



U.S. Department of Commerce
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Series P-25, No. 853
Issued November 1979

Population Estimates and Projections

1977 Population Estimates for Counties and Incorporated Places in South Carolina

This report is one of a series containing current estimates of the total July 1, 1977, population for all general purpose governmental units in each State. The preparation of current population estimates below the county level was prompted by the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. The estimates shown here also reflect changes made during the review of the figures with local officials. The figures are used by a wide variety of Federal, State, and local governmental agencies for program planning and administrative purposes. Estimates of per capita income for 1976 were not prepared, but figures for 1977 will appear later in this report series accompanying the 1978 population estimates.

Areas included in this series of reports are all counties (or county equivalents such as census divisions in Alaska, parishes in Louisiana, and independent cities in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia) and incorporated places in the State, plus active minor civil divisions (MCD's), commonly towns in New England, New York, and Wisconsin, or townships in other parts of the United States.¹ These State reports appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, in alphabetical sequence as report number 814 (Alabama) through number 863 (Wyoming). A list indicating the report number for each State is appended.

The detailed table for each State shows July 1, 1977, estimates of the population of each area, together with April 1, 1970, census population and numerical and percentage change between 1970 and 1977. The 1970 figures reflect annexations since 1970 up to December 31, 1977, and include corrections to the 1970 census counts.

The estimates are presented in the table in county order, with all incorporated places in the county listed in alphabetical order, followed by any functioning minor civil divisions also listed in alphabetical order. Minor civil divisions

¹In certain midwestern States (Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and the Dakotas), some counties have active minor civil divisions while others do not.

are always identified in the listing by the term "township," "town," or other MCD category. When incorporated places fall in more than one county, each county piece is marked "part," and totals for these places are presented at the end of the table.

METHODOLOGY

To estimate the population of each subcounty area, a component procedure (the Administrative Records method) was used, with each of the components of population change (births, deaths, net migration, and special populations) estimated separately. The estimates were derived in four stages, moving from 1970 as a base year to develop estimates for 1973, and, in turn, moving from 1973 as the base year to derive estimates for 1975, from 1975 as the base year for 1976, and from 1976 as the base year for 1977.

Migration. Individual Federal income tax returns were used to measure migration by matching individual returns for successive periods. The places of residence on tax returns filed in the base year and in the estimate year were noted for matched returns to determine immigrants, outmigrants, and nonmigrants for each area. A net migration rate was derived, based on the difference between the immigration and outmigration of taxpayers and dependents, and was applied to a base population to yield an estimate of net migration for all persons in the area.

Natural change. Reported resident birth and death statistics were used, wherever available, to estimate natural change. These data were collected from State health departments and supplemented, where necessary, by data prepared and published by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Center for Health Statistics. For subcounty areas where reported birth and death statistics were not available from either source, estimates were developed by applying fertility and mortality rates. These estimates were

subsequently controlled to agree with birth and death statistics for larger areas where reported data were available.

Adjustment for special populations. In addition to the above components of population change, estimates of special populations were also taken into account. Special populations include immigrants from abroad, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, residents of institutions (prisons and long-term health care facilities), and college students enrolled in full-time programs. These populations were treated separately because changes in these types of population groups are not reflected in the components of population change developed by standard measures, and the information is generally available for use as an independent series.

Annexations and new incorporations. The 1970 census counts shown in this report reflect all population corrections made to the figures after the initial tabulations. In addition, adjustments for annexations are reflected in the estimates. For new incorporations occurring after 1970, the 1970 population within the boundaries of the new areas is shown in the detailed table.

Other adjustments. For areas where special censuses were conducted at dates that approximate the estimate date, the census results were taken into account in developing the estimates.² In several States, the subcounty estimates developed by the Administrative Records method were averaged with estimates for corresponding geographic areas which were prepared by State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates (FSCP). These States include California, Florida, New Jersey, Oregon, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

Counties. In generating estimates for counties by this procedure, the method was modified slightly to make the county estimates specific to the resident population under 65 years of age. The resident population 65 years old and over in counties was estimated separately by adding the change in Medicare enrollees between April 1, 1970, and July 1 of the estimate year to the April 1, 1970, population 65 years old and over in the county as enumerated in the 1970 census. These estimates of the population 65 years old and over were then added to estimates of the population under 65 years old to yield estimates of the total resident population in each county.

