



U.S. Department of Commerce  
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Series P-25, No. 860  
Issued November 1979

# Population Estimates and Projections

## 1977 Population Estimates for Counties and Incorporated Places in Washington

This report is one of a series containing current estimates of the total July 1, 1977, population for all general purpose governmental units in each State. The preparation of current population estimates below the county level was prompted by the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. The estimates shown here also reflect changes made during the review of the figures with local officials. The figures are used by a wide variety of Federal, State, and local governmental agencies for program planning and administrative purposes. Estimates of per capita income for 1976 were not prepared, but figures for 1977 will appear later in this report series accompanying the 1978 population estimates.

Areas included in this series of reports are all counties (or county equivalents such as census divisions in Alaska, parishes in Louisiana, and independent cities in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia) and incorporated places in the State, plus active minor civil divisions (MCD's), commonly towns in New England, New York, and Wisconsin, or townships in other parts of the United States.<sup>1</sup> These State reports appear in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, in alphabetical sequence as report number 814 (Alabama) through number 863 (Wyoming). A list indicating the report number for each State is appended.

The detailed table for each State shows July 1, 1977, estimates of the population of each area, together with April 1, 1970, census population and numerical and percentage change between 1970 and 1977. The 1970 figures reflect annexations since 1970 up to December 31, 1977, and include corrections to the 1970 census counts.

The estimates are presented in the table in county order, with all incorporated places in the county listed in alphabetical order, followed by any functioning minor civil divisions also listed in alphabetical order. Minor civil divisions

<sup>1</sup> In certain midwestern States (Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and the Dakotas), some counties have active minor civil divisions while others do not.

are always identified in the listing by the term "township," "town," or other MCD category. When incorporated places fall in more than one county, each county piece is marked "part," and totals for these places are presented at the end of the table.

### METHODOLOGY

To estimate the population of each subcounty area, a component procedure (the Administrative Records method) was used, with each of the components of population change (births, deaths, net migration, and special populations) estimated separately. The estimates were derived in four stages, moving from 1970 as a base year to develop estimates for 1973, and, in turn, moving from 1973 as the base year to derive estimates for 1975, from 1975 as the base year for 1976, and from 1976 as the base year for 1977.

**Migration.** Individual Federal income tax returns were used to measure migration by matching individual returns for successive periods. The places of residence on tax returns filed in the base year and in the estimate year were noted for matched returns to determine in-migrants, out-migrants, and nonmigrants for each area. A net migration rate was derived, based on the difference between the in-migration and out-migration of taxpayers and dependents, and was applied to a base population to yield an estimate of net migration for all persons in the area.

**Natural change.** Reported resident birth and death statistics were used, wherever available, to estimate natural change. These data were collected from State health departments and supplemented, where necessary, by data prepared and published by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Center for Health Statistics. For subcounty areas where reported birth and death statistics were not available from either source, estimates were developed by applying fertility and mortality rates. These estimates were

subsequently controlled to agree with birth and death statistics for larger areas where reported data were available.

**Adjustment for special populations.** In addition to the above components of population change, estimates of special populations were also taken into account. Special populations include immigrants from abroad, members of the Armed Forces living in barracks, residents of institutions (prisons and long-term health care facilities), and college students enrolled in full-time programs. These populations were treated separately because changes in these types of population groups are not reflected in the components of population change developed by standard measures, and the information is generally available for use as an independent series.

**Annexations and new incorporations.** The 1970 census counts shown in this report reflect all population corrections made to the figures after the initial tabulations. In addition, adjustments for annexations are reflected in the estimates. For new incorporations occurring after 1970, the 1970 population within the boundaries of the new areas is shown in the detailed table.

**Other adjustments.** For areas where special censuses were conducted at dates that approximate the estimate date, the census results were taken into account in developing the estimates.<sup>2</sup> In several States, the subcounty estimates developed by the Administrative Records method were averaged with estimates for corresponding geographic areas which were prepared by State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates (FSCP). These States include California, Florida, New Jersey, Oregon, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

**Counties.** In generating estimates for counties by this procedure, the method was modified slightly to make the county estimates specific to the resident population under 65 years of age. The resident population 65 years old and over in counties was estimated separately by adding the change in Medicare enrollees between April 1, 1970, and July 1 of the estimate year to the April 1, 1970, population 65 years old and over in the county as enumerated in the 1970 census. These estimates of the population 65 years old and over were then added to estimates of the population under 65 years old to yield estimates of the total resident population in each county.

