



U.S. Department of Commerce
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Series P-25, No. 850
Issued November 1979

Population Estimates and Projections

1977 Population Estimates for Counties and Incorporated Places in Oregon

This report is one of a series containing current estimates of the total July 1, 1977, population for all general purpose governmental units in each State. The preparation of current population estimates below the county level was prompted by the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. The estimates shown here also reflect changes made during the review of the figures with local officials. The figures are used by a wide variety of Federal, State, and local governmental agencies for program planning and administrative purposes. Estimates of per capita income for 1976 were not prepared, but figures for 1977 will appear later in this report series accompanying the 1978 population estimates.

Areas included in this series of reports are all counties (or county equivalents such as census divisions in Alaska, parishes in Louisiana, and independent cities in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia) and incorporated places in the State, plus active minor civil divisions (MCD's), commonly towns in New England, New York, and Wisconsin, or townships in other parts of the United States.¹ These State reports appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, in alphabetical sequence as report number 814 (Alabama) through number 863 (Wyoming). A list indicating the report number for each State is appended.

The detailed table for each State shows July 1, 1977, estimates of the population of each area, together with April 1, 1970, census population and numerical and percentage change between 1970 and 1977. The 1970 figures reflect annexations since 1970 up to December 31, 1977, and include corrections to the 1970 census counts.

The estimates are presented in the table in county order, with all incorporated places in the county listed in alphabetical order, followed by any functioning minor civil divisions also listed in alphabetical order. Minor civil divisions

¹In certain midwestern States (Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and the Dakotas), some counties have active minor civil divisions while others do not.

are always identified in the listing by the term "township," "town," or other MCD category. When incorporated places fall in more than one county, each county piece is marked "part," and totals for these places are presented at the end of the table.

METHODOLOGY

To estimate the population of each subcounty area, a component procedure (the Administrative Records method) was used, with each of the components of population change (births, deaths, net migration, and special populations) estimated separately. The estimates were derived in four stages, moving from 1970 as a base year to develop estimates for 1973, and, in turn, moving from 1973 as the base year to derive estimates for 1975, from 1975 as the base year for 1976, and from 1976 as the base year for 1977.

Migration. Individual Federal income tax returns were used to measure migration by matching individual returns for successive periods. The places of residence on tax returns filed in the base year and in the estimate year were noted for matched returns to determine immigrants, outmigrants, and nonmigrants for each area. A net migration rate was derived, based on the difference between the immigration and outmigration of taxpayers and dependents, and was applied to a base population to yield an estimate of net migration for all persons in the area.

Natural change. Reported resident birth and death statistics were used, wherever available, to estimate natural change. These data were collected from State health departments and supplemented, where necessary, by data prepared and published by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Center for Health Statistics. For subcounty areas where reported birth and death statistics were not available from either source, estimates were developed by applying fertility and mortality rates. These estimates were

subsequently controlled to agree with birth and death statistics for larger areas where reported data were available.

Adjustment for special populations. In addition to the above components of population change, estimates of special populations were also taken into account. Special populations include immigrants from abroad, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, residents of institutions (prisons and long-term health care facilities), and college students enrolled in full-time programs. These populations were treated separately because changes in these types of population groups are not reflected in the components of population change developed by standard measures, and the information is generally available for use as an independent series.

Annexations and new incorporations. The 1970 census counts shown in this report reflect all population corrections made to the figures after the initial tabulations. In addition, adjustments for annexations are reflected in the estimates. For new incorporations occurring after 1970, the 1970 population within the boundaries of the new areas is shown in the detailed table.

Other adjustments. For areas where special censuses were conducted at dates that approximate the estimate date, the census results were taken into account in developing the estimates.² In several States, the subcounty estimates developed by the Administrative Records method were averaged with estimates for corresponding geographic areas which were prepared by State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates (FSCP). These States include California, Florida, New Jersey, Oregon, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

Counties. In generating estimates for counties by this procedure, the method was modified slightly to make the county estimates specific to the resident population under 65 years of age. The resident population 65 years old and over in counties was estimated separately by adding the change in Medicare enrollees between April 1, 1970, and July 1 of the estimate year to the April 1, 1970, population 65 years old and over in the county as enumerated in the 1970 census. These estimates of the population 65 years old and over were then added to estimates of the population under 65 years old to yield estimates of the total resident population in each county.