The estimates for the subareas in each county were adjusted to independently derived county estimates. Since all of the data necessary to develop final estimates under the FSCP program are not available at the time subcounty estimates are prepared, only two of the methods relied upon

in the standard FSCP program of estimates for counties (i.e., Component Method II and the Administrative Records method) were utilized. The 1977 estimates result from adding the average 1976-77 population change indicated by the two methods to the 1976 county population figures contained in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26.³

The county estimates, in turn, were adjusted to be consistent with independent State estimates published by the Bureau of the Census in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 790, in which the Administrative Records based estimates were averaged with the estimates prepared using Component Method II and the Regression method.⁴

LIMITATIONS OF THE ESTIMATES

Tests of the accuracy of the methods used to develop State and county population estimates appearing in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26 are reported in Series P-25, No. 520 for States and in Series P-26, No. 21 for counties. In summary, the State estimates averaging Component Method II and the Regression method yielded average differences of approximately 1.9 percent when compared to the 1970 census. Subsequent modifications of the two procedures that have been incorporated in preparing estimates for the 1970's would have reduced the average difference in 1970 to 1.2 percent. For counties, the 1970 evaluations indicated an average difference of approximately 4.5 percent for the combination of procedures used. It should be noted that all of the evaluations against the results of the 1970 census concern estimates extending over the entire 10-year period of 1960 to 1970.

Since 1970, however, the Administrative Records method has been introduced with partial weight in the estimates for States and counties, and except for the few States in which local estimates are utilized, carries the full weight for estimates below the county level. The data series upon which the estimates procedure is based has been available as a comprehensive series for the entire United States only since 1967. Nonetheless, several studies have been undertaken evaluating the Administrative Records estimates from the State to the local level. At the Statewide level, little direct testing can be performed due to the lack of special censuses covering entire States. Some sense of the general reasonableness of the Administrative Records estimates may be obtained, however, by reviewing the degree of correspondence between the results of the method against those of the "standard" methods tested in 1970 and already in use to produce State estimates during the 1970's. It must be recognized that the differences between the two sets of estimates may not be interpreted as errors in either set of figures, but may only be used as a partial guide indicating the degree of consistency between the newer Administrative Records system and the established methods.

² Only special censuses conducted by the Bureau of the Census or by the California, Florida, Michigan, Oregon, or Washington State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates were used for this purpose. In addition, in a relatively small number of cases where special censuses were conducted by localities, where the procedures and definitions were essentially the same as those used by the Bureau of the Census, the results of these special censuses were also taken into account in preparing the estimates.

³ Descriptions of the methodologies are given for each State in the individual Series P-26 or P-25 report for the State.

⁴ For further discussion of the methodologies used in preparing State estimates, see **Current Population Reports**, P-25, No. 640.

Table A presents such a comparison for State estimates referring to July 1, 1977. A rather close agreement may be observed in the estimates for all States at only a 1.1 percent difference. The variation of the Administrative Records method from the average of the other methods does increase for smaller States in a regular pattern, but still reaches an average of only 1.3 percent for the smallest size category. The only consistent variations suggesting a potential for directional bias are indicated in the tendency for larger States to be estimated higher by the Administrative Records procedure than by the other techniques.

A similar comparison may be made at the county level (table B). Although the differences between the FSCP estimates and the Administrative Records results are larger at the county level than for States, the variations are well within the range that would be expected for areas of this population size, and the county pattern matches closely the findings for States. The overall difference for all counties is 2.6 percent, and ranges from 1.5 percent for the larger counties to 8.4 percent for the 26 small counties under 1,000 population. The comparisons indicate virtually no change from similar comparisons for the 1976 estimates. Only the average difference for counties with less than 1,000 population experienced any significant change from the 1976 levels in improving from 10.1 to 8.4 average percent differences.

Three tests of the Administrative Records population estimates against census counts also have been undertaken. First, a limited evaluation involving 24 large areas (16 counties and 8 cities) was conducted on estimates for the 1968-70 period.⁵ Although the test shows the estimates to

⁵Meyer Zitter and David L. Word, U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Use of Administrative Records for Small Area Population Estimates," unpublished paper prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 27, 1973.

be quite accurate (1.8 percent difference), the areas may not be assumed to be representative of the 39,000 units of government covered by the Administrative Records estimating system, and the time segment evaluated refers only to a 2-year period.