The estimates for the subareas in each county were adjusted to independently derived county estimates. Since all of the data necessary to develop final estimates under the FSCP program are not available at the time subcounty estimates are prepared, only two of the methods relied upon

in the standard FSCP program of estimates for counties (i.e., Component Method II and the Administrative Records method) were utilized. The 1977 estimates result from adding the average 1976-77 population change indicated by the two methods to the 1976 county population figures contained in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26.<sup>3</sup>

The county estimates, in turn, were adjusted to be consistent with independent State estimates published by the Bureau of the Census in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, No. 790, in which the Administrative Records based estimates were averaged with the estimates prepared using Component Method II and the Regression method.<sup>4</sup>

## LIMITATIONS OF THE ESTIMATES

Tests of the accuracy of the methods used to develop State and county population estimates appearing in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25 and P-26 are reported in Series P-25, No. 520 for States and in Series P-26, No. 21 for counties. In summary, the State estimates averaging Component Method II and the Regression method yielded average differences of approximately 1.9 percent when compared to the 1970 census. Subsequent modifications of the two procedures that have been incorporated in preparing estimates for the 1970's would have reduced the average difference in 1970 to 1.2 percent. For counties, the 1970 evaluations indicated an average difference of approximately 4.5 percent for the combination of procedures used. It should be noted that all of the evaluations against the results of the 1970 census concern estimates extending over the entire 10-year period of 1960 to 1970.

Since 1970, however, the Administrative Records method has been introduced with partial weight in the estimates for States and counties, and except for the few States in which local estimates are utilized, carries the full weight for estimates below the county level. The data series upon which the estimates procedure is based has been available as a comprehensive series for the entire United States only since 1967. Nonetheless, several studies have been undertaken evaluating the Administrative Records estimates from the State to the local level. At the Statewide level, little direct testing can be performed due to the lack of special censuses covering entire States. Some sense of the general reasonableness of the Administrative Records estimates may be obtained, however, by reviewing the degree of correspondence between the results of the method against those of the "standard" methods tested in 1970 and already in use to produce State estimates during the 1970's. It must be recognized that the differences between the two sets of estimates may not be interpreted as errors in either set of figures, but may only be used as a partial guide indicating the degree of consistency between the newer Administrative Records system and the established methods.

<sup>2</sup> Only special censuses conducted by the Bureau of the Census or by the California, Florida, Michigan, Oregon, or Washington State agencies participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates were used for this purpose. In addition, in a relatively small number of cases where special censuses were conducted by localities, where the procedures and definitions were essentially the same as those used by the Bureau of the Census, the results of these special censuses were also taken into account in preparing the estimates.

<sup>3</sup> Descriptions of the methodologies are given for each State in the individual Series P-26 or P-25 report for the State.

<sup>4</sup> For further discussion of the methodologies used in preparing State estimates, see **Current Population Reports**, P-25, No. 640.

Table A presents such a comparison for State estimates referring to July 1, 1977. A rather close agreement may be observed in the estimates for all States at only a 1.1 percent difference. The variation of the Administrative Records method from the average of the other methods does increase for smaller States in a regular pattern, but still reaches an average of only 1.3 percent for the smallest size category. The only consistent variations suggesting a potential for directional bias are indicated in the tendency for larger States to be estimated higher by the Administrative Records procedure than by the other techniques.

A similar comparison may be made at the county level (table B). Although the differences between the FSCP estimates and the Administrative Records results are larger at the county level than for States, the variations are well within the range that would be expected for areas of this population size, and the county pattern matches closely the findings for States. The overall difference for all counties is 2.6 percent, and ranges from 1.5 percent for the larger counties to 8.4 percent for the 26 small counties under 1,000 population. The comparisons indicate virtually no change from similar comparisons for the 1976 estimates. Only the average difference for counties with less than 1,000 population experienced any significant change from the 1976 levels in improving from 10.1 to 8.4 average percent differences.

Three tests of the Administrative Records population estimates against census counts also have been undertaken. First, a limited evaluation involving 24 large areas (16 counties and 8 cities) was conducted on estimates for the 1968-70 period.<sup>5</sup> Although the test shows the estimates to

<sup>5</sup>Meyer Zitter and David L. Word, U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Use of Administrative Records for Small Area Population Estimates," unpublished paper prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 27, 1973.

be quite accurate (1.8 percent difference), the areas may not be assumed to be representative of the 39,000 units of government covered by the Administrative Records estimating system, and the time segment evaluated refers only to a 2-year period.