The estimates for the subareas in each county were adjusted to independently derived county estimates. Since all of the data necessary to develop final estimates under the FSCP program are not available at the time subcounty estimates are prepared, only two of the methods relied upon

in the standard FSCP program of estimates for counties (i.e., Component Method II and the Administrative Records method) were utilized. The 1977 estimates result from adding the average 1976-77 population change indicated by the two methods to the 1976 county population figures contained in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26.³

The county estimates, in turn, were adjusted to be consistent with independent State estimates published by the Bureau of the Census in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 790, in which the Administrative Records based estimates were averaged with the estimates prepared using Component Method II and the Regression method.⁴

LIMITATIONS OF THE ESTIMATES

Tests of the accuracy of the methods used to develop State and county population estimates appearing in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26 are reported in Series P-25, No. 520 for States and in Series P-26, No. 21 for counties. In summary, the State estimates averaging Component Method II and the Regression method yielded average differences of approximately 1.9 percent when compared to the 1970 census. Subsequent modifications of the two procedures that have been incorporated in preparing estimates for the 1970's would have reduced the average difference in 1970 to 1.2 percent. For counties, the 1970 evaluations indicated an average difference of approximately 4.5 percent for the combination of procedures used. It should be noted that all of the evaluations against the results of the 1970 census concern estimates extending over the entire 10-year period of 1960 to 1970.

Since 1970, however, the Administrative Records method has been introduced with partial weight in the estimates for States and counties, and except for the few States in which local estimates are utilized, carries the full weight for estimates below the county level. The data series upon which the estimates procedure is based has been available as a comprehensive series for the entire United States only since 1967. Nonetheless, several studies have been undertaken evaluating the Administrative Records estimates from the State to the local level. At the Statewide level, little direct testing can be performed due to the lack of special censuses covering entire States. Some sense of the general reasonableness of the Administrative Records estimates may be obtained, however, by reviewing the degree of correspondence between the results of the method against those of the "standard" methods tested in 1970 and already in use to produce State estimates during the 1970's. It must be recognized that the differences between the two sets of estimates may not be interpreted as errors in either set of figures, but may only be used as a partial guide indicating the degree of consistency between the newer Administrative Records system and the established methods.

² Only special censuses conducted by the Bureau of the Census or by the California, Florida, Michigan, Oregon, or Washington State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates were used for this purpose. In addition, in a relatively small number of cases where special censuses were conducted by localities, where the procedures and definitions were essentially the same as those used by the Bureau of the Census, the results of these special censuses were also taken into account in preparing the estimates.

³ Descriptions of the methodologies are given for each State in the individual Series P-26 or P-25 report for the State.

⁴ For further discussion of the methodologies used in preparing State estimates, see **Current Population Reports**, P-25, No. 640.

Table A presents such a comparison for State estimates referring to July 1, 1977. A rather close agreement may be observed in the estimates for all States at only a 1.1 percent difference. The variation of the Administrative Records method from the average of the other methods does increase for smaller States in a regular pattern, but still reaches an average of only 1.3 percent for the smallest size category. The only consistent variations suggesting a potential for directional bias are indicated in the tendency for larger States to be estimated higher by the Administrative Records procedure than by the other techniques.

A similar comparison may be made at the county level (table B). Although the differences between the FSCP estimates and the Administrative Records results are larger at the county level than for States, the variations are well within the range that would be expected for areas of this population size, and the county pattern matches closely the findings for States. The overall difference for all counties is 2.6 percent, and ranges from 1.5 percent for the larger counties to 8.4 percent for the 26 small counties under 1,000 population. The comparisons indicate virtually no change from similar comparisons for the 1976 estimates. Only the average difference for counties with less than 1,000 population experienced any significant change from the 1976 levels in improving from 10.1 to 8.4 average percent differences.