A more representative group of special censuses in 86 areas selected particularly for evaluation purposes was conducted in 1973. The areas were randomly chosen nationwide to be typical of areas with populations below 20,000 persons. Table C summarizes the average percent difference between the estimates from the Administrative Records method and counts from the 86 special censuses. Overall, the estimates differed from the special census counts by 5.9 percent, with the largest differences occurring in the smallest areas. Areas of between 1,000 and 20,000 population differed by 4.6 percent, while the average difference for the 27 areas below 1,000 population was 8.6 percent. There was a slight positive directional bias, with about 60 percent of the estimates exceeding the census counts. Again, the impact of population size on the expected level of accuracy may be noted. Even though all of the areas in this study are relatively small—less than 20,000 population—the larger ones demonstrate much lower variation from census figures than the smaller ones.

The third evaluation involving census comparisons is currently underway, and is based upon the approximately 2,000 special censuses that have been conducted since 1970 at the request of localities throughout the United States. Such areas constitute a fairly stringent test for any method in that they are generally very small areas, often are experiencing rapid population growth, and frequently are found to have had a vigorous program of annexation since the last census. This evaluation study has not been completed for use here, but will be included in detail as a part of the comprehensive methodology description in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, No. 699 (in preparation).

Table A. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Average of Component Method II and Regression Estimates for States: 1977

(Base is the average of Method II and Regression estimates)

Item	All States	Population size in 1970		
		4 million and over	1.5 to 4 million	Less than 1.5 million
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	1.1	0.8	1.2	1.3
Number of States.....	51	16	18	17
With differences of:				
Less than 1 percent.....	21	9	7	5
1 to 2 percent.....	19	6	6	7
2 percent and over.....	11	1	5	5
Where Administrative Records was:				
Higher.....	29	10	9	10
Lower.....	22	6	9	7

Table B. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Provisional FSCP Estimates for Counties: 1977

(Base is the provisional FSCP estimates for counties)

Item	All counties	Counties with 1,000 or more 1970 population					Counties with less than 1,000 1970 population
		Total	50,000 or more	25,000 to 49,999	10,000 to 24,999	1,000 to 9,999	
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	2.6	2.6	1.5	2.1	2.5	3.6	8.4
Number of counties or equivalents.....	3,143	3,117	679	567	1,017	854	26
With differences of:							
Less than 1 percent.....	952	951	329	191	266	165	1
1 to 3 percent.....	1,265	1,259	274	246	436	303	6
3 to 5 percent.....	526	520	56	95	196	173	6
5 to 10 percent.....	327	320	18	30	101	171	7
10 percent and over.....	73	67	2	5	18	42	6

Table C. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates (Unrevised) and 86 Special Censuses: 1973

(Base is special census)

Area	Average percent difference ¹	Number of areas with differences of:			
		Under 3 percent	3 to 5 percent	5 to 10 percent	10 percent and over
All areas (86) ²	5.9	32	18	20	16
1,000 to 20,000 (59).....	4.6	26	13	14	6
Under 1,000 population (27).....	8.6	6	5	6	10

¹Disregarding sign.

²All areas have population under 20,000 persons.

As a final caution, it must be noted that for convenience in presentation, the estimates contained in table 1 are shown in unrounded form. It is not intended, however, that the figures be considered accurate to the last digit. The nature of estimates prompts the rounding of figures in related Bureau reports and must be kept in mind during the application of the estimates contained here.

RELATED REPORTS

The population estimates shown in this series of reports update those found in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 740 through 789 for 1976. The population estimates contained here for States are consistent with Series P-25, No. 790. The county estimates for 1977 are

superior to the provisional 1977 figures published earlier in Series P-25 and P-26 due to the addition of a second method, but will not be reported elsewhere in **Current Population Reports**. The county population estimates are being replaced by subsequent final 1977 figures developed through the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates.

DETAILED TABLE SYMBOLS

In the detailed table entries, a dash "--" represents zero, and the symbol "Z" indicates that the figure is less than 0.05 percent. The symbol "B" means that the base for the derived figure is less than 75,000. Three dots "..." mean not applicable and "NA" means not available.

