

LIST OF CORRECTIONS

This publication has been prepared primarily by assembling and binding the maps and tabular materials originally issued in the separate PC(1)-A, B, C, and D final reports for the State. This plan was adopted in order to conserve public funds. The corrections listed below represent changes which would ordinarily have been made if this publication had been prepared by reprinting these maps and tabular materials. Those items with an asterisk (*) consist of

errors which affect not only the specific figure(s) mentioned but also affect other data in this publication. Among the other data which might be involved are the statistics for a larger area of which the particular area is part and the statistics by such classifications as urban-rural residence and size of place. The user can, of course, carry through these types of changes if he thinks them worthwhile.

Page 5, table 1

Previous urban definition, 1960 (Apr. 1):		From	To
Urban territory:			
Number of urban places.....		30	29
Population.....		914,427	909,903
Increase over preceding census: Number.....		640,633	636,109
Percent.....		234.0	
Rural territory:			
Population.....		387,734	392,258
Increase over preceding census: Number.....		-88,059	-83,535
Percent.....		-18.5	-17.6
Percent of total:			
Urban.....		70.2	69.9
Rural.....		29.8	30.1

Page 6, table 3

1960, previous urban definition:		From	To
Number of places:			
Urban territory.....		30	29
Places of 2,500 or more.....		30	29
Places of 2,500 to 5,000.....		12	11
Cumulative summary:			
Places of 2,500 or more.....		30	29
Population:			
Urban territory.....		914,427	909,903
Places of 2,500 or more.....		914,427	909,903
Places of 2,500 to 5,000.....		47,724	43,200
Rural territory.....		387,734	392,258
Other rural territory.....		347,870	352,394
Cumulative summary:			
Places of 2,500 or more.....		914,427	909,903
Percent of total population:			
Urban territory.....		70.2	69.9
Places of 2,500 or more.....		70.2	69.9
Places of 2,500 to 5,000.....		3.7	3.3
Rural territory.....		29.5	30.1
Places of 1,000 to 2,500.....		3.9	2.6
Other rural territory.....		21.0	27.1
Cumulative summary:			
Places of 2,500 or more.....		70.2	69.9

Page 7, table 4

Size of place and urban-rural classification	Incorporated places				Unincorporated places			
	Number		Population		Number		Population	
	From	To	From	To	From	To	From	To
Total.....	58	57	954,291	949,767	16	17	38,241	42,765
2,500 to 5,000.....	12	11	47,724	43,200	4	5	13,121	17,645
Other urban territory:								
2,500 to 5,000.....	23	22	170,970	166,446	5	6	20,170	24,694
2,500 to 5,000.....	12	11	47,724	43,200	4	5	13,121	17,645

Pages 7, 8, and 9, tables 5, 7, 8, 9, and 10

Change 1950 population of Glendale city, Phoenix division, Maricopa County, from 15,696 to 15,893. In table 5, change numerical increase, 1950 to 1960, from 7,517 to 7,714 and percent increase from 91.9 to 94.3. In table 9, change "In annexed area" from 6,156 to 6,353.

Pages 26, 31, and 42, tables 19, 21, and 28*

The number of inmates in table 28 shown for Maricopa County includes approximately 45 persons who should have been classified as "other" persons in group quarters. This misclassification also affects the corresponding numbers for Phoenix SMSA, shown in table 21, and the State, shown in table 19.

Tables 23, 27 to 44, 46 to 52, 54 to 58, 60, 61, 63 to 65, 67, 69, 71, and 91 to 93

In the chapter C tabulations for Arizona, 5,175 persons (almost all males) living in group quarters that housed agricultural workers were classified incorrectly as rural-nonfarm residents. These quarters were located on farms, and hence these persons should have been classified as rural-farm residents. All chapter C tables which present data on farm-nonfarm residence are subject to this error. The overall impact appears fairly small for rural-nonfarm males, amounting to an overstatement of about 4 percent; for rural-farm males, on the other hand, the understatement is about 17 percent. The impact of the error on the figures for particular groups or areas may, of course, be substantially smaller or greater. When this error was discovered, it was no longer feasible to re-tabulate the chapter C data. In the chapter D tabulations, however, the error was eliminated; and therefore, for certain subjects, correct State figures can be obtained from the appropriate chapter D tables. For corrections in chapter C table 37, see chapter D table 95; 38, see 96; 44 and 46, see 101; 47, see 103; 48, see 104; 49 and 50, see 106 and 107; 51, see 113; 52 and 54, see 115; 55, see 118; 57, see 121; 65, see 139; 67, see 133; 71, see specific subject tables listed above.