Three tests of the Administrative Records population estimates against census counts also have been undertaken. First, a limited evaluation involving 24 large areas (16 counties and 8 cities) was conducted on estimates for the 1968-70 period.⁵ Although the test shows the estimates to

⁵Meyer Zitter and David L. Word, U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Use of Administrative Records for Small Area Population Estimates," unpublished paper prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 27, 1973.

be quite accurate (1.8 percent difference), the areas may not be assumed to be representative of the 39,000 units of government covered by the Administrative Records estimating system, and the time segment evaluated refers only to a 2-year period.

A more representative group of special censuses in 86 areas selected particularly for evaluation purposes was conducted in 1973. The areas were randomly chosen nationwide to be typical of areas with populations below 20,000 persons. Table C summarizes the average percent difference between the estimates from the Administrative Records method and counts from the 86 special censuses. Overall, the estimates differed from the special census counts by 5.9 percent, with the largest differences occurring in the smallest areas. Areas of between 1,000 and 20,000 population differed by 4.6 percent, while the average difference for the 27 areas below 1,000 population was 8.6 percent. There was a slight positive directional bias, with about 60 percent of the estimates exceeding the census counts. Again, the impact of population size on the expected level of accuracy may be noted. Even though all of the areas in this study are relatively small—less than 20,000 population—the larger ones demonstrate much lower variation from census figures than the smaller ones.

The third evaluation involving census comparisons is currently underway, and is based upon the approximately 2,000 special censuses that have been conducted since 1970 at the request of localities throughout the United States. Such areas constitute a fairly stringent test for any method in that they are generally very small areas, often are experiencing rapid population growth, and frequently are found to have had a vigorous program of annexation since the last census. This evaluation study has not been completed for use here, but will be included in detail as a part of the comprehensive methodology description in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, No. 699 (in preparation).

Table A. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Average of Component Method II and Regression Estimates for States: 1977

(Base is the average of Method II and Regression estimates)

Item	All States	Population size in 1970		
		4 million and over	1.5 to 4 million	Less than 1.5 million
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	1.1	0.8	1.2	1.3
Number of States.....	51	16	18	17
With differences of:				
Less than 1 percent.....	21	9	7	5
1 to 2 percent.....	19	6	6	7
2 percent and over.....	11	1	5	5
Where Administrative Records was:				
Higher.....	29	10	9	10
Lower.....	22	6	9	7

Table B. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Provisional FSCP Estimates for Counties: 1977

(Base is the provisional FSCP estimates for counties)

Item	All counties	Counties with 1,000 or more 1970 population					Counties with less than 1,000 1970 population
		Total	50,000 or more	25,000 to 49,999	10,000 to 24,999	1,000 to 9,999	
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	2.6	2.6	1.5	2.1	2.5	3.6	8.4
Number of counties or equivalents.....	3,143	3,117	679	567	1,017	854	26
With differences of:							
Less than 1 percent.....	952	951	329	191	266	165	1
1 to 3 percent.....	1,265	1,259	274	246	436	303	6
3 to 5 percent.....	526	520	56	95	196	173	6
5 to 10 percent.....	327	320	18	30	101	171	7
10 percent and over.....	73	67	2	5	18	42	6

Table C. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates (Unrevised) and 86 Special Censuses: 1973

(Base is special census)

Area	Average percent difference ¹	Number of areas with differences of:			
		Under 3 percent	3 to 5 percent	5 to 10 percent	10 percent and over
All areas (86) ²	5.9	32	18	20	16
1,000 to 20,000 (59).....	4.6	26	13	14	6
Under 1,000 population (27).....	8.6	6	5	6	10

¹Disregarding sign.

²All areas have population under 20,000 persons.

As a final caution, it must be noted that for convenience in presentation, the estimates contained in table 1 are shown in unrounded form. It is not intended, however, that the figures be considered accurate to the last digit. The nature of estimates prompts the rounding of figures in related Bureau reports and must be kept in mind during the application of the estimates contained here.

