set of figures, but may only be used as a partial guide indicating the degree of consistency between the newer Administrative Records system and the established methods.

² Only special censuses conducted by the Bureau of the Census or by the California, Florida, Michigan, Oregon, or Washington State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates were used for this purpose. In addition, in a relatively small number of cases where special censuses were conducted by localities, where the procedures and definitions were essentially the same as those used by the Bureau of the Census, the results of these special censuses were also taken into account in preparing the estimates.

³ Descriptions of the methodologies are given for each State in the individual Series P-26 or P-25 report for the State.

⁴ For further discussion of the methodologies used in preparing State estimates, see **Current Population Reports**, P-25, No. 640.

Table A presents such a comparison for State estimates referring to July 1, 1977. A rather close agreement may be observed in the estimates for all States at only a 1.1 percent difference. The variation of the Administrative Records method from the average of the other methods does increase for smaller States in a regular pattern, but still reaches an average of only 1.3 percent for the smallest size category. The only consistent variations suggesting a potential for directional bias are indicated in the tendency for larger States to be estimated higher by the Administrative Records procedure than by the other techniques.

A similar comparison may be made at the county level (table B). Although the differences between the FSCP estimates and the Administrative Records results are larger at the county level than for States, the variations are well within the range that would be expected for areas of this population size, and the county pattern matches closely the findings for States. The overall difference for all counties is 2.6 percent, and ranges from 1.5 percent for the larger counties to 8.4 percent for the 26 small counties under 1,000 population. The comparisons indicate virtually no change from similar comparisons for the 1976 estimates. Only the average difference for counties with less than 1,000 population experienced any significant change from the 1976 levels in improving from 10.1 to 8.4 average percent differences.

Three tests of the Administrative Records population estimates against census counts also have been undertaken. First, a limited evaluation involving 24 large areas (16 counties and 8 cities) was conducted on estimates for the 1968-70 period.⁵ Although the test shows the estimates to

⁵ Meyer Zitter and David L. Word, U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Use of Administrative Records for Small Area Population Estimates," unpublished paper prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 27, 1973.

be quite accurate (1.8 percent difference), the areas may not be assumed to be representative of the 39,000 units of government covered by the Administrative Records estimating system, and the time segment evaluated refers only to a 2-year period.

A more representative group of special censuses in 86 areas selected particularly for evaluation purposes was conducted in 1973. The areas were randomly chosen nationwide to be typical of areas with populations below 20,000 persons. Table C summarizes the average percent difference between the estimates from the Administrative Records method and counts from the 86 special censuses. Overall, the estimates differed from the special census counts by 5.9 percent, with the largest differences occurring in the smallest areas. Areas of between 1,000 and 20,000 population differed by 4.6 percent, while the average difference for the 27 areas below 1,000 population was 8.6 percent. There was a slight positive directional bias, with about 60 percent of the estimates exceeding the census counts. Again, the impact of population size on the expected level of accuracy may be noted. Even though all of the areas in this study are relatively small—less than 20,000 population—the larger ones demonstrate much lower variation from census figures than the smaller ones.

The third evaluation involving census comparisons is currently underway, and is based upon the approximately 2,000 special censuses that have been conducted since 1970 at the request of localities throughout the United States. Such areas constitute a fairly stringent test for any method in that they are generally very small areas, often are experiencing rapid population growth, and frequently are found to have had a vigorous program of annexation since the last census. This evaluation study has not been completed for use here, but will be included in detail as a part of the comprehensive methodology description in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, No. 699 (in preparation).