Table 1. July 1, 1977 Population Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas

AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977		AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				NUMBER	PERCENT
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	2 877 562	2 590 713	286 849	11.1	GOOSE CREEK ¹	7 762	6 191	1 571	25.4
ABBEVILLE COUNTY.....	21 672	21 112	560	2.7	HANAHAN.....	10 086	9 118	938	10.3
ABBEVILLE.....	5 572	5 515	57	1.0	JAMESTOWN.....	1 172	1 190	-18	-9.5
CALHOUN FALLS.....	2 159	2 234	-75	-3.4	MONCK'S CORNER.....	3 275	2 314	961	41.5
DONALDS.....	2 412	392	20	5.1	ST. STEPHEN.....	1 716	1 506	210	13.9
DUE WEST.....	1 333	1 380	-47	-3.4	CALHOUN COUNTY.....	11 550	10 780	770	7.1
HONEA PATH (PART).....	11	12	-1	-8.3	CAMERON.....	486	476	10	2.1
LOWNDESVILLE.....	247	219	28	12.8	ST. MATTHEWS.....	2 470	2 403	67	2.8
WARE SHOALS (PART).....	320	316	4	1.3	CHARLESTON COUNTY.....	260 537	247 565	12 972	5.2
AIKEN COUNTY.....	96 972	91 023	5 949	6.5	CHARLESTON.....	59 911	66 945	-7 034	-10.5
AIKEN.....	14 329	13 436	893	6.6	FOLLY BEACH.....	1 249	1 157	92	8.0
BURNETTOWN.....	430	434	-4	-0.9	HOLLYWOOD.....	362	339	23	6.8
JACKSON.....	1 822	1 928	-106	-5.5	ISLE OF PALMS.....	2 978	2 657	321	12.1
NEW ELLENTON.....	2 463	2 546	-83	-3.3	LINCOLNVILLE.....	674	504	170	33.7
NORTH AUGUSTA.....	13 895	12 883	1 012	7.9	MCCLELLANVILLE.....	289	304	-15	-4.9
PERRY.....	232	209	23	11.0	MEGGET.....	182	180	2	1.1
SALLEY.....	479	450	29	6.4	MOUNT PLEASANT.....	9 809	6 879	2 930	42.6
WAGENER.....	752	723	29	4.0	NORTH CHARLESTON ¹	58 658	52 968	5 690	10.7
ALLENDALE COUNTY.....	10 258	9 783	475	4.9	RAVENE.....	1 064	931	133	14.3
ALLENDALE.....	3 857	3 620	237	6.5	SULLIVANS ISLAND.....	1 581	1 426	155	10.9
FAIRFAX (PART).....	1 839	1 937	-98	-5.1	CHEROKEE COUNTY.....	41 206	36 669	4 537	12.4
SYCAMORE.....	233	229	4	1.7	BLACKSBURG.....	2 287	1 977	310	15.7
ULMER.....	100	109	-9	-8.3	GAFFNEY.....	16 011	13 131	2 880	21.9
ANDERSON COUNTY.....	117 213	105 474	11 739	11.1	CHESTER COUNTY.....	30 241	29 811	430	1.4
ANDERSON.....	29 765	27 556	2 209	8.0	CHESTER.....	7 138	7 045	93	1.3
BELTON.....	5 357	5 257	100	1.9	FORT LAWN.....	425	510	-85	-16.7
CLEMSON (PART).....	19	17	2	11.8	GREAT FALLS.....	2 474	2 727	-253	-9.3
HONEA PATH (PART).....	3 905	3 695	210	5.7	LOWRYS.....	237	260	-23	-8.8
IVA.....	1 028	1 114	-86	-7.7	RICHBURG.....	367	304	63	20.7
PELZER.....	139	130	9	6.9	CHESTERFIELD COUNTY.....	34 980	33 667	1 313	3.9
PENDLETON.....	2 749	2 615	134	5.1	CHERAW.....	5 360	5 627	-267	-4.7
STARR.....	178	190	-12	-6.3	CHESTERFIELD.....	1 619	1 667	-48	-2.9
WEST PELZER.....	939	861	78	9.1	JEFFERSON.....	777	709	68	9.6
WILLIAMSTON.....	3 918	3 991	-73	-1.8	MCBEE.....	619	592	27	4.6
BAMBERG COUNTY.....	16 790	15 950	840	5.3	MOUNT CROGHAN.....	126	123	3	2.4
BAMBERG.....	3 328	3 406	-78	-2.3	PATRICK ¹	2 339	2 122	217	10.2
DENMARK.....	3 618	3 571	47	1.3	RUBY.....	525	550	-25	-4.5
EHRHARDT.....	546	478	68	14.2	CLARENDON COUNTY.....	27 419	25 604	1 815	7.1
GOVAN.....	144	136	8	5.9	MANNING.....	4 578	4 025	553	13.7
OLAR.....	403	423	-20	-4.7	PAXVILLE.....	336	261	75	28.7
BARNWELL COUNTY.....	19 036	17 176	1 860	10.8	SUMMERTON.....	1 306	1 305	1	0.1
BARNWELL.....	5 115	4 439	676	15.2	TURBEVILLE.....	591	442	149	33.7
BLACKVILLE.....	2 474	2 395	79	3.3	COLLETON COUNTY.....	29 841	27 707	2 134	7.7
ELKO.....	264	202	62	30.7	COTTAGEVILLE.....	385	497	-112	-22.5
HILDA.....	325	331	-6	-1.8	EDISTO BEACH.....	144	85	59	69.4
KLINE.....	341	305	36	11.8	LODGE.....	160	168	-8	-4.8
SNELLING.....	177	150	27	18.0	SMOAKS.....	178	155	23	14.8
WILLISTON.....	2 868	2 594	274	10.6	WALTERSBORO.....	6 400	6 257	143	2.3
BEAUFORT COUNTY.....	57 264	51 136	6 128	12.0	WILLIAMS.....	1 804	201	-17	-8.5
BEAUFORT.....	8 750	9 434	-684	-7.3	DARLINGTON COUNTY.....	56 806	53 442	3 364	6.3
BLUFFTON.....	517	529	-12	-2.3	DARLINGTON.....	7 260	6 990	270	3.9
PORT ROYAL.....	2 890	2 865	25	0.9	HARTSVILLE.....	8 087	8 017	70	0.9
YEMASSEE (PART).....	9	7	2	28.6	LAMAR.....	1 312	1 250	62	5.0
BERKELEY COUNTY.....	72 554	56 199	16 355	29.1	SOCIETY HILL.....	877	806	71	8.8
BONNEAU.....	421	365	56	15.3					