A more representative group of special censuses in 86 areas selected particularly for evaluation purposes was conducted in 1973. The areas were randomly chosen nationwide to be typical of areas with populations below 20,000 persons. Table C summarizes the average percent difference between the estimates from the Administrative Records method and counts from the 86 special censuses. Overall, the estimates differed from the special census counts by 5.9 percent, with the largest differences occurring in the smallest areas. Areas of between 1,000 and 20,000 population differed by 4.6 percent, while the average difference for the 27 areas below 1,000 population was 8.6 percent. There was a slight positive directional bias, with about 60 percent of the estimates exceeding the census counts. Again, the impact of population size on the expected level of accuracy may be noted. Even though all of the areas in this study are relatively small—less than 20,000 population—the larger ones demonstrate much lower variation from census figures than the smaller ones.

The third evaluation involving census comparisons is currently underway, and is based upon the approximately 2,000 special censuses that have been conducted since 1970 at the request of localities throughout the United States. Such areas constitute a fairly stringent test for any method in that they are generally very small areas, often are experiencing rapid population growth, and frequently are found to have had a vigorous program of annexation since the last census. This evaluation study has not been completed for use here, but will be included in detail as a part of the comprehensive methodology description in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, No. 699 (in preparation).

**Table A. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Average of Component Method II and Regression Estimates for States: 1977**

(Base is the average of Method II and Regression estimates)

Item	All States	Population size in 1970		
		4 million and over	1.5 to 4 million	Less than 1.5 million
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	1.1	0.8	1.2	1.3
Number of States.....	51	16	18	17
With differences of:				
Less than 1 percent.....	21	9	7	5
1 to 2 percent.....	19	6	6	7
2 percent and over.....	11	1	5	5
Where Administrative Records was:				
Higher.....	29	10	9	10
Lower.....	22	6	9	7

**Table B. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates and the Provisional FSCP Estimates for Counties: 1977**

(Base is the provisional FSCP estimates for counties)

Item	All counties	Counties with 1,000 or more 1970 population					Counties with less than 1,000 1970 population
		Total	50,000 or more	25,000 to 49,999	10,000 to 24,999	1,000 to 9,999	
Average percent difference (disregarding sign).....	2.6	2.6	1.5	2.1	2.5	3.6	8.4
Number of counties or equivalents.....	3,143	3,117	679	567	1,017	854	26
With differences of:							
Less than 1 percent.....	952	951	329	191	266	165	1
1 to 3 percent.....	1,265	1,259	274	246	436	303	6
3 to 5 percent.....	526	520	56	95	196	173	6
5 to 10 percent.....	327	320	18	30	101	171	7
10 percent and over.....	73	67	2	5	18	42	6

**Table C. Percent Difference Between Administrative Records Estimates (Unrevised) and 86 Special Censuses: 1973**

(Base is special census)

Area	Average percent difference <sup>1</sup>	Number of areas with differences of:			
		Under 3 percent	3 to 5 percent	5 to 10 percent	10 percent and over
All areas (86) <sup>2</sup> .....	5.9	32	18	20	16
1,000 to 20,000 (59).....	4.6	26	13	14	6
Under 1,000 population (27).....	8.6	6	5	6	10

<sup>1</sup>Disregarding sign.

<sup>2</sup>All areas have population under 20,000 persons.

As a final caution, it must be noted that for convenience in presentation, the estimates contained in table 1 are shown in unrounded form. It is not intended, however, that the figures be considered accurate to the last digit. The nature of estimates prompts the rounding of figures in related Bureau reports and must be kept in mind during the application of the estimates contained here.

## RELATED REPORTS

The population estimates shown in this series of reports update those found in **Current Population Reports**, Series P-25, Nos. 740 through 789 for 1976. The population estimates contained here for States are consistent with Series P-25, No. 790. The county estimates for 1977 are

superior to the provisional 1977 figures published earlier in Series P-25 and P-26 due to the addition of a second method, but will not be reported elsewhere in **Current Population Reports**. The county population estimates are being replaced by subsequent final 1977 figures developed through the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates.