RELATED REPORTS

The population estimates shown in this series of reports update those found in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 740 through 789 for 1976. The population estimates contained here for States are consistent with Series P-25, No. 790. The county estimates for 1977 are

superior to the provisional 1977 figures published earlier in Series P-25 and P-26 due to the addition of a second method, but will not be reported elsewhere in **Current Population Reports**. The county population estimates are being replaced by subsequent final 1977 figures developed through the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates.

DETAILED TABLE SYMBOLS

In the detailed table entries, a dash "--" represents zero, and the symbol "Z" indicates that the figure is less than 0.05 percent. The symbol "B" means that the base for the derived figure is less than 75,000. Three dots "... " mean not applicable and "NA" means not available.

Table 1. July 1, 1977 Population Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas

AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977		AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				NUMBER	PERCENT
STATE OF OREGON.....	2 384 501	2 091 533	292 968	14.0					
BAKER COUNTY.....	15 940	14 919	1 021	6.8	CURRY COUNTY.....	14 821	13 006	1 815	14.0
BAKER.....	9 475	9 354	121	1.3	BROOKINGS.....	3 435	2 720	715	26.3
GREENHORN (PART).....	3	3	-	-	GOLD BEACH.....	1 721	1 554	167	10.7
HAINES.....	359	314	45	14.3	PORT ORFORD.....	1 055	1 037	18	1.7
HALFWAY.....	402	317	85	26.8					
HUNTINGTON.....	511	507	4	0.8	DESCHUTES COUNTY.....	46 898	30 442	16 456	54.1
RICHLAND.....	167	133	34	25.6	BEND.....	17 981	13 710	4 271	31.2
SUMPTER.....	155	120	35	29.2	REDMOND.....	5 648	3 721	1 927	51.8
UNITY.....	217	125	92	73.6	SISTERS.....	827	516	311	60.3
BENTON COUNTY.....	65 028	53 776	11 252	20.9	DOUGLAS COUNTY.....	85 012	71 743	13 269	18.5
ADAIR.....	671	49	622	1 269.4	CANYONVILLE.....	1 292	940	352	37.4
ALBANY (PART).....	-	-	-	-	DRAIN.....	1 272	1 204	68	5.6
CORVALLIS.....	38 367	35 056	3 311	9.4	ELKTON.....	199	176	23	13.1
MONROE.....	533	443	90	20.3	GLENDALE.....	857	709	148	20.9
PHILOMATH.....	2 367	1 688	679	40.2	MYRTLE CREEK.....	3 400	2 733	667	24.4
CLACKAMAS COUNTY.....	213 154	166 088	47 066	28.3	OAKLAND.....	1 159	1 010	149	14.8
BARLOW.....	117	105	12	11.4	REEDSPORT.....	4 737	4 039	698	17.3
CANBY.....	6 267	3 813	2 454	64.4	RIDDLE.....	1 289	1 042	247	23.7
ESTACADA.....	1 750	1 164	586	50.3	ROSEBURG ¹	17 680	15 674	2 006	12.8
GLADSTONE.....	8 973	6 254	2 719	43.5	SUTHERLIN.....	4 745	3 070	1 675	54.6