¹1970 CENSUS FIGURE INCLUDES 1970 CENSUS POPULATION RESIDING IN AREAS ANNEXED THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1977.

Table 1. July 1, 1977 Population Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977		AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				NUMBER	PERCENT
DILLON COUNTY.....	29 808	28 838	970	3.4	LURAY.....	69	72	-3	-4.2
DILLON.....	6 251	6 391	-140	-2.2	SCOTIA.....	24	64	-40	-62.5
LAKE VIEW.....	899	949	-50	-5.3	VARNVILLE.....	1 878	1 555	323	20.8
LATTA.....	1 767	1 764	3	0.2	YEMASSEE (PART).....	773	738	35	4.7
SELLERS (PART).....	84	92	-8	-8.7	HORRY COUNTY.....	89 034	69 992	19 042	27.2
DORCHESTER COUNTY.....	49 678	32 276	17 402	53.9	ATLANTIC BEACH.....	249	215	34	15.8
HARLEYVILLE.....	661	704	-43	-6.1	AYNOR.....	613	536	77	14.4
REEVESVILLE.....	261	247	14	5.7	BRIARCLIFFE ACRES.....	265	152	113	74.3
RIDGEVILLE.....	629	563	66	11.7	CONWAY.....	8 848	8 151	697	8.6
ST. GEORGE.....	1 682	1 806	-124	-6.9	LORIS.....	2 063	1 741	322	18.5
SUMMERVILLE ¹	6 986	4 519	2 467	54.6	MYRTLE BEACH ¹	18 509	13 575	4 934	36.3
EDGEFIELD COUNTY.....	16 240	15 692	548	3.5	NORTH MYRTLE BEACH.....	3 269	1 957	1 312	67.0
EDGEFIELD.....	3 311	2 750	561	20.4	SURFSIDE BEACH.....	2 494	1 329	1 165	87.7
JOHNSTON.....	2 549	2 552	-3	-0.1	JASPER COUNTY.....	14 158	11 885	2 273	19.1
TRENTON.....	380	362	18	5.0	HARDEEVILLE.....	1 128	853	275	32.2
FAIRFIELD COUNTY.....	20 391	19 999	392	2.0	RIDGELAND.....	1 291	1 165	126	10.8
RIDGEWAY.....	466	437	29	6.6	KERSHAW COUNTY ¹	36 883	32 753	4 130	12.6
WINNSBORO.....	3 160	3 411	-251	-7.4	BETHUNE.....	514	506	8	1.6
FLORENCE COUNTY.....	100 858	89 636	11 222	12.5	CAMDEN.....	8 507	8 532	-25	-0.3
COWARD.....	522	466	56	12.0	ELGIN.....	460	374	86	23.0
FLORENCE.....	32 071	25 997	6 074	23.4	KERSHAW (PART).....	890	828	62	7.5
JOHNSONVILLE.....	1 389	1 267	122	9.6	LANCASTER COUNTY ¹	45 870	45 302	568	1.3
LAKE CITY ¹	6 524	6 559	-35	-0.5	HEATH SPRINGS.....	845	955	-110	-11.5
OLANTA.....	685	640	45	7.0	KERSHAW (PART).....	745	990	-245	-24.7
PAMPLICO.....	1 051	1 068	-17	-1.6	LANCASTER ¹	8 855	10 135	-1 280	-12.6
QUINBY.....	1 003	788	215	27.3	LAURENS COUNTY.....	51 037	49 713	1 324	2.7