Table A. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Average of Component Method II and Regression Estimates for States: 1977

(Base is the average of Method II and Regression estimates)

Item	All States	Population size in 1970		
		4 million and over	1.5 to 4 million	Less than 1.5 million
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	1.1	0.8	1.2	1.3
Number of States.....	51	16	18	17
With differences of:				
Less than 1 percent.....	21	9	7	5
1 to 2 percent.....	19	6	6	7
2 percent and over.....	11	1	5	5
Where Administrative Records was:				
Higher.....	29	10	9	10
Lower.....	22	6	9	7

Table B. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Provisional FSCP Estimates for Counties: 1977

(Base is the provisional FSCP estimates for counties)

Item	All counties	Counties with 1,000 or more 1970 population					Counties with less than 1,000 1970 population
		Total	50,000 or more	25,000 to 49,999	10,000 to 24,999	1,000 to 9,999	
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	2.6	2.6	1.5	2.1	2.5	3.6	8.4
Number of counties or equivalents.....	3,143	3,117	679	567	1,017	854	26
With differences of:							
Less than 1 percent.....	952	951	329	191	266	165	1
1 to 3 percent.....	1,265	1,259	274	246	436	303	6
3 to 5 percent.....	526	520	56	95	196	173	6
5 to 10 percent.....	327	320	18	30	101	171	7
10 percent and over.....	73	67	2	5	18	42	6

Table C. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates (Unrevised) and 86 Special Censuses: 1973

(Base is special census)

Area	Average percent difference ¹	Number of areas with differences of:			
		Under 3 percent	3 to 5 percent	5 to 10 percent	10 percent and over
All areas (86) ²	5.9	32	18	20	16
1,000 to 20,000 (59).....	4.6	26	13	14	6
Under 1,000 population (27).....	8.6	6	5	6	10

¹Disregarding sign.

²All areas have population under 20,000 persons.

As a final caution, it must be noted that for convenience in presentation, the estimates contained in table 1 are shown in unrounded form. It is not intended, however, that the figures be considered accurate to the last digit. The nature of estimates prompts the rounding of figures in related Bureau reports and must be kept in mind during the application of the estimates contained here.

RELATED REPORTS

The population estimates shown in this series of reports update those found in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 740 through 789 for 1976. The population estimates contained here for States are consistent with Series P-25, No. 790. The county estimates for 1977 are

superior to the provisional 1977 figures published earlier in Series P-25 and P-26 due to the addition of a second method, but will not be reported elsewhere in **Current Population Reports**. The county population estimates are being replaced by subsequent final 1977 figures developed through the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates.

DETAILED TABLE SYMBOLS

In the detailed table entries, a dash "--" represents zero, and the symbol "Z" indicates that the figure is less than 0.05 percent. The symbol "B" means that the base for the derived figure is less than 75,000. Three dots "..." mean not applicable and "NA" means not available.

Table 1. July 1, 1977 Population Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas

AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977		AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				NUMBER	PERCENT
STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE	853 076	737 681	115 395	15.6	LANCASTER TOWN.....	3 225	3 166	59	1.9
BELKNAP COUNTY.....	38 032	32 367	5 665	17.5	MILAN TOWN.....	945	713	232	32.5
LACONIA.....	15 061	14 888	173	1.2	NORTHUMBERLAND TOWN.....	2 651	2 493	158	6.3
ALTON TOWN.....	2 125	1 647	478	29.0	PITTSBURG TOWN.....	825	726	99	13.6
BARNSTEAD TOWN.....	1 813	1 119	694	62.0	RANDOLPH TOWN.....	199	169	30	17.8
BELMONT TOWN.....	3 333	2 493	840	33.7	SHELBURNE TOWN.....	312	199	113	56.8
CENTER HARBOR TOWN.....	644	540	104	19.3	STARK TOWN.....	411	343	68	19.8
GILFORD TOWN.....	4 630	3 219	1 411	43.8	STEWARTSTOWN TOWN.....	1 088	1 008	80	7.9
GILMANTON TOWN.....	1 548	1 010	538	53.3	STRAFORD TOWN.....	907	980	-73	-7.4
MEREDITH TOWN.....	3 667	2 904	763	26.3	WHITEFIELD TOWN.....	1 775	1 538	237	15.4
NEW HAMPTON TOWN.....	1 058	946	112	11.8	GRAFTON COUNTY.....	61 303	54 914	6 389	11.6
SANBORNTON TOWN.....	1 312	1 022	290	28.4	LEBANON.....	10 253	9 725	528	5.4
TILTON TOWN.....	2 842	2 579	263	10.2	ALEXANDRIA TOWN.....	597	466	131	28.1
CARROLL COUNTY.....	24 370	18 548	5 822	31.4	ASHLAND TOWN.....	1 744	1 599	145	9.1
ALBANY TOWN.....	351	259	92	35.5	BATH TOWN.....	607	607	0	0.0
BARTLETT TOWN.....	1 532	1 098	434	39.5	BENTON TOWN.....	239	194	45	23.2
BROOKFIELD TOWN.....	327	198	129	65.2	BETHLEHEM TOWN.....	1 715	1 142	573	50.2
CHATHAM TOWN.....	119	134	-15	-11.2	BRIDGEWATER TOWN.....	490	398	92	23.1
CENTER CONWAY TOWN.....	6 098	4 865	1 233	25.3	BRISTOL TOWN.....	2 076	1 670	406	24.3
EATON TOWN.....	207	221	-14	-6.3	CAMPTON TOWN.....	1 554	1 171	383	32.7
EFFINGHAM TOWN.....	445	360	85	23.6	CANAAN TOWN.....	2 341	1 923	418	21.7
FREEDOM TOWN.....	693	387	306	79.1	DORCHESTER TOWN.....	151	141	10	7.1
HARTS LOCATION TOWN.....	19	7	12	171.4	EASTON TOWN.....	92	92	0	0.0
JACKSON TOWN.....	605	404	201	49.8	ELLSWORTH TOWN.....	19	13	6	46.2
MADISON TOWN.....	863	572	291	47.5	ENFIELD TOWN.....	2 508	2 345	163	7.0
MOULTONBOROUGH TOWN.....	1 932	1 310	622	47.5	FRANCONIA TOWN.....	740	655	85	13.0
OSSIPEE TOWN.....	2 160	1 647	513	31.1	GRAFTON TOWN.....	585	370	215	58.1
SANDWICH TOWN.....	859	666	193	29.0	GROTON TOWN.....	104	120	-16	-13.3
TAMWORTH TOWN.....	1 288	1 054	234	22.2	HANOVER TOWN.....	9 107	8 494	613	7.2
TUFTONBORO TOWN.....	1 412	910	502	55.2	HAVERHILL TOWN.....	3 341	3 090	251	8.1
WAKEFIELD TOWN.....	1 921	1 420	501	35.3	HEBRON TOWN.....	345	234	111	47.4
WOLFEBORO TOWN.....	3 538	3 036	502	16.5	HOLDERNESS TOWN.....	1 235	1 048	187	17.8
CHESHIRE COUNTY.....	58 180	52 364	5 816	11.1	LANDAFF TOWN.....	326	292	34	11.6
KEENE.....	21 167	20 467	700	3.4	LINCOLN TOWN.....	1 379	1 341	38	2.8