HAPPY VALLEY.....	1 505	1 392	113	8.1	WINSTON.....	3 088	2 468	620	25.1
JOHNSON CITY.....	417	409	8	2.0	YONCALLA.....	779	675	104	15.4
LAKE OSWEGO (PART).....	20 369	14 597	5 772	39.5					
MILWAUKIE (PART).....	18 219	16 444	1 775	10.8	GILLIAM COUNTY.....	2 104	2 342	-238	-10.2
MOLALLA.....	2 967	2 005	962	48.0	ARLINGTON.....	553	375	178	47.5
OREGON CITY.....	14 102	9 176	4 926	53.7	CONDON.....	899	973	-74	-7.6
PORTLAND (PART).....	564	509	55	10.8	LONEROCK.....	13	12	1	8.3
RIVERGROVE (PART).....	328	284	44	15.5					
SANDY.....	2 408	1 544	864	56.0	GRANT COUNTY.....	7 475	6 996	479	6.8
TUALATIN (PART).....	36	-	36	...	CANYON CITY.....	691	600	91	15.2
WEST LINN.....	10 618	7 091	3 527	49.7	DAYVILLE.....	201	197	4	2.0
WILSONVILLE (PART).....	2 238	996	1 242	124.7	GRANITE.....	20	4	16	400.0
CLATSOP COUNTY.....	29 733	28 473	1 260	4.4	GREENHORN (PART).....	-	-	-	...
ASTORIA.....	10 395	10 244	151	1.5	JOHN DAY.....	1 870	1 566	304	19.4
CANNON BEACH.....	917	779	138	17.7	LONG CREEK.....	203	196	7	3.6
GEARHART.....	891	829	62	7.5	MONUMENT.....	187	161	26	16.1
HAMMOND.....	570	500	70	14.0	MT VERNON.....	540	423	117	27.7
SEASIDE.....	4 736	4 402	334	7.6	PRAIRIE CITY.....	1 082	867	215	24.8
WARRENTON.....	2 316	1 825	491	26.9	SENECA.....	387	382	5	1.3
COLUMBIA COUNTY.....	33 408	28 790	4 618	16.0	HARNEY COUNTY.....	7 791	7 215	576	8.0
CLATSKANIE.....	1 638	1 286	352	27.4	BURNS.....	3 662	3 293	369	11.2
COLUMBIA CITY.....	684	537	147	27.4	HINES.....	1 681	1 407	274	19.5
PRESCOTT.....	102	105	-3	-2.9					
RAINIER.....	1 939	1 731	208	12.0	HOOD RIVER COUNTY.....	14 604	13 187	1 417	10.7
ST. HELENS.....	7 237	6 212	1 025	16.5	CASCADE LOCKS.....	810	574	236	41.1
SCAPPOOSE.....	2 906	1 859	1 047	56.3	HOOD RIVER.....	4 529	3 991	538	13.5
VERNONIA.....	1 819	1 643	176	10.7	JACKSON COUNTY.....	117 919	94 533	23 386	24.7
COOS COUNTY.....	61 304	56 515	4 789	8.5	ASHLAND.....	14 621	12 342	2 279	18.5
BANDON.....	2 304	1 832	472	25.8	BUTTE FALLS.....	429	358	71	19.8
COOS BAY.....	14 316	13 466	850	6.3	CENTRAL POINT.....	5 907	4 004	1 903	47.5
COQUILLE.....	4 597	4 437	160	3.6	EAGLE POINT.....	2 594	1 241	1 353	109.0
EASTSIDE.....	1 578	1 331	247	18.6	GOLD HILL.....	810	603	207	34.3
LAKE SIDE.....	1 548	1 062	486	45.8	JACKSONVILLE.....	2 251	1 611	640	39.7
MYRTLE POINT.....	2 846	2 511	335	13.3	MEDFORD.....	35 769	28 973	6 796	23.5
NORTH BEND.....	9 670	8 553	1 117	13.1	PHOENIX.....	1 747	1 287	460	35.7
POWERS.....	940	842	98	11.6	ROGUE RIVER.....	1 032	841	191	22.7
CROOK COUNTY.....	12 011	9 985	2 026	20.3	SHADY COVE.....	1 074	613	461	75.2
PRINEVILLE ¹	5 918	4 681	1 237	26.4	TALENT.....	2 546	1 411	1 135	80.4