SCRANTON.....	828	732	96	13.1	CLINTON.....	7 683	8 138	-455	-5.6
TIMMONSVILLE.....	2 364	2 246	118	5.3	CROSS HILL.....	530	579	-49	-8.5
GEORGETOWN COUNTY.....	39 310	33 500	5 810	17.3	FOUNTAIN INN (PART).....	678	591	87	14.7
ANDREWS (PART).....	2 741	2 831	-90	-3.2	GRAY COURT.....	923	859	64	7.5
GEORGETOWN.....	11 769	10 449	1 320	12.6	LAURENS.....	10 090	10 298	-208	-2.0
GREENVILLE COUNTY.....	269 136	240 774	28 362	11.8	WARE SHOALS (PART).....	-	-	-	...
CITY VIEW.....	2 845	2 497	348	13.9	WATERLOO.....	103	112	-9	-8.0
FOUNTAIN INN (PART).....	3 451	2 800	651	23.2	LEE COUNTY.....	17 520	18 323	-803	-4.4
GREENVILLE.....	57 406	61 436	-4 030	-6.6	BISHOPVILLE.....	3 051	3 404	-353	-10.4
GREER (PART).....	7 396	6 111	1 285	21.0	LYNCHBURG.....	519	546	-27	-4.9
MAULDIN.....	7 641	3 797	3 844	101.2	LEXINGTON COUNTY.....	126 573	89 012	37 561	42.2
SIMPSONVILLE.....	7 267	3 308	3 959	119.7	BATESBURG (PART).....	3 460	3 668	-208	-5.7
TRAVELERS REST.....	2 687	2 241	446	19.9	CAYCE ¹	10 232	10 486	-254	-2.4
WOODSIDE.....	257	227	30	13.2	CHAPIN.....	432	342	90	26.3
GREENWOOD COUNTY.....	53 342	49 686	3 656	7.4	GASTON.....	940	286	654	43.7
GREENWOOD.....	25 551	21 069	4 482	21.3	GILBERT.....	256	186	70	37.6
HODGES.....	206	214	-8	-3.7	IRMO.....	1 799	517	1 282	248.0
NINETY SIX.....	2 148	2 166	-18	-0.8	LEESVILLE.....	2 163	1 907	256	13.4
TROY.....	263	207	56	27.1	LEXINGTON.....	1 881	969	912	94.1
WARE SHOALS (PART).....	1 881	2 164	-283	-13.1	PELLION.....	229	216	13	6.0
HAMPTON COUNTY.....	17 226	15 878	1 348	8.5	PINE RIDGE.....	835	633	202	31.9
BRUNSON.....	516	559	-43	-7.7	SOUTH CONGAREE.....	1 749	1 434	315	22.0
ESTILL.....	2 130	1 954	176	9.0	SPRINGDALE.....	3 753	2 638	1 115	42.3
FAIRFAX (PART).....	-	-	-	...	SUMMIT.....	173	130	43	33.1
FURMAN.....	246	239	7	2.9	SWANSEA.....	870	691	179	25.9
GIFFORD.....	296	280	16	5.7	WEST COLUMBIA ¹	14 480	10 433	4 047	38.8
HAMPTON.....	3 034	2 966	68	2.3	MCCORMICK COUNTY.....	8 030	7 955	75	0.9
					MCCORMICK.....	1 881	1 864	17	0.9
					MOUNT CARMEL.....	164	138	26	18.8

¹1970 CENSUS FIGURE INCLUDES 1970 CENSUS POPULATION RESIDING IN AREAS ANNEXED THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1977.