## DETAILED TABLE SYMBOLS

In the detailed table entries, a dash "--" represents zero, and the symbol "Z" indicates that the figure is less than 0.05 percent. The symbol "B" means that the base for the derived figure is less than 75,000. Three dots "..." mean not applicable and "NA" means not available.

Table 1. July 1, 1977 Population Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas

AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977		AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				NUMBER	PERCENT
STATE OF WASHINGTON...	3 680 151	3 413 244	266 907	7.8	REPUBLIC.....	1 058	862	196	22.7
ADAMS COUNTY.....	13 738	12 014	1 724	14.3	FRANKLIN COUNTY.....	29 721	25 816	3 905	15.1
HATTON.....	74	60	14	23.3	CONNELL.....	1 935	1 161	774	66.7
LIND.....	657	622	35	5.6	KAHLOTUS.....	228	308	-80	-26.0
OTHELLO.....	4 586	4 122	464	11.3	MESA.....	405	274	131	47.8
RITZVILLE.....	1 962	1 875	86	4.6	PASCO.....	15 287	13 920	1 367	9.8
WASHTUCNA.....	295	316	-21	-6.6	GARFIELD COUNTY.....	2 838	2 911	-73	-2.5
ASOTIN COUNTY.....	15 331	13 799	1 532	11.1	POMEROY.....	1 835	1 823	12	0.7
ASOTIN.....	897	637	260	40.8	GRANT COUNTY.....	48 530	41 881	6 649	15.9
CLARKSTON <sup>1</sup> .....	7 409	6 728	681	10.1	COULEE CITY.....	612	558	54	9.7
BENTON COUNTY.....	89 058	67 540	21 518	31.9	COULEE DAM (PART).....	5	7	-2	-28.6
BENTON CITY.....	1 623	1 070	553	51.7	ELECTRIC CITY.....	874	651	223	34.3
KENNEWICK <sup>1</sup> .....	24 222	16 462	7 760	47.1	EPHRATA.....	5 343	5 255	88	1.7
PROSSER.....	3 402	2 954	448	15.2	GEORGE.....	312	273	39	14.3
RICHLAND.....	32 305	26 290	6 015	22.9	GRAND COULEE.....	1 582	1 302	280	21.5
WEST RICHLAND.....	2 140	1 107	1 033	93.3	HARTLINE.....	183	189	-6	-3.2
CHELAN COUNTY.....	42 029	41 103	926	2.3	KRUPP.....	96	52	44	84.6
CASHMERE.....	1 980	1 976	4	0.2	MATTAWA.....	261	180	81	45.0
CHELAN.....	2 997	2 837	160	5.6	MOSES LAKE <sup>1</sup> .....	10 809	10 568	241	2.3
ENTIAT.....	410	355	55	15.5	QUINCY.....	3 499	3 237	262	8.1
LEAVENWORTH.....	1 433	1 322	111	8.4	ROYAL CITY.....	736	477	259	54.3
WENATCHEE.....	17 850	16 912	938	5.5	SOAP LAKE.....	1 465	1 064	401	37.7
CLALLAM COUNTY.....	43 379	34 770	8 609	24.8	WARDEN.....	1 617	1 254	363	28.9
FORKS.....	2 182	1 680	502	29.9	WILSON CREEK.....	213	184	29	15.8
PORT ANGELES.....	17 576	16 367	1 209	7.4	GRAYS HARBOR COUNTY.....	62 714	59 553	3 161	5.3
SEQUIM.....	2 672	1 549	1 123	72.5	ABERDEEN.....	19 235	18 489	746	4.0
CLARK COUNTY.....	164 481	128 454	36 027	28.0	COSMOPOLIS.....	1 669	1 599	70	4.4
BATTLE GROUND.....	2 464	1 438	1 026	71.3	ELMA.....	2 460	2 227	233	10.5
CAMAS.....	6 090	5 790	300	5.2	HOQUIAM.....	10 466	10 466	191	1.8
LA CENTER.....	457	300	157	52.3	MCCLEARY.....	1 376	1 265	111	8.8
RIDGEFIELD.....	941	1 004	-63	-6.3	MONTELANO.....	2 998	2 847	151	5.3
VANCOUVER.....	47 402	41 859	5 543	13.2	OAKVILLE.....	609	460	149	32.4
WASHOUGAL.....	3 667	3 388	279	8.2	OCEAN SHORES.....	1 302	768	534	69.5
WOODLAND (PART).....	89	123	-34	-27.6	WESTPORT.....	1 623	1 364	259	19.0
YACOLT.....	548	488	60	12.3	ISLAND COUNTY.....	37 356	27 011	10 345	38.3
COLUMBIA COUNTY.....	4 669	4 439	230	5.2	COUPEVILLE.....	930	678	252	37.2
DAYTON.....	2 678	2 596	82	3.2	LANGLEY.....	616	547	69	12.6
STARBUCK.....	213	216	-3	-1.4	OAK HARBOR.....	11 705	9 167	2 538	27.7
COWLITZ COUNTY.....	72 857	68 616	4 241	6.2	JEFFERSON COUNTY.....	13 246	10 661	2 585	24.2
CASTLE ROCK.....	2 000	1 647	353	21.4	PORT TOWNSEND.....	5 882	5 241	641	12.2
KALAMA.....	1 109	1 106	3	0.3	KING COUNTY.....	1 160 211	1 159 369	842	0.1
KELSO.....	10 417	10 296	121	1.2	ALGONA.....	1 052	1 276	-224	-17.6
LONGVIEW.....	29 460	28 373	1 087	3.8	AUBURN.....	23 167	21 653	1 514	7.0
WOODLAND (PART).....	2 135	1 499	636	42.4	BEAUX ARTS.....	353	475	-122	-25.7
DOUGLAS COUNTY.....	21 061	16 787	4 274	25.5	BELLEVUE.....	68 679	61 196	7 483	12.2
BRIDGEPORT.....	1 647	952	695	73.0	BLACK DIAMOND.....	1 106	1 160	-54	-4.7
COULEE DAM (PART).....	267	241	26	10.8	BOTHELL.....	6 360	5 867	493	8.4
EAST WENATCHEE.....	1 565	913	652	71.4	CARNATION.....	644	530	114	21.5
MANSFIELD.....	389	273	116	42.5	CLYDE HILL.....	3 070	2 987	83	2.8
ROCK ISLAND.....	385	191	194	101.6	DES MOINES.....	6 246	5 687	559	9.8
WATERVILLE.....	973	919	54	5.9	DUVALL.....	4 717	4 703	14	0.3
FERRY COUNTY.....	5 200	3 655	1 545	42.3	ENUMCLAW.....	4 717	4 662	55	1.2
					HUNTS POINT.....	462	578	-116	-20.1
					ISSAQUAH.....	5 136	4 313	823	19.1
					KENT <sup>1</sup> .....	19 810	18 865	945	5.0
					KIRKLAND.....	15 756	14 970	786	5.3