¹1970 CENSUS FIGURE INCLUDES 1970 CENSUS POPULATION RESIDING IN AREAS ANNEXED THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1977.

Table 1. July 1, 1977 Population Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977		AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				NUMBER	PERCENT
JEFFERSON COUNTY.....	10 238	8 548	1 690	19.8	ONTARIO.....	8 023	6 523	1 500	23.0
GULVER.....	454	407	47	11.5	VALE.....	1 778	1 448	330	22.8
MADRAS.....	2 146	1 689	457	27.1	MARION COUNTY.....	177 363	151 309	26 054	17.2
METOLIUS.....	412	270	142	52.6	AUMSVILLE.....	1 568	590	978	165.8
JOSEPHINE COUNTY.....	50 789	35 746	15 043	42.1	AURORA.....	504	306	198	64.7
CAVE JUNCTION.....	724	415	309	74.5	DETROIT.....	374	328	46	14.0
GRANTS PASS.....	14 578	12 455	2 123	17.0	DONALD.....	298	231	67	29.0
KLAMATH COUNTY.....	56 615	50 021	6 594	13.2	GATES (PART).....	305	250	55	22.0
BONANZA.....	270	230	40	17.4	GERVAIS.....	831	746	85	11.4
CHILOQUIN.....	896	826	70	8.5	HUBBARD.....	1 483	975	508	52.1
KLAMATH FALLS.....	17 102	15 775	1 327	8.4	IDANHA (PART).....	286	280	6	2.1
MALIN.....	559	486	73	15.0	JEFFERSON.....	1 456	936	520	55.6
MERRILL.....	802	722	80	11.1	MILL CITY (PART).....	339	328	11	3.4
LAKE COUNTY.....	6 774	6 343	431	6.8	MOUNT ANGEL.....	2 545	1 973	572	29.0
LAKEVIEW.....	2 944	2 705	239	8.8	ST. PAUL.....	374	347	27	7.8
PAISLEY.....	299	260	39	15.0	SALEM (PART).....	76 318	65 673	10 645	16.2
LANE COUNTY.....	249 268	215 401	33 867	15.7	SCOTT'S MILLS.....	278	208	70	33.7
COBURG.....	818	713	105	14.7	SILVERTON.....	5 394	4 301	1 093	25.4
COTTAGE GROVE.....	7 225	6 004	1 221	20.3	STAYTON.....	3 979	3 170	809	25.5
CRESWELL.....	1 649	1 199	450	37.5	SUBLINITY.....	927	634	293	46.2
DUNES.....	979	976	3	0.3	TURNER.....	1 263	846	417	49.3
EUGENE.....	99 220	80 607	18 613	23.1	WOODBURN.....	10 372	7 495	2 877	38.4
FLORENCE.....	3 281	2 246	1 035	46.1	MORROW COUNTY.....	5 919	4 465	1 454	32.6
JUNCTION CITY.....	2 969	2 373	596	25.1	BOARDMAN.....	979	192	787	409.9
LOWELL.....	687	567	120	21.2	HEPPNER.....	1 674	1 429	245	17.1
OAKRIDGE.....	3 993	3 422	571	16.7	IONE.....	420	355	65	18.3
SPRINGFIELD.....	37 105	26 874	10 231	38.1	IRRIGON.....	436	261	175	67.0