Page 67, table 57*

Occupation group and sex	The State		Urban		Percent distribution			
					The State		Urban	
	From	To	From	To	From	To	From	To
MALE								
Construction craftsmen..	21,154	21,062	16,921	16,829	No change		7.5	7.4
Other craftsmen.....	10,541	10,633	8,883	8,975	3.5	3.6	3.9	4.0

Page 69, table 59*

For "Construction craftsmen," under "Number, 1960," change 21,154 to 21,062; and under "Percent increase, 1950 to 1960," change 79.9 to 79.1. For "Other craftsmen," change number from 10,541 to 10,633, percent distribution from 3.5 to 3.6, and percent increase from 59.1 to 60.5.

Pages 75, 76, 97, 98, 112, and 113, tables 65, 76, and 86

The stub line "Husband-wife families, one earner, two children under 18 years" should read "Husband-wife families, head an earner, two children under 18."

Page 121, table C-2

The nonresponse rates for "Birth date, decade unknown" erroneously exclude nonresponses for group quarters members other than inmates.

Pages 135-137, table 99

Add footnote symbol 3 to the line for "Other West Indies."

Page 185, table 114--See page XLVIII.

Pages 211 and 217, tables 120 and 121

Under "Laborers (n.s.o.)," the 15 lines from "Machinery, exc. electrical" through "Miscellaneous manufacturing industries" are indented two spaces too many.

Pages 218-248, tables 122 to 124

On each page showing Male, Operatives and kindred workers, the line reading "Furnacemen, smeltermen, and heaters" should be changed to "Furnacemen, smeltermen, and pourers."

Pages 218-266, tables 122 to 125

On each page showing Male, Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers, the line reading "Cranemen, hoistmen, and const. mach. operators" should be changed to "Cranemen, derrickmen, and hoistmen."

Page 291, table 131*

During the tabulation of statistics on place of work, it was discovered that some enumerators working in unincorporated areas near large cities had failed to identify correctly these large cities as places of work (see text, p. XXXVI). In the tabulations for chapter C, a corrective mechanical edit was introduced that allocated such cases to the largest city in the same county. In some few instances, notably Armed Forces installations, the initial entries were correct, and appreciable numbers of persons living in the ring were incorrectly assigned the central city as a place of work by the edit.

Since many of these persons had reported themselves as working at home, the previous edit was expanded in the tabulations for chapter D to assign these latter cases, as well as other inconsistencies of the same type, to means of transportation not reported. The amount then by which the chapter D figure exceeds the chapter C figure for the "not reported" category in means of transportation for persons living in the SMSA ring provides a rough measure of the amount of the error involved; that is, the amount by which the total figure in column 8 should be decreased and the total figure in column 9 increased. This number was 1,285 for the Phoenix SMSA. In the Tucson SMSA, the effect of the error, if it existed, was very slight. Detailed information on the characteristics of the misclassified persons is not available, but most of them were young men in the Armed Forces.

Page 292, table 131

Living in SMSA ring, working in central city:	From	To
Means of transportation not reported, male.....	167	1,674

Page 296, table 134

Rural farm, female, 75 years and over: The median income of \$642 should not have been published, as the base on which it was computed is less than 200.

Page 318, table D-1

The following explanatory footnote should be keyed to the line for "Not reported" in the section on "Relationship":

Limited to persons who were allocated to the categories "Wife of head," "Other relative of head," or "Nonrelative of head."

Page 318, table D-1

The category "Not reported in decade or year" under "Age" erroneously excludes non-responses on decade of birth for group quarters members other than inmates.