<sup>1</sup>1970 CENSUS FIGURE INCLUDES 1970 CENSUS POPULATION RESIDING IN AREAS ANNEXED THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1977.

Table 1. July 1, 1977 Population Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977		AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				NUMBER	PERCENT
LAKE FOREST PARK.....	2 521	2 530	-9	-0.4	OROVILLE.....	1 672	1 555	117	7.5
MEDINA.....	3 256	3 455	-199	-5.8	PATEROS.....	595	472	123	26.1
MERCER ISLAND.....	21 137	19 819	1 318	6.7	RIVERSIDE.....	267	228	39	17.1
MILTON (PART).....	22	7	15	214.3	TONASKET.....	1 112	951	161	16.9
NORMANDY PARK.....	4 456	4 208	248	5.9	TWISP.....	823	756	67	8.9
NORTH BEND.....	1 639	1 625	14	0.9	WINTHROP.....	446	371	75	20.2
PACIFIC.....	1 704	1 831	-127	-6.9					
REDMOND.....	18 085	11 020	7 065	64.1					
					PACIFIC COUNTY.....	16 310	15 796	514	3.3
RENTON.....	27 444	25 878	1 566	6.1	ILWACO.....	579	506	73	14.4
SEATTLE.....	488 928	530 831	-41 903	-7.9	LONG BEACH.....	1 148	968	180	18.6
SKYKOMISH.....	270	283	-13	-4.6	RAYMOND.....	3 086	3 126	-40	-1.3
SNOQUALMIE.....	1 245	1 260	-15	-1.2	SOUTH BEND.....	1 869	1 795	74	4.1
TUKWILA.....	3 020	3 509	-489	-13.9					
YARROW POINT.....	1 068	1 101	-33	-3.0					
					PEND OREILLE COUNTY.....	8 086	6 025	2 061	34.2
KITSAP COUNTY.....	122 063	101 732	20 331	20.0	CUSICK.....	266	257	9	3.5
BREMERTON.....	38 441	35 307	3 134	8.9	IONE.....	570	529	41	7.8
PORT ORCHARD.....	4 407	3 904	503	12.9	METALINE.....	201	197	4	2.0
POULSBRO.....	2 878	1 856	1 022	55.1	METALINE FALLS.....	303	307	-4	-1.3
WINSLOW.....	1 989	1 461	528	36.1	NEWPORT.....	1 570	1 418	152	10.7
KITTITAS COUNTY.....	24 588	25 039	-451	-1.8	PIERCE COUNTY.....	426 067	412 344	13 723	3.3
CLE ELUM.....	1 695	1 725	-30	-1.7	BONNEY LAKE.....	4 428	2 700	1 728	64.0
ELLENSBURG.....	12 417	13 568	-1 151	-8.5	BUCKLEY.....	3 069	3 446	-377	-10.9
KITTITAS.....	757	637	120	18.8	CARBONADO.....	392	394	-2	-0.5
ROSLYN.....	984	1 031	-47	-4.6	DUPONT.....	495	384	111	28.9
SOUTH CLE ELUM.....	409	374	35	9.4	EATONVILLE.....	988	852	136	16.0
					FIFE.....	1 742	1 458	284	19.5
KLICKITAT COUNTY.....	13 913	12 138	1 775	14.6	FIRCREST.....	5 908	5 651	257	4.5
BINGEN.....	718	671	47	7.0	GIG HARBOR.....	2 065	1 657	408	24.6