Table 1. July 1, 1977 Population Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977		AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				NUMBER	PERCENT
PARKSVILLE.....	137	164	-27	-16.5	EASTOVER.....	812	817	-5	-0.6
PLUM BRANCH.....	90	108	-18	-16.7	FOREST ACRES.....	6 810	6 808	2	.2
MARION COUNTY.....	32 803	30 270	2 533	8.4	SALUDA COUNTY.....	14 691	14 528	163	1.1
MARION.....	7 915	7 435	480	6.5	BATESBURG (PART).....	310	368	-58	-15.8
MULLINS.....	5 976	6 006	-30	-0.5	RIDGE SPRING.....	716	644	72	11.2
NICHOLS.....	583	549	34	6.2	SALUDA.....	2 556	2 442	114	4.7
SELLERS (PART).....	438	469	-31	-6.6	WARD.....	101	150	-49	-32.7
MARLBORO COUNTY.....	28 588	27 151	1 437	5.3	SPARTANBURG COUNTY.....	195 083	173 724	21 359	12.3
BENNETTSTVILLE.....	8 183	7 468	715	9.6	CAMPOBELLO.....	586	530	56	10.6
BLenheim.....	253	236	17	7.2	CENTRAL PACOLET.....	519	483	36	7.5
CLIO.....	980	936	44	4.7	CHESNEE.....	1 161	1 069	92	8.6
MCCOLL.....	2 397	2 524	-127	-5.0	COWPENS.....	2 254	2 109	145	6.9
TATUM.....	118	115	3	2.6	DUNCAN.....	1 452	1 266	186	14.7
NEWBERRY COUNTY.....	30 895	29 273	1 622	5.5	GREER (PART).....	4 575	4 031	544	13.5
CHAPPELLS.....	72	74	-2	-2.7	INMAN.....	1 753	1 661	92	5.5
LITTLE MOUNTAIN.....	233	240	-7	-2.9	LANDRUM.....	2 141	1 859	282	15.2
NEWBERRY ¹	9 427	9 740	-313	-3.2	LYMAN.....	1 501	1 159	342	29.5
PEAK.....	67	87	-20	-23.0	PACOLET.....	1 644	1 418	226	15.9
POMARIA.....	292	264	28	10.6	PACOLET MILLS.....	1 306	1 504	-198	-13.2
PROSPERITY.....	843	762	81	10.6	SPARTANBURG.....	47 141	44 546	2 595	5.8
SILVERSTREET.....	150	156	-6	-3.8	WELLFORD.....	1 499	1 298	201	15.5
WHITWIRE.....	2 029	2 226	-197	-8.8	WOODRUFF.....	4 706	4 690	16	0.3
OCONEE COUNTY.....	44 030	40 728	3 302	8.1	SUMTER COUNTY.....	83 927	79 425	4 502	5.7
SALEM.....	320	301	19	6.3	MAYESVILLE.....	779	757	22	2.9
SENECA.....	7 591	6 573	1 018	15.5	PINEWOOD.....	524	687	-163	-23.7
WALHALLA.....	3 518	3 662	-144	-3.9	SUMTER.....	25 498	24 555	943	3.8
WESTMINSTER.....	2 860	2 521	339	13.4	UNION COUNTY.....	29 997	29 230	767	2.6
WEST UNION.....	407	388	19	4.9	CARLISLE.....	597	670	-73	-10.9
ORANGEBURG COUNTY.....	78 339	69 789	8 550	12.3	JONESVILLE.....	1 393	1 447	-54	-3.7
BOWMAN.....	1 200	1 095	105	9.6	LOCKHART.....	99	103	-4	-3.9
BRANCHVILLE.....	1 003	1 011	-8	-0.8	UNION.....	10 390	10 775	-385	-3.6
COPE.....	204	202	2	1.0	WILLIAMSBURG COUNTY.....	35 831	34 243	1 588	4.6
CORDOVA.....	220	205	15	7.3	ANDREWS (PART).....	55	48	7	14.6
ELLORE.....	940	940	-	-	GREELEYVILLE.....	558	542	16	3.0
EUTAWVILLE.....	388	386	2	0.5	HEMINGWAY.....	997	1 026	-29	-2.8
HOLLY HILL.....	1 270	1 178	92	7.8	KINGSTREE.....	3 518	3 381	137	4.1
LIVINGSTON.....	162	165	-3	-1.8	LANE.....	516	517	-1	-0.2
NEESES.....	497	388	109	28.1	STUCKEY.....	197	193	4	2.1
NORTH.....	1 124	1 076	48	4.5	YORK COUNTY.....	95 243	85 216	10 027	11.8
NORWAY.....	623	579	44	7.6	CLOVER.....	4 334	3 506	828	23.6
ORANGEBURG ¹	17 172	14 193	2 979	21.0	FORT MILL.....	5 204	4 505	699	15.5
ROWESVILLE.....	385	392	-7	-1.8	HICKORY GROVE.....	313	377	-64	-17.0
SANTEE.....	136	137	-1	-0.7	MCCONNELLS.....	255	213	42	19.7
SPRINGFIELD.....	791	724	67	9.3	ROCK HILL.....	36 540	33 846	2 694	8.0
VANCE.....	57	54	3	5.6	SHARON.....	300	268	32	11.9
WOODFORD.....	226	195	31	15.9	SMYRNA.....	84	85	-1	-1.2
PICKENS COUNTY.....	70 525	58 956	11 569	19.6	YORK ¹	6 198	5 661	537	9.5
CENTRAL.....	1 599	1 550	49	3.2	MULTI-COUNTY PLACES				
CLEMSON (PART).....	6 968	6 673	295	4.4	ANDREWS.....	2 796	2 879	-83	-2.9
EASLEY.....	12 918	11 743	1 175	10.0	BATESBURG.....	3 770	4 036	-266	-6.6
LIBERTY.....	3 109	2 860	249	8.7	CLEMSON.....	6 987	6 690	297	4.4
NORRIS.....	919	757	162	21.4	FAIRFAX.....	1 839	1 937	-98	-5.1
PICKENS.....	3 114	2 954	160	5.4	FOUNTAIN INN.....	4 129	3 391	738	21.8
SIX MILE.....	385	361	24	6.6	GREER.....	11 971	10 642	1 329	12.5
RICHLAND COUNTY.....	248 176	233 868	14 308	6.1	HONEA PATH.....	3 916	3 707	209	5.6
ARCADIA LAKES.....	819	741	78	10.5	KERSHAW.....	1 635	1 818	-183	-10.1
BLTYHWOOD.....	78	70	8	11.4	SELLERS.....	522	561	-39	-7.0
COLUMBIA.....	110 851	113 542	-2 691	-2.4	WARE SHOALS.....	2 201	2 480	-279	-11.2
					YEMASSEE.....	782	745	37	5.0