ALSTEAD TOWN.....	1 420	1 185	235	19.8	LISBON TOWN.....	1 455	1 480	-25	-1.7
CHESTERFIELD TOWN.....	2 456	1 817	639	36.2	LITTLETON TOWN.....	5 405	5 290	115	2.2
DUBLIN TOWN.....	1 080	837	243	29.0	LYMAN TOWN.....	245	213	32	15.0
FITZWILLIAM TOWN.....	1 624	1 362	262	19.2	LYME TOWN.....	1 200	1 112	88	7.9
GILSUM TOWN.....	624	570	54	9.5	MONROE TOWN.....	543	385	158	41.0
HARRISVILLE TOWN.....	628	584	44	7.5	ORANGE TOWN.....	168	103	65	63.1
HINSDALE TOWN.....	3 568	3 276	282	8.6	ORFORD TOWN.....	849	793	56	7.1
JAFFREY TOWN.....	3 817	3 353	464	13.8	PIERMONT TOWN.....	631	462	169	36.6
MARLBOROUGH TOWN.....	1 780	1 671	109	6.5	PLYMOUTH TOWN.....	4 910	4 225	685	16.2
MARLOW TOWN.....	530	390	140	35.9	RUMNEY TOWN.....	1 067	870	197	22.6
NELSON TOWN.....	464	304	160	52.6	SUGAR HILL TOWN.....	404	336	68	20.2
RICHMOND TOWN.....	432	287	145	50.5	THORNTON TOWN.....	746	594	152	25.6
RINDGE TOWN.....	2 175	2 175	0	0.0	WARREN TOWN.....	519	539	-20	-3.7
ROXBURY TOWN.....	186	161	25	15.5	WATERVILLE VALLEY TOWN.....	159	109	50	45.9
STODDARD TOWN.....	363	242	121	50.0	WENTWORTH TOWN.....	546	376	170	45.2
SULLIVAN TOWN.....	459	376	83	22.1	WOODSTOCK TOWN.....	817	897	-80	-8.9
SURRY TOWN.....	505	507	-2	-0.4	HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY.....	256 355	223 941	32 414	14.5
SWANZEY TOWN.....	4 833	4 254	579	13.6	MANCHESTER.....	85 119	87 754	-2 635	-3.0
TROY TOWN.....	1 945	1 713	232	13.5	NASHUA.....	64 273	55 820	8 453	15.1
WALPOLE TOWN.....	3 027	2 966	61	2.1	AMHERST TOWN.....	8 131	4 605	3 526	76.6
WESTMORELAND TOWN.....	1 169	998	171	17.1	ANTRIM TOWN.....	1 952	2 122	-170	-8.0
WINCHESTER TOWN.....	3 362	2 869	493	17.2	BEDFORD TOWN.....	8 138	5 859	2 279	38.9
COOS COUNTY.....	35 337	34 291	1 046	3.1	BENNINGTON TOWN.....	699	639	60	9.4
BERLIN.....	14 334	15 256	-922	-6.0	BROOKLINE TOWN.....	1 442	1 167	275	23.6
CARROLL TOWN.....	569	310	259	83.5	DEERING TOWN.....	782	578	204	35.3
CLARKSVILLE TOWN.....	161	166	-5	-3.0	FRANCASTOWN TOWN.....	754	525	229	43.6
COLEBROOK TOWN.....	2 229	2 094	135	6.4	GOFFSTOWN TOWN.....	10 103	9 284	819	8.8
COLUMBIA TOWN.....	547	467	80	17.1	GREENFIELD TOWN.....	1 276	1 058	218	20.6
DALTON TOWN.....	498	425	73	17.2	GREENVILLE TOWN.....	1 809	1 587	222	14.0
DUMMER TOWN.....	253	225	28	12.4	HANCOCK TOWN.....	1 227	909	318	35.0
ERROL TOWN.....	244	199	45	22.6	HILLSBOROUGH TOWN.....	3 037	2 775	262	9.4
GORHAM TOWN.....	3 129	2 998	131	4.4	HOLLIS TOWN.....	2 828	2 616	212	8.1
JEFFERSON TOWN.....	922	714	208	29.1	HUDSON TOWN.....	12 802	10 638	2 164	20.3
					LITCHFIELD TOWN.....	2 279	1 420	859	60.5
					LYNDEBOROUGH TOWN.....	967	789	178	22.6
					MASON TOWN.....	726	518	208	40.2
					MERRIMACK TOWN.....	16 330	8 595	7 735	90.0
					MILFORD TOWN.....	8 258	6 622	1 636	24.7