GOLDENDALE.....	3 329	2 484	845	34.0	MILTON (PART).....	2 701	2 600	101	3.9
WHITE SALMON.....	1 664	1 585	79	5.0	ORTING.....	1 726	1 643	83	5.1
					PUYALLUP.....	16 174	14 742	1 432	9.7
LEWIS COUNTY.....	51 056	45 467	5 589	12.3	ROY.....	392	381	11	2.9
CENTRALIA.....	10 851	10 054	797	7.9	RUSTON.....	693	668	25	3.7
CHEHALIS.....	6 831	5 727	1 104	19.3	SOUTH PRAIRIE.....	232	206	26	12.6
MORTON.....	1 441	1 134	307	27.1	STEILACOOM.....	4 761	2 850	1 911	67.1
MOSSY ROCK.....	411	409	2	0.5	SUMNER.....	4 525	4 325	200	4.6
NAPAVINE.....	537	377	160	42.4					
PE ELL.....	631	582	49	8.4	TACOMA.....	154 340	154 407	-67	2
TOLEDO.....	651	654	-3	-0.5	WILKESON.....	271	317	-46	-14.5
VADER.....	434	387	47	12.1					
WINLOCK.....	975	890	85	9.6	SAN JUAN COUNTY.....	6 185	3 856	2 329	60.4
					FRIDAY HARBOR.....	1 035	803	232	28.9
LINCOLN COUNTY.....	9 806	9 572	234	2.4					
ALMIRA.....	406	376	30	8.0	SKAGIT COUNTY.....	56 992	52 381	4 611	8.8
CRESTON.....	357	325	32	9.8	ANACORTES.....	8 762	7 701	1 061	13.8
DAVENPORT.....	1 475	1 363	112	8.2	BURLINGTON.....	3 370	3 138	232	7.4
HARRINGTON.....	499	489	10	2.0	CONCRETE.....	652	573	79	13.8
ODESSA.....	1 103	1 074	29	2.7	HAMILTON.....	225	196	29	14.8
REARDAN.....	505	389	116	29.8	LA CONNER.....	682	639	43	6.7
SPRAGUE.....	562	550	12	2.2	LYMAN.....	313	324	-11	-3.4
WILBUR.....	1 083	1 074	9	0.8	MOUNT VERNON <sup>1</sup> .....	11 146	9 384	1 762	18.8
					SEDRO WOOLLEY.....	5 896	4 598	1 298	28.2
MASON COUNTY.....	25 267	20 918	4 349	20.8					
SHELTON.....	6 782	6 515	267	4.1	SKAMANIA COUNTY.....	6 061	5 845	216	3.7
					NORTH BONNEVILLE.....	312	459	-147	-32.0
OKANOGAN COUNTY.....	28 986	25 867	3 119	12.1	STEVENSON.....	970	916	54	5.9
BREWSTER.....	1 503	1 059	444	41.9					
CONCONULLY.....	181	122	59	48.4	SNOHOMISH COUNTY.....	275 357	265 236	10 121	3.8
COULEE DAM (PART).....	1 260	1 177	83	7.1	ARLINGTON.....	2 608	2 261	347	15.3
ELMER CITY.....	380	324	56	17.3	BRIER.....	2 995	3 093	-98	-3.2
NESPELEM.....	363	323	40	12.4	DARRINGTON.....	1 028	1 094	-66	-6.0
OKANOGAN.....	2 254	2 015	239	11.9	EDMONDS <sup>1</sup> .....	26 189	24 872	1 317	5.3
OMAK.....	4 125	4 164	-39	-0.9	EVERETT.....	50 505	53 622	-3 117	-5.8
					GOLD BAR.....	575	504	71	14.1