¹1970 CENSUS FIGURE INCLUDES 1970 CENSUS POPULATION RESIDING IN AREAS ANNEXED THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1977.

FIRST-CLASS MAIL
POSTAGE & FEES PAID
CENSUS
PERMIT No. G-58

Official Business
Penalty for Private Use, \$300

1977 Population Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places,
and Selected Minor Civil Divisions

(Reports may not be published in numerical order)

- | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|
| No. 814 Alabama | No. 839 Montana |
| No. 815 Alaska | No. 840 Nebraska |
| No. 816 Arizona | No. 841 Nevada |
| No. 817 Arkansas | No. 842 New Hampshire |
| No. 818 California | No. 843 New Jersey |
| No. 819 Colorado | No. 844 New Mexico |
| No. 820 Connecticut | No. 845 New York |
| No. 821 Delaware | No. 846 North Carolina |
| No. 822 Florida | No. 847 North Dakota |
| No. 823 Georgia | No. 848 Ohio |
| No. 824 Hawaii | No. 849 Oklahoma |
| No. 825 Idaho | No. 850 Oregon |
| No. 826 Illinois | No. 851 Pennsylvania |
| No. 827 Indiana | No. 852 Rhode Island |
| No. 828 Iowa | No. 853 South Carolina |
| No. 829 Kansas | No. 854 South Dakota |
| No. 830 Kentucky | No. 855 Tennessee |
| No. 831 Louisiana | No. 856 Texas |
| No. 832 Maine | No. 857 Utah |
| No. 833 Maryland | No. 858 Vermont |
| No. 834 Massachusetts | No. 859 Virginia |
| No. 835 Michigan | No. 860 Washington |
| No. 836 Minnesota | No. 861 West Virginia |
| No. 837 Mississippi | No. 862 Wisconsin |
| No. 838 Missouri | No. 863 Wyoming |