Table 1. July 1, 1977 Population Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977		AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				NUMBER	PERCENT
MONT VERNON TOWN.....	1 235	906	329	36.3	HAMPSTEAD TOWN.....	3 557	2 401	1 156	48.1
NEW BOSTON TOWN.....	1 630	1 390	240	17.3	HAMPTON FALLS TOWN.....	1 522	1 254	268	21.4
NEW IPSWICH TOWN.....	2 099	1 803	296	16.4	HAMPTON TOWN.....	9 135	8 011	1 124	14.0
PELHAM TOWN.....	7 790	5 408	2 382	44.0	KENSINGTON TOWN.....	1 159	1 044	115	11.0
PETERBOROUGH TOWN.....	4 520	3 807	713	18.7	KINGSTON TOWN.....	3 461	2 882	579	20.1
SHARON TOWN.....	153	136	17	12.5	LONDONDERRY TOWN.....	11 714	5 346	6 368	119.1
TEMPLE TOWN.....	595	441	154	34.9	NEW CASTLE TOWN.....	995	975	20	2.1
WEARE TOWN.....	2 778	1 851	927	50.1	NEWFIELDS TOWN.....	900	843	57	6.8
WILTON TOWN.....	2 570	2 276	294	12.9	NEWINGTON TOWN.....	656	798	-142	-17.8
WINDSOR TOWN.....	54	43	11	25.6	NEWMARKET TOWN.....	3 572	3 361	211	6.3
MERRIMACK COUNTY.....	89 882	80 925	8 957	11.1	NEWTON TOWN.....	2 660	1 920	740	38.5
CONCORD.....	28 980	30 022	-1 042	-3.5	NORTH HAMPTON TOWN.....	3 527	3 259	268	8.2
FRANKLIN.....	7 300	7 292	8	0.1	NORTHWOOD TOWN.....	1 972	1 526	446	29.2
ALLENSTOWN TOWN.....	3 835	2 732	1 103	40.4	NOTTINGHAM TOWN.....	1 226	952	274	28.8
ANDOVER TOWN.....	1 316	1 138	178	15.6	PLAISTOW TOWN.....	5 504	4 712	792	16.8
BOSCAWEN TOWN.....	3 422	3 162	260	8.2	RAYMOUND TOWN.....	4 598	3 903	1 595	53.1
BOW TOWN.....	3 390	2 479	911	36.7	RYE TOWN.....	4 350	4 083	267	6.5
BRADFORD TOWN.....	952	679	273	40.2	SALEM TOWN.....	23 638	20 142	3 496	17.4
CANTERBURY TOWN.....	1 222	895	327	36.5	SANDOWN TOWN.....	1 418	1 418	677	91.4
CHICHESTER TOWN.....	1 207	1 083	124	11.4	SEABROOK TOWN.....	6 041	3 053	2 988	97.9
DANBURY TOWN.....	624	489	135	27.6	SOUTHAMPTON TOWN.....	592	556	34	6.1
DUNBARTON TOWN.....	966	825	141	17.1	STRATHAM TOWN.....	2 316	1 512	804	53.2
EPSOM TOWN.....	2 035	1 469	566	38.9	WINDHAM TOWN.....	4 889	3 008	1 881	62.5
HENNIKER TOWN.....	2 873	2 348	525	22.4	STRAFFORD COUNTY.....	80 897	70 431	10 466	14.9
HILL TOWN.....	513	450	63	14.0	DOVER.....	21 685	20 850	835	4.0
HOOKSETT TOWN.....	6 943	5 564	1 379	24.8	ROCHESTER.....	20 210	17 938	2 272	12.7
HOPKINTON TOWN.....	3 550	3 007	543	18.1	SOMERSWORTH.....	9 462	9 026	436	4.8
LOUDON TOWN.....	2 311	1 707	604	35.4	BARRINGTON TOWN.....	3 680	1 865	1 815	97.3
NEWBURY TOWN.....	773	509	264	51.9	DURHAM TOWN.....	11 953	8 869	3 084	34.8
NEW LONDON TOWN.....	2 949	2 236	713	31.9	FARMINGTON TOWN.....	3 822	3 588	234	6.5
NORTHFIELD TOWN.....	2 618	2 193	425	19.4	LEE TOWN.....	1 695	1 481	214	14.4
PENBROKE TOWN.....	4 540	4 261	279	6.5	MADBURY TOWN.....	846	704	142	20.2
PITTSFIELD TOWN.....	2 689	2 517	172	6.8	MIDDLETON TOWN.....	593	430	163	37.9
SALISBURY TOWN.....	704	589	115	19.5	MILTON TOWN.....	2 206	1 859	347	18.7
SUTTON TOWN.....	915	642	273	42.5	NEW DURHAM TOWN.....	958	583	375	64.3
WARNER TOWN.....	1 722	1 441	281	19.5	ROLLINSFORD TOWN.....	2 581	2 273	308	13.6
WEBESTER TOWN.....	852	680	172	25.3	STRAFFORD TOWN.....	1 206	965	241	25.0
WILMOT TOWN.....	679	516	163	31.6	SULLIVAN COUNTY.....	33 405	30 949	2 456	7.9
ROCKINGHAM COUNTY.....	175 316	138 951	36 365	26.2	CLAREMONT.....	13 713	14 221	-508	-3.6
PORTSMOUTH.....	26 417	25 717	700	2.7	ACWORTH TOWN.....	570	459	111	24.2
ATKINSON TOWN.....	3 569	2 291	1 278	55.8	CHARLESTOWN TOWN.....	3 917	3 274	643	19.6
AUBURN TOWN.....	3 067	2 035	1 032	50.7	CORNISH TOWN.....	1 234	1 268	-34	-2.7
BRENTWOOD TOWN.....	1 829	1 468	361	24.6	CROYDON TOWN.....	423	396	27	6.8
CANDIA TOWN.....	2 204	1 997	207	10.4	GOSHEN TOWN.....	481	395	86	21.8
CHESTER TOWN.....	2 021	1 382	639	46.2	GRANTHAM TOWN.....	591	266	325	61.5
DANVILLE TOWN.....	1 121	924	197	21.3	LANGDON TOWN.....	463	337	126	37.4
DEERFIELD TOWN.....	1 825	1 178	647	54.9	LEMPSTER TOWN.....	630	360	270	75.0
DERRY TOWN.....	16 791	11 712	5 079	43.4	NEWPORT TOWN.....	6 060	5 899	161	2.7
EAST KINGSTON TOWN.....	1 081	838	243	29.0	PLAINFIELD TOWN.....	1 716	1 323	393	29.7
EPHING TOWN.....	2 835	2 356	479	20.3	SPRINGFIELD TOWN.....	4 438	3 310	1 128	41.3
EXETER TOWN.....	10 114	8 892	1 222	13.7	SUNAPEE TOWN.....	1 857	1 384	473	34.2
FREMONT TOWN.....	1 359	993	366	36.9	UNITY TOWN.....	873	709	164	23.1
GREENLAND TOWN.....	1 680	1 784	-104	-5.8	WASHINGTON TOWN.....	438	248	190	76.6