<sup>1</sup>1970 CENSUS FIGURE INCLUDES 1970 CENSUS POPULATION RESIDING IN AREAS ANNEXED THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1977.

Table 1. July 1, 1977 Population Estimates for the State, Counties, and Subcounty Areas—Continued

AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977		AREA	JULY 1, 1977	APRIL 1, 1970 CENSUS	CHANGE, 1970 TO 1977	
			NUMBER	PERCENT				NUMBER	PERCENT
GRANITE FALLS.....	843	813	30	3.7	WAITSBURG.....	1 066	953	113	11.9
INDEX.....	170	169	1	0.6	WALLA WALLA.....	24 289	23 619	670	2.8
LAKE STEVENS.....	1 210	1 283	-73	-5.7					
LYNNWOOD <sup>1</sup> .....	22 363	19 766	2 597	13.1	WHATCOM COUNTY.....	93 052	81 983	11 069	13.5
MARYSVILLE.....	4 614	4 343	271	6.2	BELLINGHAM.....	42 892	39 375	3 517	8.9
MONROE.....	2 869	2 687	182	6.8	BLAINE.....	2 340	1 955	385	19.7
MOUNTLAKE TERRACE.....	16 046	16 600	-554	-3.3	EVERSON.....	748	633	115	18.2
MUKILTEO.....	1 356	1 369	-13	-0.9	FERNDALE.....	3 103	2 164	939	43.4
SNOHOMISH.....	5 022	5 174	-152	-2.9	LYNDEN.....	3 541	2 808	733	26.1
STANWOOD.....	1 463	1 347	116	8.6	NOOKSACK.....	413	322	91	28.3
SULTAN.....	1 221	1 119	102	9.1	SUMAS.....	711	722	-11	-1.5
WOODWAY.....	815	879	-64	-7.3					
SPOKANE COUNTY.....	312 467	287 487	24 980	8.7	WHITMAN COUNTY.....	42 021	37 900	4 121	10.9
AIRWAY HEIGHTS.....	1 260	744	516	69.4	ALBION.....	610	687	-77	-11.2
CHENEY.....	7 007	6 358	649	10.2	COLFAX.....	2 789	2 664	125	4.7
DEER PARK.....	1 794	1 295	499	38.5	COLTON.....	291	279	12	4.3
FAIRFIELD.....	498	469	29	6.2	ENDICOTT.....	345	333	12	3.6
LATAH.....	139	169	-30	-17.8	FARMINGTON.....	162	140	22	15.7
MEDICAL LAKE.....	3 495	3 529	-34	-1.0	GARFIELD.....	687	610	77	12.6
MILLWOOD.....	1 705	1 770	-65	-3.7	LA CROSSE.....	383	426	-43	-10.1
ROCKFORD.....	419	327	92	28.1	LAMONT.....	96	88	8	9.1
SPANGLE.....	224	179	45	25.1	MALDEN.....	218	219	-1	-0.5
SPOKANE.....	175 327	170 516	4 811	2.8	OAKESDALE.....	482	447	35	7.8
WAVERLY.....	72	48	24	50.0	PALOUSE.....	1 003	948	55	5.8
STEVENS COUNTY.....	24 282	17 405	6 877	39.5	PULLMAN.....	23 704	20 509	3 195	15.6
CHEWELAH.....	1 827	1 365	462	33.8	ROSALIA.....	626	569	57	10.0
COLVILLE.....	4 273	3 742	531	14.2	ST. JOHN.....	582	575	7	1.2
KETTLE FALLS.....	948	893	55	6.2	TEKOA.....	945	808	137	17.0
MARCUS.....	166	142	24	16.9	UNIONTOWN.....	310	310	-	-
NORTHPORT.....	415	423	-8	-1.9	YAKIMA COUNTY.....	158 527	145 212	13 315	9.2
SPRINGDALE.....	256	215	41	19.1	GRANDVIEW.....	4 438	3 605	833	23.1
THURSTON COUNTY.....	105 311	76 894	28 417	37.0	GRANGER.....	1 665	1 567	98	6.3
BUCODA.....	525	421	104	24.7	HARRAH.....	346	305	41	13.4
LACEY.....	11 897	9 696	2 201	22.7	MABTON.....	1 115	926	189	20.4
OLYMPIA.....	28 697	23 296	5 401	23.2	MOXEE CITY.....	840	600	240	40.0
RAINIER.....	772	382	390	102.1	NACHES.....	720	666	54	8.1
TENINO.....	1 180	962	218	22.7	SELAH.....	3 854	3 311	543	16.4
TUMWATER.....	5 979	5 373	606	11.3	SUNNYSIDE.....	7 638	6 751	887	13.1
YELM.....	846	628	218	34.7	TIETON.....	524	415	109	26.3
WAHIAKUM COUNTY.....	3 751	3 592	159	4.4	TOPPENISH.....	6 153	5 744	409	7.1
CATHLAMET.....	623	647	-24	-3.7	UNION GAP.....	2 608	2 040	568	27.8
WALLA WALLA COUNTY.....	43 582	42 176	1 406	3.3	WAPATO.....	3 030	2 841	189	6.7
COLLEGE PLACE <sup>1</sup> .....	5 478	4 817	661	13.7	YAKIMA <sup>1</sup> .....	53 002	48 680	4 322	8.9
PRESCOTT.....	258	242	16	6.6	ZILLAH.....	1 412	1 138	274	24.1
					MULTI-COUNTY PLACES				
					COULEE DAM.....	1 532	1 425	107	7.5
					MILTON.....	2 723	2 607	116	4.4
					WOODLAND.....	2 224	1 622	602	37.1