VENETA.....	2 195	1 377	818	59.4	LEXINGTON.....	248	230	18	7.8
LINCOLN COUNTY.....	29 177	25 755	3 422	13.3	MULTNOMAH COUNTY.....	545 198	554 668	-9 470	-1.7
DEPOE BAY.....	563	456	107	23.5	FAIRVIEW.....	1 603	1 045	558	53.4
LINCOLN CITY.....	4 681	4 198	483	11.5	GRESHAM.....	26 898	12 606	14 292	113.4
NEWPORT.....	6 367	5 188	1 179	22.7	LAKE OSWEGO (PART).....	119	6	113	1 883.3
SILETZ.....	839	596	243	40.8	MAYWOOD PARK.....	1 046	1 305	-259	-19.8
TOLEDO.....	3 246	2 818	428	15.2	MILWAUKIE (PART).....	-	-	-	0.0
WALDPORT.....	958	700	258	36.9	PORTLAND (PART).....	383 037	381 787	1 250	0.3
YACHATS.....	529	441	88	20.0	TROUTDALE.....	2 895	1 661	1 234	74.3
LINN COUNTY.....	84 728	71 914	12 814	17.8	WOOD VILLAGE.....	2 307	1 533	774	50.5
ALBANY (PART).....	23 796	18 181	5 615	30.9	POLK COUNTY.....	41 172	35 349	5 823	16.5
BROWNSVILLE.....	1 235	1 034	201	19.4	DALLAS.....	8 084	6 361	1 723	27.1
GATES (PART).....	-	-	-	0.0	FALLS CITY.....	775	745	30	4.0
HALSEY.....	635	467	168	36.0	INDEPENDENCE.....	3 837	2 594	1 243	47.9
HARRISBURG.....	1 703	1 311	392	29.9	MONMOUTH.....	5 983	5 237	746	14.2
IDANHA (PART).....	106	102	4	3.9	SALEM (PART).....	7 420	7 151	269	3.8
LEBANON.....	8 751	7 588	1 163	15.3	WILLAMINA (PART).....	563	478	85	17.8
LYONS.....	855	645	210	32.6	SHERMAN COUNTY.....	2 117	2 139	-22	-1.0
MILL CITY (PART).....	1 278	1 123	155	13.8	GRASS VALLEY.....	150	153	-3	-2.0
MILLERSBURG.....	599	535	64	12.0	MORO.....	289	290	-1	-0.3
MILLERSBURG.....	599	535	64	12.0	RUFUS.....	376	317	59	18.6
SCIO.....	519	447	72	16.1	WASCO.....	404	412	-8	-1.9
SODAVILLE.....	193	178	15	8.4	TILLAMOOK COUNTY.....	19 012	18 034	978	5.4
SWEET HOME.....	5 570	3 799	1 771	46.6	BAY CITY.....	1 009	898	111	12.4
TANGENT.....	553	453	100	22.1	GARIBALDI.....	1 175	1 083	92	8.5
WATERLOO.....	186	186	-	0.0	MANZANITA.....	491	365	126	34.5
MALHEUR COUNTY.....	24 983	23 169	1 814	7.8	NEHALEM.....	260	241	19	7.9
ADRIAN.....	171	135	36	26.7	ROCKAWAY.....	893	665	228	34.3
JORDAN VALLEY.....	212	196	16	8.2	TILLAMOOK.....	4 357	3 968	389	9.8
NYSSA.....	2 829	2 620	209	8.0	WHEELER.....	283	262	21	8.0
					UMATILLA COUNTY.....	51 890	44 923	6 967	15.5
					ADAMS.....	238	219	19	8.7