**1977 Population Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places,
and Selected Minor Civil Divisions**

(Reports may not be published in numerical order)

No. 814 Alabama	No. 839 Montana
No. 815 Alaska	No. 840 Nebraska
No. 816 Arizona	No. 841 Nevada
No. 817 Arkansas	No. 842 New Hampshire
No. 818 California	No. 843 New Jersey
No. 819 Colorado	No. 844 New Mexico
No. 820 Connecticut	No. 845 New York
No. 821 Delaware	No. 846 North Carolina
No. 822 Florida	No. 847 North Dakota
No. 823 Georgia	No. 848 Ohio
No. 824 Hawaii	No. 849 Oklahoma
No. 825 Idaho	No. 850 Oregon
No. 826 Illinois	No. 851 Pennsylvania
No. 827 Indiana	No. 852 Rhode Island
No. 828 Iowa	No. 853 South Carolina
No. 829 Kansas	No. 854 South Dakota
No. 830 Kentucky	No. 855 Tennessee
No. 831 Louisiana	No. 856 Texas
No. 832 Maine	No. 857 Utah
No. 833 Maryland	No. 858 Vermont
No. 834 Massachusetts	No. 859 Virginia
No. 835 Michigan	No. 860 Washington
No. 836 Minnesota	No. 861 West Virginia
No. 837 Mississippi	No. 862 Wisconsin
No. 838 Missouri	No. 863 Wyoming