(Continued on next page)

List of Corrections

Table 114.---REVISED FIGURES FOR LAST THREE COLUMNS

Area, age, and color of woman	Children under 5 years old			Area, age, and color of woman	Children under 5 years old		
	Number	Per 1,000 total women	Per 1,000 women ever married		Number	Per 1,000 total women	Per 1,000 women ever married
THE STATE--TOTAL				RURAL FARM			
Total, 15 to 49 years.....	162,752	536	668	Total, 15 to 49 years.....	7,366	702	922
15 to 19 years.....	6,975	130	647	15 to 19 years.....	296	127	710
15 to 17 years.....	1,185	37	436	20 to 24 years.....	1,813	1,218	1,625
18 and 19 years.....	5,390	287	725	25 to 29 years.....	1,992	1,442	1,554
20 to 24 years.....	41,179	979	1,278	30 to 34 years.....	1,533	1,100	1,129
20 and 21 years.....	12,901	741	1,106	35 to 39 years.....	1,053	743	757
22 to 24 years.....	28,278	1,147	1,375	40 to 44 years.....	495	395	407
25 to 29 years.....	48,017	1,168	1,267	45 to 49 years.....	184	150	152
30 to 34 years.....	34,205	780	817	PHOENIX--TOTAL			
35 to 39 years.....	22,025	471	489	Total, 15 to 49 years.....	80,352	510	628
40 to 44 years.....	8,580	208	215	15 to 19 years.....	3,247	129	621
45 to 49 years.....	2,171	58	59	20 to 24 years.....	19,819	961	1,243
THE STATE--NONWHITE				PHOENIX--NONWHITE			
Total, 15 to 49 years.....	22,078	773	1,040	Total, 15 to 49 years.....	5,695	697	909
15 to 19 years.....	887	152	849	15 to 19 years.....	264	182	985
15 to 17 years.....	174	48	534	20 to 24 years.....	1,434	1,069	1,540
18 and 19 years.....	713	328	992	25 to 29 years.....	1,614	1,339	1,542
20 to 24 years.....	5,294	1,061	1,518	30 to 34 years.....	1,076	902	963
20 and 21 years.....	1,567	782	1,285	35 to 39 years.....	788	725	757
22 to 24 years.....	3,727	1,248	1,643	40 to 44 years.....	430	395	403
25 to 29 years.....	5,987	1,243	1,508	45 to 49 years.....	89	110	112
30 to 34 years.....	4,703	1,165	1,230	TUCSON			
35 to 39 years.....	3,274	905	942	Total, 15 to 49 years.....	31,115	494	625
40 to 44 years.....	1,457	504	524	15 to 19 years.....	1,097	107	589
45 to 49 years.....	476	174	180	20 to 24 years.....	8,177	878	1,196
URBAN				TUCSON			
Total, 15 to 49 years.....	116,789	501	623	Total, 15 to 49 years.....	31,115	494	625
15 to 19 years.....	4,669	123	622	15 to 19 years.....	1,097	107	589
20 to 24 years.....	29,529	927	1,221	20 to 24 years.....	8,177	878	1,196
25 to 29 years.....	34,503	1,120	1,221	25 to 29 years.....	8,870	1,112	1,221
30 to 34 years.....	24,979	744	780	30 to 34 years.....	6,489	714	752
35 to 39 years.....	15,828	432	449	35 to 39 years.....	4,380	447	469
40 to 44 years.....	5,926	181	188	40 to 44 years.....	1,752	199	208
45 to 49 years.....	1,355	46	47	45 to 49 years.....	350	45	47
RURAL NONFARM				TUCSON			
Total, 15 to 49 years.....	38,597	647	802	Total, 15 to 49 years.....	31,115	494	625
15 to 19 years.....	1,610	155	720	15 to 19 years.....	1,097	107	589
20 to 24 years.....	9,837	1,125	1,417	20 to 24 years.....	8,177	878	1,196
25 to 29 years.....	11,522	1,291	1,382	25 to 29 years.....	8,870	1,112	1,221
30 to 34 years.....	7,693	863	903	30 to 34 years.....	6,489	714	752
35 to 39 years.....	5,144	594	610	35 to 39 years.....	4,380	447	469
40 to 44 years.....	2,159	295	304	40 to 44 years.....	1,752	199	208
45 to 49 years.....	632	94	96	45 to 49 years.....	350	45	47