*1970 CENSUS FIGURE INCLUDES 1970 CENSUS POPULATION RESIDING IN AREAS ANNEXED THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1977.

Table 1. July 1, 1977 Population Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977		AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				NUMBER	PERCENT
ATHENA.....	982	872	110	12.6	HILLSBORO ¹	21 753	15 587	6 166	39.6
ECHO.....	522	479	43	9.0	KING CITY.....	1 924	1 427	497	34.8
HELIX.....	165	152	13	8.6	LAKE OSWEGO (PART).....	21	12	9	75.0
HERMISTON.....	7 580	4 893	2 687	54.9	NORTH PLAINS.....	830	690	140	20.3
MILTON FREEWATER.....	4 721	4 105	616	15.0	PORTLAND (PART).....	303	56	247	441.1
PENDLETON.....	14 339	13 197	1 142	8.7	RIVERGROVE (PART).....	31	26	5	19.2
PILOT ROCK.....	1 733	1 612	121	7.5	SHERWOOD.....	2 187	1 396	791	56.7
STANFIELD.....	1 214	891	323	36.3	TIGARD ¹	12 457	8 203	4 254	51.9
UKIAH.....	321	209	112	53.6	TUALATIN (PART).....	4 386	750	3 636	484.8
UMATILLA.....	2 587	679	1 908	281.0	WILSONVILLE (PART).....	6	5	1	20.0
WESTON.....	629	660	-31	-4.7					
UNION COUNTY.....	22 520	19 377	3 143	16.2	WHEELER COUNTY.....	1 916	1 849	67	3.6
GOVE.....	466	363	103	28.4	FOSSIL.....	623	511	112	21.9
ELGIN.....	1 710	1 375	335	24.4	MITCHELL.....	204	196	8	4.1
IMBLER.....	166	139	27	19.4	SPRAY.....	193	161	32	19.9
ISLAND CITY.....	479	202	277	137.1					
LA GRANDE.....	10 527	9 645	882	9.1	YAMHILL COUNTY.....	47 265	40 213	7 052	17.5
NORTH POWDER.....	412	304	108	35.5	AMITY.....	1 067	708	359	50.7
SUMMERVILLE.....	97	76	21	27.6	CARLTON.....	1 356	1 126	230	20.4
UNION.....	1 979	1 531	448	29.3	DAYTON.....	1 377	949	428	45.1
WALLOWA COUNTY.....	6 849	6 247	602	9.6	DUNDEE.....	1 186	588	598	101.7
ENTERPRISE.....	1 866	1 680	186	11.1	LAFAYETTE.....	1 034	786	248	31.6
JOSEPH.....	947	839	108	12.9	MCMINNVILLE.....	13 094	10 125	2 969	29.3
LOSTINE.....	235	196	39	19.9	NEWBERG.....	8 511	6 507	2 004	30.8
WALLOWA.....	909	811	98	12.1	SHERIDAN.....	2 179	1 881	298	15.8
WASCO COUNTY.....	20 309	20 133	176	0.9	WILLAMINA (PART).....	819	715	104	14.5
ANTELOPE.....	50	51	-1	-2.0	YAMHILL.....	619	516	103	20.0
CITY OF THE DALLES ¹	10 832	10 991	-159	-1.4	MULTI-COUNTY PLACES				
DUFUR.....	577	493	84	17.0	ALBANY.....	23 796	18 181	5 615	30.9
MAUPIN.....	559	428	131	30.6	GATES.....	305	250	55	22.0
MOSIER.....	289	217	72	33.2	GREENHORN.....	3	3	-	-
SHANIKO.....	60	58	2	3.4	IDANHA.....	392	382	10	2.6
WASHINGTON COUNTY.....	203 196	157 920	45 276	28.7	LAKE OSWEGO.....	20 509	14 615	5 894	40.3
BANKS.....	477	430	47	10.9	MILL CITY.....	1 817	1 451	366	25.2
BEAVERTON.....	23 753	18 577	5 176	27.9	MILWAUKIE.....	18 219	16 444	1 775	10.8
CORNELIUS.....	3 087	1 903	1 184	62.2	PORTLAND.....	383 904	382 352	1 552	0.4
DURHAM.....	258	410	-152	-37.1	RIVERGROVE.....	359	310	49	15.8
FOREST GROVE.....	10 626	8 275	2 351	28.4	SALEM ¹	83 738	72 824	10 914	15.0
GASTON.....	464	429	35	8.2	TUALATIN.....	4 422	750	3 672	489.6
					WILLAMINA.....	1 382	1 193	189	15.8
					WILSONVILLE.....	2 244	1 001	1 243	124.2

¹ 1970 CENSUS FIGURE INCLUDES 1970 CENSUS POPULATION RESIDING IN AREAS ANNEXED THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1977.

Official Business
Penalty for Private Use, \$300

**1977 Population Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places,
and Selected Minor Civil Divisions**

(Reports may not be published in numerical order)

No. 814 Alabama	No. 839 Montana
No. 815 Alaska	No. 840 Nebraska
No. 816 Arizona	No. 841 Nevada
No. 817 Arkansas	No. 842 New Hampshire
No. 818 California	No. 843 New Jersey
No. 819 Colorado	No. 844 New Mexico
No. 820 Connecticut	No. 845 New York
No. 821 Delaware	No. 846 North Carolina
No. 822 Florida	No. 847 North Dakota
No. 823 Georgia	No. 848 Ohio
No. 824 Hawaii	No. 849 Oklahoma
No. 825 Idaho	No. 850 Oregon
No. 826 Illinois	No. 851 Pennsylvania
No. 827 Indiana	No. 852 Rhode Island
No. 828 Iowa	No. 853 South Carolina
No. 829 Kansas	No. 854 South Dakota
No. 830 Kentucky	No. 855 Tennessee
No. 831 Louisiana	No. 856 Texas
No. 832 Maine	No. 857 Utah
No. 833 Maryland	No. 858 Vermont
No. 834 Massachusetts	No. 859 Virginia
No. 835 Michigan	No. 860 Washington
No. 836 Minnesota	No. 861 West Virginia
No. 837 Mississippi	No. 862 Wisconsin
No. 838 Missouri	No. 863 Wyoming