<sup>1</sup> 1970 CENSUS FIGURE INCLUDES 1970 CENSUS POPULATION RESIDING IN AREAS ANNEXED THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1977.

FIRST-CLASS MAIL  
POSTAGE & FEES PAID  
CENSUS  
PERMIT No. G-58

Official Business  
Penalty for Private Use, \$300

1977 Population Estimates for Counties, Incorporated Places,  
and Selected Minor Civil Divisions

(Reports may not be published in numerical order)

- |                       |                        |
|-----------------------|------------------------|
| No. 814 Alabama       | No. 839 Montana        |
| No. 815 Alaska        | No. 840 Nebraska       |
| No. 816 Arizona       | No. 841 Nevada         |
| No. 817 Arkansas      | No. 842 New Hampshire  |
| No. 818 California    | No. 843 New Jersey     |
| No. 819 Colorado      | No. 844 New Mexico     |
| No. 820 Connecticut   | No. 845 New York       |
| No. 821 Delaware      | No. 846 North Carolina |
| No. 822 Florida       | No. 847 North Dakota   |
| No. 823 Georgia       | No. 848 Ohio           |
| No. 824 Hawaii        | No. 849 Oklahoma       |
| No. 825 Idaho         | No. 850 Oregon         |
| No. 826 Illinois      | No. 851 Pennsylvania   |
| No. 827 Indiana       | No. 852 Rhode Island   |
| No. 828 Iowa          | No. 853 South Carolina |
| No. 829 Kansas        | No. 854 South Dakota   |
| No. 830 Kentucky      | No. 855 Tennessee      |
| No. 831 Louisiana     | No. 856 Texas          |
| No. 832 Maine         | No. 857 Utah           |
| No. 833 Maryland      | No. 858 Vermont        |
| No. 834 Massachusetts | No. 859 Virginia       |
| No. 835 Michigan      | No. 860 Washington     |
| No. 836 Minnesota     | No. 861 West Virginia  |
| No. 837 Mississippi   | No. 862 Wisconsin      |
| No. 838 Missouri      | No. 863 Wyoming        |

